FOLIA MEDICA INDONESIANA

PUBLISHED BY THE CENTER FOR MEDICAL SCIENCE COMMUNITY FACULTY OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

p-ISSN 2355-8393

Home > Archives > Vol 55, No 1 (2019)

VOL 55, NO 1 (2019)

MARCH

FOLIA MEDICA INDONESIANA

Vol. 55 No. 1 March 2019

Cavetopset's that battent undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention.

Case Report
REDWOLT FRACTURE COMPLEX TYPE

International Online Distribution by ProQuest www.proquest.com

Vol. 55 No. 1 Page 1

Printed ISSN

Electronic ISSN

Instruction for Author

How to Submit

Guidelines for Authors

Online Submission

Document Template

Disclaimer

Journal Policy

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics

Article Proccessing Charge

Editorial Team

Peer Reviewers

Peer Review Process

Open Access Statement

Plagiarism Check

Archiving

Copyright

Contact

Old Website

Accreditation Certificate

















OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

FOLIA MEDICA INDONESIANA

PUBLISHED BY THE CENTER FOR MEDICAL SCIENCE COMMUNITY FACULTY OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITOR-IN CHIEF

Ni Made Mertaniasih, Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

DEPUTY EDITORS

Muhammad Miftahussurur, Universitas Airlangga; Baylor College Medicine, Houston, US, Indonesia

EDITORIAL BOARD

Arend Frederik Bos, Division Neonatology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Groningen, Netherlands Hiroaki Kimura, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Hiroshima University Hospital, Japan Jitti Hanprasertpong, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla, Thailand

Anucha Thatrimontrichal, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand Surasak Sangkhathat, Pediatric Surgery Unit, Department of Surgery, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand

Delvac Oceandy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom Brahmaputra Marjadi, Western Sydney University, Penrith, Australia aryati aryati, Airlangga University, Indonesia

Viskasari Pintoko Kalanjati, Department of Anatomy and Histology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Irwanto Irwanto, Department of Child Health, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Wihasto Suryaningtyas, Department of Child Health, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Wihasto Suryaningtyas, Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Asra Al Fauzi, Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Azimatul Karimah, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Lucky Prasetiowati, Department of Anatomy & Histology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya,

Bambang Purwanto, Department of Medical Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

MANAGING EDITOR

Ahmad Suryawan, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PRODUCTION EDITORS

Moch. Zuhdy, Center for Medical Science Community, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Athfiyatul Fatati, Center for Medical Science Community, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Alviana Nur Afifah, Center for Medical Science Community, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia















00133856

View My Stats



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International

Printed ISSN

Electronic ISSN

How to Submit

Guidelines for Author

Document Template

Disclaimer

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics

Article Proccessing Charge

Editorial Team

Peer Reviewers

Peer Review Process

Open Access Statement

Plagiarism Check

Archiving

Copyright

Contact

Old Website

Accreditation Certificate



OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS



PUBLISHED BY
THE CENTER FOR MEDICAL SCIENCE COMMUNITY
FACULTY OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

p-issn 2355-8393 e-ISSN 2599-056) Accredited no. 2/E/KPT/2015

HOME

ABOUT

17775370

REGISTE

GEARCE

CURRENT

ARCHIVES

Home > Archives > Vol 55, No 1 (2019)

VOL 55, NO 1 (2019)

MARCH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

Correlation between the Bacteriostatic and Bactericide Effect with Antibiofilm and Anticolony Spreading from Javanese Citronella Oil on Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)

1-9

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12542

Amaliyah Nurul Hidayah, Eddy Bagus Wasito, Kartuti Debora, Achmad Basori, Isnaeni Isnaeni, Budi Utomo

Analysis of ANC Levels after Filgrastim Therapy in Acute Leukemia Children with Neutropenia

10-

29

30-36

37-42

43-

47

48-

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12543

Reta Anggraeni Widya, Susanto Nugroho, Sri Winarsih, Yulistiani Yulistiani

Emulgel Formulation of Purified Extract of Moringa (Moringa oleifera L.) Leaf

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12545

Tutik Wuryandari, Nining Sugihartini, Kintoko Kintoko

Incidence of Emergence Agitation in Pediatric Patient after General Anesthesia

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12546

Lucky Andriyanto, Arie Utariani, Elizeus Hanindito, Kohar Hari Santoso, Hamzah Hamzah, Eka Ari Puspita

