Secondary Metabolites of the Sponge-Derived Fungus *Acremonium persicinum*

by Suciati Suciati

Submission date: 25-Feb-2019 10:24 AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1083043550

File name: C-8.pdf (1.43M)

Word count: 9781

Character count: 42240

Secondary Metabolites of the Sponge-Derived Fungus *Acremonium persicinum*Suciati,^{†,‡} James A. Fraser,[†] Lynette K. Lambert,[§] Gregory K. Pierens,[§] Paul V. Bernhardt,[†] and Mary J. Garson^{*,†}[†]School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia[‡]Faculty of Pharmacy, Airlangga University, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia[§]Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: This study reports the isolation and characterization of six new acremine metabolites, 5-chloroacremine A (4), 5-chloroacremine H (5), and acremines O (6), P (7), Q (8), and R (9), together with the known acremines A (1), F (2), and N (3) from the fungus *Acremonium persicinum* cultured from the marine sponge *Anomoianthella rubra*. The relative configuration of acremine F (2) was determined by analyses of proton coupling constant values and NOESY data, and the absolute configuration confirmed as (1S, 4S, 6R) by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the borate ester derivative 1S. Acremine O, P, and R were each shown to be of 8R configuration by ¹H NMR analyses of MPA esters. The relative configurations suggested for acremine P and Q were each deduced by molecular modeling together with NOESY and coupling constant data. The ³J_{H-C} values in acremine P were measured using the pulse sequence EXSIDE, and the observed ³J_{H-C4} of 5.4 Hz and small ³J_{H-C} values (<1.5 Hz) from H-8 to C-10 and C-11 were fully consistent with stereoisomer 7a. For acremine Q, NOESY data combined with molecular modeling established the preferred diastereomer 8a.

Fungi from the genus *Acremonium* have been reported from both terrestrial¹⁻³ and marine sources⁴⁻⁶ and produce unique and biologically active secondary metabolites, the most well known being the antibiotic cephalosporin C.⁷ Antioxidant hydroquinone derivatives⁸ and a chlorinated polyketide⁹ have been reported from an algal-derived *Acremonium* sp., while isolates derived from marine sponges have yielded alkaloids,^{4,9} peptides,^{10,11} or oxygenated metabolites.¹²

In 2005, Nasini et al. reported a series of 12 meroterpenoids, including acremine A (1), F (2), and N (3), from an endophytic strain of *Acremonium byssoides* isolated from sporangia of *Plasmopara viticola* in grapevine leaves.^{1,13,14}

Malik et al. reported the isolation of a meroterpenoid from the plant *Periploca aphylla*,¹⁵ however the structure of this compound was later revised to that of acremine A (1) based on a synthetic study.¹⁶ Acremine A has also been isolated from the fungus *Myceliophthora lutea* by Smetanina et al. along with isoacremine D and two spiroacremine.¹⁷ Although the structure and ¹H NMR data of isoacremine D reported by the Russian group were identical to those of acremine D described by the Italian researchers,¹⁷ the ¹³C NMR and melting point data of the two samples differed. Recently, total syntheses of acremine A, B, and F have been developed by Mehta et al.¹⁸

In this report, we describe the isolation, structural elucidation, and configurations of six new acremine metabolites (4-9) along with the known acremine A (1), F (2), and N (3). Identification of the ether product 10 as well as the known spiroacremine A (11) and B (12) during the course of the chromatographic purification highlights the sensitivity of the oxygenated cyclohexenone/cyclohexenediol ring systems to the isolation conditions used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural and Stereochemical Studies. The sponge *Anomoianthella rubra* was collected in December 2009 by scuba from the Greening reef offshore from Mooloolaba in South East Queensland. Colonies of *Acremonium persicinum* were successfully cultured by streaking small pieces of sterilized sponge sample onto malt extract and potato dextrose agar media made up in artificial seawater. Identification of the isolate was undertaken by performing colony PCR of the rDNA ITS followed by DNA sequencing. Following preliminary investigation of a small-scale culture, large-scale fermentation was

Received: March 13, 2013

Published: July 24, 2013

carried out in malt extract media (4 L) made up in artificial seawater. After 4 weeks, the culture broth was extracted with EtOAc followed by *n*-BuOH to afford extracts from which six new acremine metabolites (4–9) were isolated together with 9-*O*-methylacremine F (10) and spiroacremine A (11) and B (12) in addition to the known acremine A (1), F (2), and N (3).

The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) for acremine A (1), the major component in the extract, are reported in CDCl_3 , while the ^1H NMR spectrum was also measured in acetone- d_6 for direct comparison with literature data. Gasini et al. determined the relative configuration of 1 by X-ray crystallographic analysis, while the absolute configuration was deduced as (4*S*, 6*R*) following Mosher esterification. The specific rotation of 1 from *A. persicum* was measured as +13, compared to a literature value of +22.3, consistent with a (4*S*, 6*R*) configuration.

A full NMR assignment of acremine F (2) was undertaken using HSQC and HMBC experiments, as the ^{13}C NMR data were not available from the literature. The signals for H-7 and H-9 were observed at δ_{H} 6.19 and 6.09, respectively, on this basis the literature assignments for H-7 (δ_{H} 6.09) and H-8 (δ_{H} 6.17) should be reversed. The specific rotation of 2 from *A. persicum* was +38, compared to a value of +56 reported in the

literature. However in this previous work, the relative configuration at C-1 of acremine F was not defined.¹ Treatment of acremine A (1) with NaBH_4 in EtOH gave a mixture of two compounds in a 2:1 ratio that were separated by RP-HPLC using 15% MeCN/ H_2O as eluent. The ^1H NMR spectrum of the major compound, which eluted second from the RP-HPLC column, was identical to that of acremine F. The minor compound shared similar ^1H NMR features to those of acremine F, except for the H-2 signal (δ_{H} 5.88 ($J = 4.8$ Hz) vs δ_{H} 5.61 ($J = 2.5$ Hz) in acremine F), and was assigned as the C-1 epimer of acremine F (13).

The conformational equilibria for acremine F (2) and 1-*epi*-acremine F (13) are considered in Figure 1a. Individual conformers (2a/b, 13a/b) were modeled using ChemBio3D 16a 12.0 (Cambridge) and by applying the MM2 force field for energy minimization to an RMS gradient of 0.100. In acremine F (2), the experimental coupling constant values for H-1/H-2, H-4/H-5a, and H-4/H-5b (2.5, 2.0, and 4.0 Hz) were in agreement with theoretical values anticipated for conformer 2a (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information) and placed H-1 and H-4 in pseudoequatorial and pseudoequatorial orientations, respectively. Consistent with this interpretation, 1D-NOESY irradiation of the proton signal at δ_{H} 4.00 (H-1) of 2 led to enhancement of H-2, H-5b, and Me-9 (Figure 1b). For 1-*epi*-acremine F (13), the experimental J values of 4.8, 4.4, and 3.3 Hz for H-1/H-2, H-4/H-5a, and H-4/H-5b matched theoretical values anticipated for conformer 13a, in which both H-1 and H-4 were pseudoequatorial. 1D NOESY irradiation of the signal at δ_{H} 3.92 (H-1) of 13 led to enhancement of H-2 and Me-12, but there was no enhancement of a C-5 proton. A coupling of 1.1 Hz between H-1 and H-5b confirmed the equatorial orientation of H-5b. In both acremine F and 1-*epi*-acremine F, conformers with a *cis*-1,3-pseudoequatorial-axial arrangement between the C-4 and C-6 hydroxy groups were thus favored. In cyclic organic compounds with a *cis*-1,3-arrangement of hydroxy groups, the diequatorial conformer is preferred in polar solvents, but the diaxial conformer becomes an important contributor to the overall conformational equilibrium in nonpolar solvents owing to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the two hydroxy groups.¹⁹ In both 2a and 13a there is also the stabilizing feature of an equatorial Me group at C-6.

