


Relationship of Benzene Concentration, ECR Benzene, Malondialdehyde, Glutathione, and DNA Degeneration in Shoe Industrial Workers in Osowilangun, Indonesia

Dose-Response:
An International Journal
April-June 2020:1-6
© The Author(s) 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/1559325820921023
journals.sagepub.com/home/dos



Abdul Rohim Tualeka¹ , Ng Yee Guan², Syamsiar S. Russeng³, Ahsan Ahsan⁴, Indri H. Susilowati⁵, Pudji Rahmawati⁶, and Khusnul Ain⁷

Abstract

In the shoes industry, benzene constitute as one of the source of chemical hazard especially used in the gluing section. This compound is metabolized by the liver, forming free radicals in the body which can ultimately reduce the concentration of glutathione and increased malondialdehyde causing DNA degeneration. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between benzene concentration, excess cancer risk (ECR), malondialdehyde, glutathione, and DNA degeneration among workers in shoes industry in Osowilangun, Surabaya. This is an observational study with a cross-sectional design. The number of research samples was 25 respondents. The average concentration of benzene in workers was above the threshold (10.31 ppm). There were 15 (60%) respondents with ECR >0.0001 who experienced DNA degeneration. There was no relationship between benzene concentration, malondialdehyde, glutathione, and DNA degeneration. However, there was a relationship between benzene ECR, malondialdehyde, glutathione, and DNA degeneration in the shoe industry workers in Osowilangun.

Keywords

benzene, malondialdehyde, glutathione, DNA degeneration

Introduction

Indonesia is a country with many small, medium, and large industries. The rapid development of the industries increases labor exposure that is translated into increased health risks. Health risks due to work can be caused by work accidents,

direct and indirect exposure to hazardous chemicals, and risky behavior for workers and working environment conditions that do not meet the requirements. One of the industries with the risk of exposure to hazardous chemicals is the shoe industry. The gluing process in this industry causes workers to be

¹ Department of Occupational Health and Safety, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

² Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

³ Department of Occupational Health and Safety, Faculty of Public Health, Hassanudin University, Makassar, Indonesia

⁴ Faculty of Nurse, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia

⁵ Public Health Faculty, University of Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia

⁶ Department of Development of Islamic Society, State Islamic University Sunan Ampel, Surabaya, Indonesia

⁷ Departement of Biology, Faculty of Sains and Technology, Airlangga University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

Received 20 November 2019; received revised 24 February 2020; accepted 30 March 2020

Corresponding Author:

Ng Yee Guan, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Email: shah86zam@upm.edu.my



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

exposed to hazardous chemicals. According to Laelasari et al.,¹ exposure to hazardous chemicals from the use of inelible glue is one of the risk factors that is not anticipated by workers, thus increasing the risk of disease to workers. According to Lu,² the use of glue can cause exposure to organic solvent vapor and is very likely to cause health effects if inhaled continuously for a long time. Hazardous organic solvents that are widely used in the shoe industry are BTX (Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene). Almost all organic solvents are toxic when inhaled or ingested in large quantities and exceeding the threshold. Benzene is classified into group 1 chemicals which are carcinogenic.³

Benzene can enter the body in the form of gas, solid, or liquid form. Benzene that enters the body is metabolized into benzene epoxide. In the liver, benzene epoxide is an unstable compound which is converted into phenols so that it can be excreted through urine.⁴ When inhaled, benzene can cause aplastic anemia and leukemia. Some research work conducted in Europe, America, and Mexico have shown a significant relationship between increased levels of benzene in the air and increased cases of cancer and leukemia of the local population. Studies in the United States found that inhalation of benzene, although within a certain threshold, has been shown to cause chromosomal abnormalities in sperm cells.¹ The occurrence of health problems due to benzene exposure in shoe industry workers was also reported in China. This study stated that workers exposed to benzene were at risk of death from leukemia with a relative risk of 2.3 higher than those who are not exposed to benzene.⁵ With regard to the exposure concentration of chemicals and their impact on workers' health, the Indonesia Government has sought to regulate the threshold value of occupational exposure in 2011 through Permenakertrans No.13/MEN/X/2011, concerning the threshold value of physical factors and chemical factors in the workplace. This regulation set the threshold value for benzene exposure in the workplace at 0.5 ppm (Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration, 2011).⁶

