

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abbasi, M., Kalantari, E. & Abbasi, H., 2012. Impact of Corporate Governance Mechanism on Firm Value: Evidence from the Food Industry in Iran. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 2(5), pp. 4712-4721.
- Adams, R. B. & Ferreira, D., 2008. Women in the Boardroom and Their Impact on Governance and Performance. *Finance Working Paper*.
- Adams, R. & Ferreira, D., 2009. Women in the Boardroom and their Impact on Governance and Performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Volume 94, pp. 291-309.
- Alessandri, T. M. & Pattit, J. M., 2014. Drivers of R&D Investment: The Interaction of Behavioral Theory and Managerial Incentives. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(2), pp. 151-158.
- Al-Saidi & Al-Shammari, 2015. Ownership COncentration, Ownership Composition, and the Performance of the Kuwaiti Listed Non-Financial Firm. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 25(1), pp. 108-132.
- Andres, P. d., Azofra, V. & Lopez, F., 2005. Corporate Boards in OECD Countries : Size , Composition , Functioning and Effectiveness. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 13(2), p. 197–210.
- Apesteguia, J., Azmat, G. & Iribarri, N., 2012. The Impact of Gender Composition on Team Performance and Decision Making: Evidence from the Field. *Management Science*, 58(1), pp. 78-93.
- Archer, J. & Mehdikhani, M., 2004. Sex Differences in Aggression in Realworld Settings: A Meta-analytic Review. *Review of General Psychology*, Volume 8, pp. 291-236..
- Astuti, E. P., 2017. Pengaruh Diversitas Gender Dewan Direksi terhadap Nilai Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. 4(2).

- Barber, B. M. & Odean, T., 2001. Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock. *Quarterly Journal of Economic*, 116(1), pp. 261-292.
- Barton, L., S., Hill, N. C. & Sirinivasa, S., 1989. An Empirical Test of Stakeholder Theory Predictions of Capital Structure. *Journal of the Financial Management Association*.
- Bear, S. E., Rahman, N. & Post, C., 2010. The Impact of Board Diversity and Gender Composition on Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(2), pp. 207-221.
- Bernile, G., Bhagwat, V. & Yonker, S., 2018. Board Diversity, Firm Risk, and Corporate Policies. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 127(3), pp. 588-612.
- Bertrand, M. & Schoar, A., 2003. Managing with Style: The Effect of Managers on Firm Policies. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(4), pp. 1169-1208.
- Bhattacharya, S., 1979. Imperfect Information, Dividend Policy, and "the Bird in the Hand" Fallacy. *The Bell Journal of Economics*, 10(1), pp. 259-270.
- Bilimoria, D. & Piderit, S. K., 1994. Board Committee Membership: Effect of Sex-Based Bias. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 37(6), pp. 1453-1477.
- Boubaker, S., Dang, R. & Nguyen, D. K., 2014. Does Board Gender Diversity Improve the Performance of French listed FIRMS?. 31(1), pp. 259-259.
- Byrnes, J. P., Miller, D. C. & Schafer, W. D., 1999. Gender Differences in Risk Taking: A Meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, Volume 125, pp. 367-383.
- Campbell, K. & Mínguez-Vera, A., 2008. Gender Diversity in the Boardroom and Firm Financial Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(3), p. 435-451.