The relative and absolute configurational features of 2 were confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic study conducted on a derivative prepared from 9-*O*-methylacremine F (10). For ether 10, a sodiated ion peak at m/z 269.422 provided the molecular formula $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_4$. The ^1H NMR data were very similar to those of 2, except for a quartet at δ_{H} 3.16 (3H), which gave HMBC correlations to the methoxy groups at C-10 and C-11, as well as to C-9, and so revealed that a methoxy group had replaced the hydroxy group at C-9. On exposure to mildly acidic conditions during isolation, acremine F (2) may form a stable tertiary allylic carbocation at C-9, which then reacts with MeOH to form 10. Treatment of 10 with *p*-bromophenylboronic acid (1.1 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 for 24 h gave a mixture of products, including the desired *p*-bromophenylboronate ester 14. Recrystallization of the ester mixture from EtOAc gave single crystals highly suitable for X-ray analysis, except that these corresponded to the borate ester 15 rather than 14. In its ^1H NMR spectrum, 15 lacked signals associated with a phenyl moiety, while the mass spectrum exhibited an adduct ion at m/z 291.1350 $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ for the molecular formula $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{21}\text{BO}_5$. Boric acid is commonly found as a contaminant in commercial samples of boronic acid and related substances.²⁰

Table 1. ¹H NMR Assignments for Acremines 1, 2, and 4–9^{a,b}

position	1	2	4	5	6	7	8	9
1		4.00, dd (8.5, 2.5)						
2	6.00, br s	5.61, d (2.5)	6.18, d (1.8)	6.13, t (1.5, 1.0)	a 3.26, d (16.1) b 3.02, d (16.1)	5.20, s	a 2.90, dd (16.0, 7.5) b 1.98, dd (16.0, 6.0) 2.73, m	6.49, s
3								
4	4.65, m	4.43, m	4.60, m	4.78, m				
5	a 2.36, d (13.0, 5.1) b 2.10, dd (13.0, 8.8)	a 2.24, d (14.5, 2.0) b 1.80, dd (14.5, 4.0)	4.23, d (8.2)	4.21, d (5.7)				
6	6.44, d (16.0)	6.19, d (16.0)	6.49, d (16.0)	3.94, ddi (2.0, 1.0, 0.5)	a 3.01, dd (13.7, 5.8) b 1.84, dd (13.7, 4.0)	3.57, s	3.51, s	6.61, s
8	6.67, d (16.0)	6.08, d (16.0)	6.70, d (16.0)	2.99, d (2.0)		3.83, br d (8.8)	a 2.34, ddd (13.1, 7.4, 7.0) b 1.54 ^c	a 2.99, dd (16.8, 5.0) b 2.69, dd (16.8, 5.0)
10	1.35, s	1.36, s	1.404, s	1.36, s	4.15, dt (5.8, 4.0)	4.15, s	3.98, t (7.0)	3.73, dt (8.0, 5.0)
11	1.35, s	1.35, s	1.400, s	1.31, s	1.27, s	1.47, s	1.32, s	1.34, s
12	1.30, s	1.32, s	1.39, s	1.58, s	1.16, s	1.43, s	1.14, s	1.27, s
1-OH		2.65, d (8.5)			2.16, s	1.51, s	1.44, s	2.17, s
4-OH	2.96, br d (6.5)	3.42, d (7.0)	2.90, d (4.8)	2.79, d (4.9)				4.33, s
6-OH	3.41, s	3.44, br s						
7-OH								
8-OH						3.17, d (8.8)		
								1.75, d (8.0)

^aChemical shifts (ppm) referenced to CHCl₃ (δ_H 7.26). ^bAt 500 MHz. ^cObscured by H₂O peak, assigned by DQF-COSY.

Table 2. ^{13}C NMR Assignments for Acremine 1, 2, and 4–9^{a,b}

position	1	2	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	200.1	73.0	198.3	195.5	192.6	192.3	205.3	147.8
2	123.1	130.2	121.5	122.2	51.3	102.4	38.9	115.6
3	157.9	137.7	156.2	155.7	83.4	162.5	43.7	116.8
4	65.6	64.1	72.2	70.5	189.1	99.0	102.7	146.5
5	43.9	40.5	70.4	69.6	144.8	59.1	66.0	119.2
6	73.1	71.1	75.9	75.2	147.8	57.4	60.7	123.7
7	124.3	126.2	122.7	53.0	40.1	95.0	31.7	31.3
8	147.1	138.4	149.2	67.9	78.0	86.2	86.2	69.8
9	71.4	71.1	71.3	67.9	86.6	78.2	71.3	76.3
10	29.8	30.1	29.6	27.5	22.8	25.8	27.7	22.6
11	29.8	29.7	29.6	25.0	26.8	23.4	25.3	24.5
12	24.1	26.5	21.0	23.7	14.8	14.5	14.7	15.7

^aChemical shifts (ppm) taken from 2D spectra referenced to CDCl_3 (δ_{C} 77.16). ^bAt 500 MHz.

Figure 1. (a) Cyclohexene ring conformers for acremine F (2) and 1-epi-acremine F (13) (R = side chain). (b) Energy-minimized model structures of 2a and 13a showing NOESY correlations observed for 2 and 13.

The absolute configuration of 15 was determined by the anomalous dispersion method on a highly redundant data set collected with Cu K α radiation and confirmed a (1*S*, 4*S*, 6*R*) configuration (Figure 2).

Figure 2. ORTEP view of borate ester 15 showing absolute and relative configurations.

5-Chloroacremine A (4) and 5-chloroacremine H (5) were obtained from RP-HPLC as a mixture in a 1:1 ratio; ESIMS suggested a mixture of chlorinated compounds from the ion clusters at m/z 283/285 and at m/z 299/301, each with an intensity ratio of 3:1. HRMS measurements provided the respective molecular formulas as $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{17}\text{ClO}_4$ and $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{17}\text{ClO}_5$, respectively. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR data of 5-chloroacremine A suggested a substituted cyclohexenone ring [δ_{H} 6.18 (1*H*), 4.60 (1*H*), 4.23 (1*H*); δ_{C} 198.3 (s), 121.5 (d), 156.2 (s), 72.2 (d), 70.4 (d), and 75.9 (s)] with three methyl groups [δ_{H} 1.404,

1.400, 1.39; δ_{C} 29.6, 29.6, 21.0], closely resembling those of 1¹ except that the methylene group at C-5 was replaced by a methine (δ_{H} 4.23, δ_{C} 72.2). The chlorine substituent was placed at C-5 based on HMBC correlations from H-5 to both C-4 (δ_{C} 72.2) and C-6 (δ_{C} 75.9). The 8.2 Hz coupling between H-4 and H-5 established the axial orientation of H-5. In the preferred conformation, the C-6 hydroxy group is close to coplanar with the adjacent carbonyl group, resulting in hydrogen bonding (note that this is also the case for acremine A). In the side chain of 4, signals at δ_{H} 6.49 and 6.70, each a doublet with a J of 16 Hz and linked to signals at δ_{C} 122.7 and 161.2 by HSQC, respectively, confirmed a *trans* double bond; HMBC correlations from the alkene proton at δ_{H} 6.70 to C-9, Me-10, and to Me-11 positioned the alkene between C-7 and C-8.

On the basis of comparison of its ^1H and ^{13}C NMR data with those of 4, 5-chloroacremine H (5) was likewise a chlorinated cyclohexenone; however the prenyl side chain contained an epoxy group (δ_{H} 3.94, 2.99; δ_{C} 53.0, 67.9) whose position was determined by HMBC correlations from both Me-10 and Me-11 to the epoxy carbon at δ_{C} 67.9, as well as from the epoxy proton (δ_{H} 3.94) to the olefinic carbons (C-2 and C-3). HMBC correlations from the methine proton (δ_{H} 4.21) to C-1 (δ_{C} 195.5), C-3 (δ_{C} 155.7), C-4 (δ_{C} 70.5), and C-6 (δ_{C} 75.2) confirmed chlorine substitution at C-5. A 5.7 Hz coupling between H-4 and H-5 established the equatorial orientation of H-5. The epoxy protons in 5-chloroacremine H shared a 2.0 Hz

coupling, closely identical to the value in each of acremine H and L ($J = 2.1$ Hz),¹⁴ which supported a *trans* configuration for the epoxy group. The orientation of the epoxy group relative to the stereogenic centers of the cyclohexenone core could not be conclusively determined owing to the small amount of material available; the similarity in the chemical shift of H-7 (δ_H 3.94) to the value of H-7 reported for acremine H (δ_H 3.93)²² may suggest the same diastereomer.

During NMR analysis of the mixture of 4/5 in $CDCl_3$, it was noticed that the signal intensity corresponding to 5-chloroacremine A decreased over time, while signals for a *cis* double bond (δ_H 5.96 and 5.60, d 5.9 Hz), two methines (δ_H 4.18 and 3.84), and an AB methylene (δ_H 2.94 and 2.60, d 14.4 Hz) appeared. By comparison with data for spiroacremine A (11) and B (12), both of which have been identified as artifacts,¹⁷ the new product was identified as the spiro compound 16. The *re* configuration at the spiro center was not determined due to the small amount of material available.

