

The 3rd ICP-HESOS

International Conference On Psychology In Health, Educational, Social, and Organizational Settings

November, 16th-18th, 2018 Surabaya, Indonesia

Improving Mental Health and Harmony in Global Community

PROCEEDINGS

Edited by: Rahkman Ardi Phatthanakit Chobthamkit

> Organized by: Universitas Airlangga & Zhejiang University



ICP-HESOS 2018

Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Psychology in Health, Educational, Social, and Organizational Settings

Improving Mental Health and Harmony in Global Community

Surabaya - Indonesia

November 16 - 18, 2018

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Edited by Rahkman Ardi and Phatthanakit Chobthamkit

Printed in Portugal ISBN: 978-989-758-435-0 Depósito Legal: 470082/20

http://icphesos.psikologi.unair.ac.id icphesos@psikologi.unair.ac.id

BRIEF CONTENTS

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES	IV
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VI
Foreword	VII
Contents	IX

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES

PROGRAM CHAIR

Rahkman Ardi, Head of Department of Social Psychology and Personality, Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

EDITORS

Rahkman Ardi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Phatthanakit Chobthamkit, Thammasat University, Thailand

SECRETARY

Rizqy Amelia Zein, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

SECRETARIAT

Nido Dipo Wardana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Binari Dhamasanti Mahadewi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

FINANCE

Ilham Nur Alfian, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Sukma Rahastri Kanthi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Valina Khiarin Nisa, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Mukhammad Jawwad, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

CONFERENCE PROGRAM

Atika Dian Ariana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Vania Ardelia, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Seger Handoyo, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Dewi Retno Suminar, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Nur Ainy Fardana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Reza Lidia Sari, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PAPERS AND PROCEEDINGS

Suryanto, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Wiwin Hendriani, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Fajrianthi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Achmad Chusairi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Hamidah, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Duta Nurdibyanandaru, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Tino Leonardi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

FOOD AND REFRESHMENT

Dewi Syarifah, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Ika Yuniar Cahyanti, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

LOGISTICS

Tiara Diah Sosialita, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PUBLIC RELATION AND DOCUMENTATION

Dimas Aryo Wicaksono, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia Listyati Setyo Palupi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

ART AND WEB DESIGN

Bivita Brata, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

TRANSPORTATION AND ACCOMMODATION

Herison Pandapotan Purba, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Dominika Maison , University of Warsaw, Poland Rizqy Amelia Zein , Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia	Jaroslaw Piotrowski, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Poland Fendy Suhariadi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia			
Magdalena Zemojtel-Piotrowska, Cardinal Stefan	Ma Jianhong, Zhejiang University, China			
Wyszyński University, Poland	Jony Eko Yulianto, Universitas Ciputra, Indonesia			
Triana Kesuma Dewi , Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia	Cholichul Hadi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia			
Nurul Ain Hidayah Binti Abas , Universitas Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia	Noh Amit, The National University of Malaya, Malaysia			
Nurul Hartini, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia	Rafidah Binti Aga Mohd Jaladin, Universiti of Malaya, Malaysia			

FOREWORD

As one of the leading universities in Indonesia, Universitas Airlangga envisions to be a center for health studies. In line with that vision, Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga aims to make collaboration with various parties in conducting sustainable mental health-related activities in various aspects.

The swift community development has continuously complicated mental health issues which also signifies the importance of studies concerning those issues. Numbers of factors such as the rapid advancement of information and communication technology, the ever-increasing spread of hoaxes, global economy competition, inflation, occupational challenges, discrepancies of income, mass polarization, and other recent social issues are potential to cause disharmony in community and lay impact on the complexity of individual mental health.

This condition has become a challenge for psychology either in the field of health, education, social, and organization to always spearhead the studies of various theories, approaches, applications, and interventions pertaining to individual and community mental health. In relation with this challenge, we believe that it is necessary to connect scientists and practitioners of psychology, social science, and mental health, allowing for idea exchange in investigating the complexity of existing issues comprehensively.

Therefore, along with the commemoration of the 35th Anniversary of Psychology Education of Universitas Airlangga in 2018, Faculty of Psychology hosted the 3rd International Conference on Psychology in Health, Educational, Social, and Organizational Settings (3rd ICP-HESOS). The conference had been successfully held twice. The first conference was held at Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga in 2013 followed by the second event at Zhejiang University in 2015, and the third was once again hosted by Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga in 2018.

In the third conference, there were 478 abstracts submitted for both oral presentations and poster presentations. More than a hundred potential participants coming from various countries ranging from Europe, Asia, to Australia were interested to join this event. Considering the quality, we had only selected 173 abstracts for oral presentations and 29 for poster presentations. It indicates the acceptance rate of the conference was 42 percent.

At last, we would like to extend the sincerest gratitude to all participants, committee, reviewers, partners, sponsors, and volunteers. Your involvement and support made it possible to hold the 3rd ICP HESOS 2018. We hope that, by holding this conference periodically, we can contribute significantly to the improvement of global community mental health in general and to the science and practice of psychology in particular.

Dr. Rahkman Ardi, M.Psych. Program Chair of the 3rd ICP-HESOS and Editor of Conference Proceedings

CONTENTS

PAPERS

FULL PAPERS

The Effect of Service Learning to Improve Empathy to Bully in Primary School <i>Rizka Fibria Nugrahani and Fitri Andriani</i>	5
Can Subjective Well-being Achieved on Early Marriage among Madurese Women? Yudho Bawono, Dewi Retno Suminar, M. G. Bagus Ani Putra, Wiwin Hendriani and Tommy H. Firmanda	12
Entertainment-social Celebrity Worship Syndrome of Female Adolescents using Social-media in Indonesia Adismara Putri Pradiri and Nurul Hartini	19
Our Different Differences: Qualitative Study of Cognitive Dissonance on Different Religion Spouses Muhammad Fitrah Ramadhan Umar and Suryanto	26
Social Stories and Token Economy as a Method of Overcoming Off-task Behavior in Teenager with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) Adinda Istiqomah and Iwan Wahyu Hidayat	34
Exploring the Profile of Factors of Career Adaptability through Cluster Analysis Wiwik Sulistiani, Dewi Retno Suminar and Wiwin Hendriani	41
The Relationship between Perceptions of Management Rules with the Tendency of Burnout in Nurses Wasis Purwo Wibowo, Adnani Budi Utami and Niken Pratitis	49
Single Women's Potency to Achieve Psychological Well-being Nanik, Wiwin Hendriani and Mareyke M. W. Tairas	55
Mental Health Research Role in Global World Sri Idaiani	63
Parenting on Mother for Children with Speech Delay: A Case Study in Successful Parenting Sabrina Ade Soura and Dewi Retno Suminar	66
Cognitive-behavioral Group Therapy to Reduce Anxiety in High School Students Who Have Family Problems Yudi Kurniawan and Indahria Sulistyarini	72
The Cultural Role on Emotional Competence Development in Early Childhood Yettie Wandansari, Dewi Retno Suminar and Tina Afiatin	82
The Relationship between Sense of Coherence and Parenting Stress in Mothers of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder <i>Priskila Kurniandini and Nurul Hartini</i>	91
Stigma and Knowledge about Autism Spectrum Disorder among Parents and Professionals in Indonesia Muryantinah M. Handayani and Pramesti P. Paramita	97

