

International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices

ISSN - 1986-8111

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2013

Research

Pages: 1 - 6

[Skills Acquired during Baccalaureate Degree: Evaluation Study among Practicing Pharmacists in Sudan](#)

Abuabker Elbur, Yousif MA, Elbur AI, Bin Shahna M1, Izham MI, Taib NS, Elmustafa MO, Abdoon S, Ibrahim M

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Research

Pages: 1 - 6

[Screening Angiotensin Converting Enzyme \(ACE\) Inhibitor Activity of Antihypertensive Medicinal Plants from Indonesia](#)

Aprilita Rinayanti, Maksum Radji, Abdul Munâ??im and F.D. Suyatna

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Research

Pages: 1 - 5

[Awareness of Pictograms among the Undergraduate Pharmacy Students in a Pharmacy College in Karnataka, India: A Preliminary Study](#)

Banstola A

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Research

Pages: 1 - 6

[The Effect of Regular Human Insulin and Glulisine Insulin on Blood Glucosa Concentration in Diabetic Nephropathy Patients with Hyperglycemia](#)

Budi Suprapti, Agung Pranoto, Ardian Avrilena Mp., Sumarno, Samirah, Wenny Putri Nilamsari

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Review

Pages: 1 - 6

[Knowing about Ewing Sarcoma](#)

Diana Laila Ramatillah, Syed Wasif Gillani

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Letter to Editor

Pages: 1 - 4

[Glimpse of Pharmaceutical Education in Nepal](#)

Dibyajyoti Saha

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Research

Pages: 1 - 5

[Anti-Diabetic Activity of Aqueous and Methanolic Extract of Abutilon Muticum](#)

Girendra Kumar Gautam, G. Vidhyasagar, S. C. Dwivedi and Sumeet Dwivedi

[Abstract](#)

[PDF](#)

Research

Pages: 1 - 5

[Assessment of Enoxaparin anti-Xa activity and Treatment Efficacyâ??s Evaluation](#)

Guy-Armel BOUNDA, Hao Zhi Hui, GE Wei Hong, YU Feng

Journal Flyer

International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices (IJTP)

ISSN: 1986-8111
www.iomcworld.com/ijtp
email: ijtp@scholarlypub.com



VOL 7, ISSUE 02, 2016
JUNE- SEPTEMBER

GET THE APP

Published by:
DRUNPP Association of Sarajevo
Bosnia & Herzegovina

copyright © 2010-2016, International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices

Tweets from @and_practic

Pharmacy Teaching and P
@and_practices · Apr 27, 20

IJTP is an Open Access Journal; reat published articles completely free of Manuscript here-

iomcworld.org
International Online I

Research

Pages: 1 - 25

[Extraction, Pharmacological Evaluation and Formulation of Selected Medicinal Herbs for Antidiabetic Activity](#)

 R.Margret Chandira, B.Jaykar

Research

Pages: 1 - 7


[Prevalence of self-medication of antibiotics among people in Bangladesh](#)

 Nishat Chowdhury, Mohammad Rashedul Islam, Md. Mehedi Hasan, Md. Mahmudur Rouf

Research

Pages: 1 - 6

[In vitro Culture and Agrobacterium Mediated Transformation in High Altitude Tomato \(Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.\) Cultivar Shalimar](#)

 C. Janani, S. Girija, B. D. Ranjitha Kumari

Research Article

Pages: 1 - 3

[Distribution Coefficient of Coumarin-Based Compounds Containing a Chalcone Moiety](#)

 Selma spirtovic-halilovic , Davorka zavrnik , Belma imamovic, Ervina becic

Research

Pages: 1 - 7

[Antioxidant enzyme activities assay and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances concentration following administration of ghrelin in the rat kidney](#)

 Shima Neamati , Masoud Alirezaei, Arash Kheradmand, Marzieh Rashidipour, Mohammad Reza Saki

Research

Pages: 1 - 4

[Anti Salmonella activity of nitric oxide donor and antibiotic: In vitro studies](#)

 S.S.HAQUE

Quick Links

[About Journal](#)
[Inpress](#)
[Current Issue](#)

[Submit Papers](#)
[Author](#)
[Guidelines](#)
[Editorial Board](#)

[Archive](#)
[Contact](#)
[Sitemap](#)

Contact

IOMC World, Chaussee de la
Hulpe 181, Box 25, 1170
Watermael-Boitsfort, Brussels,
Belgium

Call: +32(800) 709-48
E-Mail: ijtp@scholarlypub.com

Follow us



International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices

ISSN - 1986-8111

Editorial Board

[Syed Wasif Gillani](#)

Editor-in-Chief
Assistant Prof. Dr

[Azmi Sarriff](#)