Microbiological Assessment of Fresh Expressed Breast Milk on Room Temperature at Dr. Soetomo Hospital Neonatal Unit

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12552

Nur Aisyah Widjaja, Kartika Hardiyani, Meta Herdiana Hanindita, Roedi Irawan

Disease Free Survival of Stage I Endometrial Cancer after Surgery with or without Adjuvant Treatment

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12553

Woraluk Moradokkasem, Nungrutai Saeaib, Tippawan Liabsuetrakul

Lactate Level as a Prediction Factor of Reperforation after Repairing Gastric Perforation

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12556

Vicky Sumarki Budipramana

Assessment of the Rapid Immunochromatographic Test as a Diagnostic Tool for Norovirus Related Diarrhea in Children

doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12557

Reza Gunadi Ranuh, Alpha Fardah Athiyyah, Deanty Ayu PA, Andy Darma, Dadik Rahardjo, Toshiro Shirakawa, Subijanto Marto Sudarmo SSN

Printed ISSN

Electronic ISSN

instruction for Author

How to Submit

Guidelines for Authors

Online Submission

Document Template

Disclaimer

Journal Polic

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics

Article Proccessing Charge

Editorial Team

Peer Reviewers

Peer Review Process

Open Access Statement

Plagiarism Check

Archiving

Copyright

Contact

Old Website

Accreditation Certificate



OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username Password

☐ Remember me

Logiir

NOTIFICATIONS

Profile of Drug Hypersensitivity Patients Hospitalized in Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia: Preliminary Data of 6 Months Observation	54- 57	View Subscribe	
10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12558		JOURNAL CONTENT	
Nur Moya Isyroqiyyah, Gatot Soegiarto, Yuani Setiawati			
Correlation of Lower Limb Muscles and Body Mass Index with Body Balance in the Elderly	58- 62	Search Search Scope All	
10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12559		Search	
Natasya Valentina, Patricia M Kurniawati, Margarita M Maramis			
The Effect of Hypercholesterolemia on Cortical Bone Thickness of Wistar Rats (Rattus norvegicus) doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12561	63- 67	Browse By Issue By Author By Title Other Journals	
Auliya Dzaqiyatus Sofka, Pudji Lestari, Gadis Meinar Sari			
Nanya Desgriptios Sonta, Pauji Lestani, Gauls Memai Sani		FONT SIZE	
Case report: Thrombocytopenia in a Patient Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention	68-	[]	
	73	Visitors	
doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12562		ID 23,860 GB 313	
Yudi Her Oktaviono, Feranti Meuthia		US 4,016 AU 302	
Case Report: Blowout Fracture Complex Type	74-	IN 643 TH 274 MY 358 CN 222 PH 328 TR 192	
doi 10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12564	81	Pageviews: 118,139	
Fauzi Helmi, Boedy Setya Santoso		FLAG	















00133852

View My Stats



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License









DIREKTORAT JENDERAL PENGUATAN RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN DIREKTORAT PENGELOLAAN KEKAYAAN INTELEKTUAL

Sertifikat

Kutipan dari Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Penguatan Riset dan Pengembangan Kementerian Riset, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan Tinggi Republik Indonesia Nomor: 2/E/KPT/2015, Tanggal 1 Desember 2015 Tentang Hasil Akreditasi Terbitan Berkala Ilmiah Periode II Tahun 2015

> Nama Terbitan Berkala Ilmiah Folia Medica Indonesia ISSN: 2355-8393

Penerbit: Graha Masyarakat Ilmiah Kedokteran (Gramik) Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Airlangga

Ditetapkan sebagai Terbitan Berkala Ilmiah

TERAKREDITASI

Akreditasi sebagaimana tersebut di atas berlaku selama 5 (lima) tahun sejak ditetapkan.