The final chlorinated compound isolated from the *A. persicinum* extract was named acremine O (6). The mass spectrum of this compound exhibited a typical ion cluster for a chlorinated molecule at m/z 281/283 $[M + Na]^+$ in a ratio of 3:1; a molecular formula of $C_{12}H_{15}ClO_4$ was determined by HRESIMS. The ^1H NMR spectrum showed signals for one oxymethine (δ_H 4.15), two methylene groups (δ_H 3.26, 3.02 and δ_H 3.01, 1.84), and three methyl singlets (δ_H 2.16, 1.27, 1.16). In the ^{13}C NMR spectrum, there were two carbonyl groups (δ_C 189.1, 192.6), while the downfield chemical shift of C-3 (δ_C 83.4) suggested a spiro ring.¹⁷ The carbonyl at δ_C 192.6 was placed at C-1 based on an HMBC correlation to Me-12 (δ_H 2.16), while the carbonyl group at δ_C 189.1 was assigned to C-4 based on HMBC correlations to the methylene protons of C-7. In the side chain, a hydroxy group was placed at C-8 based on HMBC correlations from the methyl groups (δ_H 1.27, 1.16) to C-8 (δ_C 78.0). As in 4 and 5, a chlorine substituent was therefore placed at C-5. NOESY correlations between Me-11/H-8 and Me-11/H-7a indicated that these protons were all on the same face of the tetrahydrofuran ring. The NOESY correlation between H-2a and H-7b then established the relative configuration at the spiro centre. When 6 was esterified at C-8 to its (*R*)- and (*S*)-*O*-methylmandelate (MPA) esters 17a and 17b, the $\Delta\delta^{RS}$ values (where $\Delta\delta^{RS} = \delta_R - \delta_S$) were positive for H-2 and H-7, while negative for Me-10 and Me-11, which was consistent with an *8R* configuration. The absolute configuration of acremine O is thus (3*R*, 8*R*). The natural product status of acremine O is unclear.

A molecular formula of $C_{12}H_{14}O_5$ was determined for acremine P (7) from the adduct ion at m/z 277.0673 $[M + Na]^+$. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra supported the presence of a substituted cyclohexenone ring [δ_H 5.20 (1H); δ_C 192.3 (s), 162.5 (s), 102.4] containing epoxy [δ_H 3.57 (1H); 59.1 (d), 57.4 (s)] and acetal (δ_C 99.0) groups. Three methyl groups observed at δ_H 1.51, 1.47, and 1.43 were linked to signals at δ_C 14.5, 25.8, and 23.4 by HSQC, respectively, and there were two oxymethines (δ_H 5.83, 4.15; δ_C 95.0, 86.2) and one other oxygenated carbon (δ_C 78.2). HMBC correlations from the epoxy proton to C-3 (δ_C 162.5), C-2 (δ_C 99.0), C-6 (δ_C 57.4), and Me-12 (δ_C 14.5) secured the position of the epoxy ring between C-5 and C-6. Three other signals (H-2, H-7, H-8), as well as Me-12, all showed HMBC correlations to the acetal signal at δ_C 99.0, which was therefore assigned to C-4. A hydroxy group (δ_H 3.17) was located at C-7 from its coupling

to H-7 and by HMBC correlations to C-7 (δ_C 95.0) and C-8 (δ_C 86.2); therefore an ether linkage between C-4 and C-8 accounted for the HMBC correlation between H-8 and C-4. On the basis of its molecular formula, acremine P had six degrees of unsaturation, of which five had been identified; the oxygen substituents at C-4 and C-9 were therefore connected to form a peroxy ring, as shown in 7.

Reduction of 7 under mild conditions (H_2 , Pd/C, EtOAc, 24 h) gave acremine A (1) as the sole product. The specific rotation of the synthetic sample was +25, comparable to the value of +13 for the natural isolate from *A. persicinum*. The absolute configuration at C-7 was pursued by preparation of the (*R*)- and (*S*)-*O*-methylmandelate (MPA) esters 18a/18b, respectively. The $\Delta\delta^{RS}$ values of the MPA esters (where $\Delta\delta^{RS} = \delta_R - \delta_S$) were positive for H-2 and Me-12 and negative for H-8, Me-10, and Me-11 and established a 7*R* configuration. With this information in hand, and recognizing the stereochemical constraint imposed by the 2,3,8-trioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane ring system, two candidate diastereomers, 7a and 7b (Figure 3a), were next considered. In the ^1H NMR spectrum of

Figure 3. (a) Structures of candidate diastereomers 7a and 7b for acremine P. (b) Energy-minimized model structures of diastereomers of acremine P showing NOESY correlations for preferred conformer 7a.

7, the signals for H-2 and H-8 were noticeably sharp. The zero coupling between H-7 and H-8 indicated a dihedral angle of approximately 90° and so revealed that these two protons were on opposite faces of the ether ring, as in 7a rather than 7b. Other pieces of spectroscopic information that pointed to 7a included (i) NOESY correlations from H-8 to H-7, Me-10, and Me-11 and (ii) the absence of coupling between H-2 and H-7. Also there was no evidence of NOESY correlations between H-2 and H-7, although such information must be interpreted with caution. Structure 7a was modeled using ChemBio3D Ultra with MM2 software for energy minimization to an RMS gradient of 0.100 (Figure 3b). On the basis of the measured dihedral angles, diagnostic $^3J_{H-C}$ values were predicted by application of the Karplus equation and revealed that stereoisomer 7a should give a medium $^3J_{H-C}$ value (4–5 Hz) and small couplings (0–3 Hz) from H-8 to Me-10 and to

Figure 4. (a) Candidate diastereomers of acremine Q. (b) Energy-minimized model structures of the preferred diastereomer of acremine Q (8a) showing observed NOESY correlations and hydrogen bonding between the C-4 and C-9 hydroxy groups (dashed line).

Me-11.²¹ The $^3J_{H-C}$ values in acremine P were measured using the pulse sequence EXSIDE;²² the observed $^3J_{H-C-4}$ of 5.4 Hz and small $^3J_{H-C}$ values (<1.5 Hz) from H-8 to C-10 and C-11 were fully consistent with stereoisomer 7a.

Also isolated from the large-scale extract of *A. persicinum* was acremine Q (8), with a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{16}O_5$ indicated by HRESIMS. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra revealed a saturated ketone (δ_C 205.3 (s)), an acetal (δ_C 102.7 (s)), an epoxy group [δ_H 3.51 (1H); 66.0 (d), 60.7 (s)], an oxymethine (δ_H 3.98; δ_C 86.2), and one other oxygenated carbon (δ_C 71.3). Three methyl groups at δ_H 1.44, 1.14, and 1.32 were linked to signals at δ_C 14.7, 25.3, and 27.7 by HSQC, respectively. Because the molecular formula implied two rings, a comparison of HMBC data with those of 7 was undertaken, leading to the planar structure suggested by HMBC correlations included those from the epoxy proton (H-5) to C-3, C-4, C-6, and Me-12, from H-8 to C-3 and C-4, and from H-7 to C-4 and C-9. The signal for H-7b, which was obscured by the H_2O peak in the ^1H NMR spectra recorded in $CDCl_3$, was detected when the spectrum was rerun in acetone- d_6 or in benzene- d_6 . In acetone- d_6 , signals for the hydroxy groups at C-4 and C-9 were observed at δ_H 5.73 and 3.87, respectively.

The relative configuration was suggested from a combination of NOESY data, molecular modeling, and coupling constant values. Only diastereomers with a (5S, 6R) configuration were considered on the reasonable expectation that 8 would share the same configuration at C-6 as other acremine. In $CDCl_3$, H-3 showed couplings of 7.5, 6.0, 7.4, and 4.4 Hz to H-2a, H-2b, H-7a, and H-7b, respectively, which suggested an equatorial rather than an axial orientation for H-3. On stereoelectronic grounds, the C-4 OH was anticipated to be axial. As a consequence of the flexible nature of the *cis*-fused ring junction, the coupling constant values for H-3 represented conformationally averaged values. A key piece of information was a pronounced NOESY correlation between H-2b and H-8. Four possible diastereomers, 8a–8d, with a *cis* ring junction were considered (Figure 4a), and minimum energy conformations calculated using ChemBio3D Ultra with MM2 software for energy minimization to an RMS gradient of 0.100. Stereoisomers 8a and 8d had interproton distances of 2.4 and 2.7 Å between H-2b and H-8, respectively; for each of the two diastereomers 8b and 8c, the interproton distance was >4 Å. NOESY correlations between H-2b/H-7b, H-7a/Me-11, and H-7b/Me-11 were in complete agreement with either 8a or 8d. Candidate diastereomers 8a and 8d were modeled using MacroModel version 9.9 (Schrödinger LLC),²³ and the structures further optimized with Gaussian version 09,²⁴ resulting in twelve and eight conformations within 3 kcal/mol

of the global energy minimum for 8a and 8d, respectively. The Boltzmann-weighted chemical shifts were calculated, but the values for 8a and 8d were similar and neither set could be conclusively assigned to acremine Q. However the Boltzmann-weighted proton NMR coupling constants calculated under vacuum using the method of Jain et al.²⁵ gave values that supported 8a as the preferred diastereomer (see Table S3 in the Supporting Information). The noticeable difference in chemical shift values for the Me-10 and Me-11 signals (δ_H 1.32 and 1.14 in $CDCl_3$) may be a consequence of the hydrogen bonding between the C-4 and C-9 hydroxy groups. The absolute configuration of acremine Q was assigned (3R, 4R, 5S, 6R, 8R) as shown in 8. Attempted reduction of acremine Q using Pd/C in EtOAc led to a mixture of products in insufficient quantity for individual identification.