- Carlson, R., 1972. Understanding Women: Implications for Personality Theory and Research. *Journal of Social Issues*, 28(2), pp. 17-32.
- Carter, D. A., D'Souza, F., Simkins, B. J. & Simpson, W. G., 2010. The Gender and Ethnic Diversity of US Boards and Board Committees and Firm Financial Performance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 18(5), p. 396–414..
- Carter, D. A., Simkins, B. & Simpson, W. G., 2003. Corporate Governance, Board Diversity, and Firm Value. *The Financial Review*, Volume 38, pp. 33-53.
- Chakravarthy, B. S., 1986. Measuring Strategic Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 7(5).
- Charness, G. & Gneezy, U., 2012. Strong Evidence for Gender Differences in Risk Taking. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 83(1), pp. 50-58.
- Cho, H.-J. & Pucik, V., 2005. Relationship between Innovativeness, Quality, Growth, Profitability, and Market Value. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(6).
- Costa, P., Terracciano, A. & McCrae, R. R., 2001. Gender Differences in Personality Traits Across Cultures: Robust and Surprising Finding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(2), p. 322.
- Credit Suisse Research Institute, 2012. *Gender Diversity and Corporate Performance*, s.l.: s.n.
- Croson, R. & Gneezy, U., 2009. Gender Differences in Preferences. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42(2), pp. 448-78.
- Dielman, M. & Aishwarya, M., 2012. Indonesia Boardroom Diversity Report.
- Eagly, A. H., Johannesen-Schmidt, M. C. & Engen, M. L. v., 2003. Transformational, Transactional, and Laissez-Faire Leadership Styles: A

Meta-Analysis Comparing Women and Men. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(4), pp. 569-591.

Eagly, A. H. & Steffen, V. J., 1986. Gender and Aggressive Behavior: A Meta-analytic Review of The Social Psychological Literature. *Psychological Bulletin*, Volume 100, p. 303–330.

Ember, R. C. & Ember, M., 2003. *Encyclopedia of Sex and Gender: Men and Women in the World's Cultures*, New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Faria, J. R. & Mollick, A. V., 2010. Tobin's Q and U.S. Inflation. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 62(5), pp. 401-418.

Fernandez, E., Gomez, S. & Cuervo, A., 2004. The Stock Market Reaction on the Introduction of Best Practice Codes by Spanish Firm. *Corporate GOvernance: An International Review*, 12(1), pp. 29-46.

Ghozali, I., 2013. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS19*. 5 ed. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

Ginkel, W. P. V. & Knippenberg, D. V., 2008. Group Information Elaboration and Group Decision Making: The Role of Shared Task Representations. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Volume 105, pp. 85-97.

Gorman, E. & Kmec, J. A., 2003. We (Have to) Tra Harder: Gender and Required Work Effort in Britain and the United States. *Gender and Society*, 21(6), pp. 828-856.

Groysberg, B. & Bell, D., 2013. Dysfunction in the Boardroom. *Harvard Business*, Volume 91, pp. 89-97.

Gultom, R., Agustina & Wijaya, S. W., 2013. Analisis Faktor - Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Nilai Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Farmasi di Bursa Efek Indoensia. 3(1).

- Halko, M.-L., Kaustia, M. & Alanko, E., 2012. The Gender Effect in Risky Asset Holding. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 83(1), pp. 66-81.
- Hambrick, D. C. & Mason, P. A., 1984. Upper Echelons: The Organization as a Reflection of its Top Managers. *The Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), pp. 193-206.
- Haslam, S. A. et al., 2010. Investing with Prejudice: The Relationship between Women's Presence on Company Boards and Objective and Subjective Measures of Company Performance. *British Journal of Management*, Volume 21, pp. 484-497.
- Helmich, D. L. & Brown, W. B., 1972. Successor Type and Organizational Change in the Corporate Enterprise. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17(3), pp. 371-381.
- Hillman, A. J., A. A. Cannella Jr & Harris, I. C., 2002. Women and Racial Minorities in the Boardroom: How do Directors differ?. *Journal of Management*, Volume 28, pp. 383-396.
- Hoffman, L. R. & Maier, N. R. F., 1961. Quality and Acceptance of Problem Solutions by Members of Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Groups. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 62(2), pp. 401-407.
- Holst, E. & Schimeta, J., 2011. Twenty-Nine Women to 906 Men: Continuing Gender Inequality on the Boards of Germany's Top Companies. 7(4), pp. 19-28.
- Joecks, J., Pull, K. & Vetter, K., 2013. Gender Diversity in the Boardroom and Firm Performance: What Exactly Constitutes a “Critical Mass?”. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 118(1), p. 61–72.
- Johnson, J. E. V. & Powell, P. L., 1994. Decision Making, Risk and Gender: Are Managers Different?. *British Journal of Management*, 5(2).