Jakarta, 15 Desember 2015

Direktur Pengelolaan Kekayaan Intelektual,

Direktorat Jenderal Penguatan Riset dan Pengembangan

Dr. Sadjuga, M.Sc

P. 195901171986111001

The state of the s

ASSESSMENT OF THE RAPID IMMUNOCHROMATOGRAPHIC TEST AS A DIAGNOSTIC TOOL FOR NOROVIRUS RELATED DIARRHEA IN CHILDREN

Reza Gunadi Ranuh¹,², Alpha Fardah Athiyyah^{1,2}, Deanty Ayu PA¹, Andy Darma^{1,2}, Dadik Raharjo^{2,5}, Toshiro Shirakawa^{2,3,4}, Subijanto Marto Sudarmo^{1,2}

¹Department of Child Health, Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, ²Indonesia-Japan Collaborative Research Center for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases, Institute of Tropical Disease, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia, ³Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of International Health, Kobe University Graduate School of Health Science, Kobe, Japan, ⁴Center for Infectious Diseases, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan, ⁵Institute of Tropical Disease, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

In developing countries, Norovirus is the second-leading cause of acute diarrhea, after rotavirus. The approved gold standard method for diagnosis of norovirus infection is RT-PCR. The rapid immunochromatographic test is a novel and expedient method for diagnosing norovirus that is relatively affordable. However, the use of the rapid immunochromatographic test remains controversial because of its accuracy. This study aimed to explore whether the rapid immunochromatographic test could be used for diagnosing norovirus-related diarrhea in children. Rapid immunochromatographic test (QuickNaviTM-Norovirus2) and RT-PCR on stool samples was used to diagnose norovirus. Stool samples were obtained from pediatric patients aged between 1 and 60 months who had diarrhea and were admitted to the pediatric ward at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya, between April 2013 and March 2014. Ninety-four subjects provided stool samples that were tested using QuickNaviTM-Noro2 and RT-PCR. Using the test, 64 samples tested positive for norovirus and 30 tested negatives. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of the rapid immunochromatographic test were consecutively 90.3%, 42.9%, 43.8%, 90%, and 58.5%. RT-PCR was used to test all samples to assess the accuracy, which showed that one from 31 samples contained the GI strain (1.1%), while 30 samples (32%) contained the GII strain. This study definitively establishes that the rapid immunochromatography test is not sufficiently accurate for use as a screening or diagnostic tool in norovirus-related diarrhea cases in children.

Keywords: Norovirus; rapid immunochromatographic test; RT-PCR

ABSTRAK

Di negara-negara berkembang, Norovirus adalah penyebab kedua diare akut setelah rotavirus. Metode standar emas yang disetujui untuk diagnosis infeksi norovirus adalah RT-PCR. Tes imunokromatografi cepat adalah metode baru dan bijaksana untuk mendiagnosis norovirus yang relatif terjangkau. Namun, penggunaan tes imunokromatografi cepat tetap kontroversial karena akurasinya. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengeksplorasi apakah tes imunokromatografi cepat dapat digunakan untuk mendiagnosis diare terkait norovirus pada anak-anak. Tes imunokromatografi cepat (QuickNaviTM-Norovirus2) dan RT-PCR pada sampel tinja digunakan untuk mendiagnosis norovirus. Sampel tinja diperoleh dari pasien anak berusia antara 1 dan 60 bulan yang mengalami diare dan dirawat di bangsal anak di Rumah Sakit Umum Dr. Soetomo Surabaya, antara April 2013 dan Maret 2014. Sembilan puluh empat subjek memberikan sampel tinja yang diuji menggunakan QuickNaviTM -Noro2 dan RT-PCR. Menggunakan tes, 64 sampel dinyatakan positif norovirus dan 30 negatif. Sensitivitas, spesifisitas, nilai prediksi positif, nilai prediksi negatif, dan keakuratan tes imunokromatografi cepat berturut-turut 90,3%, 42,9%, 43,8%, 90%, dan 58,5%. RT-PCR digunakan untuk menguji semua sampel untuk menilai akurasi, yang menunjukkan bahwa satu dari 31 sampel mengandung galur G1 (1,1%), sementara 30 sampel (32%) berisi galur GII. Studi ini secara definitif menetapkan bahwa tes imunokromatografi cepat tidak cukup akurat untuk digunakan sebagai skrining atau alat diagnostik dalam kasus diare terkait norovirus pada anak-anak.

