

# Percutaneous device versus surgical closure of patent ductus arteriosus

# Ahmad Zakky Mashuri<sup>1</sup>, Mahrus A. Rahman<sup>1\*</sup>, Ketut Alit Utamayasa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga - Dr. Soetomo Teaching Hospital, Surabaya Surabaya 60131, INDONESIA \*Corresponding author: mahrus\_rahman@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

Background: Various devices have employed for percutaneous closure of Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA). Although effectiveness of device closure has been determined, a few studies focused on the cost-effectiveness and postoperative complications comparison with open surgery. Purpose: Evaluate of PDA occlusion by Amplatzer Ductal Occluder in comparison with open surgical closure. Method: A reviewed study from medical record in children with PDA whom underwent interventions for three years. The interventions including Amplatzer Ductal Occluder and open surgical closure. Cross sectional analysis from medical record was done. Data of demographic, characteristic PDA, lenght of stay, costs, interventions, outcome, and complication were collected. Difference were analyzed using Mann Whitney test. Result: Eighteen children (4/18 male) were diagnosed with PDA. The median age was 6.0 years, (SD 9.98). Most of patient with moderate PDA (13/18) and large PDA (5/18). Interventions including Amplatzer ductal occluder (9/18) and open surgical closure (9/18). In surgical group have experienced to be cared in ICU during hospitalized (9/18, median 0.5 day, p<0.01). The lenght of stay during hospitalized was longer in surgical group (median 5.5 days, p<0.01). The calculated costs were higher in surgical group median 28.099.500 IDR, p=0.04). No event of mortality was observed in both of group. However in surgical group, one patient experienced pneumonia, and one patient suffered electrolyte abnormality including hypokalemia. Conclusion: Amplatzer Ductal Occluder was more preferable because of its lower inexpensive and complication than surgical technique.

Keywords: patent ductus arteriosus, amplatzer ductal occluder, open surgery closure

Mashuri AZ, Rahman AM, Utamayasa KA (2020) Percutaneous device versus surgical closure of patent ductus arteriosus. Eurasia J Biosci 14: 3455-3457.

© 2020 Mashuri et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

# INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular is currently a disease of high urgency in the world (Widiyanti, et al. 2016). Patent Ductus Arteriosus occurs in 5% to 10% of all Coronary Heart Disease, excluding premature infants. It is more common in females than in males (male-to-female ratio of 1:3). PDA is a common problem in premature infants (Rhee, et al. 2019).

Most surgeons of PDA may be related to a variety of factors including the perceived risk of deep sternal wound infection (Royse, Aet al. 2018). After cardiac surgery, patients with heart failure and critically ill also require titration of cardiovascular drugs and fluid interventions (Kusumastuti, & Osaki, 2015). The Amplatzer duct occluder has been recently introduced as a device that is more appropriate for larger sized ducts and also has a high rate of success and safety for occlusion of PDA by the percutaneous approach (Faella, & Hijazi, 2000). Furthermore, this procedure results in high occlusion rate and a low rate of procedure-related complications (Bilkis, et al. 2001). Some recent studies have shown an occlusion rate higher than 99% during 6 months of device deployment(Pass, et al. 2004.) Even, the majority of occlusions may have occurred within a day of device implantation. Moreover, although open surgical treatment of the PDA is a low-risk procedure, because of the necessity for general anesthesia, occurrence of surgery-related complications, and longer hospital stay, developing a catheter-based technique such as Amplatzer duct occlude and implantation of coils by this technique has gained more interest recently(Behjati-Ardakani, et al. 2014; Teymoorian, & Babaei, 2014).

In this regard, although the high effectiveness of this technique has been clearly determined, few studies have focused on the cost-effectiveness and postoperative complications of this procedure in comparison with common applied treatment methods such as open surgery. The present study aimed to

> Received: January 2020 Accepted: April 2020 Printed: September 2020

EurAsian Journal of BioSciences 14: 3455-3457 (2020)

 Table 1. Characteristics of children with Patent Ductus
 Arteriosus

Characteristics	Value (n = 18)	
Sex		
Male	4 (22.2 %)	
Female	14 (77.8%)	
Age		
Median	6 (1-33) months	
PDA Size		
Median	5 (4 - 8) mm	
Interventions		
Open surgery closure	9 (50 %)	
Device Closure	9 (50 %)	
Costs		
Median *	28.09 (9.06 - 83.06)	
* Data in million		

evaluate the clinical outcome and cost-effectiveness of PDA occlusion by Amplatzer and coil device in comparison with open surgery.

## METHOD

The cross sectional study was analyzed from medical record. The study conducted for three years at Division of Cardiology Department of Pediatrics Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Indonesia.

## **Population and Sample**

The population is all patients who were <18 years old hospitalized and got diagnose with PDA at Dr. Soetomo Hospital's Indonesia. Nine patients conducted to device closure and nine patients conducted to open surgery closure.

#### **Data Analysis**

Data of demographic, characteristic PDA, length of stay, costs, interventions, outcome and complication were collected. The cost analysis included costs associated with device implantation and open surgery. All costs were calculated in Indonesia's rupiahs. This study endpoint was to evaluate PDA occlusion by device closure comparison with open surgery. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant. Differences were analyzed using Mann Whitney test.

## RESULTS

During the study period, 18 patients with PDA were invited to participate. The basic characteristic in this study (Table 1) found that age of children were mostly in 1 until 33 months (median 6 months) old. Female was 14 patients (77.8%). Most of patients with moderate PDA (13/18) and large PDA (5/18). Interventions including Amplatzer Ductal Occluder (9/18) and open surgical closure (9/18). In surgical group have experienced to be cared in ICU during hospitalized (9/18, median 0.5 day, p<0.01). The length of stay during hospitalized was longer in surgical group (median 5.5 days, p<0.01). The calculated costs were higher in surgical group (median 28.099.500 IDR, p=0.04). No event of mortality was observed in both of group. However in surgical group, one patient experienced pneumonia, and one patient suffered electrolyte abnormality including hypokalemia.