Editor-in-Chief
Assoc. Prof. Dr

[Syed Azhar Syed Suleiman](#)

Executive Editor
Prof. Dr
Malaysia

[Mostafa Nejati](#)

Executive Editor

[Wafaa Mohamed El-Anor Ahmed Rashed](#)

Executive Editor
Dr
Egypt

[Mark Raymond](#)

Executive Editor
Prof. Dr
USA

[Robert Hougland](#)

Executive Editor
USA

[Jasmin Musanovic](#) Dr

Bosnia and Herzegovina

[Mensura Kudumovic](#) Dr

Bosnia and Herzegovina

[Monica Gaidhane](#) Dr

USA

[Mok T. Chong](#) Assoc. Prof. Dr

USA

[Aleth Therese L. Dacanay](#) Dr

Philippines

Journal Flyer

International Journal of
Pharmacy Teaching &
Practices (IJTP)

ISSN: 1986-8111
www.iomcworld.com/ijtp
email: ijtp@scholarlypub.com



VOL 7, ISSUE 02, 2016
JUNE- SEPTEMBER

GET THE APP

Published by:
DRUNPP Association of Sarajevo
Bosnia & Herzegovina

copyright © 2010-2016, International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices

Tweets from @and_practic

Pharmacy Teaching and P
@and_practices · Apr 27, 20

IJTP is an Open Access Journal; re
published articles completely free of
Manuscript here-

iomcworld.org
International Online I

[Atif Ameen](#) Dr
Pakistan

[Hadzlina Zainal](#)
Malaysia

[Syed Tajuddin Syed Hassan](#) Dr
Malaysia

[Nur Hafzan Md Hanafiah](#)
Malaysia

[Sabariah Noor Harun](#)
Malaysia

[Nabiel Khan](#)
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

[Amin Saburi](#), MD
Iran

[Suzana Tasic Otasevic](#) Prof. Dr

[Roberto Frontini](#) Dr
Germany

[Aida Batista](#) Dr
Portugal

[Tony West](#)
UK

[Francesca Venturini](#) Dr
Italy

[Petr Horáek](#) Dr
Czech Republic

[Joan Peppard](#) Dr
Ireland

[Peter Halstead](#) Dr
Australia

[Linda Suveges](#) Dr
Canada

[Carmen Vezina](#) Prof. Dr
Canada

[Pierre Moreau](#) Dr
Canada

[Anita Hardon](#) Prof. Dr

GET THE APP



[Siti Mahsarah Sheikh Ghadzi](#)

Malaysia

[Fatimatuazzahra Abd Aziz](#)

Malaysia

[Adriana Rolim](#) Dr

Brazil

[Ajit S. Kulkarni](#) Dr

India

[V. Sivajothi](#) Dr

India

[Gajana S. Sanap](#) Dr

India

[Mithun Singh Rajput](#) Dr

India

[Jimmy Jose](#) Dr

Oman

[Vibhor Kumar Jain](#) Dr

India

[Jagdale Swati Changdeo](#) Dr

India

[Kartikeyan. M](#)

India

[Chakraborty. G. S](#) Dr

India

[MD. Faiyazuddin](#) Dr

India

[Pathirage Kamal Perera](#) Dr

Sri Lanka

[Vijay Kumar](#)

India

[Beduin Mahanti](#) Dr

India

[Dibyajyoti Saha](#) Dr

Bangladesh

[Ranin Magdi Mohamed Ibrahim Soliman](#)

Egypt

GET THE APP



[Sumeet Dwivedi](#) Assistant Prof. Dr
India

[Kyi Kyi Tha](#) Dr
Malaysia

[Diana Laila Rahmatillah](#)
Indonesia

Relevant Topics

- › [Academic Journals In Immunohistochemistry](#)
- › [Academic Journals In Translational Research](#)
- › [Adult Foster Care](#)
- › [Advances In Analytical Science](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Published Articles](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Related Articles](#)
- › [Affinity Chromatography Peer Review Journals](#)
- › [Aging Related Diseases Top Journals](#)
- › [Alopecia And Micro-inflammation Top Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Alopecia And Microinflammation Scholarly Peer Review Journal](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-online-journals.php](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-open-access-articles.php](#)
- › [Anatomy & Physiology Journals](#)
- › [Ancient Remedies Journals](#)
- › [Academic Journals In Immunohistochemistry](#)
- › [Adrenal Disorders](#)
- › [Adult Foster Care](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Published Articles](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Repeating Peer Review Articles](#)
- › [Affinity Chromatography Peer Review Journals](#)
- › [Agoraphobia Open Access Top Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Alopecia And Micro-inflammation Top Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Analytical Techniques Impact Factor](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-online-journals.php](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-open-access-journals.php](#)
- › [Anatomy & Physiology Journals](#)
- › [Anti-apoptotic Drug](#)
- › [Academic Journals In Translational Research](#)
- › [Adrenal Disorders](#)
- › [Advances In Analytical Science](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Reactions Related Articles](#)
- › [Adverse Drug Repeating Peer Review Articles](#)
- › [Aging Related Diseases Top Journals](#)
- › [Agoraphobia Open Access Top Open Access Journals](#)
- › [Alopecia And Microinflammation Scholarly Peer Review Journal](#)
- › [Analytical Techniques Impact Factor](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-open-access-articles.php](#)
- › [Anastomotic-leak-open-access-journals.php](#)
- › [Ancient Remedies Journals](#)
- › [Anti-apoptotic Drug](#)