Kata kunci: Norovirus; uji imunokromatografi cepat; RT-PCR

Correspondence: Alpha Fardah Athiyyah, Jl. Medokan Semampir Indah I/2, Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia. Phone:+628123585507. E-mail: alpha-f-a@fk.unair.ac.id

pISSN:2355-8393 • eISSN: 2599-056x • doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.20473/fmi.v55i1.12557 • Fol Med Indones. 2019;55:48-53 • Received 10 Dec 2018 • Accepted 6 Mar 2019

• Open access under CC-BY-NC-SA license • Available at https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/FMI/

INTRODUCTION

In developing countries, Norovirus is the secondleading cause of acute diarrhea, after rotavirus (Patel et al 2008). Moreover, norovirus is the pathogen most frequently responsible for episodes of acute gastroenteritis in children aged 3-5 years (Hall et al 2012, Atmar & Estes 2006). Norovirus infection is more prevalent than rotavirus infection due to extensive rotavirus vaccination.(Atmar and Estes, Norovirus has led to the deaths of more than 200,000 children below the age of 5 in developing countries over the past two decades (Hall et al 2012). The Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported that norovirus cases accounted for more than 46% of all diarrhea cases with at least 23 million infections occurring in America each year.(Hall 2011, Hall et al 2012, CDC 2016) A study performed in Indonesia found norovirus in 31 out of 102 stool samples (Subekti et al 2002)

To date, seven norovirus genogroups (GI-GVII) have been successfully identified. GI and GII are the most common strains and are found in many studies (Zhuo et al 2017). It is vital to identify the norovirus strain and genus early in the diagnostic period as it allows for the pathogenesis of each case to be predicted leading to better and more personalized treatment. Norovirus diversity, and infection rates present many challenges for the immediate and appropriate detection of the virus for health practitioners.

Norovirus is detected by checking stool samples using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), real-time PCR, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and electron microscopy. The approved gold standard method for diagnosis of norovirus infection is RT-PCR (Atmar & Estes 2001). The rapid immunochromatographic test is a novel and expedient method for diagnosing norovirus that is relatively affordable. However, the use of immunochromatographic test remains controversial because of its accuracy. The development of this method has led to the production of a new device called the QuickNaviTM-Noro2 which can give results in 15-30 minutes (Saito et al 2014) The study, which used QuickNaviTM-Noro2 kits to immunochromatographic tests on 172 stool samples, reported 92% sensitivity and 98.3% sensitivity, respectively with test accuracy as high as 94.2% (Saito et al 2014). Another study in Japan, which examined 50 stools from children using three types of rapid immunochromatographic test (ImmunoCatch-Noro, QuickNaviTM-Noro2, GE test Noro Nissui), found that the sensitivity and specificity of the tests were consecutively 96.7 and 100%, 96.7 and 100%, and 93.3 and 100% (Khamrin et al 2014).

This study aimed to assess if a rapid immunochromatographic test to diagnose norovirus in stool samples is applicable as a viable alternative in diagnosing norovirus infection in pediatric diarrhea cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The study was designed to compare the rapid immunochromatographic test and RT-PCR, the gold standard diagnosis tool. The study was conducted at pediatric patients from the gastroenterology ward, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital and the Laboratory of Tropical Disease (ITD), Universitas Airlangga Surabaya from April 2013 until March 2014. The subjects of this study were patients, age 1-60 months who are fulfilled the inclusion criteria from the pediatric gastroenterology ward, Dr. Soetomo Teaching Hospital.

Study population

The inclusion criteria of this study were: age between 1-60 months; acute diarrheal symptoms (defecation more than three times per day with watery consistency for less than 14 days), with written informed consent from the parents. Samples would be excluded from further testing if the amount of stool was insufficient for the test. Stool samples were collected and RT-PCR then performed following stool samples collection in which the result is visualized through gel electrophoresis.

Laboratory tests

QuickNaviTM-Noro2 (Denka Seiken Co., Ltd) was used to perform the immunochromatography test in this study and norovirus diagnosis was confirmed using reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) methods. To extract norovirus, the Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit II (Geneaid Biotech Ltd., New Taipei - Catalogue No. VI050/100/300) was used. RT-PCR was performed using the Invitrogen ThermoScript RT-PCR System (Invitrogen Life Technologies, USA, Product Code. 10155132) and primary-single oligonucleotides specific for GISKF (5' CTGCCCGAATTYGTAAATGA 3'), G1SKR (5' CCAACCCARCCATTRTACA 3'), G2SKF (5' CNTGGGAGGGCGATCGCAA 3'), and G2SKR (5' CCRCCNGCATRHCCRTTRTACAT 3') (Kojima et al 2002). Gel electrophoresis was done by using Sigma-Aldrich agarose gel (Catalogue No. P5722). All process from nucleic acid extraction, RT-PCR, and electrophoresis was done at The Institute of Tropical

Disease of Universitas Airlangga laboratory. The results were statistically analyzed.

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using 2 x 2 tables. Analysis include measuring the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, probability ratio, and pretest probability.