Damages due to free radicals, one of which is exposure to benzene in the body, can be overcome with antioxidants. Antioxidants are needed to prevent oxidative stress. Oxidative stress is a condition of imbalance between the amount of free radicals that exist with the amount of antioxidants in the body. Free radicals are compounds that contain one or more unpaired electrons in their orbitals, so they are highly reactive and are able to oxidize surrounding molecules such as lipids, proteins, DNA, and carbohydrates.⁷ Antioxidants are defined as substances that can delay, prevent, or eliminate oxidative damage to target molecules, namely proteins, lipids, and DNA.⁸ The body can naturally produce antioxidants that are able to overcome the effects of free radicals, yet the increase in supply of free radicals leads to the need of supply of antioxidants from outside. One of the antioxidants produced by the body is glutathione.

Glutathione levels in the body become an important aspect that must be considered because disruption of glutathione synthesis and metabolism results in impaired glutathione function as an antioxidant which in turn causes various diseases.⁹

Antioxidant activity is measured through levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) as a result of lipid peroxidation. Malondialdehyde is a reactive molecule with the molecular formula $C_3H_4O_2$.¹⁰ According to research conducted by Odewabi in Nigeria in 2014, exposure to free radicals, especially benzene among gas station workers, can increase MDA levels in workers. Research by Suparno et al.¹¹ also stated that high levels of plasma MDA as a marker of oxidative stress can result in DNA disorders. Therefore, this study aims to look at the relationship between concentration and excess cancer risk (ECR) benzene, MDA, glutathione, and DNA degeneration in shoe industry workers in Osowilangon, Surabaya.

Methodology

This is an observational study with cross-sectional design. The study was conducted in the Osowilangon shoe industry in Surabaya in September 2019. The study population was 25 workers in the Osowilangon shoe industry with a sample size equal to that of the population.

The research variables consisted of independent variables in the form of benzene concentration and ECR benzene and the dependent variables in the form of MDA, glutathione, and DNA degeneration. Data were obtained from the results of laboratory tests and using questionnaires. Measurement of MDA levels in the urine was performed using a spectrophotometer, glutathione levels were measured using the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay method, and DNA degeneration was measured using acrylamide electrophoresis with silver staining. To determine DNA degeneration, the real-time polymerase chain reaction method is used. In the statistical analysis, the data are tested using cross tabulation to determine the relationship between variables in the categorical data. Numerical data were tested using Pearson for the relationship test.

Result

Respondents were examined through several characteristics including age, sex, last education, and body mass index (BMI). Below is a distribution table of the characteristics of the respondents studied.

As shown in Table 1, most of the respondents were between 46 and 65 years old (32% in the 46-55 years old category and 32% in the 56-65 years old category, respectively). There were 13 (52%) men and 12 (48%) women. Most of the respondents highest education level were high school (44%) and most respondents (52%) had a normal BMI.

Benzene ECR Calculation

Characteristics of health risks can be expressed by the ECR for carcinogenic or cancer effects. The ECR was calculated by multiplying the cancer intake value by the cancer slope factor (CSF) value. The ECR value is declared safe if $\leq 10^{-4}$. The ECR formula used is as follows:

Table 1. Distribution of Respondent Characteristics.