GET THE APP



Quick Links

About Journal	Submit Papers	Archive
Inpress	Author	Contact
Current Issue	Guidelines	Sitemap
	Editorial Board	

Contact

IOMC World, Chaussee de la
Hulpe 181, Box 25, 1170
Watermael-Boitsfort, Brussels,
Belgium

Call: +32(800) 709-48
E-Mail: ijtp@scholarlypub.com

Follow us





The Effect of Regular Human Insulin and Glulisine Insulin on Blood Glucose Concentration in Diabetic Nephropathy Patients with Hyperglycemia

^{1*}Budi Suprapti, ²Agung Pranoto, ¹Ardian Avrilena Mp., ¹Sumarno, ¹Samirah, ¹Wenny Putri Nilamsari

¹Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy Airlangga University Surabaya Indonesia, ²Internal Medicine Department, Dr.Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya Indonesia

Research Article

Please cite this paper as: ¹Budi Suprapti, ²Agung Pranoto, ¹Ardian Avrilena Mp., ¹Sumarno, ¹Samirah, ¹Wenny Putri Nilamsari. The Effect of Regular Human Insulin and Glulisine Insulin on Blood Glucose Concentration in Diabetic Nephropathy Patients with Hyperglycemia. IJPTP, 2013, 4(1), 492-498.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Budi Suprapti, Apt., MSI

Clinical Pharmacy Department

Faculty of Pharmacy Airlangga University

Campus B Jl. Darmawangsa Dalam, Surabaya, Indonesia

Office phone: +62-031-5033710

Fax number: +62-031-5020514

Mobile: +62-08155086694

Email: budiprapti@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

Objectives: This study was done to compare the effect of RHI 15 minutes before meal and glulisine insulin on blood glucose (BG) achievement and frequency of hypoglycemia in hospitalized Diabetic Nephropathy (DN) patients with hyperglycemia.

Method: Subjects were hospitalized DN patient with inclusion criteria: man/woman with DN stage 3-5 with or without hemodialysis, 18-65 year old, BMI 18-35 kg/m², glucose concentration within 200-400 mg/dl, provide written informed consent. Subject randomly divided into RHI and glulisine group. RHI was given 15 minutes before meal and glulisine insulin given 2 minutes before meal. Every subject was examined for preprandial BG (morning, afternoon, and night), 2 hour postprandial BG (morning, afternoon, and night) and bedtime BG concentration for three sequential observation, 24 hours each. Analysis BG data was done to see BG target achievement based on American Diabetes Association (ADA) target.

Result: From the total samples 30 patients (RHI: n = 15; insulin glulisine: n = 15) the results showed that on 1st observation, preprandial, 2 hour post prandial, and bedtime BG had not reached ADA target. However, on the 2nd and 3rd observation, 2hpp blood glucose of both group had reached ADA target, but preprandial and bedtime still had not reached ADA target, the achievement less than 50% patients. The target achievement of preprandial, 2hPP and bedtime BG between RHI and glulisine insulin group was not significantly different. During study, there was no incidence or risk of hypoglycemia in both groups.

Conclusion: There was not significantly different in preprandial, 2hPP and bedtime glucose achievement between group RHI 15 minutes before meal and group glulisine insulin. There was no incidence or risk of hypoglycemia in both groups.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetic Nephropathy, Regular Human Insulin, Glulisine Insuline, Blood Glucose, Hypoglycemia

Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a kidney disorder such as the condition of kidney failure caused by diabetes mellitus (DM). DN is defined as a clinical syndrome characterized by persistent albuminuria (> 300 mg/24 hours or > 200 mcg / min) at least in two measurements within 3-6 months ^{1, 2}. DN clinical criteria are defined if there are persistent albuminuria, duration of diabetes more than 10 years, suffering from DN without any disease in the kidney and renal tract. DN is associated with increase of blood pressure and decrease of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) ³.

Glycemic control is the most important management to prevent and treat DN. Glycemic control also reduces the risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in other organs. Poor glycemic control will accelerate loss of renal function in DN ⁴.

