

similar way in term of building engagement and convincing proposition with a view to guide readers to the authors expected perception. Moreover, looking at the greater use of engagement markers in both gender, it summarize that Indonesian author in this case have high solidarity and direct involvement with the readers.

In regard to self-mention, female is far more assigned to use such device compared to male with one point distinction, female gain 3 chi-square score and male gain 2 chi-square result. In addition, the majority of first person pronoun such as *I*, *my* are found to be regularly used by female authors which function to explicitly projecting the author presence. This feature is associated with self-confidence where females author are more familiar to such character. Besides, female seems to use first pronoun in order to personally involved with the reader in term of stating their argument.

5.2 Suggestion

The extensive study on similar metadiscourse issue could be taken into account and might be necessary conducted since this study is limited only on gender differences within social field or soft science in general, collected through International-based-Indonesian journal, as the result, this finding cannot be implemented to all International journals over Indonesia. Moreover, this study did not particularly investigate gender differences in specific disciplines as mentioned earlier, consequently, we need to consider this opportunity to fill the gap in order to completing the existing study.

REFERENCES

- Adel, A., (2006). *Metadiscourse in L1 and L2 English*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company
- Alkathlan, M. (2019) Metadiscourse in Academic Writing: An Investigation of Saudi EFL Students' Research Articles. *Linguistics and Literature Studies* 7(5), 220-225. doi: 10.13189/lis.2019.070505
- Arsyad, S., & Adila, D. (2017). Using Local Style when Writing in English: The Citing Behaviour of Indonesian Authors in English Research Article Introductions. *Asian Englishes*. 20 (2), 170-185. doi: 10.1080/13488678.2017.1327835
- Argamon, S., Koppel, M., Fine, J., And Shimon, A. R. (2003). Gender, Genre, And Writing Style In Formal Written Texts. *Text*, 23(3), 321–346. doi: 10.1515/Text.2003.014
- Bognavonic, V. (2015). Endophoric Markers in ESP Textbooks. *Nasleje*. 31. 65-79. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329911533_endophoric_markers_in_esp_textbooks
- Because. (n.d.). In *Collins English Dictionary*. Retrieved from <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english/because>
- Biber, D. and Burges, J. (2000). Historical change in the language use of women and men: Gender differences in dramatic dialogue. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 28(1), 21–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00754240022004857>

- Black, K. (2010). *Business Statistics: Contemporary Decision Making*. 6th edition, New York : John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Cite. (n.d.). In *Cambridge English Dictionary*. Retrived from <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/cite>
- Crismore, A. (1989). *Talking with Readers: Metadiscourse as Rhetorical Act*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Crismore, A., & Steffensen, M. (1993). Metadiscourse in Persuasive Writing: A Study of Text Written by American and Finnish University Student. *Written Communication*. 10(1). 39-71. doi:10.1177/0741088393010001002
- Coates, J. (1988). Gossip Revisited: Language In All-Female Groups, in J. Coates and D. Cameron (eds). *Women in Their Speech Communities: New Perspectives on Language and Sex*. London: Longman.
- Farahani, V. M. (2018). The Usage and Distributional Pattern of Metadiscourse Features in Research Articles in Applied Linguistics Based on Hyland's Classification. *Applied Linguistics Research Journals*. 2(1), 35-51. doi: 10.14744/alrj.2018.32042
- Further. (n.d.). In *Merriam-webster Dictionary*. Retrieved April 10, 2020 from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/further>
- Han, V. Nguyen (2014). The Relationship Between Language And Gender: A Case Study In Vietnamese. *Global Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Science*. 3(3), 96-99. <https://www.longdom.org/articles/the-relationship-between-language-and-gender-a-case-study-in-vietnamese.pdf>

- Holmes, J., & Meyerhoff, M. (2003). *The Handbook of Language and Gender*. UK: Blackwell Publishing.
- However. (n.d.). In *Oxford Learner's Dictionary*. Retrieved from <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/definition/english/however>
- Hyland, K. (2004). Disciplinary Interactions: Metadiscourse in L2 Postgraduate Writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 13(2). 133 - 151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2004.02.001>
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing (First Edition)*. London: Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2019). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing (Second Edition)*. London: Continuum
- Hyland, K. and Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in Academic Writing: A Reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25, (2), 156 – 177. doi: 10.1093/applin/25.2.156
- Hyland, K & Tse, P. (2008). Robot Kung Fu': Gender and Professional Identity in Biology And Philosophy Reviews. *Journal of Pragmatics* 40 (7), 1232–1248. doi:10.1016/j.pragma.2007.02.002
- Kafes, H. (2017). The Use of Authorial Self-Mention Word in Academic Writing. *International Journal of Language Academy*. 5(3), 165-180. doi:10.18033/ijla.3532
- Khedri, M. (2018). Evidentials in Research Articles: A Marker of Discipline. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 26(T), 145-158. http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/view_archives.php?journal=JSSH-26-T-12