Acremine R (9) was isolated as a colorless oil from RP-HPLC and had the same molecular formula ($C_{15}H_{16}O_5$) as that for acremine N (3) from HRESIMS measurements. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of this compound also revealed a close relationship to acremine N, notably the signals for a tetrasubstituted benzene ring [δ_H 6.61 (1H), 6.49 (1H); δ_C 147.8 (s), 146.3 (s), 123.7 (s), 119.2 (d), 116.8 (s), 115.6 (d)], a quaternary carbon (δ_C 76.3), one oxymethine (δ_H 3.73, δ_C 69.8), one methylene group (δ_H 2.99, 2.69; δ_C 31.3), and three methyl singlets (δ_H 2.17, 1.34, 1.27; δ_C 15.7, 22.6, 24.5). Two methyl groups (δ_H 1.34, 1.27) and the oxymethine showed HMBC correlations to the quaternary carbon at δ_C 76.3, assigned as C-9. The downfield chemical shift of C-9 suggested a chromane ring.²⁶ The signal at δ_C 69.8 was assigned as C-8 based on HMBC correlations to Me-10 (δ_H 1.34), Me-11 (δ_H 1.27), and the methylene group (δ_H 2.99, 2.67). A DQF-COSY experiment located the hydroxy group (δ_H 1.75 d, 8.0) at C-8. When 9 was converted to its (*R*)- and (*S*)-*O*-methylmandelate (MPA) esters 19a and 19b, the $\Delta\delta^{RS}$ values (where $\Delta\delta^{RS} = \delta R - \delta S$) were positive for H-2 and H-7 and negative for H-5, Me-10, Me-11, and Me-12. These data supported an 8R configuration. Also isolated from the fungal extract was acremine N (3), with ^1H and ^{13}C NMR data identical to those reported by Nasini and co-workers. The $[\alpha]_D$ was measured as +15, in reasonable agreement with the literature value ($[\alpha]_D +35$). On the basis of a comparison of its ECD data with those of other 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans, Nasini et al. proposed that (+)-acremine N has an 8S configuration.¹⁴

CONCLUSIONS

This study reported six new acremine metabolites, 5-acetoxiacremine A (4), 5-chloroacremine H (5), and acremine O (6), P (7), Q (8), and R (9), together with the known acremine A (1), F (2), and N (3). The relative configuration

of acremine F (2) was determined by analyses of proton coupling constant values and NOESY data, and the absolute configuration was confirmed as (1S, 4S, 6R) by X-ray crystallographic analysis of borate ester 1S. Acremine O, P, and R were all shown to have an 8R configuration by MPA ester determination. The relative configurations of acremine P and Q were each investigated by molecular modeling together with NOESY and coupling constant data.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. These have been reported previously.²⁷ NMR spectra were recorded at ambient probe temperature on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer unless otherwise stated. EXSIDE²² data were acquired on a 750 MHz Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer. In this two-dimensional experiment, a selective pulse was centered on the single proton of interest (H-8 of 7) such that proton-coupled peaks were not excited. Cross-peaks appeared as doublets at the frequency of the coupled carbon resonances in F1. A J-scaling factor of 15 was applied to increase the magnitude of the splitting in F1, and this enabled couplings to be obtained with a resolution of +0.6 Hz.

Biological Material. Sponge specimens were collected at the Greening reef offshore from Mooloolaba, QLD, in December 2009. The sponges were cut into small pieces, rinsed three times with sterile artificial seawater (ASW, Ocean Nature), and then cut into smaller cubes. Individual cubes were smeared across malt extract and potato dextrose agar (PDA) media or were shaken with sterile glass beads in a Falcon tube containing sterile ASW (5 mL). The cell suspension was then spread onto 186-ss containing malt extract and PDA using a sterile cotton bud. The plates were then incubated at 27 °C for 1–2 weeks. Individual fungal strains were isolated and streak purified onto new media several times. Fungal identification was undertaken using the colony polymerase chain reaction performed with an Eppendorf MasterCycler Eppgradient 28 (Eppendorf). Standard reaction mixes contained 0.25 µL of each primer designed to amplify 18S rDNA ITS, 5 µL of template DNA in distilled H₂O, 2.5 µL of 10X buffer, 2 µL of dNTPs, and 0.125 µL of Taq polymerase, with distilled H₂O added to make 25 µL of 17.1 volume. PCR products were electrophoresed on 1% TAE 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized on a UV transilluminator. The PCR products were purified using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden DE), then sent to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF) for sequencing (GenBank accession number KF 017582).

Small-Scale Fermentation. Cultures (50 mL) of *A. persicinum* 65 were cultivated in malt extract media made in artificial seawater and were kept in a shaker incubator (180 rpm) at 28 °C for 2 weeks. The 33 pure broths were separated from the mycelia by filtration and then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The organic layers were collected and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford brown extracts. The EtOAc extract (30 mg) was then subjected to NP flash column chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc in order of increasing polarity, to give acremine A (1) (4.3 mg) and spiroacremine B (12) (1.9 mg).

Large-Scale Fermentation. The isolated strain of *A. persicinum* was cultured in malt extract media (4 L) made in artificial seawater in a shaker incubator (180 rpm) at 28 °C for 4 weeks. Culture medium and mycelia were then separated by filtration, and the broth was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 2 L). The aqueous layer was further extracted with *n*-BuOH (3 × 1 L). The collected organic layers were then dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to obtain EtOAc (0.3 g) and BuOH (0.5 g) extracts. The EtOAc and BuOH extracts had a very similar profile by NMR and TLC and were combined for chemical investigation. The combined extract (0.8 g) was subjected to NP flash column chromatography, using a stepwise elution with hexanes/EtOAc, to afford 14 fractions. Fraction 6 was subjected to NP-HPLC using RI detection, eluting with 25% EtOAc/hexanes, flow rate 2 mL/min over 40 min, to obtain acremine O (6) (0.8 mg). Fraction 7 was purified through NP-HPLC using the same procedure as for fraction 6 and yielded acremine N (3) (2.1 mg).

Fractions 8 and 9 (45 mg) were combined and subjected to NP-HPLC, employing 40% EtOAc/hexanes, flow rate 2 mL/min over 40 min, to afford acremine N (3) (2.4 mg), together with a mixture of acremine R (9) and spiroacremine A (11) (7.5 mg), then a fraction containing acremine P (7) (3.0 mg), and finally acremine Q (8) (1.7 mg) in order of elution. The mixture of 9 and 11 was further purified by RP-HPLC using gradient elution from 60% to 40% MeOH/H₂O (20 min), followed by isocratic 80% MeOH/H₂O (10 min), flow rate 1.5 mL/min, yielding spiroacremine A (11) (1.1 mg) and acremine R (9) (1.1 mg) in order of elution. The fraction containing acremine P (3.0 mg) was purified with NP-HPLC using isocratic EtOAc/Hex (30:70), flow rate 2 mL/min, yielding 7 (1.1 mg). Fractions 11–13 of the NP flash column chromatography were combined (0.7 g) and subjected to NP flash column chromatography, eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH in order of increasing polarity, to yield six fractions, coded F13-1 to F13-7. Fraction F13-2 (259 mg) was further chromatographed on an NP flash column employing hexanes/EtOAc in order of increasing polarity to obtain nine fractions. Acemine A (1) (137 mg) was identified in fraction 5, while the third fraction (3.8 mg) was purified on RP-HPLC using gradient elution from 10% to 40% MeOH/H₂O (20 min), followed by isocratic 40% MeOH/H₂O (20 min), flow rate 1.5 mL/min, UV 254 nm, to obtain a mixture of 5-chloroacremine H (5), 5-chloroacremine A (4) (0.5 mg), and 9-O-methylacremine F (10) (1.5 mg) in order of elution. 5-Chloroacremine A (4) decomposed to 5-chlorospiroacremine (16) during storage in CDCl₃ for NMR analysis. Fraction F13-3 (154 mg) was also subjected to RP-HPLC employing isocratic 15% MeCN/H₂O (20 min), followed by a gradient 15–30% MeCN/H₂O (10 min), then isocratic 30% MeCN/H₂O (10 min), flow rate 1.5 mL/min, to obtain acremine A (1) (22.3 mg), acremine F (2) (13.9 mg), and 9-O-methylacremine F (10) (17.7 mg) in order of elution.

Acemine A (1) (ref 13): colorless needles (137 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +13$ (c 0.2, MeOH), lit.¹ +22.3 (c 0.04, MeOH); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 249.1102 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₄NaO₅, 249.1097).