Decrease of renal excretion causing DN patients are contraindicated a lot of oral antidiabetic (OAD) or they require dose adjustment ⁵. It also causes decrease of insulinase which will extend the half-life of insulin, thereby increase insulin concentration in the systemic circulation and then causes the DN patients at greater risk of hypoglycemia. Therefore, dose titration using insulin is easier than using OAD, particularly insulin bolus given at mealtime (prandial insulin) ⁶. Among all types of insulin, regular human insulin (RHI) and insulin glulisine are most often used.



Most of RHI is in hexamer complexes (6 insulin molecules) and in smaller proportion as monomers and dimers. When injected subcutaneously, RHI needs a lot of time to dissociate into dimers and monomers before it is absorbed into the systemic circulation, therefore RHI has onset of action around 30 to 60 minutes. RHI reach peak concentration at 2.5 to 5 hours after administration and has duration of action 6-8 hours. All of those pharmacokinetic profiles make RHI need at least 30-60 minutes to work. In addition, RHI may cause post-prandial hyperglycemia and then followed by hypoglycemia if there is no meal at 3-4 hours after subcutaneous injection^{7,8,9,10}.

At Dr. Soetomo Hospital RHI has been given to patients 15-30 minutes before meal. Giving RHI 30 minutes before eating will prevent increase of postprandial glucose concentration. While, if it is injected 15 minutes before meal, it will lead to postprandial hyperglycemia since onset of action has not been achieved. and will cause hypoglycemia at 4-8 hours after injection of RHI^{6,7,11}.

Insulin glulisine is an endogenous insulin analogue that is modified by replacing the asparagine into lysine at position B3 and lysine to glutamic acid at position B29 which increases the solubility of insulin glulisine at physiological pH^{10,12}. Compared with RHI, glulisine insulin absorption after subcutaneous injection is faster (10-15 minutes) than that of RHI. Moreover, peak effect of glulisine insulin is reached more quickly (<1 hour) and duration of action is shorter (4-6 hours) than those of RHI^{10,13}. Pharmacokinetic profile of glulisine insulin is more similar to physiological insulin than those of RHI. In addition, the onset of action of insulin glulisine which is faster than that of RHI will make patients more convenient since they shouldn't wait for 60 minutes before taking meal^{10,14,15}. The duration of action of glulisine insulin is about 4 hours and it is not dose-dependent, while RHI has longer duration of action when given in larger doses. Thereby, glulisine insulin is more beneficial than RHI as glulisine insulin has a lower risk of hypoglycemia. Several studies showed that glulisine insulin was as effective as RHI, even there was one study that showed glulisine insulin was more effective than that of RHI in decreasing HbA1c¹⁰.

Based on that background, we will conduct an observational cross-sectional study comparing the effect of RHI given 15 minutes and glulisine insulin given 2 minutes before meal on blood glucose concentration and frequency of hypoglycemia in hospitalized DN patients with hyperglycemia.

Material and Method

This study was randomized control trial to compare BG concentration and target achievement of RHI and glulisine insulin in hospitalized ND patients with hyperglycemia at Internal Medicine Department, Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya Indonesia and the research design was approved by Ethic Committee. Inclusion criteria were man/woman with DN stage 3-5 with or without hemodialysis, 18-65 years old, BMI 18-35 kg/m², glucose concentration within 200-400 mg/dl, provide written informed consent. Patients who have other

comorbid (for instance: stroke, chronic heart failure, acute myocardial infarction (AMI)) hiperosmolar, ketoacidosis diabetic, sepsis, pregnant) are excluded. Dropped out criteria were patients who die or decide to finish therapy before 3 sequential observation, suffer from severe hypoglycemia causing withdrawal of insulin, suffer from hypersensitive, and decide to resign from study.

Subject randomly divided into RHI and glulisine group. RHI was given 15 minutes before meal and glulisine insulin given 2 minutes before meal. Insulin dose given was adjusted in accordance with CBG level. Patients who have CBG level in the range 200-300 mg / dL received maintenance dose 3x4 UI daily, while patients in the range of 300-400 mg / dL received 3x6 UI daily. In the time course of observation, insulin dose will be adjusted, dose escalation performed if the patient does not respond to previous insulin dose, whereas dose reduction was done if patients blood glucose had achieved the target and to avoid the risk of hypoglycemia. Every subject was examined for preprandial BG (morning, afternoon, and night), 2 hour postprandial BG (morning, afternoon, and night) and bedtime BG concentration for three sequential observation, 24 hours each. Blood glucose was assayed by glucostick.

