

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the associations between CEO Characteristic and Hedging Decision. The population used in this study is all manufacturing companies listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange period 2013-2017. After careful elimination based on the needed criteria for this study. 220 samples are obtained. Logistic Regression analysis is used. This research found that several CEO Characteristic proxies such as Eduaction, Gender, and Tenure has significant effect to Hedging Decision whereas the other proxy such as Age, Experience, and Compensation do not have significant effect.

Keywords: CEO characteristic, upper echelon theory, human capital theory, hedging, hedging decision.

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini menguji hubungan antara karakteristik *CEO* pada keputusan lindung nilai. Populasi yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah seluruh perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia tahun 2013-2017. Berdasarkan kriteria yang dibutuhkan dalam studi ini, didapatkan 220 sampel. Pengujian hipotesis menggunakan metode Regresi Logistik. Penelitian ini menemukan bahwa *proxy* untuk karakteristik *CEO* seperti Pendidikan, Jenis Kelamin, dan Masa Jabatan memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap keputusan lindung nilai. Sementara Umur, Pengalaman, dan Kompensasi *CEO* tidak memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan.

Kata Kunci: Karakteristik *CEO*, *upper echelon theory*, *human capital theory*, lindung nilai, keputusan lindung nilai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover Page	ii
Undergraduate Thesis Approval	iii
Undergraduate Thesis Validation	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Abstract	vi
Abstrak	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Attachments	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem Formulation	4
1.3 Research Objective	4
1.4 Research Contribution	4
1.5 Research Systematic	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Literature Review	7
2.1.1 Upper Echelon Theory	7
2.1.2 Human Capital Theory	7
2.1.3 Agency Theory	7
2.1.4 Risk and Risk Aversion	8
2.1.5 Enterprise Risk Management	9
2.1.6 Hedge	10
2.2 Prior Research	13

2.3	Hypothesis Development	16
2.3.1	CEO Characteristic	16
2.3.2	CEO compensation	19
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		21
3.1	Research Approach.....	21
3.2	Identification of Variables.....	21
3.2.1	Independent Variable.....	21
3.2.2	Dependent Variable	21
3.2.3	Control Variable	21
3.3	Empirical Model.....	21
3.4	Operational Definition of Variables	22
3.4.1	Independent Variable.....	22
3.4.2	Dependent Variable	23
3.4.3	Control Variable	24
3.5	Type and Source of Data	25
3.5.1	Population and Sample	25
3.6	Analysis Technique	25
3.6.1	Descriptive Statistics.....	26
3.6.2	Goodness-of-fit test.....	26
3.6.3	Logistic regression analysis	26
3.6.4	Odds ratio.....	28
CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION.....		29
4.1	General Overview of Research Subjects and Objects	29
4.2	Descriptive Statistics	30
4.2.1	CEO Characteristic	30
4.2.2	Firm Characteristic	32
4.2.3	Hedging Decision	33
4.3	Analysis of Model and Hypothesis Testing	34
4.3.1	Goodness-of-fit test.....	34
4.3.2	Logistic Regression Analysis	34

4.3.3	Odds Ratio	37
4.4	Discussion	38
4.4.1	The effect of CEO Gender to Hedging Decision.....	39
4.4.2	The effect of CEO Age to Hedging Decision	39
4.4.3	The effect of CEO Education to Hedging Decision	40
4.4.4	The effect of CEO Tenure to Hedging Decision	40
4.4.5	The effect of CEO Experience to Hedging Decision.....	41
4.4.6	The effect of CEO Compensation to Hedging Decision.....	42
4.4.7	The effect of Firm Size, Quick Ratio, Foreign to Total Sales Ratio to Hedging Decision	43
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION		44
5.1	Research Summary	44
5.2	Conclusion.....	45
5.3	Limitation	45
5.4	Suggestion	45
REFERENCES		46

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of Previous Researches.....	15
Table 4.1 Sample Selection.....	30
Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics.....	31
Table 4.3 EDU Statistic Description.....	32
Table 4.4 GEN Statistic Description.....	32
Table 4.5 HD Statistic Description.....	34
Table 4.6 Logistic Regression.....	36
Table 4.7 Odds Ratio.....	38
Table 4.8 Hypothesis Testing.....	39

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Empirical Model..... 22
Figure 4.1 Pearson Chi-Square Goodness-of-fit Test..... 35

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A : Dataset used in The Research

ATTACHMENT B : Data Processing using STATA version 14.0

ATTACHMENT C : Summary of Previous Researches