The Meaning and the Source of Mother's Happiness: An Explorative Study to Young Adult Mothers with Ages 20-40 Years Old Endang Prastuti, Mareyke Maritje Wagey Tairas and Nurul Hartini	101
Middle Managers' Commitment to Change after Downsizing Evi Kurniasari Purwaningrum, Fendy Suhariadi and Fajrianthi	108
From Bed to the Web: A Systematic Review Comparing Offline and Online Sexual Self-disclosure <i>Retno Setyaningsih and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra</i>	115
Prevalence of Weight Control Behaviors among Adolescent Girls Monique Sukamto, Fajrianthi and Hamidah	120
The Effect of Interpersonal Communication on Marital Satisfaction among Wives Who Married Early in Mandangin Islands <i>Irada Nur Anisa Wicaksono and Herdina Indrijati</i>	133
The Effects of Sociocultural Pressures, BMI, Weight Perception, and Body Dissatisfaction on Unhealthy Weight Control Behaviors among Indonesian Adolescent Girls <i>Monique Elizabeth Sukamto, Darmawan Muttaqin, Fajrianthi and Hamidah</i>	140
Configure the Personal Space Dimensions on the Elderly's Quality of Life in a Nursing Home Satria Kamal Akhmad and Suryanto	149
Validity of Processing Speed Ability Test based on Internal Structure Fitri Andriani, Cholichul Hadi, Urip Purwono and Siti Sulasmi	156
Optimistic: Building Subjective Well-being and Post Traumatic Growth on Post-mastectomy Women Nurul Hartini, Vania Ardelia and Valina Khiarin Nisa	162
The Effectiveness of Stress Inoculation Training in Reducing Stress on Intensive Care Nurses Aufa Putri Suryanto and Ilham Nur Alfian	168
Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy to Reducing Depression in Nursing Home Residents <i>Ajeng Harlika Puspitasari and Hamidah</i>	174
Marital Quality: An Empirical Comparison of Two Unidimensional Measures Soerjantini Rahaju, Nurul Hartini and Wiwin Hendriani	180
Demographic Factors and Life Satisfaction of Indonesian Christian Private Universities Lecturers: A Descriptive Preliminary Study <i>Yusak Novanto, Seger Handoyo and Jenny Lukito Setiawan</i>	187
Does CQA Online Platform Increase Academic Performance of Secondary Students in Indonesia? Rahkman Ardi, Adismara Putri Pradiri, Handy Pratama and Dimas Mukhlas Widiantoro	199
Ethnic Identity and Ethnic Prejudice in Children: A Comparative Study of the Three Cities in Indonesia Dyan Evita Santi, Suryanto and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra	207
The Impact of Career Growth on Turnover Intention with Employee Engagement as a Mediator Variable: Study among the Generation Y Employees in Indonesia <i>Anggraini Delina Putri and Seger Handoyo</i>	216

I Am Sure I Can Speak Up: The Role of Efficacy on Employee Voice Unika Prihatsanti, Seger Handoyo and Rahkman Ardi	224
The Influence of Masculine Ideology and Gender Role Orientation on Self-esteem of Pastors' Husbands of the Batak Karo Protestant Church Karina Meriem Beru Brahmana, Suryanto and Bagong Suyanto	230
Cyber Slacking among University Students: The Role of Internet Habit Strength, Media Multitasking Efficacy and Self Regulated Learning <i>Ermida Simanjuntak, Nur Ainy Fardana Nawangsari and Rahkman Ardi</i>	239
The Effect of Storytelling on the Theory of Mind in Preschoolers Novia Solichah and Dewi Retno Suminar	248
Brief Dialectical Behavior Therapy for a Suicidal Ideation Case: A Literature Review <i>Retno Ayu Astrini and Ike Herdiana</i>	253
Reduce Stigmatization towards Schizophrenic Patients using Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Astri Haryanti and Nurul Hartini	261
What's behind Work Engagement in Teaching Practice? Ika Febrian Kristiana, Rahkman Ardi and Wiwin Hendriani	267
Confirmatory Factor Analysis Post-traumatic Growth Inventory among Domestic Violence Survivor Diah Rahayu, Hamidah Hamidah and Wiwin Hendriani	276
The Dynamics of Post-traumatic Stress Disorder among Sexual Violence Victims Hera Wahyuni, Hamidah and Nurul Hartini	283
Mental Health Services in Safe House for Women and Children Victims of Violence Danny Sanjaya Arfensia	290
Prejudice towards Host Culture and Acculturative Stress: Studies on Internal Migrants in Indonesia Nugraha Arif Karyanta and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra	294
Influence of Local Culture on Individual Value Systems to Premarital Sexual: A Systematical Review Yosefina Marijke Watofa, Suryanto and Hari Basuki Notobroto	303
Grieving the Death of the Loved Ones among the Incarcerated Women: A Phenomenological Study Okky Nursafitri and Margaretha	307
A Theoretical Framework for Understanding Cyber Incivility Perpetrators Silvia Kristanti Tri Febriana and Fajrianthi	313
Predicting Faculty Member's Work Engagement in Indonesia: Testing the Role of Optimism, Perceived Organizational Support and Self-efficacy Aditya Nanda Priyatama, Muhamad Zainudin and Seger Handoyo	323
Does Self-regulated Learning Have Relationship with Academic Procrastination?: Study on Middle School Students in Surabaya's Coastal Area <i>Widjajaning Budi, Dessy Nur Utami and Andi Maulida Rahmania</i>	330
The Relationship between Self-control with Aggressive Behavior Andik Matulessy, Yanto Prasetyo and Riska Tantri Maharani	340

