

SUMMARY

This study aims to determine the rationalization of government and society Bojonegoro conducting public dialogue to oversee the process of development in Bojonegoro. With a look of interest in policy making and prudent actions of the participants in the dialogue forum.

In this study, researchers will look through glasses Habermas of communicative action. Communicative action is required in the conduct of public dialogue. By this theory, the researchers will identify the underlying rational actions of participants in the activities of this public dialogue.

The method used is a qualitative researcher. And using the critical paradigm. Methods of data collection using observation, interviews and documents. In this study consisted of fourteen informants. Seven informants represent the government of Bojonegoro and seven other informants are people who attended the public dialogue Bojonegoro. The data have been collected, followed by data analysis. Data analysis techniques in the study through the examination stage, the selection, classification, evaluation, comparison, synthesis and reflection coded data and examine the raw data and the recorded data.

Based on the findings of the data obtained showed that the actions of decision-making activities of public dialogue carried out unilaterally by the Regent of Bojonegoro. So it can be said that public dialogue is the subject of rationality Regent. In making the activities of public dialogue forums continue to show employment action only, where decisions are taken is still referring to the technical scientific knowledge. Not based on the understanding intersubjective.

While the situation of the action indicated by the subject of public dialogue that society and the government showed two models, namely measures aimed at rational action and communicative action. Communication shown by participants in the dialogue sometimes merely a question and answer session, where people ask and answer the government with no exchange of knowledge or humanitarian considerations that chimed with each other. Here it says Habermas, precisely openness was causing rationalization of power. This can happen because people are less critical and also the lack of government responsiveness in understanding society. On the other hand, the process of public dialogue Bojonegoro has also indicated their communicative action. Where in the act, the government and the people trying to understand each other to solve social problems, as well as the results of the dialogue is realized in the form of reform-reform development in Bojonegoro. That is to say that the dialogue has led to the orientation of communicative rationality.

ABSTRACT

This research is the topic of how rationalization of society and government Bojonegoro in public dialogue. The purpose of this study was to identify the process of rationalization of public dialogue that took place between the government and society, as well as analyzing the actions of participants in the public dialogue together.

This study uses the theory of communicative action Habermas. Communicative action born out of the critical views that can generate human rationality to achieve rationalization of society. Habermas suggests an important concept underlying the process of rationalization is a communicative action that measures work and communication. Communicative action could walk into a room free of domination that is a public space. The important concept is used to identify the process of public dialogue and the relationship formed between the two powers. The method used in this research is qualitative and using a critical paradigm.

The results showed that the public dialogue that has become routine in Bojonegoro can have an impact on reform-reform development in Bojonegoro. Public dialogue forums indicate a rational action and communicative action aimed at the actors in it. Where each party both government and the public have an interest in achieving success. Sometimes the relationship between the community in Bojonegoro Regency and debriefing activities in the public dialogue is a relationship based on rationality aims are that lead to successful personal goals both as a politician and as a society to enforce their rights on an ongoing basis to the government. However, there are times when the dialogue going discourse indicate communicative action. A relationship based on rationality aims to be a problem because the main priority is the success of interest among the stakeholders and not on the achievement of mutual understanding on all parties to get a truth or a proper problem resolution. On the contrary, when the government and society Bojonegoro clashing arguments to achieve understanding the communicative action has been established. That marks the rationalization of public dialogue forum for the development of Bojonegoro.

Keywords: Rationalization, Communicative Action, Public Sphere and Dialog Public