RESULTS

Samples were collected in the pediatric wards of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya. There were 95 subjects recruited for the study. One subject was excluded because the stool sample volume was insufficient for examination. All of the remaining 94 samples were tested for norovirus using the rapid immunochromatography test. Using the immunochromatography test 64 samples were positive for norovirus and 30 were negative. Of the 64 samples that tested positive by rapid immunochromatography, further testing using RT-PCR method revealed that only 28 were truly positive for norovirus. Therefore, of the 64 samples that tested positive by immunochromatography 36 were false positives as they tested negative using the gold standard of RT-PCR. The 30 samples that produced negative results in the rapid immunochromatography test were also tested using RT-PCR and three of them were positive for norovirus and the remaining 27 samples were truly negative (Figure 1). The demographic characteristics of the ninety-four subjects that were included in the study were then analyzed (Table 1).

Norovirus was identified in 64 subjects (68%). Norovirus was mostly found in the 6-12-month age group, and the least in the 24 months or older age group which accounts for 5% of the samples. Most subjects have a good nutrition status (72.3%), and those who were wasted and severely wasted accounted for 19 and 9% of the sample population, respectively. A total of 20 subjects (21%) had or were currently being breastfed. Only 8 (9%) of the subjects had never been breastfed. The majority of the subjects were exposed to breastfeeding and formula milk (66 subjects, 70%).

All data related to gastrointestinal symptoms experienced by the subjects were analyzed to identify the characteristics of diarrhea and accompanying symptoms (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

Variable	Subjects (N = 94)	
Age (months)	(1.	
1-5	18 (19)	
6-12	57 (61)	
13-24	14 (15)	
> 24	5 (5)	
Gender	- (-)	
Male	58 (62)	
Female	36 (39)	
Nutrition Status	()	
Normal	68 (72)	
Wasted	18 (19)	
Severely Wasted	8 (9)	
Breastfeeding Status	- (-)	
Never	8 (9)	
Breastfeeding & formula milk	66 (70)	
Breastfeeding only	20 (21)	

Table 2. Clinical characteristics

Parameter	Sample (n=94)	Median	Range
Diarrhea duration (days)		2	1-4
Diarrhea frequency/24 h		5	1-15
Vomiting, n (%)	60 (64%)		1 13
Vomiting duration (days)	()	1	0-8
Vomiting frequency/24 h		2	0-10
Fever	56 (60%)	2	0-10
Fever duration (days)	10 (00/0)	2	0-14
Diarrhea type, n (%)		2	0-14
Watery	59 (63%)		
Loose	23 (25%)		
Bloody	6 (6%)		
Mucoid	6 (6%)		
Dehydration, n (%)	5 (575)		
Without dehydration	2 (2%)		
Mild-moderate	88 (94%)		
Severe	4 (4%)		

Positive Likelihood ratio

Negative Likelihood ratio

 Variable
 Value (%)
 95 % confidence interval

 Sensitivity (%)
 90.3
 85.1 – 95.5

 Specificity (%)
 42.9
 37.6 – 48.1

 Positive predictive value (%)
 43.8
 40.8 – 46.7

 Negative predictive value (%)
 90
 81.9 – 98.0

1.6

0.23

Table 3. Rapid immunochromatographic test in diagnosing norovirus-related diarrhea

The average duration of the diarrhea was two days. The mean frequency of diarrhea was five times in 24 hours. Subjects also experienced vomiting and fever. The average duration of vomiting was two days and the frequency were twice in 24 hours. Watery diarrhea (63%) was the most common type of diarrhea. Most subjects (94%) experienced mild-moderate dehydration while experiencing diarrhea.

All stool samples were tested using rapid immunochromatography method and the result was compared with those obtained by performing the RT-PCR test. There are 94 examined samples. There are 28 positive results in both RT-PCR and immunochromatography. 27 results are true negative. Only three samples were false negative for immunochromatography. However, as much as 36 samples were detected false positive.

