

PREDICTIVE FACTORS OF INGUINAL LYMPH NODE METASTASIS IN MEN WITH PENILE CANCER AT SANGLAH HOSPITAL, DENPASAR, BALI

AK Harmaya¹, IW Yudiana², AAG Oka², W Djatisoesanto¹

¹Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University/Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya. Correspondence: andrijournal@gmail.com

²Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University/Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar, Bali.

ABSTRACT

Objective: to know the predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer. **Methods:** this was a retrospective study on 65 patients with penile cancer in Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar, Bali from 2011 to 2015. Patients were included in analysis if they had undergone partial or total penectomy, and inguinal lymph-node dissection or excisional biopsy. Inguinal lymph node metastasis was defined as tumor positive node based on histopathology finding in patients who underwent inguinal lymph node dissection or excisional biopsy. Data retrieved from this study was analyzed by Chi Square and Kruskal-Wallis test. **Results:** a total of 65 patients diagnosed as penile cancer in Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar, Bali from 2011 to 2015. Mean age of these patients was 53.24 ± 13.42 years. The youngest age was 27 years old and the oldest was 86 years old. Fifteen patients were excluded for different reasons, remaining 50 patients for further analysis. From 50 patients included in analysis, 25 patient (50%) had inguinal lymph node metastasis. There were significant correlation between pathologic stage of the primary tumor ($p=0.021$), histologic grade ($p=0.020$), and vascular invasion ($p=0.008$) with the presence of inguinal lymph node metastasis. Based on pathologic stage of the primary tumor, only 1 of 7 patients (14%) with pT1 had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 24 patients (42%) with pT2, 10 of 15 patients (67%) with pT3, and 4 of 4 patients with pT4 (100%). Based on histologic grade, only 2 of 9 patients (22%) with grade I had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 18 of 36 patients (50%) with grade II, and 5 of 5 patients (100%) with grade III. Based on vascular invasion, only 15 of 38 patients (39%) without vascular invasion had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 12 patients (83%) with vascular invasion. No significant correlation was found between age and the presence of inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.829$). **Conclusion:** pathologic stage of the primary tumor, histologic grade, and vascular invasion were predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer.

Keywords: tumor stage, tumor grade, vascular invasion, inguinal metastasis, penile cancer.

FAKTOR PREDIKTIF METASTASIS KELENJAR LIMFE INGUINAL PADA PRIA DENGAN KANKER PENIS DI RUMAH SAKIT SANGLAH, DENPASAR, BALI

AK Harmaya¹, IW Yudiana², AAG Oka², IW Djatisoesanto¹

¹Departemen Urologi, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Airlangga/Rumah Sakit Dr. Soetomo, Surabaya.

²Departemen Urologi, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Udayana/Rumah Sakit Sanglah, Denpasar, Bali.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan: untuk mengetahui faktor prediktor metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal pada pria dengan kanker penis. **Metode:** penelitian ini merupakan studi retrospektif pada 65 pasien dengan kanker penis di Rumah Sakit Sanglah, Denpasar, Bali dari tahun 2011 hingga 2015. Pasien yang dianalisis jika mereka telah menjalani parsial atau total penektomi, dan diseksi atau biopsi eksisi kelenjar limfe

