

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Albert, S., & Duffy. (2012). Differences in risk aversion between young and older adults. *Neuroscience and Neuroeconomics*, 2012(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.2147/nan.s27184>
- Anderson, L. R., & Mellor, J. M. (2008). Predicting health behaviors with an experimental measure of risk preference. *Journal of Health Economics*, 27(5), 1260–1274.
- Best, R., & Charness, N. (2015). Age differences in the effect of framing on risky choice: A meta-analysis. *Psychology and Aging*, 30(3), 688–698. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039447>
- Blanca, M. J., Alarcón, R., Arnau, J., Bono, R., & Bendayan, R. (2017). Non-normal data: Is ANOVA still a valid option? *Psicothema*, 29(4), 552–557. <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2016.383>
- Cooper, M. L., Agocha, V. B., & Sheldon, M. S. (2000). A motivational perspective on risky behaviors: The role of personality and affect regulatory processes. *Journal of Personality*, 68(6), 1059–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.00126>
- Druckman, J. N. (2001). THE IMPLICATIONS OF FRAMING EFFECTS FOR CITIZEN COMPETENCE. In *Political Behavior* (Vol. 23, Nomor 3).
- Druckman, J. N., & McDermott, R. (2008). Emotion and the framing of risky choice. *Political Behavior*, 30(3), 297–321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-008-9056-y>
- Elwyn, G., Stiel, M., Durand, M.-A., & Boivin, J. (2011). The design of patient decision support interventions: addressing the theory-practice gap. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 17(4), 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01517.x>
- Fagley, N. S., & Miller, P. M. (1987). The Effects of Decision Framing on Choice of Risky vs Certain Options. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 39, 264–277.
- Fagley, N. S., & Miller, P. M. (1990). The Effect of Framing on Choice. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 16(3), 496–510. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167290163008>
- Fanis, M. (2004). Collective Action Meets Prospect Theory: An Application to Coalition Building in Chile, 1973-75. *Political Psychology*, 25(3), 363–388. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2004.00376.x>

- Field, A. (2010). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics, 4th Edition* (M. Carmichael (ed.); 3rd ed.). SAGE Publications Inc.
- Field, A., & Miles, J. (2010). *Discovering Statistics Using SAS*. SAGE Publications Inc.
- Fischer, F. (2019). Knowledge politics and post-truth in climate denial: on the social construction of alternative facts. *Critical Policy Studies*, 13(2), 133–152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19460171.2019.1602067>
- Fisher, S. A. (2020). Meaning and framing: the semantic implications of psychological framing effects. *Inquiry*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020174X.2020.1810115>
- Gamliel, E., & Kreiner, H. (2019). Applying Fuzzy-Trace Theory to Attribute-Framing Bias: Gist and Verbatim Representations of Quantitative Information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 46(3). <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000741>
- Gardner, M., & Steinberg, L. (2005). *Peer Influence on Risk Taking, Risk Preference, and Risky Decision Making in Adolescence and Adulthood: An Experimental Study*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.41.4.625>
- Grichnik, D. (2008). Risky choices in new venture decisions - Experimental evidence from Germany and the United States. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 6(1), 22–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10843-008-0019-5>
- Hadie, S. N. H., Hassan, A., Ismail, Z. I. M., Asari, M. A., Khan, A. A., Kasim, F., Yusof, N. A. M., MananSulong, H. A., Tg Muda, T. F. M., Arifin, W. N., & Yusoff, M. S. B. (2017). Anatomy education environment measurement inventory: A valid tool to measure the anatomy learning environment. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 10(5), 423–432. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1683>
- Halpern, D. F., Blackman, S., & Salzman, B. (1989). Using statistical risk information to assess oral contraceptive safety. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 3(3), 251–260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2350030305>
- Hardisty, D. J., Johnson, E. J., & Weber, E. U. (2010). A dirty word or a dirty world? attribute framing, political affiliation, and query theory. *Psychological Science*, 21(1), 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797609355572>
- Heilman, R. M., & Miclea, M. (2016). Risk Seeking Preferences: An Investigation of Framing Effects across Decisional Domains. *Cognition, Brain, Behavior: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 20(1), 1–16.
- Hellinger, F. J. (1989). Expected utility theory and risky choices with health outcomes. *Medical Care*, 27(3), 273–279. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-198903000-00005>