The Role of Optimism as the Mediator between Family and Mental Wellbeing among Secondary School Students in East Java <i>Rahmat Aziz, Retno Mangestuti and Esa Nur Wahyuni</i>	345
The Effectiveness of Dialectical Behavior Therapy in Developing Emotion Regulation Skill for Adolescent with Intellectual Disability Shahnaz Safitri, Rose Mini Salim and Pratiwi Widyasari	351
Validation of Facial Action Unit for Happy Emotion Detection Ananta Yudiarso, William Liando, Jun Zhao, Runliang Ni and Ziyi Zhao	360
Correlation between Ratio of Index Finger Length to Ring Finger Length (2D:4D) and Working Memory Performance Ananta Yudiarso, Dian Teres Agung, Hendrik Gunawan and Yiming Wan	364
A Preliminary Study of Screen-media, Empathizing, and Systemizing in Children Ni Putu Adelia Kesumaningsari, Meidy Christianty Soesanto, Nova Retalista, Xuan Hongzhou and Wang Yiming	368
Family, Spirituality, and Mental Health in Higher Education Esa Nur Wahyuni, Rahmat Aziz and Retno Mangestuti	376
Narima Ing Pandum (NIP) Group Intervention: An Effort to Decrease Depression through Local Wisdom on Murder Case Inmates Zamroni, Nanik Prihartanti and Wisnu Sri Hertinjung	386
Motivational Coaching to Enhance Group Cohesiveness of Employee Company X Duri Pekanbaru: Experimental Studies Didik Widiantoro, Sigit Nughoro and Yanwar Arief	391
Is Self-efficacy Related to Students' Moral Reasoning?: A Research on Students' Absentee Behavior Aurelius Ratu, Ni Gusti Rai, Niken Prasetya and Dyah Satya Yoga	397
How is the Survival Mechanism of Poor People with Orthopedic Handicap? Femita Adelina and Suryanto	406
Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale: Psychometric Evaluation of the Indonesian Version Anindya Dewi Paramita, Andi Tenri Faradiba and Puti Febrayosi	410
Quality of Life and Individual Adjustment of People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) Erni Agustina Setiowati and Anggun Dwi Cahyani	415
Normal vs. Green Elementary School Students: Comparison in Nature Relatedness and Pro-environmental Behavior Aditya Benyamin, Ratna Djuwita and Amarina Ashar Ariyanto	424
Does Green Curriculum Have an Impact on Pro-environmental Behavior?: A Comparative Study with Middle Schools Hanna Raisya and Ratna Djuwita	431
Parents Role on Pornography Addiction in Children Luluk Nadiyatun Nadziroh	441
Behavior Therapy in Nature Environment, and the Application in an Inclusive Education Curriculum Melati Ismi Hapsari and Roro Setyawati	450

Predicting Well-being from Different Dimensions of Religiousness: Initial Application of 4-BDRS Scale in Indonesia <i>Yonathan Aditya, Riryn Sani, Ihan Martoyo and Rudy Pramono</i>	458
Work Engagement and Organizational Commitment in Private University Sukma Rani, Hendriati Agustiani, Maya R. Ardiwinata and R. Urip Purwono	464
The Big Five Personality Traits, Self-regulated Learning, and Academic Achievement Asina C. Rosito	469
Career Decision Self-efficacy Mediates the Emotional Intelligence on Career Preparation Behaviour Nur P. Noviati and Thobagus M. Nu'man	478
Learning Motivation as Predictor of Student Engagement in Private Junior High Schools Students Dewi Mustamiah and Nurul S. Widanti	486
The Mechanism of Moral Disengagement on Adolescent Perpetrators of Fornication Dessi Christanti, Suryanto and Muhammad G. B. A. Putra	494
Regression Model for Work Engagement of Millennial Generation Workforce Rina Mulyati, Fathul Himan, Bagus Riyono and Fendy Suhariadi	505
Mental Health Literacy in South East Asia in a Cultural Context: A Systematic Review Fairuziana, Marty Mawarpury, Andriyani E. Lay, Yeni Fitria and Yanti Fitria	516
Bridging the Youth Health Gap: A Case Study of Laskar Pencerah Fairuziana, Olivia Herlinda and S. T. Khumaidah	525
The Effect of Psychological Capital on Work Engagement: Investigating the Moderating Effect of Gender and Job Muhammad Tamar and Hillman Wirawan	535
Assessing Engineering Students' Stress: Implementing the Psychometric Synonym Technique Abdul Saman, Muhammad Jufri and Hillman Wirawan	543
Behind Front Pembela Islam (FPI) Movement: Can Social Value Orientation Influence Religious Prejudice? Nur A. M. Devi and Lusy A. Akhrani	548
Digital Media and Psychological Well-being among Youth Ni Putu Adelia Kesumaningsari, Cindy Claudia Soen, Made Arisudana, Zeng Xiangjun and Jia Zhenguo	554
Contentment (Qana'ah) and Mental Health on Fishermen in Pena'ah Village, Indonesia Yang Dini Azkarisa and Juliarni Siregar	564
AUTHOR INDEX	575

PAPERS

FULL PAPERS

Does CQA Online Platform Increase Academic Performance of Secondary Students in Indonesia

Rahkman Ardi¹, Adismara Putri Pradiri¹, Handy Pratama¹ and Dimas Mukhlas Widiantoro²³

¹Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Airlangga ²Brainly, Ul. Dluga 72, Krakow 31-146, Poland ³Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada

Keywords: Community Question and Answering, E-learning, Learning Style, Big Five Personality

Abstract: The effective use of a CQA platform supported by appropriate learning style and specific traits could boost students' academic performance. This research aims to investigate the difference in academic performance between passive and active users of CQA, i.e. *Brainly*; and determine socio-psychological characteristics distinguishing between those users. The participants completed questionnaires related to demographics and psychology scale, i.e. Grasha-Reichmann Student Learning Style Scales (GRSLSS), Big Five Personality Inventory, Academic Self-efficacy, and social interaction scale. Moreover, the students' academic performance was also measured by testing three subjects which are Indonesian (five questions), English (five questions), and Mathematics (five questions) based on the education level of participants. The total number of participants was 757 respondents consisting of 333 CQA active users and 424 CQA passive users. The results of this research show that students having independent, contributive and competitive learning styles tend to be more active in solving the problems of academic subjects. The users with high self-efficacy along with a conscientious personality also tend to be active users. The active users also show higher academic performance scores compared to the passive ones.