inguinal. Metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal didefinisikan sebagai kelenjar tumor positif berdasarkan histopatologi temuan pada pasien yang menjalani diseksi atau biopsi eksisi kelenjar limfe inguinal. Data diambil dari penelitian ini dianalisis dengan uji Chi Square dan uji Kruskal-Wallis. **Hasil:** sebanyak 65 pasien yang didiagnosis kanker penis di RS Sanglah, Denpasar, Bali dari tahun 2011 hingga 2015. Rerata usia pasien $53,24 \pm 13,42$ tahun. Usia termuda berusia 27 tahun dan tertua berusia 86 tahun. Lima belas pasien dikeluarkan untuk alasan yang berbeda, sisa 50 pasien untuk analisis lebih lanjut. Dari analisis 50 pasien, 25 pasien (50%) memiliki metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal. Ada hubungan yang bermakna antara stadium patologis dari tumor primer ($p=0,021$), derajat histologis ($p=0,020$), dan invasi vaskular ($p=0,008$) dengan kehadiran metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal. Berdasarkan stadium patologis dari tumor primer, hanya 1 dari 7 pasien (14%) dengan pT1 memiliki metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal dibandingkan dengan 10 dari 24 pasien (42%) dengan pT2, 10 dari 15 pasien (67%) dengan pT3, dan 4 dari 4 pasien dengan pT4 (100%). Berdasarkan derajat histologis, hanya 2 dari 9 pasien (22%) dengan derajat I memiliki metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal dibandingkan dengan 18 dari 36 pasien (50%) dengan derajat II, dan 5 dari 5 pasien (100%) dengan derajat III. Berdasarkan invasi vaskular, hanya 15 dari 38 pasien (39%) tanpa invasi vaskular memiliki kelenjar getah bening inguinal metastasis dibandingkan dengan 10 dari 12 pasien (83%) dengan invasi vaskular. Tidak ada hubungan yang signifikan yang ditemukan antara usia dan kehadiran metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal ($p=0,829$). **Kesimpulan:** stadium patologis dari tumor primer, derajat histologis dan invasi vaskular merupakan faktor prediktor metastasis kelenjar limfe inguinal pada pria dengan kanker penis.

Kata kunci: stadium tumor, derajat tumor, invasi vaskular, metastasis inguinal, kanker penis.

INTRODUCTION

Penile cancer is a rare but potentially fatal malignancy.¹ Its causes are not entirely understood, but the risk is almost completely eliminated by circumcision at birth. The incidence is higher in Africa, India, China, and parts of South America than it is in most Western countries, including the United States.² Squamous cell carcinoma of the penis was found to be 43% greater in men from countries where the poverty level is $>20\%$.³

There are a few randomized trials exploring treatment options for penile cancer, but due to the small numbers of patients, management is typically based on retrospective reviews from large referral centers. Consequently, guidelines for treatment, such as those recently published by the European Association of Urology, are based on low-grade recommendations.⁴

The gold standard treatment for primary penile lesions remains to be total or partial

penectomy. This standard therapy of total/partial penectomy for penile cancer achieves local control rates above 90% but also causes significant disfigurement, leading to loss of function and psychosexual morbidity.⁵

If there are no palpable lymph nodes, the likelihood of micrometastatic disease is about 25%.⁶ Inguinal lymphadenopathy by physical examination exhibits low positive and negative predictive values. In one report of 100 men with penile cancer treated according to the European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines in a single institution, 72% of men with palpable lymph nodes (LNs) and 18% with impalpable LNs had pathological LN involvement.⁷

Controversies surround the role and extent of immediate inguinal lymphadenectomy with or without sentinel LN dissection in those without clinical lymphadenopathy, as well as the role of

pelvic LN dissection. In a large surgical series of 688 patients, immediate lymphadenectomy (n=251) was associated with a better 10-year disease-specific survival than delayed (n=81) lymphadenectomy (71% vs. 30%, p=0.002). The 10-year disease-free survival rates for patients with negative and positive pathological nodal involvement in the immediate lymphadenectomy group were 96% and 35%, respectively.⁸

Proper diagnostic and treatment, particularly regarding inguinal lymph node metastasis, will affect the prognosis. That is why we need to know the predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer. In this study, predictive factors that will be examined include pathologic stage of the primary tumor, histologic grade, vascular invasion and age of patient. The objective of this study to know the predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer.

METHODS

This study was an analytic retrospective study. The samples in this study were patients diagnosed as penile cancer in Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar Bali from January 2011 to December 2015. Data obtained through medical records with penile cancer as the primary diagnosis.

Inclusion criteria include patients with penile cancer, which was confirmed by the pathological result, underwent partial or total penectomy for the primary tumor, and underwent dissection or excisional biopsy for inguinal lymph node. Exclusion criteria include patients who did not undergo partial or total penectomy, and patients who did not undergo inguinal lymph node dissection or excisional biopsy.

