Lan	npiran 1			
	Critical Apprasial for Qualitative Design			
	JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative R	esearch		
Revi	ewer			
	Date			
Auth	10f			
	Year Record N Yes		Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?			
2.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?			
3.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?			
4.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?			
5.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?			
6.	Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?			
7.	Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice-			
8.	Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?			
9.	Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?			
10.	Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?			

Overall appraisal:	Include	Exclude	Seek further info
--------------------	---------	---------	-------------------

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

40

Lampiran 2								
Critical Apprasial for Cohort Design								
JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Cohort Studies								
Reviewer Date								
Author	earRecord Number							
	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable				
 Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population? 								
2. Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?								
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?								
4. Were confounding factors identified?								
5. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?								
6. Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?								
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?								
8. Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?								
9. Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored?								
10. Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?								
11. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?								

Overall appraisal:	Include		Exclude		Seek further info		
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

SKRIPSI

ANALISIS FAKTOR YANG... ILHAM DODY

Lampiran 3						
Critical Apprasial for Cross Sectional Design						
JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies Reviewer						
Author Year Record Nu	mber	-				
Ye	s No	Unclear	Not applicable			
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?						
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?						
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?						
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?						
5. Were confounding factors identified?						
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?						
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?						
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further i Comments (Including reason for exclusion)	nfo 🗌					

44

Lampiran 4

Critical Apprasial for Case Control Studies

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Control Studies

Reviewer				
Date				
Author Number		_Year	Record	
	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?				
2. Were cases and controls matched appropriately?				
3. Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?				
4. Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?				
5. Was exposure measured in the same way for case and controls?	ès			
6. Were confounding factors identified?				
7. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	;			
8. Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?				
9. Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?	` 🗆			
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall appraisal: Include Exclude S Comments (Including reason for exclusion)	eek furth	er info		