Acemine F (2) (ref 1): yellow (19.2 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +38$ (c 0.47, CHCl₃), lit.¹ +56 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; LRESIMS m/z 251.2 [M + Na]⁺.

Acemine N (3) (ref 14): brown oil (2.1 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +15$ (c 0.08, CHCl₃), lit.¹ +35 (c 0.2, MeOH); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see ref 14; LRESIMS m/z 231.1 [M + Na]⁺.

5-Chloroacemine A (4): colorless oil (0.5 mg) mixture with 5; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 283.0716 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₁ClNaO₅, 183.0708).

5-Chloroacemine H (5): colorless oil (0.5 mg) mixture with 4; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 299.0645 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₁ClNaO₅, 299.0657).

Acemine O (6): colorless oil (0.8 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} -8$ (c 0.02, CHCl₃); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 281.0565 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₃ClNaO₅, 281.0551).

Acemine P (7): colorless oil (1.1 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} -43$ (c 0.05, CHCl₃); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 277.0673 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₄NaO₅, 277.0683).

Acemine Q (8): colorless oil (1.7 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} -12$ (c 0.08, CHCl₃); ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 265.1042 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₈NaO₅, 265.1046).

Acemine R (9): colorless oil (1.5 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} -8$ (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 231.0991 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₄NaO₅, 231.09992).

9-O-Methylacemine F (10): yellow oil (17.7 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +38$ (c 0.7, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 6.09 (1H, d, *J* = 16.0, H-7), 5.90 (1H, *d*, *J* = 16.0, H-8), 5.61 (1H, *d*, *J* = 2.5, H-2), 4.43 (1H, m, H-4), 3.99 (1H, *dd*, *J* = 9.1, 5.1, H-1), 3.59 (1H, s, 6-OH), 3.48 (1H, *dd*, *J* = 2.5, 4-OH), 3.16 (3H, s, OMe), 2.85 (1H, *d*, *J* = 9.0, 1-H), 2.22 (1H, *dd*, *J* = 15.0, 2-H, H-5a), 1.80 (1H, *dd*, *J* = 1.0, H-5b), 1.31 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.30 (6H, s, Me-11 and Me-10); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 132.7 (C-3), 136.0 (C-8), 130.5 (C-2), 128.7 (C-7), 75.2 (C-9), 73.0 (C-1), 71.0 (C-6), 64.1 (C-4), 50.6 (OMe),

(26.8 (Me-12), 26.0 (Me-10 and Me-11); HRESIMS m/z 265.1422 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₁H₁₈NaO₄ 265.1410).

Spiroacremine A (11) (ref 39 7): white solid (1.4 mg); [α]_D²⁴ +15 (c 0.09, CHCl₃), lit.¹⁹ +18 (c 0.3, EtOH); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see ref 17; HRESIMS m/z 249.1125 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₁H₁₈NaO₄ 249.1097).

Spiroacremine B (12) (ref 17): yellow oil (4.7 mg); [α]_D²⁴ -17 (c 0.09, CHCl₃), lit.¹⁹ +4.2 (c 0.24, EtOH); ¹H and ¹³C NMR see ref 17; HRESIMS m/z 249.1100 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₁H₁₈NaO₄ 249.1097).

5-C₁₁ Spiroacremine (16): colorless oil (25 mg) mixture with 4 and 5; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 6.70 (1H, d, J = 16.0, H-4), 6.49 (1H, d, J = 16.0, H-7), 6.18 (1H, d, J = 1.8, H-2), 4.60 (1H, m, H-4), 4.23 (1H, d, J = 8.2, H-5), 2.90 (1H, d, J = 4.8, 4-OH), 1.404 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.400 (3H, s, Me-11), 1.39 (3H, s, Me-12); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 198.3 (C-1), 156.2 (C-3), 149.2 (C-8), 122.7 (C-), 121.5 (C-2), 75.9 (C-6), 72.2 (C-4), 71.3 (C-9), 70.4 (C-5), 29.6 (2C, Me-10 and Me-11), 21.0 (C-12).

Reduction of Acemine A with NaBH₄. Acemine A (1) (7.0 mg) dissolved in EtOH (1 mL) was treated with NaBH₄ (1.3 mg, 1.1 equiv) at room temperature (23 °C). After 1 h, 1 mL of acetone was added, followed by H₂O (2 mL) and extraction with EtOAc (3 × 2 mL). The organic layer was then collected, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to obtain a mixture of acemine F (2) and 1-epiacemine F (13) in a 2:1 ratio. The mixture (5 mg) was then subjected to RP-HPLC, UV 254 nm, using isocratic 15% MeCN/H₂O (25 min), flow rate 1.5 mL/min, to yield acemine F (2.0 mg) and 1-epiacemine F (0.7 mg).

1-Epiacemine F (13): colorless oil (0.7 mg); [α]_D²⁴ -18 (c 0.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 6.24 (1H, d, J = 16.1, H-7), 6.16 (1H, d, J = 16.1, H-8), 5.88 (1H, d, J = 4.8, H-2), 4.23 (1H, ddd, J = 7.0, 4.4, 3.0, H-4), 3.92 (1H, br t, J = 5.4, H-1), 2.75 (1H, d, J = 7.0, H-5), 2.59 (1H, s, 6-OH), 2.01 (1H, J = 14.4, 4.4, H-5a), 1.96 (1H, ddd, J = 14.4, 3.5, 1.1, H-5b), 1.53 (1H, d, J = 6.3, 1-OH), 1.38 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.37 (3H, s, Me-11), 1.36 (3H, s, Me-12); LRESIMS m/z 251.2 [M + Na]⁺.

Preparation of p-Bromophenylboronate and Borate Esters of 9-O-Methylacemine F. 9-O-Methylacemine (50 10) (2.6 mg) was treated with p-bromophenylboronic acid (2.4 mg, 1.1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) at rt. After 24 h, the sample was evaporated under N₂ to obtain a mixed ester product (4.4 mg) containing the p-bromophenylboronate ester of 9-O-methylacemine F (14). The mixture was then subjected to NP pipet column chromatography, employing gradient elution with hexanes/EtOAc to obtain nine fractions. Fractions 7 to 9 were combined (2.1 mg) to give a mixture of the boronate ester 14 and the borate ester 15 in a 3:2 ratio. This sample was subjected to recrystallization without further purification in EtOAc using the vapor diffusion method, yielding single crystals of the borate ester 15.

p-Bromophenylboronate ester of 9-O-Methylacemine F (14): 2.7 mg, amorphous solid; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.47 (2H, m, Ph), 7.47 (2H, m, Ph), 6.06 (2H, s, H-7 and H-8), 5.61 (1H, d, J = 2.5, H-10), 4.81 (1H, t, J = 2.7, H-4), 4.14 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 2.5, H-1), 3.18 (3H, s, OMe), 2.98 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 3.3, H-5), 2.16 (1H, d, J = 11.7, 1-OH), 2.04 (dd, J = 14.0, 2.4, H-5a), 1.54 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.33 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.33 (3H, s, Me-11); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 138.5 (C-3), 136.8 (C-8), 135.5 (Ph), 131.0 (Ph), 130.8 (Ph), 130.1 (C-2), 127.9 (C-7), 125.9 (Ph), 74.7 (C-1), 72.6 (C-6), 63.4 (C-4), 50.5 (OMe), 38.5 (C-5), 26.4 (C-11), 25.2 (C-10), 25.1 (C-12); LRESIMS m/z 429.2/431.1 [M + Na]⁺, 445.1/457.1 [M + K]⁺; HRESIMS m/z 429.0858/431.0848 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₂₄BBNaO₄ 429.0849/431.0828).

Borate ester of 9-O-methylacemine F (15): colorless crystals; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 6.10 (1H, d, J = 16.4, H-7), 5.92 (1H, d, J = 16.4, H-8), 5.63 (1H, d, J = 2.5, H-2), 4.47 (1H, d, J = 8.8, 2.5, H-1), 3.17 (3H, s, OMe), 2.56 (1H, dd, J = 14.9, 2.4, H-5), 2.41 (1H, d, J = 8.8, 1-OH), 1.82 (dd, J = 14.9, 4.5, H-5b), 1.33 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.314 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.309 (3H, s, Me-11); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 137.6 (C-3), 136.1 (C-8), 130.4 (C-2), 128.5 (C-7), 75.0 (C-9), 72.9 (C-1), 70.7 (C-6), 64.0 (C-4), 50.5

(OMe), 40.4 (C-5), 26.7 (C-12), 26.0 (C-10), 26.0 (C-11); LRESIMS m/z 291.1 [M + Na]⁺; HRESIMS m/z 291.1350 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₁H₂₁BBNaO₄ 291.1374).