- Juniarti, 2009. Penggunaan Economic Value Added (EVA) dan Tobin's Q sebagai Alat Ukur Kinerja Finansial Perusahaan.
- Keown, A. J., Jr., D. F. S., Martin, J. D. & Petty, J. W., 2005. *Financial Management*. 1 ed. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Komite Nasional Kebijakan Governance, 2006. Pedoman Good Corporate Governance.
- Kremer, M., Lee, J., Robinson, J. & Rostapshova, O., 2013. Behavioral Biases and Firm Behavior: Evidence from Kenyan Retail Shops. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 103(3), pp. 362-368.
- Krishnan, G. V. & Parsons, L. M., 2008. Getting to the Bottom Line: An Exploration of Gender and Earnings Quality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 78(1-2), pp. 65-76.
- Latu, I. M., Stewart, T., Meyers, A. C. & Lisco, C. G., 2011. What We "Say" and what We "Think" About Female Managers Explicit Versus Implicit Associations of Women with Success. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 32(2).
- Loyd, D. L., Wang, C. S., Phillips, K. W. & Jr., R. B. L., 2013. Social Category Diversity Promotes Premeeting Elaboration: The Role of Relationship Focus. *Organization Sciende*, Volume 24\, pp. 757-772.
- Manner, M. H., 2010. The Impact of CEO Characteristics on Corporate Social Performance. *Journal of Business Ethic*, Volume 93, pp. 53-72.
- Mardiyati, U., 2012. "Pengaruh Frekuensi Rapat Dewan Direktur dan Jumlah Direktur Wanita terhadap Kinerja Perbankan". *Ekuitas: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan*, 20(2), p. 172–187.
- McKee, J. P. & Sheriffs, A. C., 1957. Qualitative Aspects of Beliefs about Men and Women. *Journal of Personality*, Volume 25, pp. 451-464.

- Miller, T. & Triana, M. D. C., 2009. Demographic diversity in the boardroom: Mediators of the board-diversity - firm performance relationship. *Journal of Management Studies*, Volume 46, pp. 755-786.
- Moffit, T. E., Caspi, A., Rutter, M. & Silva, P. A., 2001. *Sex Differences in Antisocial Behaviour*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press..
- Niederle, M. & Vesterlund, L., 2007. Do Women Shy Away from Competition? Do men Compete too Much?. *The Quarterly Journal of Economic*, 122(3), pp. 1067-1101.
- Nishii, L., Gotte, A. & Raver, J., 2007. Upper Echelon Theory revisited: The Relationship between Upper Echelon Diversity, the Adoption of Diversity Practices, and Organizational Performance.
- Patillo, C. & Soderbom, M., 2000. Managerial Risk Attitudes and Firm Performance in Ghanaian Manufacturing: an Empirical Analysis based on Experimental Data.
- Peni, E. & Vahamaa, S., 2010. Female Executive and Earning Management. *Managerial Finance*, 36(7), pp. 629-645..
- Perryman, A. A., Fernando, G. D. & Tripathy, A., 2016. Do Gender Differences Persist? An Examination of Gender Diversity on Firm Performance, Risk, and Executive Compensation. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), pp. 579-586.
- Peterson, C. A. & Philpot, J., 2007. Women's roles on U.S. Fortune 500 boards: Director expertise and committee memberships. *Journal of Business Ethics*, Volume 72, pp. 177-196.
- Radu, C., Deaconu, A. & Frasineanu, C., 2017. Leadership and Gender Differences: Are Men and Women Leading in the Same Way.