Analysis was done to compare (1) target glucose achievement recommended by ADA including preprandial, 2 hour postprandial and bedtime between group receiving glulisine insulin 2 minutes before meal and group receiving RHI 15 minutes before meal, (2) the incidence of hypoglycemia and hypoglycemia risk between groups.

Results

There were 32 patients meeting the inclusion criteria obtained (RHI: n = 16; insulin glulisine: n = 16) but two patients drop out (one patient in RHI group because of hematemesis that caused hypoglycemia; one patients in insulin glulisine group because of the forced discharge/suboptimal discharge). Therefore, total samples were 30 patients (RHI: n = 15; insulin glulisine: n = 15). Patient demographic data of both groups are relatively similar either in age, BMI and the degree of ND (Table 1).

At admission, casual blood glucose (CBG) level (Table 2) was examined. Besides being used to determine the maintenance dose of insulin, the initial casual plasma glucose (CBG) levels were also analyzed to see the homogeneity of the distribution of the initial CBG levels between groups to avoid bias. Test of independent sample t-test showed no



significant difference in the distribution of initial CBG level between groups ($p = 0.590$).

Table 1 Patient demographic data of RHI group and glulisine insulin group

Demographic data	RHI Group n = 15 Number of Patients (%)	Glulisine insulin group n = 15 Number of Patients (%)
Sex		
• Male	5 (33.33)	6 (40,00)
• Female	10 (66.67)	9 (60,00)
Age		
• 30–39 year	0	1 (6.66)
• 40–49 year	6 (40,00)	4 (26.67)
• 50–59 year	6 (40,00)	6 (40,00)
• 60–65 year	1 (20,00)	4 (26.67)
BMI (kg/m ²)		
• 18–20	0	1 (6.67)
• 20–25	14 (93.33)	11 (73.33)
• 25–30	1 (6.67)	3 (20,00)
Staging		
• DN III	3 (20,00)	4 (26.67)
• DN IV	5 (33.33)	5 (33.33)
• DN V	7(46.67)	5 (40,00)

Three series of BG observations were revealed in observations 1, 2, and 3. Mean Blood Glucose (BG) for preprandial, postprandial and bed time in RHI and Glulisine insulin group at observation 1, 2 and 3 with its statistic analysis listed in Table 3

Table 2 Initial casual blood glucosa (CBG) level at admission in RHI group and Glulisine insulin group

Initial CBG level	RHI Group (n = 15) Number of Patients (%)	Glulisine insulin group (n = 15) Number of Patients (%)
200–219	4 (26.67)	4 (26.67)
220–239	3 (20,00)	1 (6.67)
240–259	6 (40,00)	5 (33.33)
260–279	2 (13.33)	4 (26.67)
>280	1 (6,67)	1 (6,67)
Mean initial CBG level	244.87 ± 24.77	87 ± 34.67

Table 4 shows comparison target achievement between 2hPP BG morning, noon and night on the observation 1,2 and 3 and its statistical analysis (chi square) for inter - intra group of RHI and glulisine. Comparison target achievement of preprandial and bed time BG in RHI group and glulisine group listed in Table 5 and 6, respectively.

In this study RHI and glulisine insulin were administered by subcutaneous injection, were carried out entirely on the arm to avoid the variability of insulin absorption from the injection site. Subcutaneous injection in the arm gives the moderately absorption rate, slower than the abdomen, but faster than the hips and thighs^{10, 16}.

Mean Blood Glucose (BG) in RHI and Glulisine insulin groups at observation 1, 2 and 3

Table 3: Mean Blood Glucose (BG) in RHI and Glulisine insulin group observation 1, 2 and 3

	Blood Glucose (mg/dL)		P
	RHI Group	Glulisine Group	
OBSERVATION 1			
Morning preprandial BG	176,50 ± 28,85	165,10 ± 41,69	0,486
Morning 2hPP BG	182,08 ± 36,64	188,00 ± 63,30	0,771
Noon preprandial BG	178,56 ± 43,94	166,83 ± 41,63	0,614
Noon 2hPP BG	174,13 ± 51,73	205,71 ± 54,30	0,120
Night preprandial BG	187,27 ± 37,43	198,15 ± 56,99	0,594
Night 2hPP BG	191,13 ± 46,10	197,60 ± 59,73	0,742
Bedtime BG	181,73 ± 43,60	187,87 ± 59,64	0,750
OBSERVATION 2			
Morning preprandial BG	158,67 ± 44,34	140,73 ± 21,53	0,170
Morning 2hPP BG	147,80 ± 35,86	142,20 ± 20,34	0,603
Noon preprandial BG	162,67 ± 26,02	153,27 ± 20,20	0,279
Noon 2hPP BG	156,40 ± 33,32	153,20 ± 27,27	0,776
Night preprandial BG	160,93 ± 37,51	153,67 ± 34,33	0,584
Night 2hPP BG	131,47 ± 37,32	158,14 ± 27,34	0,045
Bedtime BG	137,79 ± 28,25	156,50 ± 27,50	0,087
OBSERVATION 3			
Morning preprandial BG	151,40 ± 30,61	154,13 ± 14,58	0,757
Morning 2hPP BG	141,53 ± 27,90	144,80 ± 18,56	0,709
Noon preprandial BG	144,67 ± 33,50	146,07 ± 20,28	0,891
Noon 2hPP BG	142,57 ± 25,22	136,87 ± 27,03	0,462
Night preprandial BG	141,33 ± 32,48	149,20 ± 20,32	0,433
Night 2hPP BG	141,54 ± 32,09	136,93 ± 21,31	0,654
Bedtime BG	147,54 ± 25,79	141,33 ± 19,89	0,479