Penile cancer patient treated at Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar Bali that suitable the

inclusion and exclusion criteria will be included as a sample in this study. Patients with penile cancer will be recorded regarding age, address, TNM staging and procedures given (surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy).

For ratio data, the data will be displayed in the form of average and standard deviation. For the nominal and ordinal data, the data will be displayed as a percentage. For nominal and ordinal data, the data analyzed using Chi Square and Kruskal-Wallis test to know the correlation between pathologic stage of the primary tumor, histologic grade, vascular invasion, and age with the presence of inguinal lymph node metastasis. The data was processed and analyzed using *SPSS 17.0 for Windows computer software*.

RESULTS

A total of 65 patients diagnosed as penile cancer in Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar Bali from 2011 to 2015. Mean age of these patients was 53.24 ± 13.42 years. The youngest age was 27 years old and the oldest was 86 years old. The samples that met the inclusion criteria was as much as 50 patients.

Therapy for the primary tumor was done on 58 patients, in the form of a partial penectomy in 21 (36%) patients and total penectomy in 37 (64%) patients. Two patients did not undergo therapy for primary tumors because they passed away before therapy, and 5 patients lost of follow up after penile biopsy was performed. Therapy for the inguinal lymph node was done on 50 patients, such as inguinal lymph node dissection in 33 patients and inguinal lymph node excisional biopsy in 17 patients. Eight patients who underwent therapy for the primary tumor, but did not undergo inguinal lymph node dissection or

excisional biopsy, did not have inguinal lymphadenopathy. Characteristics of the patients can be seen in **table 1**.

Table 1. Patient characteristic

Variable		n	%
Clinical staging			
T	T1	4	6
	T2	30	46
	T3	24	37
	T4	7	11
N	N0	12	18
	N1	7	11
	N2	40	62
	N3	6	9
M	M0	63	97
	M1	2	3
Treatment for primary tumor			
Partial penectomy		21	36
Total penectomy		37	64
Treatment for inguinal lymph node (ILN)			
Right ILN dissection		1	2
Left ILN dissection		2	4
Bilateral ILN dissection		30	60
Right ILN biopsy		2	4
Left ILN biopsy		2	4
Bilateral ILN biopsy		13	26
Histologic grade			
I		10	17
II		40	69
III		8	14
Vascular invasion			
Yes		13	22
No		45	78
Histologic type			
Usual SCC*		58	90
Condylomatous SCC		5	7
Papillary SCC		2	3

*SCC: squamous cell cancer

Most of penile cancer with size tumor $\geq T2$ were underwent total penectomy (33 cases). Partial penectomy for penile cancer with staging $\geq T2$ were only performed in 15 cases. All of penile cancer in staging T4 were underwent total penectomy (**table 2**).

In this study, penile cancer most commonly affects men between the ages of 40-49 years, with only 2% at ages <30 years (**table 3**). There was no significant relationship between age and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.829$).

Table 2. Pathologic stage and treatment for primary tumor

Pathologic stage	Total Penectomy (%)	Partial Penectomy (%)	Total
T1	4 (7)	6 (10)	10 (17)
T2	15 (26)	10 (17)	25 (43)
T3	14 (24)	5 (9)	19 (33)
T4	4 (7)	0 (0)	4 (7)
Total	37 (64)	21 (36)	58 (100)

Table 3. The predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer

Variable	N- (%)	N+ (%)	p value
Age (year)			
<30	0 (0)	1 (2)	0.829
30-39	4 (8)	3 (6)	
40-49	7 (14)	10 (20)	
50-59	6 (12)	5 (10)	
60-69	6 (12)	5 (10)	
>70	2 (4)	1 (2)	
Pathologic stage			
T1	6 (12)	1 (2)	0.021
T2	14 (28)	10 (20)	
T3	5 (10)	10 (20)	
T4	0 (0)	4 (8)	
Histologic grade			
I	7 (14)	2 (4)	0.02
II	18 (36)	18 (36)	
III	0 (0)	5 (10)	
Vascular invasion			
Yes	2 (4)	10 (20)	0.008
No	23 (46)	15 (30)	

Based on pathologic stage of the primary tumor, only 1 of 7 patients (14%) with pT1 had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 24 patients (42%) with pT2, 10 of 15 patients (67%) with pT3, and

4 of 4 patients with pT4 (100%). There was a significant correlation between pathologic stage of the primary tumor and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.021$). Patients with higher pathologic stage had higher risk to have an inguinal lymph node metastasis.