- Kuntjara, E. (2004) Cultural Transfer in EFL Writing: A Look at Contrastive Rhetoric on English and Indonesian. *Kata Journal*, 6(1), 12-29.
<https://doi.org/10.9744/kata.6.1.13-29>
- Lakoff, R. (1975). *Language and Woman's Place*. New York: Harper & Row
- Lindquist, H. (2009). *Corpus Linguistics and the Description of English*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press Ltd.
- Liu, P., & Huang, X. (2017). A Study of Interactional Metadiscourse in English Abstracts of Chinese Economics Research Articles. *Higher Education Studies*, 7(3), 25-41. doi:10.5539/hes.v7n3p25
- Malinowski, B. (1930). The Problem Of Meaning In Primitive Languages. In C. K. Ogden & I. A. Richards (Eds), *The meaning of meaning* (pp. 296-336). New York: Harcourt Brace and Company.
- May. (n.d.). In *Merriam-webster Dictionary*. Retrived April 10, 2020 from
<https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/may>
- Mayeroff, M & Holmes, J. (2003). *The Handbook of Language and Gender*. Blackwell: Oxford
- Mazidah, N, E. (2019) A Comparison Of The Interactive Metadiscourse in The Abstracts of Articles Written by Indonesian and Nes Scholars. *Etnolinguist* 3(1), 57-73. doi:10.20473/etno.v3i1.12995
- Mina. G. K & Biria. R (2017). Exploring Interactive and Interactional Metadiscourse Markers in Discussion Sections of Social and Medical Science Articles. *International Journal of Research in English Education*. 2(4), 11-29. doi:10.29252/ijree.2.4.11

- Nixon, Z. (2018, August 3) Academic Writing and Its Importance: 5 Things You Should Know About. The Writing Cooperative. *The Writing Cooperative*. Achieves. Retrieved May 5th from <https://writingcooperative.com/academic-writing-and-its-importance-5-things-you-should-know-about-c95ad2e2d2b5>
- Palander-Collin, M. (2009). Variation and Change in Patterns of Self-Reference In Early English Correspondence. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 10(2), 260-285. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jhp.10.2.06pal>
- Pasaribu, A. T (2017). Gender Differences and The Use of Metadiscourse Markers in Writing Essays, *International Journal of Humanity Studies*. 1(1), 93-102. <https://e-journal.usd.ac.id/index.php/IJHS/article/view/683/542>
- Sahragard, R & Yazdanpanahi, S. (2016). English Engagement Markers: A Comparison of Humanities and Science Journal Articles. *Language Art*, 2(1), 111-130. doi: 10.22046/LA.2017.06
- Sequence. (n.d.). In *Cambridge English Dictionary*. Retrieved from <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/sequence>
- Seyyedrezaie & Vahedi. (2017). Projecting Gender Identity Through Metadiscourse Marking: Investigating Writers' Stance Taking In Written Discourse. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. 6(2), 301-310. doi: 10.17509/ijal.v6i2.4915
- Sterkel, S, K. (1988). The Relationship between Gender and Writing Style in Business Communications. *The Journal of Business Communication*, 4(25). 17-38. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F002194368802500402>

- Syurkani, P. (September 12, 2018). Jumlah Publikasi Ilmiah Meningkat Sepanjang 2017-2018. *Media Indonesia*. Retrieved April 10, 2020, from <https://mediaindonesia.com/read/detail/184022-jumlah-publikasi-ilmiah-meningkat-sepanjang-2017-2018>
- Vande Kopple, W. (1985), Some Exploratory Discourse on Metadiscourse, *College Composition and Communication*, 36(1), 82-93. doi: 10.2307/357609
- Wahyuni, S. (2013). *L2 Speaking Strategies Employed by Indonesian EFL Tertiary Students Across Proficiency and Gender*. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Canberra, Australia). Retrieved from <https://researchprofiles.canberra.edu.au/en/studentTheses/l2-speaking-strategies-employed-by-indonesian-efl-tertiary-studen>
- Williams, J. (1981) *Style: Ten Lessons in Clarity and Grace*. Boston: Scott Foresman.
- Zakaria et al. (2019) Metadiscourse in the Academic Writing of Local And International Students at a University in Malaysia. *International Journal of Education and Practice*. 6(4), 227-238. DOI: 10.18488/journal.61.2018.64.227.238
- Zakaria, M. K., & Malik, F. A. (2018). Metadiscourse in Academic Writing of Pre-University Arab Students at the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM). *MATEC Web Conferences*, 1-7. doi:10.1051/matecconf/201815005086