1 INTRODUCTION

The use of a *Community Question and Answering* (CQA) online service aiming to support the students' learning needs grows rapidly in Indonesia. *Brainly* as a CQA platform and one of the biggest online learning media in the world for high school students, with one fifth of the total users being from Asia (Erlangga, 2015). It has helped millions of high school students in answering questions and doing homework by conducting question and answer interactions through online communities. The Marketing Manager of *Brainly* stated that there are 100 million monthly users from the total of 35 countries, of which 24 million users are from Indonesia (Ryza, 2018).

The rapid use of CQA to help students' learning leads to pros and cons in the community. Bhaskoro (2014) warned that *Brainly* could have two side effects. On one side, this site can provide opportunities for students to learn to understand a problem in various subjects with other users. But on the other side, the misuse problem is also possible as seen in certain students who are too lazy to think and just *copy-paste* for the assignments given by their teacher. The misuse problem of this *e-learning* platform has also been revealed by Barla, Kizlan, and Vit'az (2016). They argue, "*There will always be a group of lazy students*" who simply take the solution given by others without any effort to participate or interpret it.

Based on those assumptions, this study aims to establish how the socio-psychological characteristics of users who are actively involved in CQA activities differ from those who only want to get quick answers through the platform. These sociopsychological characteristics are reflected in daily learning styles, personality profiles and *general academic self-efficacy*. Online interaction patterns and academic performance of CQA active users are also observed as learning process indicators and effective use of an e-learning platform.

Alfonseca, et al (2006) revealed that learning style influences how students select strategy and collaborate with others. This fact refers to Kolb's (1999) findings, which explain that the selection of a particular learning style has an impact on the choice of effective learning strategies based on group

Ardi, R., Pradiri, A., Pratama, H. and Widiantoro, D.

In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Psychology in Health, Educational, Social, and Organizational Settings - Improving Mental Health and Harmony in Global Community (ICP-HESOS 2018), pages 199-206

ISBN: 978-989-758-435-0

Does CQA Online Platform Increase Academic Performance of Secondary Students in Indonesia?.

dynamics. However, the study by Alfonseca, et al. (2006) has still been based on learning style used by the Felder and Silverman model. This model categorizes learning style into five elements, which are sensing-intuitive (how to perceive information), visual-verbal (how to present information), inductive-deductive (how to organize information), active-reflective (how to process information) and sequential-global (how to understand information) (Felder and Silverman 1988). Meanwhile Kolb (1999) bases learning style on how someone perceives and processes information.

In this study, the definition of learning style refers to the student's interaction with teachers and peers in their daily lives (Grasha, 1996). This definition is more related to social perspective on learning style rather than on how students perceive information (auditory, visual, kinesthetic and tactile). This learning style, based on social interaction, consists of six indicators which are 1) independent which means individuals who tend to learn alone; 2) dependent which means individuals who depend on the teacher; 3) collaborative which means individuals who engage in working with others; 4) competitive which means individuals who compete with others; 5) participative which means individuals who learn by joining in an activity; 6) avoidant which means individuals who avoid and are not interested in learning (Grasha, 1996).

The interaction in CQA is based on group dynamic activity and relationships among its members. Therefore, preferences and learning strategies in e-learning are also assumed to be representations and reflections of the daily learning interactions in the classroom between students, teachers and peers. In this case we assume that CQA users actively involved in answering questions also represent a learning pattern in the classroom that tends to be independent, collaborative, competitive or participatory.

The study conducted by Chen and Caropreso (2004) indicated that personality influences online discussion in which students with higher extraversion, agreeableness and openness tend to be more involved in online collaborative learning. Furthermore, Zhang (2003) revealed that neuroticism predicts a superficial learning approach rather than an in-depth learning approach. Students with high neuroticism avoid risk in activities involving trial-and-error experiences. It can be assumed that students with high neuroticism tend to engage as those who like to raise questions rather than being those who like to answer the questions. Conscientiousness and openness to experience also

become the most influential predictors in in-depth learning strategy and achieving approach (Zhang, 2003). Thus, it can be concluded that students with a tendency to four high personality traits (conscientiousness, openness, extraversion and agreeableness) will tend to engage in collaborative and in-depth strategies. One of those strategies can be manifested in answering/solving other users' questions in a CQA.

In addition, Bates and Khasawneh (2007) have stated that self-efficacy is a predictor in the use of elearning indicated in outcome expectations, mastery perceptions and time spent per week in accessing online learning technologies. Although the study only describes self-efficacy in online learning, it should be applicable in general academic selfefficacy. Consequently, academic self-efficacy driven by mastery perceptions of academic lessons is assumed to be able to influence user activity on the online learning platform, especially in active participation relationships to answer community questions.

The relationship between academic performance and the type of users (both active and passive) is also investigated in this study. Davies and Graff (2005) stated that the quality and dynamics of interaction could be the important factor of academic performance. Cho, et al. (2007) pointed out that a collaborative learning environment on social networks has a significant effect on academic performance. A study conducted by Agudo-Peregrina, et al. (2014) revealed a significant relationship between the type of interaction and academic performance in *e-learning*. More specifically, an experiment conducted by Nestojko, et al. (2014) showed that students who are asked to learn with instruction to teach others achieve better academic performance than those who only learn to prepare for the exam. Therefore, in this study we assume that users who interact actively through answering activities or solving the problems of academic subjects achieve better academic performance.

In addition, level of satisfaction and interaction forms shown among fellow active CQA users were also investigated in this study. The forms of interaction consist of collaboration, conflict, competition and accommodation. Exploring the type of interaction between active and passive users is believed to be useful for CQA designers to create features that support social and hard skills improvement through collaboration and competition, as well as conflict resolution through accommodation so that online learning can work more effectively.

Overall CQA users are divided into two categories: 1) passive users, those who browse the *Brainly* content and those who use *Brainly* only to ask questions; 2) active users, those involved in the activities of answering questions/solving problems. Based on those categorizations, this study aims to answer the following hypotheses (H) and research questions (RQ):

H1. Personality profiles (i.e. extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism and openness to experience) affect user type. Individuals with extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and openness tend to be active users. In addition, individuals with high neuroticism tend to be passive users.

H2. Active users show higher academic selfefficacy than passive users.