Based on histologic grade, only 2 of 9 patients (22%) with grade I had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 18 of 36 patients (50%) with grade II, and 5 of 5 patients (100%) with grade III. There was a significant correlation between histologic grade and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.020$), in which higher histologic grade had a higher risk to have an inguinal lymph node metastasis.

Based on vascular invasion, only 15 of 38 patients (39%) without vascular invasion had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 12 patients (83%) with vascular invasion. There was a significant correlation between vascular invasion and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.008$), in which patients with vascular invasion had a higher risk to have an inguinal lymph node metastasis.

DISCUSSION

In the USA, penile cancer most commonly affects men between the ages of 50-70 years, with only 19% at ages <40 years and 7% <30 years.³ Squamous cell carcinoma of the penis in younger patients was more likely to exhibit infiltrative growth pattern, perineural invasion, and recurrence.⁹ In Indonesia, the research conducted at Sardjito Hospital from 2006 to 2013 found 35 cases of penile cancer, in which most commonly affects men between the ages of 40-60 years (45.7%).¹⁰ Another study done at Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital and Dharmais Cancer Hospital from October 1994 to September 2005 found 69 cases of penile cancer, in which most commonly affects men between the ages of 40-50 years old.¹¹

In this study, penile cancer most commonly affects men between the ages of 40-49 years, with only 12% at ages <40 years. There was one (2%) penile cancer patient aged <30 years old and already had inguinal lymph node metastasis. There was no significant relationship between age and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.829$).

The vast majority of malignancies of the penis are squamous cell cancers (SCCs), but other histologic types are observed in approximately 5% of cases, such as melanomas, basal cell carcinomas and sarcomas. The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies penile SCC, or penile cancer, as usual, basaloid, verrucous, warty (condylomatous), papillary, sarcomatoid, adenosquamous, and mixed. In a surgical series of 333 patients receiving homogeneous surgery, basaloid, sarcomatoid, and adenosquamous carcinomas displayed the highest histological grade and deep tissue infiltration, while verrucous, papillary, and condylomatous (warty) carcinomas were associated with low grade and superficial invasion. This relationship translated into distinct clinical behavior, with a higher 10-year survival rate for verrucous, adenosquamous, mixed, papillary and warty carcinoma (100%, 100%, 97%, 92%, and 90%, respectively), while patients with the usual and basaloid types had 78% and 76% 10-year survival, respectively.¹² In this study, 90% of squamous cell carcinoma are usual type, 7% were condylomatous type and 3% were papillary type. The 5 patients with condylomatous type, 4 of them were T2 and the rest were T1.

A partial and glans-sparing penectomy provides psychosocial benefits, preserves sexual function and is generally feasible for a T1 tumor. A 2-cm margin has been advocated historically, although some recent data suggest a 5- to 10-mm margin may be adequate.⁵ Total penectomy is

preferred for $\geq T2$ tumors, although some T2 tumors are amenable to partial penectomy based on location. In Sanglah Hospital, total penectomy (33 cases) was mostly done to penile cancer with $\geq T2$ tumor. Partial penectomy for penile cancer with $\geq T2$ was only performed in 15 cases. This was because penile cancer has spread to more proximal part of the penis, so it does not qualify for partial penectomy.