- Reguera-Alvarado, N., Fuentes, P. d. & Laffarga, J., 2017. Does Board Gender Diversity Influence Financial Performance ? Evidence From Spain. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(2), p. 337–350.
- Rose, C., 2007. Does Female Board Representation Influence Firm Performance ? The Danish Evidence. *Corporate Governance*, 15(2).
- Rosener, J. B., 1995. America's Competitive Secret Utilizing Women as Management Strategy.
- Santos, J. B. & Brito, L. A. L., 2012. Toward a Subjective Measurement Model for Firm Performance. *Brazilian Administrative Review*, Volume 9, pp. 95-117.
- Shrader, C., Blackburn, V. & Iles, P., 1997. Women in Management and Firm Financial Performance: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, Volume 9, pp. 355-372.
- Singh, V., Terjesen, S. & Vinnicombe, S., 2008. Newly Appointed Directors in the Boardroom: How do Women and Men differ?. *European Management Journal*, Volume 26, pp. 48-58.
- Smithers, A. & Wright, S., 2007. Valuing Wall Street.
- Sparrow, J. & Rigg, C., 1994. Gender, Diversity and Working Styles, Women and Management. 9(1), pp. 9-16.
- Srimindarti, 2004. Balanced Scorecard sebagai Alternatif untuk Mengukur Kinerja. *Fokus Ekonomi*, 3(1).
- Sugiyono, 2016. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: PT Alfabet.
- Sujoko & Soebiantoro, U., 2007. Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Saham, Leverage, Faktor Interndan Faktor Ekstern terhadap Nilai Perusahaan: Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur dan Non-Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Jakarta. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*, 9(1), pp. 41-48.

- Syarifuddin, M., 2006. Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Perusahaan pada Kinerja: Faktor Ketidakpastian Lingkungan sebagai Pemoderasi. 10(1), pp. 85-99.
- Tanaka, M. & Sawada, Y., 3025. Risk Preference of Managers and Firm Investments in Lao PDR. pp. 265-283.
- Torchia, M., Calabro, A. & Huse, M., 2011. Women directors on corporate boards : from tokenism to critical mass. *Journal of Business Ethics*, p. 102(2):299–317.
- Venkatraman, N. & Ramanujam, V., 1986. Measurement of Business Performance in Strategy Research: A Comparison of Approaches. *The Academy of Management Review*, 11(4).
- Weisberg, Y. J., DeYoung, G., C. & Hirsh, J. B., 2011. Gender Differences in Personality Across the Ten Aspect of the Big Five. *Journal Frontiers in Psychology*.
- Whetten, D. A., 1987. Organizational Growth and Decline Processes. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 13(1), pp. 335-358.
- Widarjono, A., 2005. Ekonometrika: Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Ekonomi dan Bisnis.
- Wijaya, P. & Suprasto, B., 2015. "Pengaruh Persebaran Dewan Two Tier (Dewan Gabungan) pada Nilai Perusahaan Sektor Keuangan". *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 12(3), p. 722–734.
- Williams, J. E. & Best, D. L., 1990. *Sex and Psyche: Gender and Selfviewer Cross-Culturally*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Yang, P. et al., 2019. Women Directors, Firm Performance, and Firm Risk: A Casual Perspective. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(5), pp. 191-297.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 Persebaran Gender Komisaris

```
. tab dumfc
```

dumfc	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	987	62.35	62.35
1	596	37.65	100.00
Total	1,583	100.00	

Lampiran 2 Persebaran Gender Direksi

```
. tab dumfd
```

dumfd	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	910	57.49	57.49
1	673	42.51	100.00
Total	1,583	100.00	

Lampiran 3 Persebaran Gender Komisaris Lintas Industri

```
. tab sic2 dumfc
```

12 kode industry Fama	dumfc		
	0	1	Total
1	255	115	370
2	147	74	221
3	108	73	181
4	82	30	112
5	5	4	9
7	5	5	10
9	178	156	334
12	207	139	346
Total	987	596	1,583