H3. Active users achieve better academic performance than passive users.

RQ1. Are there any differences in learning styles (independent, dependent, competitive, collaborative, participative, avoidant) between active and passive users?

RQ2. How do active users interact with each other, especially in the form of interactions, satisfaction levels and the desire to continue the interaction.

2 METHODS

The participants were high school students who became the visitors and Brainly users aged 12 to 18. They varied from grade 1 junior high school to grade 3 senior high school. They were then divided into 2 groups which were active users (users involved in question and answer activities) and passive users (users who only seek answers and ask questions). During the period from June to October 2017, we obtained 757 respondents consisting of 333 active users involved in answering questions and 424 passive users and visitors ranged from grade 1 junior high school to grade 3 senior high school. However, there were 27 respondents who needed to be excluded because they were doing academic performance tests outside their grade so that there were 730 respondents to be analyzed. The gender proportions in this research were 349 women and 381 men. 44% of participants were active on the Internet for more than four hours per day, while 49% used the Internet for one to four hours per day, and

only 6% were active on the Internet for less than an hour.

Research sampling was done by sending invitations to all users of *Brainly* Indonesia either through an account or through a pop up on the *Brainly.co.id* web page. The participant involvement in this study is voluntary.

Participants completed demographic related questionnaires (age, gender, internet access at school and home, the amount of time spent online per day and the amount of time to access *Brainly*). Furthermore, this study also measured students' academic performance scores on specific subjects based on their grade levels. The tested subjects were Indonesian (five questions), English (five questions) and Mathematics (five questions). Those subjects were selected, as they became three main subjects in the evaluation of national examinations held by the Ministry of Education and Culture.

Participants completed the questionnaires of the socio-psychological dimensions i.e. Grasha-Reichmann Student Learning Style Scales (GRSLSS), academic self-efficacy, Big Five Personality Inventory and social interaction scale. The translation of GRSLSS, academic self-efficacy scale, and Big Five Personality Inventory from English to Indonesian was done by using forward translation. GRSLSS was created by Grasha and Reichmann (Grasha, 1996). This scale is used to explore students' learning styles based on interaction with teachers and peers. This scale consists of six categorizations which are 1) independent ($\alpha = 0.61$); 2) dependent ($\alpha = 0.67$); 3) collaborative ($\alpha = 0.84$); 4) competitive ($\alpha = 0.75$); 5) participative ($\alpha = 0.81$); 6) avoidant ($\alpha = 0.65$). In each category the used learning styles are 3 items only.

The next psychological scale is the short version of the Big Five Personality Inventory adapted from Rammstedt and John (2007). This scale measures the personality types on extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism and openness to experience. In each personality type there are two questions.

Academic self-efficacy is modified from the general self-efficacy scale created by Schwarzer and Jerusalem (1995). One example of this item is "I can remain calm when facing academic difficulties because I can rely on my coping abilities." This scale consists of 5 items with Cronbach alpha of 0.85.

The social interaction scale is constructed for the purpose of this study. This scale consists of six dimensions with each having three items which are 1) desire to interact ("I do not mind to continue my friendships with other *Brainly* users", $\alpha = 0.50$); 2) satisfaction to interact ("I enjoy interacting with others at *Brainly*", $\alpha = 0.72$); 3) teamwork ("I do not mind working on a team to solve learning problems with other *Brainly* users", $\alpha = 0.44$); 4) competition ("I answer the difficult question to be the best user of *Brainly*", $\alpha = 0.78$); 5) conflict ("I have no doubt arguing and defending my statements or answers in *Brainly* forums if I am criticized by other users", $\alpha = 0.77$); 6) accommodation ("I bridge some of the responses that spark the debate among the *Brainly* answerers", $\alpha = 0.76$).

3 RESULT

Table 1 presents the statistical analysis result of *independent t-test* among participant categories in Big Five Personality Scale, learning style, and self-efficacy. That table answers H1, H2 and RQ1. Hypothesis 3 (H3) is presented in Table 2 with the statistical analysis result on each level of education. Table 3 presents the statistical analysis result of social interaction scale which answers RQ2.

Based on the analysis of independent t-test, the active users showed a conscientiousness score higher than the passive users (t (639.70) = -4.29; p < .001, d = 0.32). However, the level of extraversion (t (728) = -1.30; d = 0.09), agreeableness (t (728) = -1.60; d = 0.12) and openness to experience (t (728) = 0.72; d = 0.05) were not different significantly between both user groups. The level of neuroticism was also not different significantly (t (728) = -0.08; d = 0.01).

Consequently, H1 was confirmed partially in which the conscientious personality dimension of active users was higher than the passive ones. Meanwhile, the other four personality dimensions, which are extraversion, agreeableness, openness to experience and neuroticism were not different significantly between both user groups.

H2 was confirmed through the result showing that academic self-efficacy of the active users tends to be higher than the passive ones (t (728) = 0.72; p < .05, d = 0.17).

There was a significant difference between the active and passive users on academic performance (t (703) = -4.16; p < .001, d = 0.32) which explains that the active users showed higher

Dimension	df	Mean (SD) of Active Users	N Active users	Mean of Passive Users	N passive users	t	р	Cohens'd
Extraversion	728	5.16 (2.18)	314	5.36 (2.00)	416	1.30	0.19	0.09
Agreeableness	728	5.81 (1.45)	314	5.63 (1.54)	416	-1.60	0.10	0.12
Conscientiousness	629.70	5.80 (1.63)	314	5.29 (1.49)	416	-4.29	0.00***	0.32
Neuroticism	728	4.91 (1.58)	314	4.90 (1.54)	416	-0.08	0.93	0.01
Openness to Experience	728	5.52 (1.22)	314	5.59 (1.15)	416	0.72	0.47	0.05
Self-efficacy	728	5.02 (1.12)	314	4.83 (1.13)	416	-2.28	0.02	0.17
Independent	709.76	5.71 (0.85)	314	5.50 (0.96)	416	-3.06	0.00**	0.23
Dependent	728	4.88 (1.22)	314	4.70 (1.21)	416	-1.87	0.06	0.14
Participative	728	5.41 (1.20)	314	5.13 (1.25)	416	-3.03	0.00**	0.23
Competitive	728	5.86 (1.09)	314	5.26 (1.17)	416	-2.77	0.00**	0.53
Collaborative	728	5.29 (1.22)	314	5.23 (1.30)	416	-0.61	0.54	0.05
Avoidant	728	3.74 (1.28)	314	4.32 (1.36)	416	5.83	0.00	0.44

Table 1: The Analysis Results of Big Five Personality, Self-efficacy, and Learning Styles.