Characteristics of Respondents	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
16-25	2	8
26-35	0	0
36-45	7	28
46-55	8	32
56-65	8	32
Gender		
Male	13	52
Female	12	48
Education level		
Primary	10	40
Junior high	4	16
Senior high	11	44
BMI		
Underweight	2	8
Normal	13	52
Overweight	10	40

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

$$\text{ECR} = \text{Carcinogenic intake } (I_k) \times \text{CSF}.$$

Excess cancer risk calculation results can indicate the level of carcinogenic risk in workers due to exposure to chemicals—one of which is benzene. If the ECR value is $\leq 10^{-4}$, workers exposed to benzene are not considered to be at risk of carcinogenic as it is within safe limits. Conversely, if the ECR value $>10^{-4}$, workers exposed to benzene have a risk of carcinogenic effects. Based on the ECR calculation results in Table 2, almost all workers have an ECR value $>10^{-4}$, whereas only 1 worker had an ECR value of $\leq 10^{-4}$. In sum, almost all workers were at risk of carcinogenic effects.

Based on Table 3, of the 25 respondents, there were 15 (60%) respondents with benzene concentrations below the threshold value (≤ 0.5 ppm) and 10 (40%) respondents with benzene concentrations exceeding the threshold limit value (>0.5 ppm). An ECR value or benzene carcinogenic risk of >0.0001 was indicated by 24 (96%) respondents. This means they had a carcinogenic risk and only 1 (4%) of the respondents indicated an ECR value of 0.0001.

Based on Table 4, 10 (40%) respondents who were exposed to benzene concentrations exceeding the threshold value (0.5 ppm) had a carcinogenic risk with an ECR value >0.0001 . Of the 15 (60%) respondents who were exposed to benzene concentrations within the safe limit there were 14 (56%) respondents who had a carcinogenic risk with an ECR value >0.0001 and only 1 (4%) respondents who had a carcinogenic risk with an ECR value of 0.0001. Most respondents had carcinogenic risks with ECR values >0.0001 , but exposure to benzene concentrations was still within safe limits.

Table 5 shows that there is no relationship between benzene concentration and MDA levels as the P value was $>.25$ ($P = .393$). Meanwhile, from the characteristics of carcinogenic risk (ECR) in benzene, there is a relationship between benzene ECR and MDA levels because the P value $<.25$ ($P = .142$). As such,

Table 2. Calculation of Benzene Carcinogenic (ECR) Risk Characteristics in Shoe Industry Workers in Osowilangun.

No	Carcinogenic intake (Ik), mg/kg/d	Cancer Slope Factor (CSF), mg/kg/d	Excess Cancer Risk (ECR)
1	0.028009301	0.029	0.00081227
2	0.014476156	0.029	0.000419809
3	0.004389141	0.029	0.000127285
4	0.023055386	0.029	0.000668606
5	0.020845665	0.029	0.000604524
6	2.051100087	0.029	0.059481903
7	2.835162856	0.029	0.082219723
8	0.851117973	0.029	0.024682421
9	0.029462408	0.029	0.00085441
10	0.004958649	0.029	0.000143801
11	1.890469185	0.029	0.054823606
12	1.20605121	0.029	0.034975485
13	0.015197621	0.029	0.000440731
14	0.025133332	0.029	0.000728867
15	0.050500021	0.029	0.001464501
16	0.319923046	0.029	0.009277768
17	0.028809567	0.029	0.000835477
18	0.015788537	0.029	0.000457868
19	0.020896729	0.029	0.000606005
20	0.152694918	0.029	0.004428153
21	1.993950529	0.029	0.057824565
22	0.821133899	0.029	0.023812883
23	0.637067458	0.029	0.018474956
24	0.000785664	0.029	2.27843E-05
25	0.063154147	0.029	0.00183147

Table 3. Distribution of Benzene Concentrations and ECR.

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Benzene concentration		
1. ≤ 0.5 ppm	15	60
2. >0.5 ppm	10	40
Benzene ECR		
1. ECR ≤ 0.0001	1	4
2. ECR > 0.0001	24	96

Abbreviation: ECR, excess cancer risk.

Table 4. Results of Cross-Tabulation Test Between Benzene Concentration and Benzene ECR.

Benzene Threshold	Benzene ECR		Total
	>0.0001	≤ 0.0001	
>0.5 ppm	10 40%	0 0%	10 40%
≤ 0.5 ppm	14 56%	1 4%	15 60%
Total	24 96%	1 4%	25 100%

Abbreviation: ECR, excess cancer risk.