In this study, there was significant correlation between pathologic tumor stage and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.021$). The risk of inguinal lymph node metastasis increased with increase in pathologic tumor stage. Based on pathologic stage of the primary tumor, only 1 of 7 patients (14%) with pT1 had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 24 patients (42%) with pT2, 10 of 15 patients (67%) with pT3, and 4 of 4 patients with pT4 (100%). Slaton et al. reported that pathological tumor stage was the predictive of nodal metastasis in penile cancer. None of 15 pT1 tumors exhibited vascular invasion or lymph node metastasis. The 33 patients with pT2 or greater tumors 21 (64%) had vascular invasion and 18 (55%) had metastasis.¹³ Dai et al. reported that the rate of lymph node metastasis was 18.8% in patients with pT1, as compared with 53.1% in patients with pT2 or pT3 ($p=0.004$).¹⁴

Vascular invasion was also known as the predictive of nodal metastasis in penile cancer. In this study, there was significant correlation between vascular invasion and inguinal lymph node metastases ($p=0.008$). Patient with vascular invasion had higher risk of the presence of inguinal lymph node metastasis. Only 15 of 38 patients (39%) without vascular invasion had inguinal lymph node metastasis compared with 10 of 12 patients (83%) with vascular invasion. This was similar with the result of study conducted by Slaton et al. The 33 patients with pT2 or greater tumors 21 (64%) had

vascular invasion and 18 (55%) had metastasis.¹³ Emerson et al. reported that vascular invasion was strongly associated with cancer progression risk. All patients with vascular invasion developed metastasis while metastatic disease was observed in only a minority lacking vascular invasion.¹⁵

This study found a significant correlation between histologic grade and inguinal lymph node metastasis ($p=0.020$). The risk of inguinal lymph node metastasis increased with increase in histologic grade. Inguinal lymph node metastasis were found in 2 of 9 grade 1 (22%), 18 of 36 grade 2 (50%), and 5 of 5 grade 3 carcinomas (100%). Slaton et al. reported that presence of greater than 50% poorly differentiated cancer was the predictive of nodal metastasis in penile cancer. Only 4 of 25 patients (15%) with 50% or less poorly differentiated cancer in the penile tumor had metastasis compared with 14 of 23 patients (61%) with greater than 50% poorly differentiated cancer ($p=0.001$).¹³ Velazquez et al. reported that high histologic grade was statistically significant pathologic factors associated with inguinal lymph node metastasis. Nodal metastasis was found in 2 of 25 grade 1 (8%), 24 of 46 grade 2 (52%), and 40 of 63 grade 3 carcinomas (63%) ($p=0.0001$).¹⁶

Ramkumar et al. reported that histologic grade of the primary tumor was found to be the only significant predictive factor development of regional node metastasis in clinically node-negative penile cancer patients. From 200 clinically node-negative penile cancer patients who were kept under surveillance after treatment of the primary tumor, lymph node metastasis occurred in 31 patients at a median time of three months. Histologic grade 3 and grade 2 tumors had a statistically significant increased odds ratio for lymph node metastasis, 7.1 ($p<0.001$) and 2.7 ($p=0.04$),

respectively, compared with grade 1 tumors. Patients with grade 3 and grade 2 tumors may benefit from elective inguinal lymphadenectomy.¹⁷

With uni- or bilateral palpable inguinal lymph nodes (cN1/cN2), metastatic lymph node disease is very likely and the traditional clinical advice to prescribe antibiotic treatment to exclude lymph node enlargement due to infection is no longer correct. Instead, appropriate oncological diagnosis and treatment should be undertaken without delay before further metastatic spread occurs. In clinically doubtful cases, US-guided fine needle aspiration cytology can be an option.⁶

For low-risk compliant patients (pTis, pTa, and pT1G1) without palpable LNs, surveillance was recommended. For all other patients without palpable LNs, a modified bilateral lymphadenectomy or sentinel LN dissection was recommended. Radical inguinal lymphadenectomy was recommended for patients with histologically proven LN metastasis. In addition, pelvic LN dissection was recommended in patients with multiple inguinal LNs, extranodal extension or node of Cloquet involvement.¹²

The weakness of this study was the incomplete data obtained through medical records. The data was limited to the identity of the patient, therapy for the primary tumor and inguinal lymph node, and the results of histopathology. Additional therapeutic modalities (such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy) and follow-up of patients were not recorded properly.