Lampiran 4 Persebaran Gender Direksi Lintas Industri

```
. tab sic2 dumfd
```

12 kode industry Fama	dumfd		Total
	0	1	
1	227	143	370
2	125	96	221
3	116	65	181
4	73	39	112
5	8	1	9
7	4	6	10
9	162	172	334
12	195	151	346
Total	910	673	1,583

Lampiran 5 Statistik Deskriptif

```
. sum tobinsq lev bodpro bocpro educ edud agec aged expc expd size growth insown conown indboc
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
tobinsq	1,583	.4658034	.2125758	.0005288	.9980222
lev	1,583	1.701365	9.595206	.0002421	370.5741
bodpro	1,583	.1274571	.1769682	0	1
bocpro	1,583	.1209307	.1825133	0	1
educ	1,583	.2552116	.4868008	0	3
edud	1,583	.3550221	.5779763	0	3
agec	1,583	19.45694	26.7815	0	90
aged	1,583	19.47088	24.21505	0	71
expc	1,583	7.5269	11.88655	0	64
expd	1,583	8.776027	11.51098	0	43
size	1,583	28.71364	1.652716	22.75774	33.47373
growth	1,583	864237.4	1.19e+07	-.9944034	4.58e+08
insown	1,583	.6210288	.2608641	0	1
conown	1,583	.8199621	.3843404	0	1
indboc	1,583	1.58307	.8506807	0	6

Lampiran 6 Hasil Uji Beda Variabel *Dummy Komisaris* dengan *Leverage*

```
. ttest lev, by (dumfc)

Two-sample t test with equal variances



| Group    | Obs   | Mean     | Std. Err. | Std. Dev. | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 0        | 987   | 1.858834 | .3839629  | 12.06279  | 1.105355 2.612312    |
| 1        | 596   | 1.440591 | .0771871  | 1.884378  | 1.288999 1.592184    |
| combined | 1,583 | 1.701365 | .2411648  | 9.595206  | 1.228329 2.174401    |
| diff     |       | .4182422 | .4977983  |           | -.5581721 1.394657   |



diff = mean(0) - mean(1) t = 0.8402  

Ho: diff = 0 degrees of freedom = 1581



Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.7995 Ha: diff != 0 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.4009 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T > t) = 0.2005


```

Lampiran 7 Hasil Uji Beda Variabel *Dummy Komisaris* dengan *Tobin's Q*

```
. ttest tobinsq, by (dumfc)

Two-sample t test with equal variances



| Group    | Obs   | Mean      | Std. Err. | Std. Dev. | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 0        | 987   | .4587085  | .0067796  | .2129912  | .4454044 .4720126    |
| 1        | 596   | .4775528  | .0086651  | .2115412  | .460535 .4945706     |
| combined | 1,583 | .4658034  | .0053429  | .2125758  | .4553236 .4762832    |
| diff     |       | -.0188443 | .0110207  |           | -.040461 .0027724    |



diff = mean(0) - mean(1) t = -1.7099  

Ho: diff = 0 degrees of freedom = 1581



Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.0437 Ha: diff != 0 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0875 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T > t) = 0.9563


```

Lampiran 8 Hasil Uji Beda Variabel *Dummy* Direksi dengan *Leverage*

```
. ttest lev, by (dumfd)

Two-sample t test with equal variances



| Group    | Obs   | Mean     | Std. Err. | Std. Dev. | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 0        | 910   | 2.041272 | .4160002  | 12.54915  | 1.22484 2.857705     |
| 1        | 673   | 1.241758 | .070595   | 1.831392  | 1.103145 1.380372    |
| combined | 1,583 | 1.701365 | .2411648  | 9.595206  | 1.228329 2.174401    |
| diff     |       | .7995137 | .4875676  |           | -.1568334 1.755861   |



diff = mean(0) - mean(1) t = 1.6398  

Ho: diff = 0 degrees of freedom = 1581



Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.9494 Ha: diff != 0 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.1012 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T > t) = 0.0506


```

Lampiran 9 Hasil Uji Beda Variabel *Dummy* Direksi dengan *Tobin's Q*