*p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01 *** p < 0.001

Grade	df	Mean (SD) of Active Users	N Active Users	Mean of Passive Users	N Passive Users	t	р	Cohens'd
Total Score	703	8.48 (2.74)	308	7.58 (2.87)	397	-4.16	0.00***	0.32
1 junior high school	50	7.90 (3.16)	22	8.20 (3.57)	30	0.30	0.76	0.09
2 junior high school	67	10.19 (2.68)	36	8.60 (2.88)	33	-2.37	0.02*	0.57
3 junior high school	96	8.54 (2.59)	57	7.17 (2.98)	41	-2.42	0.01*	0.49
1 senior high school	113	9.02 (2.95)	50	7.73 (3.36)	65	-2.13	0.03*	0.40
2 senior high school	152	8.00 (2.29)	57	8.23 (2.33)	97	0.61	0.54	0.09
3 senior high school	215	7.87 (2.60)	86	6.77 (2.56)	131	-3.07	0.00**	0.42

Table 2: The Analysis Result of Academic Performance at each level of education.

*p < 0.05 **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001

scores (M = 8.48, SD = 2.74) than the passive ones (M = 7.58, SD = 2.87). Specifically, the score between those users differed significantly on grade 2 junior high school, grade 3 junior high school, grade 1 senior high school, and grade 3 senior high school (see Table 2). The insignificant difference could be seen in grade 1 junior high school and grade 2 senior high school (see Table 2). However, generally, this analysis indicated that H3 was confirmed.

The analysis result of RQ1 shows that there was a significant difference in learning styles between the active users (N=314) and the passive ones (N=416) on independent (t (709.763) = -3.06; p < .01, d = 0.23), competitive (t (728) = -2.77; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t (728) = -3.03; p < .01, d = 0.53), participative (t = 0.53), participative (

0.23) and avoidant (t (728) = 5.83; p < .001, d = 0.44) strategies, but there was no significant difference on dependent strategy (t (728) = -1.87; d = 0.14) and collaborative strategy (t (728) = -0.61; d = 0.05).

The analysis of RQ2 showed that there was a significant difference in all interaction patterns between *Brainly* active and passive users. The score of collaboration (t (715) = -6.73; p < .001, d = 0.51), competition (t (714) = -5.75; p < .001, d = 0.44), conflict (t (713) = -2.84; p < .01, d = 0.22) and accommodation (t (711) = -2.71; p < .01, d = 0.19) was higher and owned by the active users (see Table 3). The higher satisfaction level of interaction was also shown by the active users

Dimension	df	Mean (SD) of Active Users	N Active Users	Mean of Passive Users	N Passive Users	t	Р	Cohens'd
Interaction satisfaction	718	5.79 (1.04)	313	5.35 (1.07)	407	-5.51	0.00**	0.41
Desire to continue interaction	718	5.31 (1.09)	313	4.69 (1.02)	407	-7.88	0.00**	0.59
Competition	714	4.75 (1.24)	310	4.16 (1.44)	406	-5.75	0.00**	0.44
Conflict	713	4.53 (1.40)	310	4.21 (1.50)	405	-2.84	0.00*	0.22
Collaboration	715	5.43 (1.01)	310	4.92 (0.98)	407	-6.73	0.00**	0.51
Accommodation $n < 0.01 **n < 0.001$	711	5.02 (1.16)	309	4.78 (1.24)	404	-2.71	0.00*	0.19

Table 3: The Analysis of Social Interaction Scale on Active and Passive Users.

*p < 0.01 **p < 0.001

(t (718) = -5.51; p < .001, d = 0.41) compared to the passive ones (see Table 3). The *Brainly* active users were also more interested in continuing further interaction (t (718) = -7.88; p < .001, d = 0.59) than the passive ones (see Table 3).

4 **DISCUSSION**

As stated in the first and second hypotheses, the psychological variables indicate that someone who has a level of self-efficacy and a high level of conscientiousness will tend to become an active user. Self-efficacy refers to a person's level of confidence regarding his or her ability to perform and to complete academic tasks. Conscientious personality is a personality dimension, which indicates the focus and control to achieve a specific purpose. Users who have a low level of those psychological variables tend to become passive users/visitors. Instead, this fact indicates that people who become active users in Brainly tend to have confidence in solving the problems of academic subjects and have an orientation and control to achieve certain purposes. This statement is in line with the results of the study by Bates and Khasawneh (2007), which reveals that activities indicating academic mastery of e-learning correlates positively to self-efficacy. Zhang (2003) also stated that the conscientious personality type is a purposeful and strong-willed individual. Referring to this fact, it is not surprising that individuals with high personality conscientiousness tend to be more active in answering problems and providing solutions in CQA.

However, in this study openness to experience, agreeableness extraversion neuroticism, and dimensions do not show significant differences between the active and passive users. In contrast to Zhang's research (2003) stating that openness to experience positively influences deep learning activities and deep strategy, in this study learning activity is limited and specific to answering questions. In answering the questions, a person can apply inventive and creative ways and be openminded (high openness to experience) or a person can be cautious, dogmatic, and also closed-minded (low openness to experience).

The insignificant relationship to the dimensions of neuroticism, as found in this study, might be explained by considering the accuracy level of responses/answers given by users who also require moderation from the *Brainly* moderator. This fact shows that the problem-solving activity in CQA done by the users is not always accurate. This accuracy indicates that individuals actively involved could have high self-control and confidence (low neuroticism) or even be reactive (low emotional control) in answering.

Furthermore, the non-significant relationship between the type of active and passive users on the agreeableness dimension is thought to be due to the *Brainly* reward given to active users. The reward means that activities of answering questions are not only driven by altruism but also transactional. Individuals with high agreeableness, who base their attitude on altruism, sympathy and teamwork will tend to find difficulties being involved in learning activities that are achievement oriented (Zhang, 2003). The motive of a person when answering a question is not only based on altruism but also the transactional motive in the hope of getting the reward as promised by *Brainly*.

Extraversion personality types also cannot be distinguished significantly only by looking at which users tend to be active and which tend to be passive. Users with high extraversion type - who are often regarded as individuals who are attention seeking and domineering or low extraversion types - who tend to shy away from social relationships have opportunity to be both active or passive users. The nature of CQA online, which is relatively anonymous can cause a person, regardless of their extraversion level, to engage intensely in the activity of asking or answering questions.