Table 5. Relationship of Benzene Concentration and ECR and Malondialdehyde.

Variable	Mean	SD	P Value (0.25)	N
Benzene concentration	10.31	17.66	.393	25
Malondialdehyde	7.85	2.63		
Benzene ECR	0.015	0.024	.142	
Malondialdehyde	7.85	2.63		

Abbreviations: ECR, excess cancer risk; SD, standard deviation.

Table 6. Relationship of Benzene Concentration and ECR With Glutathione.

Variable	Mean	SD	P Value (0.25)	N
Benzene concentration	10.31	17.66	.45	25
Glutathione	35.65	10.5		
ECR Benzene	0.015	0.024	.184	
Glutathione	35.65	10.5		

Abbreviations: ECR, excess cancer risk; SD, standard deviation.

benzene ECR affects the presence of MDA levels in the body rather than benzene concentration. The benzene concentration has an average of 10.31 ppm, while the benzene ECR has an average of 0.015 ppm with an average MDA level of 7.85.

Based on Table 6, there is no relationship between benzene concentration and glutathione levels with P value $>.25$ ($P = .45$). The characteristics of carcinogenic risk (ECR) in benzene show an association between benzene ECR and glutathione levels, with a P value $<.25$ ($P = .184$). This showed the potential of benzene ECR in reducing the glutathione levels in the body where the average concentration of benzene was 10.31 ppm, whereas the average ECR of benzene was 0.015 ppm with the average glutathione level being 35.65.

In Table 7, of 10 (40%) respondents who were exposed to benzene concentrations exceeding the threshold value (0.5 ppm), there were 6 (24%) respondents who experienced DNA degeneration. Of the 15 (60%) respondents exposed to benzene concentrations within the safe limit, there were 9 (36%) respondents who experienced DNA degeneration. It can be seen that the majority of respondents experienced DNA degeneration with benzene exposure were below the threshold value.

Table 8 shows that of the 24 (96%) respondents who had a benzene ECR value >0.0001 there were 15 (60%) respondents who experienced DNA degeneration and 9 (36%) respondents did not experience DNA degeneration. Respondents with benzene ECR values ≤ 0.0001 did not undergo DNA degeneration. It was clear that most respondents experienced DNA degeneration with benzene ECR values >0.0001 . Respondents who experience DNA degeneration were expected to have a higher risk of carcinogenicity.

Discussion

Of the characteristics of respondents in the shoe industry workers in Osowilangun, Surabaya, of the 25 respondents mostly

Table 7. Results of Cross-Tabulation Tests Between Benzene Concentration and DNA Degeneration.

Benzene Threshold	DNA Degeneration		
	Degeneration	Normal	Total
>0.5 ppm	6 24%	4 16%	10 40%
≤ 0.5 ppm	9 36%	6 24%	15 60%
Total	15 60%	10 40%	25 100%

Table 8. Results of Cross-Tabulation Tests Between Benzene ECR and DNA Degeneration.

Benzene ECR	DNA Degeneration		
	Degeneration	Normal	Total
>0.0001	15 60%	9 36%	24 96%
≤ 0.0001	0 0%	1 4%	1 4%
Total	15 60%	10 40%	25 100%

Abbreviation: ECR, excess cancer risk.

aged 46 to 65 years, 52% were male with a high school education (44%) and the BMI was mostly normal. After the measurements were made, there were 10 (40%) respondents who were exposed to benzene exceeding normal levels above the threshold (0.5 ppm). The average concentration of benzene is 10.31 ppm. These results indicate that the benzene concentration is quite high beyond the normal threshold value set by the government (0.5 ppm). This was consistent with Astuti's research¹² which states that the results of the measurement of benzene in the mechanical work environment of the AHASS workshop in Patrang subdistrict and Summersari subdistrict, Jember district had an average value exceeding the threshold value (3.5118 ppm). However, this result is different from that of by Haen and Oginawati¹³ that the average concentration of benzene in the breathing zone of respondents (sol workers) was still within safe limits below the threshold (0.238 ppm). Febriantika et al¹⁴ also showed that the average concentration of benzene inhaled by workers in the printing industry X in Semarang was 0.13 ppm.