## DISCUSSION

The device-based closure techniques have achieved a definite place in the armamentarium of the interventional cardiologist for the closure of partially large sized PDAs with an occlusion rate higher than 99% within a mid-term following operation (Boehm, Emmel, & Sreeram, 2007). Along with device closure has proven to be an efficacious method for repairing PDA. By developing these nonsurgical closure procedures for treatment of the PDA, the incidence of residual shunt was gradually reduced, the complexity of treatment was considerably decreased, and the unsuitability of surgery for larger PDAs was resolved (Ahmadi, et al. 2014).




Fig. 1. Length of Stay

EurAsian Journal of BioSciences 14: 3455-3457 (2020)

This observation could support higher costeffectiveness of these device-based techniques compared with open surgery; however, postoperative adverse events, including early mortality and morbidity, have been shown to be notably lower following employment of the former techniques. Thus, applying device based closure techniques may be preferable in comparison with open surgeries. The main reason for occurrence of early complications after open surgery may be thoracotomy, and therefore transcatheter methods were evolved to avoid thoracotomy <sup>11</sup>.

The rare, but serious, complication of trans-catheter closure of the PDA is device embolization, which is relatively common early in the experience with coils. Followed by this complication, flow disturbance in the proximal left pulmonary artery or descending aorta from a protruding device, hemolysis from high-velocity residual shunting, femoral artery or vein thrombosis related to vascular access, and infection may be consequences of using these devices <sup>11</sup>. Mavroudis et

al. reported the surgical procedural success rate to be 100% with a morbidity rate of 4.4% and mortality rate of 0% in a single-institution cohort over a 46-year period (Achyut Sarkar, Neha Rani, Prashant Kumar SC. 2019). As shown in our survey, despite no reported complications related to the device closure group, the open surgical ligation method was accompanied with pneumonia and hyponatremia.

#### CONCLUSION

In this study conclusion, Amplatzer Ductal Occluder was more preferable because of its lower inexpensive and complication than surgical technique.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study conducted with self-funding by authors. Mahrus A Rahman gave critical comments when the study concucted. All aouthors have agreed on the final form of the article manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Achyut Sarkar, Neha Rani, Prashant Kumar SC. (2019). Transcatheter closure of patent ductus arteriosus using duct occluder: an eleven-year experience from a single tertiary cardiac center. Int J res Med Sci.;7(8):1–5.
- Ahmadi, A., Sabri, M., Bigdelian, H., Dehghan, B., & Gharipour, M. (2014). Comparison of cost-effectiveness and postoperative outcome of device closure and open surgery closure techniques for treatment of patent ductus arteriosus. *ARYA atherosclerosis*, *10*(1), 37.
- Behjati-Ardakani, M., Behjati-Ardakani, M. A., Hadadzadeh, M., Moshtaghion, S. H., & Sarebanhassanabadi, M. (2014). Experience with percutaneous closure of ductus arteriosus using the Amplatzer duct occluder in 243 consecutive patients and long-term results—A single centre study. *Nigerian Medical Journal: Journal of the Nigeria Medical Association*, 55(5), 394.
- Bilkis, A. A., Alwi, M., Hasri, S., Geetha, K., Rehman, M. A., & Hasanah, I. (2001). The Amplatzer duct occluder: experience in 209 patients. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, *37*(1), 258-261.
- Boehm, W., Emmel, M., & Sreeram, N. (2007). The Amplatzer duct occluder for PDA closure: indications, technique of implantation and clinical outcome. *Images in paediatric cardiology*, *9*(2), 16.
- Faella, H. J., & Hijazi, Z. M. (2000). Closure of the patent ductus arteriosus with the Amplatzer PDA device: immediate results of the international clinical trial. *Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions*, *51*(1), 50-54.
- Kusumastuti, N. P., & Osaki, M. (2015). Electric velocimetry and transthoracic echocardiography for non-invasive cardiac output monitoring in children after cardiac surgery. *Crit Care*, *18*(2), 37.
- Pass, R. H., Hijazi, Z., Hsu, D. T., Lewis, V., & Hellenbrand, W. E. (2004). Multicenter USA Amplatzer patent ductus arteriosus occlusion device trial: initial and one-year results. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, *44*(3), 513-519.
- Rhee, E. J., Kim, H. C., Kim, J. H., Lee, E. Y., Kim, B. J., Kim, E. M.,... & Moon, M. K. (2019). 2018 Guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia in Korea. *Journal of Lipid and Atherosclerosis*, 8(2), 78-131.
- Royse, A., Pawanis, Z., Canty, D., Ou-Young, J., Eccleston, D., Ajani, A.,... & Royse, C. (2018). The effect on survival from the use of a saphenous vein graft during coronary bypass surgery: a large cohort study. *European Journal* of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 54(6), 1093-1100.
- Teymoorian, A., & Babaei, M. (2014). Application of Fractal Geometry to Study the Geological Structure of the Zarin Plain (Plain Razak). *International Journal of Geography and Geology, 3*(11), 135-144.
- Widiyanti, P., Paramadini, A. W., Jabbar, H., Fatimah, I., Nisak, F. N., & Puspitasari, R. A. (2016, March). Morphology characterization and biocompatibility study of PLLA (Poly-L-Llactid-Acid) coating chitosan as stent for coronary heart disease. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1718, No. 1, p. 060008). AIP Publishing LLC.

www.ejobios.org