- Highhouse, S., & Yüce, P. (1996). Perspectives, perceptions, and risk-taking behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 65(2), 159–167. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1996.0014>
- Hoffrage, U., Petrova, D., Heilman, R. M., Hill, T., Kusev, P., & Van Schaik, P. (2019). *Choice Under Risk: How Occupation Influences Preferences*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02003>
- Howitt, D., & Cramer, D. (2011). *Introduction to research methods in psychology* (3 ed.). Pearson Education.
- Hunton, J. E., McEwen, R. A., & Bhattacharjee, S. (2001). Toward an Understanding of the Risky Choice Behavior of Professional Financial Analysts. *Journal of Psychology and Financial Markets*, 2(4), 182–189. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327760jpfm0204_2
- Igou, E. R., & Bless, H. (2007). On undesirable consequences of thinking: framing effects as a function of substantive processing. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 20(2), 125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.543>
- Jensen, N. M., & Shin, M. J. (2014). Globalization and Domestic Trade Policy Preferences: Foreign Frames and Mass Support for Agriculture Subsidies. *International Interactions*, 40(3), 305–324. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050629.2014.899228>
- Johnson, E. J., Payne, J. W., & Bettman, J. R. (1988). Information displays and preference reversals. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 42(1), 1–21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(88\)90017-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(88)90017-9)
- Kahneman, D. (1991). JUDGMENT AND DECISION MAKING: A Personal View. *PSYCHOLOGICAL SCIENCE*, 2(3), 142–145.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). PROSPECT THEORY: AN ANALYSIS OF DECISION UNDER RISK. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.
- Krishnamurthy, P., Carter, P., & Blair, E. (2001). Attribute Framing and Goal Framing Effects in Health Decisions. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 85(2), 382–399. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.2001.2962>
- Kühberger, A. (1998). *The Influence of Framing on Risky Decisions: A Meta-analysis*. 75(1), 23–55. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1998.2781>
- Kühberger, A., & Tanner, C. (2010). Risky choice framing: Task versions and a comparison of prospect theory and fuzzy-trace theory. In *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* (Vol. 23, Nomor 3, hal. 314–329). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.656>
- Kusev, P., & van Schaik, P. (2011). Preferences under risk: Content-dependent

- behavior and psychological processing. In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 2, Nomor NOV). Front Psychol. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00269>
- Lauriola, M., Russo, P. M., Lucidi, F., Violani, C., & Levin, I. P. (2005). The role of personality in positively and negatively framed risky health decisions. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2004.03.020>
- Lee, B. G., Liu, J., Choung, H., & McLeod, D. (2019). Framing Risk with Numbers: The Framing Effects of Risk Assertions and Number Formats on Emotions and Risk Perceptions. *Mass Communication and Society*, 22(3), 344–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2018.1536790>
- Levin, I. P., Schneider, S. L., & Gaeth, G. J. (1998). All Frames Are Not Created Equal: A Typology and Critical Analysis of Framing Effects. In *ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR AND HUMAN DECISION PROCESSES* (Vol. 76, Nomor 2).
- Linde, J., & Sonnemans, J. (2012). Social comparison and risky choices. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 44(1), 45–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11166-011-9135-z>
- Liu, E. M. (2013). Time to change what to sow: Risk preferences and technology adoption decisions of cotton farmers in China. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 95(4), 1386–1403. https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00295
- Lusk, J. L., & Coble, K. H. (2005). Risk Perceptions, Risk Preference, and Acceptance of Risky Food. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 87(2), 393–405. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2005.00730.x>
- Mandel, D. R. (2014). Do framing effects reveal irrational choice? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(3), 1185–1198. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034207>
- Marks, L. A., Kalaitzandonakes, N., Wilkins, L., & Zakharova, L. (2007). Mass media framing of biotechnology news. *Public Understanding of Science*, 16(2), 183–203. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662506065054>
- McNeil, B., Pauker, S., Sox, H., & Tversky, A. (1982). On the elicitation of preferences for alternative therapies. *N Engl J Med*, 1259–1262. 10.1056/nejm198205273062103
- Morling, B. (2017). *Research methods in psychology: evaluating a world of information* (3 ed.). W. W. Norton & Company.
- Navarro, D., & Foxcroft, D. (2019). *Learning statistics with jamovi: a tutorial for psychology students and other beginners*. 10.24384/hgc3-7p15
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7 ed.). Pearson Education.