Excess cancer risk is a risk for the effects of cancer that can be determined by multiplying the value of cancer intake with CSF. If $ECR \leq 0.0001$, then the concentration of benzene exposure in workers has not caused carcinogenic health effects. However, if the $ECR > 0.0001$, then the concentration of benzene exposure in workers can cause carcinogenic health effects.¹⁵ According to Indriyani et al,¹⁶ exposure to chemicals carcinogenic risk if the ECR calculation results were more than 0.0001. From the results of the ECR calculation, the benzene

ECR value of most respondents was above 0.0001 where there was only 1 respondent who had a benzene ECR value below 0.0001. This means that almost all respondents have a risk of being carcinogenic or cancer affected. Of the 24 respondents who were at risk of causing carcinogenic effects, 12 (40%) of them were exposed to benzene exceeding the normal limit value (>0.5 ppm). This was consistent with research conducted by Febrian et al¹⁷ that in the lifetime carcinogenic effect there was a risk of cancer with an ECR value of 4.95×10^{-4} , that is, $ECR > 10^{-4}$. In addition, according to the results of a research by Febriantika et al,¹⁴ the average value of benzene ECR on real-time exposure is 2.8×10^{-4} to 1.2×10^{-3} and 10^{-3} to 4.3×10^{-3} on lifetime exposure. A concentration of benzene $ECR > 10^{-4}$ indicates excess risk of cancer. This was different from the research conducted by Handoyo and Wispriyono¹⁸ that the ECR of benzene on tollgate officers at Kebun Jeruk tollgate was $2.5E-06$, while the benzene ECR on administrative officers at Kebun Jeruk tollgate was $4.4E-07$. Both ECR values had a safe risk level with ECR values $< 10^{-4}$ (0.0001).

The results of this study indicate that there was no relationship between benzene concentration and MDA levels. However, there was a relationship between benzene ECR and MDA levels. The results of the statistical test of benzene concentration and MDA levels were $P = .393$ ($P > .25$), while the benzene ECR with MDA levels was $P = .142$ ($P < .25$). This indicates that most of the shoe industry workers in Osowilangun had high level of carcinogens corresponding to the MDA level in their body. This was consistent with research conducted by Tualeka et al¹⁹ which found that there was no relationship between benzene concentration and MDA levels ($P = 1.000$; $r = 0.000$). Subandrate²⁰ stated that the average MDA level of gas station employees was 0.731 nmol/mL which was higher than the average MDA control. This can be caused by oxidant compounds especially benzene in the gas station environment which is metabolized in the body to free radicals which in turn oxidize lipids and form MDA.

In this study, there was no relationship between benzene concentration and glutathione levels but there was a relationship between benzene ECR and glutathione levels. Statistical test results between benzene concentration and glutathione levels were $P = .45$ ($P > .25$), while the benzene ECR and glutathione levels were $P = .184$ ($P < .25$). This was consistent with research conducted by Tualeka et al¹⁹ which states that there was no relationship between benzene concentration and glutathione levels ($P = 1.000$; $r = 0.000$). This was probably due to availability of various other antioxidants (glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, and catalase) that play a role in reducing free radicals not studied here. However, benzene ECR can reduce levels of glutathione in the body than benzene concentration.