This study also confirms the hypothesis that users who are actively involved in solving the problem of academic subjects at CQA will tend to achieve better academic performance when compared to passive users. This confirms an experiment conducted by Nestojko, et al. (2014) stating that students who study with the goal of teaching it to others will achieve better academic performance than those who are just learning to prepare for the exam. They will have better and complete memory when they are asked to teach it to others. This study finds there is a significant difference in learning scores between active and passive users at various levels of education, especially for students in grade 2 junior high school, grade 3 junior high school, grade 1 senior high school, and grade 3 senior high school. In those levels of education, the average score of students who become active users is higher when compared to those who become passive users. The difference between active and passive users is not significant enough for grade 1 junior high school and grade 2 senior high school. For grade 1 junior high school

that result might be obtained due to active users who join in this research being too few (22 users). While in grade 3 senior high school, the proportion between active (N = 57) and passive (N = 97) users who joined was not balanced.

In the first research question (RQ1), it can be concluded that the learning styles which are independent, competitive, participative and avoidant affect the type of users in Brainly. Students with independent, participative and competitive learning styles tend to be the type of user who is active in answering questions in Brainly. Independent learning style is indicated by the independence to problems more consider academic deeply. Competitive learning style is a learning model driven by the competition to get better results. Participative learning style could be seen from the level of student participation in the activities conducted in the classroom. Avoidant learning style is characterized by students who do not show interest in the classroom learning activities. The passive users tend to perform avoidant learning style when they are in class.

Interestingly, collaborative learning style does not show a significant difference between passive and active users. This result may be because of the reward system in CQA. Although, the CQA platform could stimulate collaborative action between users, rewarding every individual answer to the question may lead the users to be not only driven by the pleasure of sharing or collaborating with others, but certain action of users might be driven by the transactional motive of competition to obtain certain personal reward or recognition.

The result of this study also indicates significant differences in interaction forms between active and passive users. The active users understand the importance of mutual interaction between users. These active users do not only work together to give the best answer, but they can also engage in conflict situations, competition and accommodating action to solve the conflict. Understanding the principle of reciprocity and collaborative action taken by the active users is not surprising if found in active users because this *platform* is based on *mutual exchange* among users so that they can help each other in solving the problems of academic subjects. However, competitive relationships in answering other users' questions are also felt by active users. They are competing to achieve higher status regarding their membership in Brainly. This fact exists since the users' activeness in answering questions will obtain reward from Brainly whether it is status promotion or rewards in the form of

souvenirs and certificates. Conflict in the form of mutual refutation and debating answers in *Brainly* is also common among the active users. However, accommodating interactions are also prominent. *Brainly* becomes a medium in which they can also mediate discussions, compromise and revise answers that are already shared.

Brainly active users indicate their satisfaction in using the platform as a medium for interaction and sharing among users, rather than those who are passive users/visitors. They do not object to continuing their interactions outside of CQA activities either face-to-face or online through other social media.

The results of this study generally show that CQA users such as those on *Brainly* are able to obtain the benefits if they are actively involved in answering questions. These users obtain high scores in academic performance compared to passive users. This indicates that exercise and courage in solving the problems of academic subjects in *Brainly* can improve students' academic performance. It also does not close the possibility for teachers to use *Brainly* as a means of training for their students to answer questions.

Another benefit from using *Brainly* is the increased peer interaction among users. This social interaction is not only a mutual reciprocal, but also a relationship that requires *soft-skill*, especially with the ability to manage conflict and accommodate any discussions that occur within the platform.

The limitation of this study is related to the degree of generalization. The sampling was based on voluntarily participation instead of random sampling. The proportion of the sample number of passive and active users in grade 1 junior high school was too small and grade 2 senior high school was not balanced.

Although the study was funded by Brainly so that it raises the presumption of possibility of conflict of interest, the potential bias has been prevented using scientific methods and admitting the limitation of the study. It is realized from the beginning that any e-learning platform including Brainly can be used positively to increase academic understanding related to their academic subjects, but the misuse problem is potentially present for those who only look for instant answers without any effort to understand the academic subjects. Therefore, future studies need to explore deeply the traits and the motives in passive and active users, especially those associated with self-regulation and instant gratification motives in completing academic task. In addition, the in-depth study, whether the behavior

and academic achievement in the classroom are directly proportional to the activity undertaken in CQA, needs to be explored. It could be by comparing their CQA activities with GPA. Identification of these facts could also be useful for CQA designers to provide features that can prompt students to learn optimally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was financially supported by *Brainly*. We are thankful to Erik Choi for his valuable advice on this study.

REFERENCES

- Alfonseca, E., Carro, R.M., Martin, E., Ortigosa, A. and Paredes, P., 2006. The impact of learning styles on student grouping for collaborative learning: a case study. User Modelling and User-Adapted Interaction, [e-journal] 16 (3-4), pp. 377-401. 10.1007/s11257-006-9012-7
- Agudo-Peregrina, Á. F., Iglesias-Pradas, S., Conde-González, M. Á. and Hernández-García, Á., 2014. Can we predict success from log data in VLEs? Classification of interactions for learning analytics and their relation with performance in VLE-supported F2F and online learning. *Computers In Human Behavior*, [e-journal] 31, pp. 542-550. 10.1016/j.chb.2013.05.031
- Barla, M., Kislan, M. and Víťaz, M., 2016. QUERY: Interactive SQL Learning and Assessment. In D. Chudá, L. Rothkranz, A. Smrikarov, T. Vassilev, S. Smrikarova. Y. Aliev, eds. 2016. Proceedings of the international conference on e-Learning (e-Learning'16), Bratislava, 8-9 September 2016. Bratislava: Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava. pp. 60-66.
- Bates, R. and Khasawneh, S., 2007. Self-efficacy and college students' perceptions and use of online learning systems. *Computers In Human Behavior*, [ejournal] 23, pp. 175-191. 10.1016/j.chb.2004.04.004
- Bhaskoro, A.T., 2014. Brainly Sediakan Wadah Online Tanya Jawab Tugas Sekolah Antar Pengguna. Daily Social id, [online] 05 August. Available at: https://dailysocial.id/post/Brainly-sediakan-wadah-online-tanya-jawab-tugas-sekolah-antar-pengguna-2 [Accessed 2 June 2018].
- Chen, S.J. and Caropreso., 2004. Influence of Personality on Online Discussion. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, [online] 3 (2). Available at: https://www.learntechlib.org/p/158289/> [Accessed 2 June 2018].
- Cho, H., Gay, G., Davidson, B. and Ingraffea, A., 2007. Social networks, communication styles, and learning

performance in a CSCL community. *Computers & Education*, [e-journal] 49, pp. 309-329. 10.1016/j.compedu.2005.07.003