Crystallographic data of the borate ester 15: C₁₁H₂₁BO₄ M 268.11, T 293(2) K, monoclinic, space group C_{2h} 22-5089(7) Å³, 59 19(2) Å, c 9.8434(3) Å, V 1486.28(8) Å³, D_c (Z = 4) 1.198 g cm⁻³, F(000) 576, μ(Cu Kα) 0.738 mm⁻¹, 10 093 data (2θ_{max} = 62°), R_{int} 0.0204, 2282 with I > 2σ(I); R 0.0248 (obsd data), wR₂ 0.0559 (all data), goodness of fit 1.068. CCDC number 928199. Data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Gemini CCD diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (1.5418 Å). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined with SHELX. A complete sphere of data was collected, and the absolute structure was determined by analysis of 1001 Bijvoet pairs using the method of Hooft et al.²⁰ implemented within PLATON.²⁰ The probability of the correct enantiomer (P₂) was 1.000 using Student's statistics with a t value of 10 and a Hooft parameter of -0.01(6). All calculations were carried out within the WinGX³¹ program, and the thermal ellipsoid plot (Figure 2) was produced with ORTEP.³²

Preparation of MPA Esters of Acemine O (17a/17b), Acemine P (18a/18b), and Acemine R (19a/19b). Acemine O (6) (0.6 mg) was added into two portions, and each sample (approximately 0.3 mg) was treated with either (R)- or (S)-MPA (0.4 mg, 2 equiv), followed by DCC (0.5 mg, 2 equiv) and DMAP (0.3 mg, 2 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at rt, and the mixture was then filtered through a small plug of silica eluting with CHCl₃. The solvent was then dried *in vacuo*, and each product was purified by RP-HPLC, eluting with 60–100% MeCN/H₂O for 35 min, flow rate 1.5 mL/min, to yield the (R)-MPA ester (17a) (0.2 mg) and (S)-MPA ester (17b) (0.2 mg). A portion of acemine P (7) was likewise divided into two, and each sample (approximately 0.4 mg) was treated with either (R)- or (S)-MPA using the same procedures for 6 to obtain the (R)-MPA ester (18a) (0.4 mg) and (S)-MPA ester (18b) (0.4 mg). A portion of acemine R (9) (0.5 mg each) was reacted with either (R)- or (S)-MPA using the same procedure as for 6 and 7 to obtain the (R)-MPA ester (19a) (0.3 mg) and (S)-MPA ester (19b) (0.3 mg).

(R)-MPA ester (17a): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.46–7.35 (SH, m, MPA phenyl protons), 5.16 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 1.5, H-8), 4.79 (1H, CH of MPA), 3.43 (3H, s, OMe of MPA), 3.22 (1H, dd, J = 14.6, 5.8, H-7), 3.12 (1H, d, J = 16.1, H-2a), 2.89 (1H, d, J = 16.1, H-2b), 2.15 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.80 (1H, dd, J = 14.6, 2.0, H-7b), 1.08 (3H, s, Me-10), 0.80 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 429.2 [M + Na]⁺.

(S)-MPA ester (17b): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.46–7.35 (SH, m, MPA phenyl protons), 5.15 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 1.5, H-8), 4.80 (1H, CH of MPA), 3.42 (3H, s, OMe of MPA), 3.19 (1H, dd, J = 15.0, 5.8, H-7), 2.73 (1H, d, J = 16.3, H-2a), 2.51 (1H, d, J = 16.3, H-2b), 2.13 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.48 (1H, dd, J = 15.0, 1.5, H-7b), 1.18 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.13 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 429.2 [M + Na]⁺.

(R)-MPA ester (18a): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.48–7.37 (SH, phenyl protons), 6.603 (1H, s, H-7), 4.17 (1H, s, H-2), 4.83 (1H, CH of MPA), 4.17 (1H, s, H-8), 3.44 (3H, s, OMe of MPA), 1.51 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.45 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.42 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 425.0 [M + Na]⁺.

(S)-MPA ester (18b): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.48–7.38 (SH, phenyl protons), 6.597 (1H, s, H-7), 4.17 (1H, s, H-2), 4.82 (1H, CH of MPA), 4.34 (1H, s, H-8), 3.43 (3H, s, OMe of MPA), 1.45 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.48 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.47 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 425.0 [M + Na]⁺.

(R)-MPA ester (19a): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.43–7.30 (SH, m, phenyl protons), 5.58 (2H, br s, H-2 and H-5), 4.92 (1H, t, J = 5.5, H-8), 4.75 (1H, CH of MPA), 3.50 (3H, s, OMe of MPA), 3.03 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 5.5, H-7a), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 5.5, H-7b), 1.75 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.02 (3H, s, Me-10), 0.92 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 379.1 [M + Na]⁺.

(S)-MPA ester (19b): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.43–7.30 (SH, m, phenyl protons), 6.59 (1H, s, H-7), 6.37 (1H, s, H-2), 4.98 (1H, t, J = 5.1, H-8), 4.75 (1H, CH of MPA), 3.50 (3H, s,

OMe of MPA), 3.87 (2H, dd, $J = 17.2, 5.1$, H-7a), 2.32 (1H, dd, $J = 17.2, 5.1$, H-7b), 1.78 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.28 (3H, s, Me-10), 1.23 (3H, s, Me-11); LRESIMS m/z 379.1 $[M + Na]^+$.

Catalytic Hydrogenation of Acremine P (7) and Acremine Q (8). Acremine P (7) (0.7 mg) was first dried under N_2 , followed by high-vacuum treatment overnight. The round-bottom flask (5 mL) containing 35 sample was flushed with N_2 for about 2 min. Dry EtOAc (2 mL) was then added and reaction flushed with H_2 gas for 2 min. Catalyst Pd/C (1.3 mg) was then added, and reaction flushed with H_2 gas for about 5 min to remove air. After 22 h, the product was filtered through a short plug of Celite eluting with EtOAc (15 mL). The collected product was then dried under N_2 . When ^1H NMR showed that no reaction had occurred, hydrogenation was carried out for a further 24 h under the same conditions. The reaction was filtered through Celite eluting with EtOAc to give acremine A (1), which was purified by RP-HPLC, using a gradient elution of MeCN/ H_2O , to obtain acremine A (1) (0.5 mg). Acremine Q (0.5 mg) was treated similarly, but the reaction product (0.5 mg) obtained contained a mixture of products.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Figures S1–S25. ^1H and selected 2D NMR data for compounds 1–19, molecular modeling details for 2 and 8, and crystallographic data for the borate ester 15. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* (M.J.G.) Tel: +61-7-3365 3605. Fax: +61-7-3365 4215. E-mail: m.garson@uq.edu.au.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Australian Research Council and The University of Queensland for financial support, and Prof. M. G. Barwell (Australian National University) for valuable discussions. M. Mayhew and S. Graham assisted with sample collection.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Assante, G.; Dallavalle, S.; Malpezzi, L.; Nasini, G.; Burrano, S.; Torta, L. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 7686–7692.
- (2) Evans, L.; Gedger, J. N.; Brayford, D.; Stavri, M.; Smith, E.; O'Donnell, G.; Gray, A. I.; Griffith, G. W.; Gibbons, S. *Phytochemistry* 2006, 67, 2110–2114.
- (3) Isaka, M.; Palasari, S.; Auncharoen, P.; Knowlton, S.; Jones, E. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 284–287.
- (4) Julianti, E.; Oh, H.; Lee, H.-S.; Oh, D.-C.; Oh, K.-B.; Shin, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, 53, 2885–2886.
- (5) Boot, C. M.; Tenney, K.; Valeriot, F. A.; Crews, P. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2006, 69, 83–92.
- (6) Pontius, A.; Mohamed, I.; Krick, A.; Kehraus, S.; König, G. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2009, 71, 272–274.
- (7) Patterson, E. L.; Van Meter, J. C.; Bohonos, N. *J. Med. Chem.* 1964, 7, 689.
- (8) Abdel-Latef, A.; König, G. M.; Fisch, K. M.; Höller, U.; Jones, P. G.; Wright, A. D. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2002, 65, 1605–1611.
- (9) Belofsky, G. N.; Anguerra, M.; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W.; Köck, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, 6, 1335–1360.
- (10) Ratnayake, R.; Fremlin, L. J.; Lacey, E.; Gill, J. H.; Capon, R. J. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2008, 71, 403–408.
- (11) Chen, Z.; Song, Y.; Chen, Y.; Huang, H.; Zhang, W.; Ju, J. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2012, 75, 1215–1219.
- (12) Julianti, E.; Oh, H.; Jang, K. W.; Lee, K. J.; Lee, S. K.; Oh, D.-C.; Oh, K.-B.; Shin, J. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2011, 74, 2592–2594.