The cross-tabulation tests in Tables 7 and 8 show that there was no relationship between benzene concentration and the incidence of DNA degeneration. A total of 9 (36%) respondents experienced DNA degeneration with benzene exposure below the threshold value. There was a relationship between benzene ECR and DNA degeneration. A total of 15 (60%) of respondents

experienced DNA degeneration with benzene ECR values > 0.0001 . Respondents who experience DNA degeneration have a higher risk of carcinogenicity. According to Nikmah et al,²¹ the disruption of DNA synthesis can be caused by the reaction of benzene oxide metabolites that bind to nucleic acids. Hiraku and Kawanishi²² stated that the mechanism of DNA damage can be induced by the major benzene benzoquinone and hydroquinone metabolites investigated in relation to apoptosis and carcinogenesis. Benzene metabolites can cause DNA damage through the generation of H_2O_2 in cells before internucleosomal DNA fragmentation leads to apoptosis. Reactive benzene metabolites have been identified including benzene oxide, phenol, hydroquinone, catechol, and benzoquinone which can produce various types of DNA lesions.²³ Benzene hydroquinone and benzoquinone metabolites can form DNA adducts in vitro. In addition, DNA adducts were also observed in vivo by Bauer et al with 32P method post labeling in rabbit liver cells treated subcutaneously with benzene.²⁴ According to Kolachana et al,²⁵ a combination of phenol, catechol, and hydroquinone can produce a significant increase in oxidative DNA damage in the rat bone marrow. Benzenetriol can also increase oxidative DNA damage significantly. The conversion of benzene to phenolic metabolites and subsequently producing oxidative DNA damage can play a role in genotoxicity, myelotoxicity, and benzene-induced leukemia. A study conducted by Ren et al²⁶ provided evidence that benzene exposure could induce hypomethylation of Long Interspersed Element-1 (LINE-1) and hypermethylation of DNA repair genes.

Conclusion

Most respondents experienced DNA degeneration with an ECR value > 0.0001 . This means that respondents who experience DNA degeneration have a higher risk of carcinogenicity. There was no relationship between benzene concentration and MDA, glutathione, and DNA degeneration. However, there was a relationship between benzene ECR and MDA, glutathione, and DNA degeneration in shoe industry workers in Osowilangun. The ECR value of benzene in workers needs to be routinely determined so as to prevent the carcinogenic effects due to exposure to chemicals that exceed the threshold. Among prevention should be the use of personal protective equipment such as respirator or chemical masks so that exposure to chemicals, especially benzene, via inhalation are significantly minimized.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This collaborative research work is primarily funded by Universitas Airlangga. The research publication is funded by Universiti Putra Malaysia.

ORCID iD

Abdul Rohim Tualeka  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8276-2441>