This study used an RT-PCR test with a single primer to screen for GI and GII strains of norovirus. Based on norovirus genogroup identification, GI was found in one sample (1%) and GII in 30 samples (32%). Using the results obtained in this study, diagnostic tests value of immunochromatography were analyzed using SPSS 20.0. (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The subjects of this study were pediatric patients in the gastroenterology ward in the Dr. Soetomo General Hospital aged 1-60 months who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. There were 94 subjects who were recruited for the study. The proportion of subjects with norovirus-related diarrhea was highest in the 6-12-month age group with 57 samples (60.6%). This finding differs from that of Oldak et al, who showed that the incidence of norovirus or rotavirus-related diarrhea was highest in the 11-23 months age group accounting for 50 and 60% of the study populations, respectively (Oldak et al 2012)

All stool samples were tested using the QuickNaviTM-Noro2 kit and RT-PCR to detect the presence of norovirus. There were 64 samples that tested positive for norovirus using the rapid immunochromatography test and 30 samples that tested negative. All samples

were re-tested using the proven RT-PCR method. Three samples (10%) that were negative for norovirus in the initial rapid immunochromatography test tested positive for norovirus when tested using RT-PCR. This result is similar to that of a previous study conducted in Japan where samples that were negative for norovirus in rapid immunochromatography testing turned out to be positive in RT-PCR test covered nine out of 68 samples (Khamrin et al 2014). This results supported the claim that immunochromatographic assay is good enough in detecting true positive sample.

1.0-4.2

0.2 - 1.7

The objective of this study was to determine the suitability of the rapid immunochromatography test as a diagnostic tool for norovirus through assessing sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values, negative predictive value, probability ratio, and pretest probability. The sensitivity of this test was 90.3% and the specificity was only 42.9%. In addition, the positive predictive value was 42.3%, the negative predictive value was 90%, and the accuracy value was only 58.5%. A diagnostic test is classified as acceptable when the diagnostic parameters are high values. (Dahlan 2009, Sastroasmoro & Ismael 2011).

Here, the sensitivity of rapid immunochromatography was at 90.3% indicating that as many as 90.3% of subjects with norovirus can be detected using this test. However, a specificity value of 42.9% indicates that only 42.9% of norovirus negative subjects could be detected using this immunochromatography test. Meanwhile, another study by Saito et al found that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of this test were higher with values of 92.0%, 98.3%, and 94.2%, respectively (Saito et al 2014). In addition, the low positive predictive value obtained for this test (Table 3) indicates that the rapid immunochromatography test was unable to detect norovirus in true-positive samples. The high negative predictive value shows that this test was good enough identify the true-negative samples. Moreover, the low positive and negative likelihood ratios observed in our study indicate that the rapid immunochromatography test was unable to detect samples with positive or negative probabilities of norovirus presence. This is inconsistent with the findings of Khamrin et al, who obtained a positive predictive value of 100% for this test (Khamrin et al

2014). However, this study reflected similar finding that stated possibility of false-positive and false-negative results should be considered (Kim & Kim 2016).

possible that limitation of the rapid immunochromatography test in this study is due to its ability to identify whether cross-reaction is exists within this study. There's possibility that the low specificity, low positive predictive value, and low accuracy compared to the studies of Saito et al and Khamrin et al were occurred due to detection of unknown agents in our sample. The unknown agent can be bacterial or viral in origin, based on agents listed in product manual. Among all cross-reactive agents ever mentioned in the product manual, we highly suspect rotavirus as the major cause. This is based on study by Hakim et al which showed that rotavirus positive samples in several cities Indonesia was as high as 80% and as low as 37.5% during 1978-2015. The study also showed that during April-December 2013 in Surabaya, percentage of rotavirus positive sample was 40% (Hakim et al 2018). While unlikely, we also suspect that variation of norovirus genogroups may exists in our sample. This suspicion was supported by a study which confirmed norovirus-specific monoclonal specifically NV23, is broadly reactive to 16 virus-like proteins from different genotypes of genogroup I, II, and IV (Kou et al 2015). These genogroups variants, while undefined by our RT-PCR due to only GI and GII which were examined, may have created cross reaction with the test. However, our study is limited in giving adequate evidence for any of those causes.

Based on the discussion about the possibility of crossreaction and several studies which supported the claim of high sensitivity and specificity (Saito et al 2014, Khamrin et al 2014), there is a suggestion that the differences between our result and prior studies were caused by the default sample preparation technique for QuickNaviTM-Noro2. Whereas, usage of stool sample managed to avoid cross-reaction with virus-like particle of ABH histo-blood antigen (Harrington et al 2002), the device's sample preparation uses diluted fecal swab material without any purification technique, as written in the device manual. Hence, in highly endemic population, non-norovirus agents may present in such material, thus may be detected by the device and yielding in high false positive result. This finally result in low specificity, low positive predictive value, and ultimately, low accuracy.