- (13) Arnone, A.; Nasini, G.; Panzeri, W.; de Pava, O. V.; Malpezzi, L. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2008, 71, 146–149.
- (14) Arnone, A.; Assante, G.; Bava, A.; Dallavalle, S.; Nasini, G. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 786–791.
- (15) Rehman, A.; Malik, A.; Raza, N.; Nawaz, H. K.; Ahmad, H.; Nawaz, S. A.; Choudhary, M. I. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2004, 67, 1450–1454.
- (16) Hoarau, C.; Pettus, T. R. *Org. Lett.* 2006, 8, 2843–2846.
- (17) Smetanina, O. F.; Yurchenko, A. N.; Kalinovskii, A. L.; Berdyshev, D. V.; Gerasimenko, A. V.; Pivkin, M. V.; Slinkina, N. N.; Dmitrenok, P. S.; Menzorova, N. I.; Kuznetsova, T. A.; Afeyatullo, S. S. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* 2011, 47, 385–390.
- (18) Mehta, G.; Kumar, Y. C. S.; Khan, T. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010, 51, 5112–5115.
- (19) Abraham, R. J.; Chambers, E. J.; Thomas, W. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1993, 2, 1061–1066.
- (20) Bishop, M.; Shahid, N.; Yang, J.; Barron, A. R. *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 33, 2621–2634.
- (21) Bifulco, G.; Dambrosio, P.; Gomez-Paloma, L.; Riccio, R. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 3744–3779.
- (22) Krishnamurthy, V. V. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1996, 121A, 33–41.
- (23) MacroModel, version 9.9; Schrödinger LLC: New York, NY, 2012.
- (24) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09, Revision A.02*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- (25) Jain, R.; Bally, T.; Rablen, P. R. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 74, 4017–4023.
- (26) Zainuddin, N.; Alias, S. A.; Lee, C. W.; Ebel, R.; Othman, N. A.; Mukhtar, M. R.; Awang, K. *Bot. Mar.* 2010, 53, 507–513.
- (27) Yong, K. W. L.; De Voss, J. J.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Garson, M. J. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2011, 74, 194–207.
- (28) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A* 2008, 64, 112.
- (29) Hooft, R. W. W.; Straver, L. H.; Spek, A. L. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2008, 41, 96–103.
- (30) Spek, A. L. *PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool*; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.
- (31) Farrugia, L. J. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 1999, 32, 837–838.
- (32) Farrugia, L. J. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 1997, 30, 565.

Secondary Metabolites of the Sponge-Derived Fungus *Acremonium persicinum*

ORIGINALITY REPORT

22%

SIMILARITY INDEX

16%

INTERNET SOURCES

18%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

2%

2

Anton N. Yurchenko, Olga F. Smetanina, Anatoly I. Kalinovsky, Michael A. Pushilin et al. "Oxirapentynes F–K from the Marine-Sediment-Derived Fungus KMM 4639", Journal of Natural Products, 2014

Publication

2%

3

Siwen Niu, Dong Liu, Zongze Shao, Peter Proksch, Wenhan Lin. "Eremophilane-type sesquiterpenoids in a deep-sea fungus *Eutypelia* sp. activated by chemical epigenetic manipulation", Tetrahedron, 2018

Publication

1%

4

Xueqian He, Zhenzhen Zhang, Yinghan Chen, Qian Che, Tianjiao Zhu, Qianqun Gu, Dehai Li. "Varitatin A, a Highly Modified Fatty Acid Amide from Cultured with a DNA Methyltransferase Inhibitor", Journal of Natural Products, 2015

1%

- 5

link.springer.com

Internet Source

1 %
- 6

macha.itc.griffith.edu.au

Internet Source

1 %
- 7

Marti@?n Hernando, J.I.. "Studies towards the taxoid diterpene ABC-ring system: practical access to highly functionalized enantiomerically pure analogues of major group representatives", Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 20000310

Publication

1 %
- 8

Siwen Niu, Zuo-Wang Fan, Chun-Lan Xie, Qingmei Liu, Zhu-Hua Luo, Guangming Liu, Xian-Wen Yang. " Spirograterpene A, a Tetracyclic Spiro-Diterpene with a Fused 5/5/5/5 Ring System from the Deep-Sea-Derived Fungus MCCC 3A00475 ", Journal of Natural Products, 2017

Publication

<1 %
- 9

ir.uiowa.edu

Internet Source

<1 %
- 10

mdpi.com

Internet Source

<1 %
- 11

Viranyi, A.. "3-Nitrochromene derivatives as 2@p components in 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions

<1 %

of azomethine ylides", Tetrahedron, 20060911

Publication

-
12	Bai, H.. "Cynanosides A-J, ten novel pregnane glycosides from <i>Cynanchum atratum</i> ", Tetrahedron, 20050613	<1 %
	Publication	
-

13	Eva Zubía, María J. Ortega, Claudia J. Hernández-Guerrero, J. Luis Carballo. " Isothiocyanate Sesquiterpenes from a Sponge of the Genus ", Journal of Natural Products, 2008	<1 %
	Publication	
-

14	www.faq.s.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
-

15	Antonius R. B. Ola. "Aplysiols C–E, Brominated Triterpene Polyethers from the Marine Alga <i>Chondria armata</i> and a Revision of the Structure of Aplysiol B", Australian Journal of Chemistry, 2010	<1 %
	Publication	
-

16	beilstein-journals.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
-

17	www.jstage.jst.go.jp	<1 %
	Internet Source	
-

18	sro.sussex.ac.uk	<1 %
	Internet Source	
-

19	www.hplc.sk Internet Source	<1 %
20	Sheng Ouyang, Lei Wang, Qing-Wen Zhang, Guo-Cai Wang et al. "Six new monoterpenoid indole alkaloids from the aerial part of <i>Gelsemium elegans</i> ", Tetrahedron, 2011 Publication	<1 %
21	www.freepatentsonline.com Internet Source	<1 %
22	www.chemie.uni-hamburg.de Internet Source	<1 %
23	Submitted to University of Cape Town Student Paper	<1 %
24	Zhenzhen Zhang, Xueqian He, Guangwei Wu, Congcong Liu, Changjun Lu, Qianqun Gu, Qian Che, Tianjiao Zhu, Guojian Zhang, Dehai Li. "Aniline-Tetramic Acids from the Deep-Sea-Derived Fungus L3P3 Cultured with the HDAC Inhibitor SAHA ", Journal of Natural Products, 2018 Publication	<1 %
25	jaimelerable.ca Internet Source	<1 %
26	www.thieme-connect.de Internet Source	<1 %

27	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet Source	<1 %
28	smeng.ucsd.edu Internet Source	<1 %
29	Baba, T.. "Synthesis of the JKLM-ring fragment of ciguatoxin", Tetrahedron, 20030825 Publication	<1 %
30	Kim, T.H.. "New antitumor sesquiterpenoids from Santalum album of Indian origin", Tetrahedron, 20060717 Publication	<1 %
31	Ojika, M.. "Aplyronine A, a potent antitumor macrolide of marine origin, and the congeners aplyronines B and C: isolation, structures, and bioactivities", Tetrahedron, 20070409 Publication	<1 %
32	Yefidoff, R.. "13-Demethyl-13-substituted-13,14-dihydroretinols as potential affinity labels of retinol-binding proteins: syntheses and stability studies", Bioorganic Chemistry, 200402 Publication	<1 %
33	Submitted to University of Sheffield Student Paper	<1 %
34	lib.ugent.be Internet Source	<1 %

35 Jin Li, Tao Wang, Peng Yu, A. Peterson, R. Weber, D. Soerens, D. Grubisha, D. Bennett, J. M. Cook. "General Approach for the Synthesis of Ajmaline/Sarpagine Indole Alkaloids: Enantiospecific Total Synthesis of (+)-Ajmaline, Alkaloid G, and Norsuaveoline via the Asymmetric Pictet-Spengler Reaction", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1999
Publication

---

36 d-nb.info
Internet Source

---

37 In Hyun Hwang, Dale C. Swenson, James B. Gloer, Donald T. Wicklow. "Disseminins and Spiciferone Analogues: Polyketide-Derived Metabolites from a Fungicolous Isolate of ", Journal of Natural Products, 2015
Publication

---

38 marreiki.wordpress.com
Internet Source

---

39 Chen Zhang, Ran Wen, Xiao-Li Ma, Ke-Wu Zeng, Yang Xue, Pu-Ming Zhang, Ming-Bo Zhao, Yong Jiang, Guo-Qing Liu, Peng-Fei Tu. "Nitric Oxide Inhibitory Sesquiterpenoids and Its Dimers from ", Journal of Natural Products, 2018
Publication