Discussion



Insulin used in patients with DN are expected to control the 2hPPG, preprandial glucose, and bedtime glucose without causing hypoglycemia. Based on ADA, desired target levels of 2hPPG range from 70-179 mg/dL and target of preprandial and bedtime glucose level range from 70-130 mg/dL¹⁷.

Table 4 Comparison achievement 2hPP glucose level in RHI group and glulisine insulin groups

Observation	Time	% target 2hPP glucose ^(*)		p value		
		RHI (%)	Glulisine (%)	Intergr oup	Intra group	
1	Morning	46,15	50,00	0,842	0,589	0,734
	Noon	46,67	35,71	0,461		
	Night	33,33	40,00	0,705		
	Total	42,05	41,90	0,600		
2	Morning	86,67	100,00	0,143	0,355	0,581
	Noon	66,67	93,33	0,068		
	Night	80,00	92,86	0,512		
	Total	77,78	95,40	0,048		
3	Morning	93,33	100,00	0,309	0,411	0,360
	Noon	100,00	100,00			
	Night	100,00	93,33	0,343		
	Total	97,78	97,78	0,947		
Total 2hPP glucose^(**)		72,54	78,36	0,367		

(*) % target achievement 2hPP glucose obtained from the number of patients who achieved the target divided by the number of patients each observation

(**) % target achievement 2hPP total obtained from total patients who achieved the target divided by total observation (1,2,3)

Table 5 Comparison achievement preprandial glucose in RHI group and glulisine insulin group

Observation	time	%target preprandial glucose ^(*)		P value		
		RHI (%)	Glulisine (%)	Intergrou p	Intra group	
					RHI	Glulisi ne
1	mornin g	0	20,00	0,136	0,299	0,115
	noon	11,11	33,33	0,292		
	night	0	0			
	Total	3,33	13,79	0,149		
2	mornin g	33,33	26,67	0,690	0,189	0,659
	noon	6,67	13,33	0,543		
	night	20,00	20,00	1,000		
	Total	20,00	20,00	1,000		
3	mornin g	26,67	0	0,032	0,724	0,146
	noon	26,67	20,00	0,666		
	night	26,67	6,67	0,177		
	Total	26,67	8,89	0,047		
Total preprandial glucose^(**)		18,33	14,29	0,414		

(*) % target achievement preprandial glucose obtained from the number of patients who achieved the target divided by the number of patients each observation

(**) % target achievement 2hPP total obtained from total patients who achieved the target divided by total observation

Observation 1 results (Table 3) shows that only noon 2hpp mean BG levels of the RHI group reached the ADA target. There were no significant differences in morning, noon and night of preprandial, 2hpp and bedtime glucose level between insulin glulisine and RHI groups ($p > 0.05$). While observation 2 shows morning, noon, and night 2hpp mean BG levels both groups had reached the target. In contrast to 2hpp levels, mean of preprandial BG for all time and bedtime BG levels both groups did not reach the target. At observation 3 morning, noon and night 2hpp mean BG levels both groups reached the ADA target, but the mean levels of all preprandial BG levels both groups did not reach the target. There was no significantly difference of all glucose types (morning, noon and night 2hpp, preprandial, and bedtime BG) between RHI and insulin glulisine group ($p > 0.05$).