A test modality which showed low specificity does not have to be a bad test. If a test yields a very high sensitivity result, regardless of their specificity, it may be used as screening test for certain diseases (Sastroasmoro & Ismael 2011). This view is supported

by a study, in which ELISA kits was found to be useful for a preliminary screening, provided that ELISA-negative outbreaks will be re-tested by RT-PCR methods (de Bruin et al 2006).

This study showed that rapid immunochromatography test is not sufficiently accurate for use as a screening or diagnostic tool in norovirus-related diarrhea cases in children, especially in population where cross-reactive agents were suspected to exist. However, this study has limitations in defining the genogroups, in which only GI and GII was tested, and only by four kinds of specific primer. Moreover, this study only uses only one kind of immunochromatographic test. Hence, this study is inapplicable to other immunochromatographic tests which use different marking technique. Therefore, further study is needed to confirm the identity of these cross-reacting agents.

CONCLUSION

This study definitively establishes that the rapid immunochromatography test is not sufficiently accurate for use as a screening or diagnostic tool in norovirusrelated diarrhea cases in children.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All authors acknowledge Universitas Airlangga and Kobe University for institutional support and program funding.

REFERENCES

Atmar RL, Estes MK (2001). Diagnosis of noncultivatable gastroenteritis viruses, the human caliciviruses. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 14, 15-37

Atmar RL, Estes MK (2006). The Epidemiologic and clinical importance of norovirus infection. Gastroenterology Clinics of North America 35, 275-290

de Bruin E, et al (2006). Diagnosis of Norovirus outbreaks by commercial ELISA or RT-PCR. Journal of Virological Methods 137, 259-264

CDC (2016). Norovirus.

Dahlan, M. (2009) Penelitian Diagnostik. Jakarta, Salemba Medika

Hakim MS, et al (2018). Significance of continuous rotavirus and norovirus surveillance in Indonesia. World Journal of Pediatrics. Childrens Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, (0123456789)

- Hall A (2011). Incidence of Acute Gastroenteritis and Role of Norovirus, Georgia, USA, 2004-2005. Emerging Infectious Diseases
- Hall Aj, et al (2012). The roles of clostridium difficile and norovirus among gastroenteritis- associated deaths in the United States, 1999-2007. Clinical Infectious Diseases 55, 216-223
- Harrington PR, et al (2002). Binding of Norwalk viruslike particles to ABH histo-blood group antigens is blocked by antisera from infected human volunteers or experimentally vaccinated mice. Journal of virology 76, 12335-43
- Khamrin P, et al (2014). Comparison of three rapid tests for detection of norovirus in stool samples of acute gastroenteritis pediatric patients. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics 60, 481-483
- Kim HS, Kim JS (2016). Discrepancies between Antigen and Polymerase Chain Reaction Tests for the Detection of Rotavirus and Norovirus. Annals of clinical and laboratory science 46, 282-5
- Kojima S, et al (2002). Genogroup-specific PCR primers for detection of Norwalk-like viruses. Journal of Virological Methods 100, 107-114
- Kou B, et al (2015). Characterization of cross-reactive norovirus-specific monoclonal antibodies. Clinical and vaccine immunology CVI 22, 160-7

- Oldak E, et al (2012). Norovirus infections in children under 5 years of age hospitalized due to the acute viral gastroenteritis in northeastern Poland. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 31, 417-422
- Patel MM, et al (2008). Systematic literature review of role of noroviruses in sporadic gastroenteritis. Emerging Infectious Diseases 14, 1224-1231
- Saito Y, Kato D, Inano K (2014). [Development of norovirus rapid detection kit "QuickNaviTM-Norovirus2"]. Rinsho Biseibutsu Jinsoku Shindan Kenkyukai shi = JARMAM. Journal of the Association for Rapid Method and Automation in Microbiology 24, 45-50
- Sastroasmoro S, Ismael, S (2011). Dasar-dasar Metodologi Penelitian Klinis. 4th Ed. Jakarta, CV Sagung Seto
- Subekti DS, et al (2002). Characterization of Norwalk-like virus associated with gastroenteritis in Indonesia. Journal of Medical Virology 67, 253-258
- Zhuo R, et al (2017). High genetic variability of norovirus leads to diagnostic test challenges. Journal of clinical virology: the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology. Elsevier 96, 94-98