CONCLUSION

A total of 65 patients diagnosed as penile cancer in Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar Bali, Indonesia from January 2011 to December 2015. Mean age of these patients was 53.24

± 13.42 years. The youngest age was 27 years old and the oldest was 86 years old. Penile cancer most commonly affects men between the ages of 40-49 years, with only 12% at ages <40 years.

Pathologic stage of the primary tumor, histologic grade and vascular invasion were predictive factors of inguinal lymph node metastasis in men with penile cancer, while age of patient was not associated with the presence of inguinal lymph node metastases.

REFERENCES

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2007. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2007;57:43-66.
2. Misra S, Chaturvedi A, Misra NC. Penile carcinoma: a challenge for the developing world. *Lancet Oncol.* 2004;5:240-7.
3. Pow-Sang MR, Ferreira U, Pow-Sang JM, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of penile cancer. *Urology.* 2010;76(2):S2-6.
4. Moses KA, Winer A, Sfakianos JP, et al. Contemporary management of penile cancer: Greater than 15-year MSKCC experience. *Can J Urol.* 2014;21:7201-6.
5. Minhas S, Kayes O, Hegarty P, et al. What surgical resection margins are required to achieve oncological control in men with primary penile cancer? *British Journal of Urology International.* 2005;96:1040-3.
6. Hakenberg OW, Comperat E, Minhas S, et al. EAU guidelines on penile cancer. *European Association of Urology.* 2016:1-29.
7. Hegarty PK, Kayes O, Freeman A. A prospective study of 100 cases of penile cancer managed according to European

- Association of Urology guidelines. *BJU Int.* 2006;98:526-31.
8. Omellas AA, Kinchin EW, Nobrega BL. Surgical treatment of invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: Brazilian National Cancer Institute long term experience. *J Surg Oncol.* 2008;97:487-95.
 9. Paiva GR, Araujo IBO, Athanzio DA, et al. Penile cancer: impact of age at diagnosis on morphology and prognosis. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2014:1-5.
 10. Prayoga DA, Tranggono U. Evaluasi klinis dan manajemen kanker penis di Rumah Sakit Sardjito, Yogyakarta. *Indonesian Journal of Cancer.* 2016;10: 29-34.
 11. Tranggono U, Umbas R. Karakteristik dan terapi penderita keganasan penis di RS Ciptomangunkusumo dan RS Kanker Dharmais. *Indonesian Journal of Cancer.* 2008;2:45-50.
 12. Sonpavde G, Pagliaro LC, Buonerba C, et al. Penile cancer: current therapy and future directions. *Annals of Oncology.* 2013;24:1179-89.
 13. Slaton JW, Morgenstern N, Levy DA, et al. Tumor stage, vascular invasion, and the percentage of poorly differentiated cancer: independent prognosticators for inguinal lymph node metastasis in penile squamous cancer. *J Urol.* 2001; 165:1138-42.
 14. Dai B, Ye DW, Kong YY, et al. Predicting regional lymph node metastasis in Chinese patients with penile squamous cell carcinoma: the role of histopathological classification, tumor stage and depth of invasion. *J Urol.* 2006;176:1431-5.
 15. Emerson RE, Ulbright TM, Eble JN, et al. Predicting cancer progression in patients with penile squamous cell carcinoma: the importance of depth of invasion and vascular invasion. *Mod Pathol.* 2001;14:963-8.
 16. Velazquez EF, Ayala G, Liu H, et al. Histologic grade and perineural invasion are more important than tumor thickness as predictor of nodal metastasis in penile squamous cell carcinoma invading 5 to 10 mm. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2008;32:974-9.
 17. Ramkumar A, Seshadri RA, Narayanaswamy K, et al. Risk factors for lymph node metastasis in clinically node-negative penile cancer patients. *Int J Urol.* 2009;16:383-6.