References

1. Laelasari E, Kristanti D, Rahmat B. Penggunaan Lem Sepatu Dan Gangguan Kesehatan Pekerja Industri Sepatu Di Ciomas Bogor. *Jurnal Ekologi Kesehatan*. 2018;17(2):85-95.
2. Lu FC. Toksikologi Dasar. Asas, Organ Sasaran, dan penilaian Risiko. Edisi Kedua: Penerbit Universitas Indonesia, hal. 2006; 371-376.
3. Azari MR, Hosseini V, Jafari MJ, Soori H, Asadi P, Mousavion SMA. Evaluation of occupational exposure of shoe makers to benzene and toluene compounds in shoe manufacturing workshops in East Tehran. *Tanaffos*. 2012;11(4):43-49.
4. Krogholm KS. Free fruit at workplace invention increases total fruit intake: a validation study using 24 h dietary recall and urinary flavonoid excretion. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64(10):1222-1228.
5. Chen M, Chan A. China's "market economics in command": footwear workers health in jeopardy. *Int J Health Serv*. 1999; 29(4):793-811.
6. Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration Republic of Indonesia. *Regulation of Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration Republic of Indonesia Number 13 year 2011 about Threshold Limit Value of Physical and Chemical Factors at Workplace*. Jakarta: Government of Indonesia; 2011.
7. Werdhasari A. Peran Antioksidan Bagi Kesehatan. *Indones J Biotechnol Med*. 2014;3(2):59-68. doi:10.22435/jbmi.v3i2.4203.59-68.
8. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC. *Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine*. Ed ke-4. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2007.
9. Yuniastuti A. *Dasar Molekuler Glutation Dan Perannya Sebagai Antioksidan*. Central Java: Universitas Negeri Semarang; 2016.
10. Parwata IMO. *Antioksidan*. Denpasar: Universitas Udayana; 2015.
11. Suparno S, Suhartono S, Sofro MAU, Sulchan M, Tjahjono K. Kadar seng dan kadar malondialdehyde pada penderita multi drug resistant tuberculosis dan tuberkulosis sensitif. *Jurnal Gizi Indonesia*. 2018;7(1):8. doi:10.14710/jgi.7.1.8-14.
12. Astuti EN. *Kadar Benzena Di Lingkungan Kerja Dan Jumlah Leukosit Pada Mekanik Bengkel AHASS*. Skripsi. Universitas Jember; 2017.
13. Haen MT, Oginawati K. *Hubungan Paparan Senyawa Benzena, Toluena dan Xylen dengan Sistem Hematologi Pekerja di Kawasan Industri Sepatu*. Bandung: Institute Teknologi Bandung; 2017:1-4.
14. Febriantika D, Sulistiyani S, Budiyono B. Analisis Risiko Kesehatan Paparan Benzena Di Industri Percetakan X Semarang. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*. 2017; 5(1):430-437.
15. Fahrudhi H. Risiko Menderita Kanker Dan Non Kanker Pada Pekerja Terpapar Benzena Di Home Industrysepatu Kelurahan Tambak Oso Wilangun Surabaya. *The Indonesian Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*. 2017;6(1):68. doi:10.20473/ijosh.v6i1.2017.68-77.
16. Indriyani D, Darundiati YH, Dewanti NAY. Analisis Risiko Kesehatan Lingkungan Paparan Debu Kayu Pada Pekerja Di Industri Mebel Cv. Citra Jepara Kabupaten Semarang. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*. 2017;5(5):571-580.
17. Febrian NW, Rahardjo M, Nurjazuli N. Analisis Risiko Kesehatan Lingkungan Akibat Paparan Benzena Melalui Inhalasi Pada Awak Mobil Tangki Di PT. Pertamina Patra Niaga. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*. 2019;53(9):1689-1699. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.
18. Handoyo E, Wispriyono B. Risiko Kesehatan Paparan Benzena, Toluena Dan Xylena Petugas Pintu Tol. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat*. 2016;11(2):188. doi:10.15294/kemas.v11i2.3935.
19. Tualeka AR, Martiana T, Ahsan A, Russeng SS, Meidikayanti W. Association between malondialdehyde and glutathione (L-gamma-Glutamyl-Cysteinyl-Glycine/GSH) levels on workers exposed to benzene in Indonesia. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2019;7(7):1198-1202.
20. Subandrate S. Kadar MDA (Malondialdehid) Karyawan SPBU di Kota Palembang. *CDK-240*. 2016;43(5):333-335.
21. Nikmah WI, Darundiati YH, Budiyono B. Hubungan Antara Paparan Benzena Dengan Profil Darah Pada Pekerja Di Industri Percetakan X Kota Semarang. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Diponegoro*. 2016;4(5):213-219.
22. Hiraku Y, Kawanishi S. Oxidative DNA damage and apoptosis induced by benzene metabolites. *Cancer Res*. 1996;56(22): 5172-5178.
23. Hartwig A. The role of DNA repair in benzene induced carcinogenesis. *Chem Biol Interact*. 2010;184(1-2):269-272. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2009.12.029.
24. Bauer H, Dimitriadis EA, Snyder R. An *in vivo* study of benzene metabolite DNA adduct formation in liver of male New Zealand rabbits. *Arch Toxicol*. 1989;63(3):209-213.
25. Kolachana P, Subrahmanyam VV, Meyer KB, Zhang L, Smith MT. Benzene and its phenolic metabolites produce oxidative DNA damage in HL60 cells in vitro and in the bone marrow in vivo. *Cancer Res*. 1993;53(5):1023-1026.
26. Ren J, Cui JP, Luo M, et al. The prevalence and persistence of aberrant promoter DNA methylation in benzene exposed Chinese workers. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(8):e0220500. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220500.