Table 6 Comparison bedtime level in RHI group and sine insulin group

Observation	Target bedtime glucose ^(*)		chi square
	RHI (%)	Glulisine (%)	
1	13,33	20,0	0,624
2	35,70	14,29	0,424
3	30,77	26,67	0,811
Total^(**)	26,29	20,45	0,529

(*) % target achievement bedtime glucose obtained from the number of patients who achieved the target divided by the number of patients each observation

(**) % target achievement bedtime total obtained from total patients who achieved the target divided by total observation

Table 4 shows comparison target achievement between 2hPP morning, noon and night on the observation 1,2 and 3 and its statistical analysis (chi square). There was an increase in the percentage of patients, observation 1 -3, who achieved the target. The percentage of total patients who achieved 2hpp target at observations 1, 2, and 3 for the RHI group.was 42.05%, 77.78% and 97.78% respectively, while, the insulin glulisine group were 41.90%, 95.40% and 97.78% respectively. The percentage of patients who achieved 2hpp target at observation 1 was not significantly different in both groups ($p = 0.600$). At observation 2, the percentage of patients who achieved 2hpp target in the insulin glulisine group was higher than RHI group which were 95.40% vs. 77.78% ($p = 0.048$) and had similar achievement (97.78%) at observations 3 ($p = 0.947$).

Several studies showed that glulisine insulin is as effective as RHI, even there is one study that showed glulisine insulin is more effective in



decreasing HbA1c levels^{9, 10, 15}. Comparative clinical study of efficacy and safety of insulin glulisine and RHI (both combined with NPH insulin) conducted in patients with type 2 diabetes for 26 weeks showed there was a higher decrease in HbA1c levels in the glulisine insulin group than RHI group. That study also shows the results of self-monitoring blood glucose (SMBG) at 7 points which was lower in the glulisine insulin group than RHI group¹¹. Results obtained from this study also showed that the 2hpp achievement in glulisine insulin group was better than RHI group, especially at observation 2, although not significantly different ($p > 0.05$).

RHI is in hexamer complex, thus when injected subcutaneously, RHI takes time for dissociating into dimers and monomers before it is absorbed into the systemic circulation, whereas insulin glulisine has been in monomer form. This slow dissociation of RHI causes slower absorption compared to insulin glulisine (30 min vs. 15 min) and when administered subcutaneously, RHI achieves peak time slower than insulin glulisine (2.5 - 5 hours vs. <1 hour). Thus, at 2 hour post meal, RHI has not reached the peak of action, resulting in postprandial hyperglycemia. That factor causes insulin glulisine is able to control postprandial glucose levels better than RHI^{8, 9, 10}.

Based on ADA, target of preprandial glucose level range from 70-130 mg / dL. The results (Table 5) shows at observation 1, insulin glulisine group reached the percentage of preprandial target higher than RHI group which were 17.78% vs. 3.70% ($p = 0.249$) respectively. But at observations 2 both group had similar achievement and at observation 3, group of insulin glulisine reached the percentage of reprandial target lower than RHI group which were 8.89% vs. 26.67 ($p = 0.047$). Overall, the percentage of preprandial glucose target was still low (less than 50%) and there was no significant difference between groups RHI and insulin glulisine group at observations 1, 2, and 3 ($p = 0.414$).

Bedtime glucose target in this study is the same as ADA recommendations, which range from 70-130 mg/dL. Table 5 showed at observation 1 group glulisine insulin reached the percentage of bedtime target higher than RHI group which were 20% vs. 13.33% ($p = 0.624$), respectively. However, at observations 2 and 3, group insulin glulisine reached the percentage of preprandial target lower than RHI group which were 14.29% vs. 35.7% (at observation 2) ($p = 0.424$) and 26.67% vs. 30.77% (at the observations 3) ($p = 0.811$). Overall there was no significant difference between RHI group and insulin glulisine group at observations 1, 2, and 3 ($p = 0.529$).

The duration of action of glulisine insulin is shorter than that of RHI (4-5 hours vs. 6-8 hours), no dose-dependent^{8, 9, 10}. This factor explain why insulin glulisine group achieved preprandial and bedtime glucose target lower than RHI did, although not significantly different ($p > 0.05$).

In this study frequency of hypoglycemia and the risk of hypoglycemia were observed. Patients at risk of hypoglycemia if preprandial glucose, 2hpp, and bedtime glucose between 60-

69 mg/dL. RHI is stated to have a greater risk of hypoglycemia because RHI has a peak time (2-5 hours) and a longer duration (6-8 hours) than glulisine insulin especially if patients do not take meal 3-4 hours after subcutaneous injection^{8,9,10}.

The results showed, during study, there was no incidence of hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia risk in both groups. This research was conducted without the use of basal insulin. As discussed previously, there were poor achievements in the preprandial and bedtime BG levels in RHI group which were 18,33% and 26,29% respectively and in glulisine insulin group 14,29% and 20,45% respectively. The administration of bolus insulin (RHI or glulisine) was able to achieved 2hpp target according to ADA, but not for preprandial and bedtime glucose level. Therefore, it is recommended to add basal insulin in the management of therapy.

Conclusion

There was not significantly different in preprandial, 2hPP and bedtime glucose achievement between RHI group and glulisine insulin group, but with poor target achievement of preprandial and bedtime in both groups (less than 50%). There was no incidence of hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia risk in both groups.

