

Lampiran 1 JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES

Reviewer _____ Date _____

Author _____ Year _____ Record Number _____

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Lampiran 2 *JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Cohort Studies*

JBICRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR COHORT STUDIES

Reviewer _____ Date _____
 Author _____ Year _____ Record Number _____
 Yes No Unclear Not applicable

1. Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
2. Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
4. Were confounding factors identified? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
5. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
6. Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
8. Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
9. Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
10. Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized? Yes No Unclear Not applicable
11. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? Yes No Unclear Not applicable

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Lampiran 3 *JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research*

JBICRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

Reviewer _____ Date _____

Author _____ Year _____ Record Number _____

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice-versa, addressed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.