```
. ttest tobinsq, by (dumfd)

Two-sample t test with equal variances



| Group    | Obs   | Mean     | Std. Err. | Std. Dev. | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 0        | 910   | .4769644 | .0072311  | .218136   | .4627727 .4911561    |
| 1        | 673   | .450712  | .007864   | .2040085  | .4352711 .4661529    |
| combined | 1,583 | .4658034 | .0053429  | .2125758  | .4553236 .4762832    |
| diff     |       | .0262524 | .0107908  |           | .0050867 .0474181    |



diff = mean(0) - mean(1) t = 2.4329  

Ho: diff = 0 degrees of freedom = 1581



Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.9925 Ha: diff != 0 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0151 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T > t) = 0.0075


```

Lampiran 10 Hasil Uji Korelasi

```
. corr bocpro bodpro size growth insown conown indboc lev tobinsq
(obs=1,583)
```

	bocpro	bodpro	size	growth	insown	conown	indboc	lev	tobinsq
bocpro	1.0000								
bodpro	0.0765	1.0000							
size	-0.1023	-0.1527	1.0000						
growth	0.0367	-0.0265	0.0180	1.0000					
insown	0.0034	0.0123	-0.0396	0.0094	1.0000				
conown	0.0144	0.0245	-0.0114	0.0146	0.4976	1.0000			
indboc	-0.0850	-0.0407	0.2369	-0.0051	0.0603	0.0177	1.0000		
lev	-0.0215	-0.0417	-0.0283	-0.0003	0.0240	0.0114	-0.0219	1.0000	
tobinsq	-0.0040	-0.1039	0.1809	0.0150	0.0194	0.0093	-0.0143	0.2208	1.0000

Lampiran 11 Hasil Regresi Variabel Dummy Gender dengan Kinerja

. reg tobinsq dumfc dumfd size growth insown conown indboc						
Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,583
Model	2.98338538	7	.426197912	F(7, 1575)	=	9.80
Residual	68.5047542	1,575	.043495082	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	71.4881396	1,582	.045188457	R-squared	=	0.0417
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0375
				Root MSE	=	.20855
. tobit q u0 i.dumfc i.dumfd size growth insown conown indboc						
tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
dumfc	.0160388	.0108509	1.48	0.140	-.005245	.0373226
dumfd	-.0249803	.0106331	-2.35	0.019	-.0458368	-.0041238
size	.0248851	.0032765	7.60	0.000	.0184583	.0313119
growth	1.57e-10	4.42e-10	0.35	0.723	-7.11e-10	1.02e-09
insown	.0258378	.0232515	1.11	0.267	-.0197695	.071445
conown	-.0011496	.015742	-0.07	0.942	-.032027	.0297279
indboc	-.0155927	.0063654	-2.45	0.014	-.0280783	-.0031072
_cons	-.2347109	.0940003	-2.50	0.013	-.4190898	-.0503321

Lampiran 12 Hasil Regresi Proporsi Wanita dengan Kinerja

```
. reg tobinsq bocpro bodpro educ edud agec aged expc expd size growth insown conown indboc if grup==1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	996
Model	3.2521225	13	.250163269	F(13, 982)	=	6.09
Residual	40.3678332	982	.041107773	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	43.6199557	995	.043839151	R-squared	=	0.0746
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0623
				Root MSE	=	.20275

tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
bocpro	-.0591644	.0521659	-1.13	0.257	-.1615339 .043205
bodpro	-.1226828	.0543961	-2.26	0.024	-.2294287 -.0159369
educ	-.0039311	.0143693	-0.27	0.784	-.0321293 .024267
edud	-.0332577	.0135322	-2.46	0.014	-.0598131 -.0067023
agec	.0004225	.0004769	0.89	0.376	-.0005133 .0013584
aged	.0007311	.0004956	1.48	0.140	-.0002415 .0017037
expc	.0004469	.0008515	0.52	0.600	-.001224 .0021179
expd	.0001735	.0009834	0.18	0.860	-.0017564 .0021034
size	.0216972	.0044232	4.91	0.000	.0130173 .0303771
growth	1.61e-10	4.38e-10	0.37	0.714	-.699e-10 1.02e-09
insown	.0220834	.0288277	0.77	0.444	-.0344877 .0786544
conown	-.0251826	.0200361	-1.26	0.209	-.0645011 .014136
indboc	-.017006	.0079611	-2.14	0.033	-.0326287 -.0013834
_cons	-.1099327	.1285519	-0.86	0.393	-.3622007 .1423354

Lampiran 13 Hasil Regresi Moderasi terhadap Efek Diversitas Gender

```
. reg tobinsq dumfc dumfd lev modriskdumc modriskdumd size growth insown conown indboc
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,583
Model	24.8931473	10	2.48931473	F(10, 1572)	=	83.98
Residual	46.5949923	1,572	.02964058	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	71.4881396	1,582	.045188457	R-squared	=	0.3482
				Adj R-squared	=	0.3441
				Root MSE	=	.17216

tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
dumfc	-.0761291	.0105701	-7.20	0.000	-.096862 -.0553962
dumfd	-.0798548	.0100354	-7.96	0.000	-.0995389 -.0601707
lev	.0031187	.000458	6.81	0.000	.0022204 .004017
modriskdumc	.0663899	.0038362	17.31	0.000	.0588653 .0739146
modriskdumd	.0555445	.0037196	14.93	0.000	.0482486 .0628403
size	.0215277	.0027138	7.93	0.000	.0162046 .0268507
growth	1.96e-10	3.65e-10	0.54	0.592	-.5.21e-10 9.12e-10
insown	.0420187	.0192163	2.19	0.029	.0043265 .0797109
conown	.0052062	.0129991	0.40	0.689	-.0202913 .0307037
indboc	-.011834	.0052567	-2.25	0.025	-.0221449 -.001523
_cons	-.1721587	.077831	-2.21	0.027	-.3248222 -.0194951

Lampiran 14 Hasil Regresi Moderasi Terhadap Efek Proporsi Wanita

reg tobinsq bocpro bodpro lev modrisklev modrisklev2 educ edud agec aged expc expd size growth insown conown indboc if grup==1						
Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	996
Model	25.6712717	16	1.60445448	F(16, 979)	=	87.51
	17.948684	979	.018333692	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.5885
Total	43.6199557	995	.043839151	Adj R-squared	=	0.5818
				Root MSE	=	.1354
tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
bocpro	-.2201927	.0375384	-5.87	0.000	-.2938576	-.1465277
bodpro	-.2952753	.0408993	-7.22	0.000	-.3755357	-.2150149
lev	-.0007493	.004757	-0.16	0.875	-.0100844	.0085859
modrisklev	.2023726	.0150545	13.44	0.000	.1728299	.2319153
modrisklev2	.3007466	.020405	14.74	0.000	.260704	.3407892
educ	-.0078747	.0096239	-0.82	0.413	-.0267606	.0110112
edud	-.0171439	.0090839	-1.89	0.059	-.0349699	.0006822
agec	-.0001407	.0003214	-0.44	0.662	-.0007714	.0004899
aged	.0005247	.0003236	1.58	0.115	-.0001279	.0011773
expc	.0009235	.0005694	1.62	0.105	-.0001939	.0020409
expd	-.0013263	.000666	-2.01	0.045	-.0026214	-.0000312
size	.0173814	.0029648	5.86	0.000	.0115632	.0231995
growth	1.77e-10	2.93e-10	0.60	0.546	-3.98e-10	7.51e-10
insown	.0481154	.0192801	2.50	0.013	.0102803	.0859505
conown	-.0071651	.0133981	-0.53	0.593	-.0334574	.0191273
indboc	-.0086275	.0053258	-1.62	0.106	-.0190788	.0018237
_cons	-.0558479	.0865328	-0.65	0.519	-.2256589	.1139632

Lampiran 15 Hasil Analisis Regresi Tambahan (1)

. reg tobinsq divc divd size growth insown conown indboc						
Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,583
Model	3.06655431	7	.438079187	F(7, 1575)	=	10.08
	68.4215853	1,575	.043442276	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.0429
Total	71.4881396	1,582	.045188457	Adj R-squared	=	0.0386
				Root MSE	=	.20843
tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
divc	.0579387	.0268466	2.16	0.031	.0052799	.1105975
divd	-.0613699	.0270101	-2.27	0.023	-.1143495	-.0083903
size	.0247407	.0032842	7.53	0.000	.0182987	.0311827
growth	1.41e-10	4.42e-10	0.32	0.750	-7.26e-10	1.01e-09
insown	.0262901	.0232358	1.13	0.258	-.0192862	.0718664
conown	-.0017603	.0157334	-0.11	0.911	-.0326209	.0291002
indboc	-.0149813	.0063701	-2.35	0.019	-.027476	-.0024866
_cons	-.2345335	.0947191	-2.48	0.013	-.4203223	-.0487447

Lampiran 16 Hasil Analisis Moderasi Tambahan (2)