---

40 Submitted to Chonnam National University
Student Paper <1 %

---

41 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Internet Source <1 %

---

42 tel.archives-ouvertes.fr
Internet Source <1 %

---

43 Ping Zhang, Baoquan Bao, Hung The Dang,
Jongki Hong, Hye Ja Lee, Eun Sook Yoo,
Kyung Sook Bae, Jee H. Jung. " Anti-
inflammatory Sesquiterpenoids from a
Sponge-Derived Fungus sp. ", Journal of
Natural Products, 2009
Publication <1 %

---

44 Gao, F.. "Monoterpene glycosides,
sesquiterpene lactone glycoside and
sesquiterpene lactone aglycones from
Hymenoxys ivesiana", Phytochemistry,
19910102
Publication <1 %

---

45 twas.assaf.org.za
Internet Source <1 %

---

46 Sanki, A.K.. "Synthesis of anomERICALLY pure
vinyl sulfone-modified pent-2-enofuranosides
and hex-2-enopyranosides: a group of highly
reactive Michael acceptors for accessing
carbohydrate based synthons", Tetrahedron, <1 %

20030901

Publication

47

Submitted to University of KwaZulu-Natal

Student Paper

<1 %

48

Submitted to University of New England

Student Paper

<1 %

49

eprints.soton.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

50

Siwen Niu, Qingmei Liu, Jin-Mei Xia, Chun-Lan Xie, Zhu-Hua Luo, Zongze Shao, Guangming Liu, Xian-Wen Yang. " Polyketides from the Deep-Sea-Derived Fungus sp. MCCC 3A00421 Showed Potent Antifood Allergic Activities ", Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2018

Publication

<1 %

51

Hitotsuyanagi, Y.. "A structure-activity relationship study of brusatol, an antitumor quassinoid", Tetrahedron, 20060424

Publication

<1 %

52

Satomi Hara, Hirohiko Houjou, Isao Yoshikawa, Koji Araki. "Relation between Crystal Packing and Optical Anisotropy for Schiff Base-Nickel Complexes that Form Various Ladder-like Hydrogen-Bonding Networks", Crystal Growth & Design, 2011

Publication

<1 %

53

www.landfonline.com

Internet Source

<1 %

54

patents.justia.com

Internet Source

<1 %

55

Dinda, Biswanath, Sudhan Debnath, and Yoshihiro Harigaya. "Naturally Occurring Secoiridoids and Bioactivity of Naturally Occurring Iridoids and Secoiridoids. A Review, Part 2", CHEMICAL & PHARMACEUTICAL BULLETIN, 2007.

Publication

<1 %

56

ir.scsio.ac.cn

Internet Source

<1 %

57

Lin, Wan-Yu, Yi Lu, Jui-Hsin Su, Zhi-Hong Wen, Chang-Feng Dai, Yao-Haur Kuo, and Jyh-Horng Sheu. "Bioactive Cembranoids from the Dongsha Atoll Soft Coral Sarcophyton crassocaule", Marine Drugs, 2011.

Publication

<1 %

58

Wan-Shan Li, Attila Mándi, Jun-Jun Liu, Li Shen, Tibor Kurtán, Jun Wu. "Xylomolones A–D from the Thai Mangrove : Assignment of Absolute Stereostructures and Unveiling a Convergent Strategy for Limonoid Biosynthesis", The Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2019

Publication

<1 %

59	www.repositorio.ufc.br Internet Source	<1 %
60	Ciufolini, M.A.. "Synthetic ventures inspired by biosynthetic hypotheses: the evolution of a method for the oxidative amidation of phenols", Tetrahedron, 20060529 Publication	<1 %
61	edoc.unibas.ch Internet Source	<1 %
62	edepot.wur.nl Internet Source	<1 %
63	Robert W. Scott, Annabel C. Murphy, Ji'en Wu, Joanne Hothersall et al. "Mupirocin F: structure elucidation, synthesis and rearrangements", Tetrahedron, 2011 Publication	<1 %
64	www.chem.harvard.edu Internet Source	<1 %
65	ijprbs.com Internet Source	<1 %
66	125.235.3.98 Internet Source	<1 %
67	digilib.library.usp.ac.fj Internet Source	<1 %

68	igitur-archive.library.uu.nl Internet Source	<1 %
69	Lou, Y., H. Zhang, H. He, K. Peng, N. Kang, X. Wei, X. Li, L. Chen, X. Yao, and F. Qiu. "Isolation and Identification of Phase 1 Metabolites of Curcumol in Rats", Drug Metabolism and Disposition, 2010. Publication	<1 %
70	Wang, Shao-juan, Li Bao, Jun-jie Han, Quan-xin Wang, Xiao-li Yang, Hua-an Wen, Liang-dong Guo, Shao-jie Li, Feng Zhao, and Hong-wei Liu. "Pleurospiroketals A-E, Perhydrobenzannulated 5,5-Spiroketal Sesquiterpenes from the Edible Mushroom <i>Pleurotus cornucopiae</i> ", Journal of Natural Products, 2013. Publication	<1 %
71	Submitted to University of Bath Student Paper	<1 %
72	contentdm.lib.byu.edu Internet Source	<1 %
73	edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de Internet Source	<1 %
74	media.proquest.com Internet Source	<1 %

- 75 www.freshpatents.com $<1\%$
Internet Source
-
- 76 oceanrep.geomar.de $<1\%$
Internet Source
-
- 77 Butin, A.V.. "Simple route to 3-(2-indolyl)-1-propanones via a furan recyclization reaction", Tetrahedron, 20070108 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 78 Hashimoto, M.. "Synthetic studies of carzinophilin. Part 3: Synthetic approach toward carzinophilin and successful synthesis of 13-O-desacetyl-12,13-di-O-benzyl-4-O-methylcarzinophilin", Tetrahedron, 20030421 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 79 Henrik Franzyk. "SYNTHESIS OF NOVEL HYDROXYMETHYL SUBSTITUTED ANALOGUES RELATED TO CARBOVIR AND NEPLANOCIN A", Nucleosides Nucleotides & Nucleic Acids, 2002 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 80 academic.oup.com $<1\%$
Internet Source
-
- 81 Mónica Viciano, Marta Feliz, Rosa Corberán, Jose A. Mata, Eric Clot, Eduardo Peris. "Aliphatic versus Aromatic C-H Activation in the Formation of Abnormal Carbenes with $<1\%$

Iridium: A Combined Experimental and
Theoretical Study", Organometallics, 2007

Publication

82

Gaspar-Marques, Cristina, M. Fátima Simões,
and Benjamín Rodríguez. "Further Labdane
and Kaurane Diterpenoids and Other
Constituents from *Plectranthus fruticosus*",
Journal of Natural Products, 2004.

<1 %

Publication

83

Mehnaz, Samina, Rahman Shah Zaib Saleem,
Basit Yameen, Isabelle Pianet, Gregor
Schnakenburg, Halina Pietraszkiewicz, Fred
Valeriote, Michael Josten, Hans-Georg Sahl,
Scott G. Franzblau, and Harald Gross.
"Lahorenoic Acids A–C, *ortho*-Dialkyl-
Substituted Aromatic Acids from the Biocontrol
Strain *Pseudomonas aurantiaca* PB-St2",
Journal of Natural Products, 2013.

<1 %

Publication

84

pubs.acs.org
Internet Source

<1 %

85

www.lib.utexas.edu
Internet Source

<1 %

86

www.ukm.my
Internet Source

<1 %

87

static.uni-graz.at
Internet Source

<1 %

88	docslide.us Internet Source	<1 %
89	Submitted to Kaohsiung Medical University Student Paper	<1 %
90	zfn.mpdl.mpg.de Internet Source	<1 %
91	hal.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet Source	<1 %
92	www.thieme-connect.com Internet Source	<1 %
93	summit.sfu.ca Internet Source	<1 %
94	Wang, Y.. "Synthesis of @b-d-arabinofuranosides: stereochemical differentiation between d- and l-enantiomers", Carbohydrate Research, 20081208 Publication	<1 %
95	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1 %
96	tdx.cat Internet Source	<1 %
97	Elias Arkoudis, Ioannis N. Lykakis, Charis Gryparis, Manolis Stratakis. "Biomimetic Synthesis of Dimeric Metabolite Acremine G	<1 %

via a Highly Regioselective and Stereoselective
Diels-Alder Reaction", Organic Letters, 2009

Publication

98

Kubota, T.. "Absolute stereochemistry of
amphidinolide C: synthesis of C-1-C-10 and C-
17-C-29 segments", Tetrahedron, 20030303

Publication

<1 %

99

Arnone, Alberto, Gianluca Nasini, Walter
Panzeri, Orso Vajna de Pava, and Luciana
Malpezzi. "Acremine G, Dimeric Metabolite
from Cultures of *Acremonium byssoides*
A20(1)", Journal of Natural Products, 2008.

Publication

<1 %

100

L. XU. "An attempt to enlarge the B ring of
maoecrystal B, an ent -kaurane-type
diterpene", Journal of Asian Natural Products
Research, 9/1/2006

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On