References

1. Powers, A.C. Diabetes Mellitus. *In*: Kasper, D. L., Braunwald, E., Fauci, A. S., Hauser, S. L., Longo, D. L., and Jameson, J. L. (Eds.). **Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine**, 17th Edition, New York : The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. , 2008: 2275-2304.
2. Triplitt, C.L., Reasner C.A., Isley W.L. Diabetes Mellitus. *In*: Dipro, J.T., Talbert, R.L., Yee, G.C., Matzke, G.R., Wells, B.G., Posey, L.M. (Eds.). **Pharmacotherapy a Pathophysiologic Approach**, 7th Edition. New York : The McGraw-Hill Co., Inc. , 2008: 1205-1241.
3. Vora, J.P., Chattington, P.D., Ibrahim, H. Clinical Manifestation and Natural History of Diabetic Nephropathy. *In*: Johnson, R.J., Feehally, J. (Eds.). **Comp Clin Nephrol**. 1999: 34.1-34.12.
4. Brownlee, M., Alello, L.P., Cooper, M.E., Vinik, A.I., Nesto, R.W., Boulton, A.J.M.. Complication of Diabetes Mellitus. *In*: Kronenberg, H.M., Melmed, S., Polonsky, K.S., Larsen, P.R. (Eds.). **Kronenberg: Williams Textbook of Endocrinology**, 11th Edition. Philadelphia : Elsevier, Inc. , 2008: 1417-1431, 1443-1449.
5. Simonson, D.C. Insulin Resistance and Diabetes in Chronic Renal Disease. *In*: Singh, A.J., Williams, G.H. (Eds.). **Textbook of Nephro-Endocrinology**. Philadelphia : Elsevier, Inc. 2009: 385 - 409.



6. Duckworth, W.C., Bennet, R.G., Hamel, F.G. Insulin Degradation: Progress and Potential. **Endocrine Rev.** ,1998; 19(5): 608–624.
7. Wittlin, S.D., Woehrle, H.J., Gericah, J.E. Insulin Pharmacokinetics, in Leahy, J.L., Cefolu, W.T. **Insulin Therapy**. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York; 1998: 73-85.
8. Garg, S.K., Ellis, S.L., Ulrich, H. Insulin Glulisine : a new rapid-acting insulin analogue for the treatment of diabetes. **Expert Opin Pharmacother**; 2005; 6 (4) : 643–651.
9. Becker, R.H.A., Frick, A.D.V. Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Insulin Glulisine. **Clin Pharmacokinet**; 2005; 47 (1): 7–20.
10. Garnock-Jones, K.P., Plosker, G.L. Insulin Glulisine a Review of its Use in the Management of Diabetes Mellitus. **Drugs**; 2009; 69 (8) : 1035–1057.
11. Dailey, G., Rosenstock, J., Moses, R.G., Ways, K. Insulin Glulisine Provides Improved Glycemic Control in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. **Diab Care** ; 2004; 27 : 2363–2368.
12. Rolla, A. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamic Advantages of Insulin Analogues and Premixed Insulin Analogues Over Human Insulins: Impact on Efficacy and Safety. **The Am J Med**; 2008; 121: S9-S19.
13. Becker, R.H.A., Frick, A.D., Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Insulin Glulisin. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2008; 47(1):7-20
14. Pranoto, A.X. Better Glucose Profile in Glucose Control of T2DM : the role of basal insulin glargine. *In: Adi, S., Tjokropawiro, A., Sutjahjo, A., Nasonudin, Soeroso, J., Pranoto, A., Baskoro, A., Aditiawadana (Eds.). Pendidikan Kedokteran Berkelanjutan Ilmu Penyakit Dalam XXIV–2009: 78–104.*
15. Masharani, U. Diabetes Mellitus and Hypoglycemia. *In: McPhee, S.J., Papadakis, M.A., Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment*, 49th Edition, New York ; 2010 : The The McGraw-Hill Co., Inc. p. 2782–2859.
16. Kroon, L.A., Assemi, M., Carlisle, B.A. Diabetes Mellitus. *In: Koda-Kimble, M.A., Young, L.Y., Alldredge, B.K., Corelli, R.L., Guglielmo, B.J., Kradjan, W.A., Williams, B.R. (Eds.). Applied Therapeutics : The Clinical Use of Drugs*, 9th Edition, New York : Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2009: 50p2–50p86.
17. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. **Diab Care** 2010; 2010: 33 (Suppl 1) : S11-S61

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Authors contributed equally to all aspects of the study.

PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

This research is funded by Grant of Indonesia Managing Higher Education for Relevancy and Efficiency (IMHERE) Project, Directorate of Higher Education, Indonesian Government