```
. reg tobinsq divc divd lev divcrisk divdrisk size growth insown conown indboc
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,583
Model	26.1023674	10	2.61023674	F(10, 1572)	=	90.41
Residual	45.3857722	1,572	.028871356	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	71.4881396	1,582	.045188457	R-squared	=	0.3651
				Adj R-squared	=	0.3611
				Root MSE	=	.16992

tobinsq	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
divc	-.1559878	.0259219	-6.02	0.000	-.206833 -.1051427
divd	-.250674	.0260321	-9.63	0.000	-.3017353 -.1996128
lev	.0031086	.0004518	6.88	0.000	.0022225 .0039947
divcrisk	.1519447	.0092924	16.35	0.000	.1337178 .1701715
divdrisk	.1950189	.0113277	17.22	0.000	.1727999 .2172379
size	.0207728	.0026879	7.73	0.000	.0155005 .0260451
growth	1.86e-10	3.60e-10	0.52	0.606	-5.21e-10 8.93e-10
insown	.0390354	.018958	2.06	0.040	.0018498 .0762209
conown	.0087434	.0128346	0.68	0.496	-.0164314 .0339181
indboc	-.0112941	.005195	-2.17	0.030	-.021484 -.0011042
_cons	-.156946	.0774791	-2.03	0.043	-.3089193 -.0049728



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

FAKULTAS EKONOMI DAN BISNIS

Kampus B Jl. Airlangga 4 Surabaya 60286 Telp. 031-5033642, 5036584 Faks. 031-5026288
Website : <http://feb.unair.ac.id> email : info@feb.unair.ac.id, humas@feb.unair.ac.id

**SURAT KETERANGAN
TES KESAMAAN (*SIMILARITY*)**

Kami melakukan tes kesamaan (*similarity*) terhadap **Skripsi/Tesis/Disertasi/Artikel/Buku** atas nama pengarang dibawah ini:

**ANDHIKA YUNANTO RACHMAN
NIM : 041611333125**

dengan ini menerangkan bahwa judul **Skripsi/Tesis/Disertasi/Artikel/Buku** :

HUBUNGAN GENDER DIVERSITY DENGAN KINERJA PERUSAHAAN

Paper ID : 1361004928
Class ID : 23179058
Date : 23-Jul-2020

Hasil menunjukkan SIMILARITY INDEX : 29%

Surat keterangan ini kami lampirkan hasil tes sebagai bukti telah dilakukan tes kesamaan (*similarity*) menggunakan Program Turnitin.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini kami buat untuk dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Surabaya, 23 Juli 2020

Kantor Ruang Baca,

1361004928
ABDUL MUNIR
NIP 196701261990041001