- Park, N. Y. (2016). Domain-specific risk preference and cognitive ability. *Economics Letters*, *141*, 1–4.
- Peng, J., Li, H., Miao, D., Feng, X., & Xiao, W. (2013). Five different types of framing effects in medical situation: A preliminary exploration. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, *15*(2), 161. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.8469>
- Peterson, C. H., Peterson, N. A., & Powell, K. G. (2017). Cognitive interviewing for item development: Validity evidence based on content and response processes. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, *50*(4), 217–223. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481756.2017.1339564>
- Repetto, C., Sek'áci, K., Sek'áci, S., Kusev, P., Purser, H., Heilman, R. M., Cooke, A. J., Schaik, P. Van, Baranova, V., Martin, R., & Ayton, P. (2017). Understanding Risky Behavior: The Influence of Cognitive, Emotional and Hormonal Factors on Decision-Making under Risk. *Frontiers in Psychology* / www.frontiersin.org, *8*, 102. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00102>
- Reyna, V. F. (2004). How People Make Decisions That Involve Risk. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *13*(2), 60–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2004.00275.x>
- Reyna, V. F., & Brainerd, C. J. (1991). Fuzzy-trace theory and framing effects in choice: Gist extraction, truncation, and conversion. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, *4*(4), 249–262. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.3960040403>
- Rothman, A. J., Salovey, P., Bedell, B., Cioffi, D., Dertweiler, J., Lemm, K., Moyer, A., Wegener, D., & Zullo, J. (1997). Shaping Perceptions to Motivate Healthy Behavior: The Role of Message Framing. In *Psychological Bulletin Copyright* (Vol. 121, Nomor 1). Weinstein.
- Scheier, M. F., & Carver, C. S. (1985). Optimism, coping, and health: Assessment and implications of generalized outcome expectancies. *Health Psychology*, *4*(3), 219–247. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0278-6133.4.3.219>
- Schoorman, F. D., Mayer, R. C., Douglas, C. A., & Hetrick, C. T. (1994). Escalation of Commitment and the Framing Effect: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *24*(6), 509–528. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1994.tb00596.x>
- Schwarz, N. (1990). Feelings as information: Informational and motivational functions of affective states. In E. T. Higgins & R. Sorrentino (Ed.), *Handbook of Motivation and Cognition*. Guilford Press. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1990-98254-015>
- Sperber, A. D. (2004). Translation and Validation of Study Instruments for Cross-Cultural Research. *Gastroenterology*, *126*(1), S124–S128.

<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2003.10.016>

- Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. *Developmental Review*, 28(1), 78–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.002>
- Steinberg, L. (2006). Risk taking in adolescence: What changes, and why? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1021(1), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1308.005>
- Tanner, C., & Medin, D. L. (2004). Protected values: No omission bias and no framing effects CARMEN. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 185–191.
- Tomova, L., & Pessoa, L. (2018). Information about peer choices shapes human risky decision-making. *Scientific Reports*, 8.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The Framing of Decisions and the Psychology of Choice. *science*, 211, 452–458. www.sciencemag.org
- Van Leijenhorst, L., Westenberg, P. M., & Crone, E. A. (2008). A developmental study of risky decisions on the cake gambling task: Age and gender analyses of probability estimation and reward evaluation. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 33(2), 179–196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640701884287>
- Wallin, A., Paradis, C., & Katsikopoulos, K. V. (2016). Evaluative polarity words in risky choice framing. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 106, 20–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2016.09.005>
- Wang, X. T. (1996). *Framing Effects I Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes Vol Framing Effects: Dynamics and Task Domains* (Vol. 68, Nomor 2).
- Wen, J., Aston, J., Liu, X., & Ying, T. (2020). Effects of misleading media coverage on public health crisis: a case of the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. In *Anatolia* (Vol. 31, Nomor 2, hal. 331–336). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2020.1730621>
- Westfall, J. (2016). *PANGEA: Power ANalysis for GEneral Anova designs*. <http://jakewestfall.org/pangea/>