- Davies, J. and Graf, M., 2005. Performance in e-learning: online participation and student grades. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, [e-journal] 36 (4), pp. 567-663. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2005.00542.x
- Erlangga, M., 2015. Pengguna Brainly di Asia Hampir Mencapai Seperlima Dari Total Pengguna i Seluruh Dunia. *Daily Social id*, [online] 05 February. Available at: https://dailysocial.id/post/Brainly-asia [Accessed 2 June 2018].
- Felder, R.M. and Silverman, L.K. (1988). Learning styles and teaching styles in engineering education. *Engineering Education*, [online] 78(7), 674–681. Available at: http://winbev.pbworks.com/f/LS-1988.pdf> [Accessed 22 June 2018].
- Grasha, A. F., 1996. Teaching with style; A practical guide to enhancing learning by understanding teaching and learning styles. Pittsburgh: Alliance Publishers.
- Kolb, D.A., 1999. *The Kolb Learning Style Inventory*. Boston: Hay Resources Direct
- Nestojko, J. F., Bui, D. C., Kornell, N. and Bjork, E. L., 2014. Expecting to teach enhances learning and organization of knowledge in free recall of text passages. *Memory & cognition*, [e-journal] 42 (7), pp. 1038-1048. 10.3758/s13421-014-0416-z
- Rammstedt, B. and John, O. P., 2007. Measuring personality in one minute or less: A 10-item short version of the Big Five Inventory in English and German. *Journal of Research in Personality*, [ejournal] 41 (1), pp. 203-212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2006.02.001
- Ryza, P., 2018. Akuisisi Bask, Brainly Siapkan Platform Tanya Jawab Berbasis Video. *Daily Social id* [online] 25 January. Available at: https://dailysocial.id/post/Brainly-bask-platform-tanya-jawab-video/ [Accessed 2 June 2018].
- Schwarzer, R. and Jerusalem, M., 1995. Generalized Self-Efficacy scale. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, & M. Johnston, eds. 1995. *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs*. Windsor: NFER-NELSON. pp. 35-37
- Zhang, L., 2003. Does the big five predict learning approaches?. *Personality And Individual Differences*, [e-journal] 34, pp. 1431-1446. 10.1016/S0191-8869(02)001

AUTHOR INDEX

Adelina, F 406
Adelina, F
Afiatin, T
Agung, D
Agustiani, H
Akhmad, S 149
Akhrani, L548
Alfian, I
Andriani, F 5, 156
Ardelia, V 162
Ardelia, V 162 Ardi, R 199, 224, 239, 267
Ardiwinata, M 464
Arfensia, D
Arief, Y 391
Arisudana, M 554
Ariyanto, A
Astrini, R
Aziz, R 345, 376
Azkarisa, Y 564
Bawono, Y12
Benyamin, A
Brahmana, K230
Budi, W 330
Cabyani A 415
Callyalli, A
Cahyani, A
Devi, N
Devi, N548 Djuwita, R424, 431
Devi, N548 Djuwita, R424, 431 Fairuziana516, 525
Devi, N
Devi, N548 Djuwita, R424, 431 Fairuziana516, 525
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410
Devi, N
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323 Hapsari, M. 450
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323 Hapsari, M. 450 Hartini, N. 19, 91, 101, 162,
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323 Hapsari, M. 450 Hartini, N. 19, 91, 101, 162, 180, 261, 283
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323 Hapsari, M. 450 Hartini, N. 19, 91, 101, 162, 180, 261, 283
Devi, N.548Djuwita, R.424, 431Fairuziana516, 525Fajrianthi108, 120, 140, 313Faradiba, A.410Febrayosi, P.410Febriana, S.313Firmanda, T.12Fitria, Y.516Gunawan, H.364Hadi, C.156Hamidah120, 140, 174, 283Hamidah, H.276Handayani, M.97Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323Hapsari, M.450Hartini, N.19, 91, 101, 162, 180, 261, 283Haryanti, A.261Hendriani, W.12, 41, 55, 180,
Devi, N. 548 Djuwita, R. 424, 431 Fairuziana 516, 525 Fajrianthi 108, 120, 140, 313 Faradiba, A. 410 Febrayosi, P. 410 Febriana, S. 313 Firmanda, T. 12 Fitria, Y. 516 Gunawan, H. 364 Hadi, C. 156 Hamidah 120, 140, 174, 283 Hamidah, H. 276 Handayani, M. 97 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323 Hapsari, M. 450 Hartini, N. 19, 91, 101, 162, 180, 261, 283

Herlinda, O. 525 Hertinjung, W. 386 Hidayat, I. 34 Himan, F. 505 Hongzhou, X. 368
Idaiani, S
Jufri, M 543
Karyanta, N. 294 Kesumaningsari, N. 368, 554 Khumaidah, S. 525 Kristiana, I. 267 Kurniandini, P. 91 Kurniawan, Y. 72
Lay, A516 Liando, W360
Maharani, R. 340 Mangestuti, R. 345, 376 Margaretha 307 Martoyo, I. 458 Matulessy, A. 340 Mawarpury, M. 516 Mulyati, R. 505 Mustamiah, D. 486 Muttaqin, D. 140
Nadziroh, L. 441 Nanik 55 Nawangsari, N. 239 Ni, R. 360 Nisa, V. 162 Notobroto, H. 303 Novanto, Y. 187 Noviati, N. 478 Nughoro, S. 391 Nugrahani, R. 5 Nu'man, T. 478 Nursafitri, O. 307
Paramita, A. 410 Paramita, P. 97 Pradiri, A. 19, 199 Pramono, R. 458 Prasetya, N. 397 Prasetyo, Y. 340 Prastuti, E. 101 Pratama, H. 199

Pratitis, N
Pratitis, N
Prihatsanti, U
Priyatama, A
Purwaningrum, E
Purwono, R
Purwono, U
Puspitasari, A
Putra, M 12, 115, 207, 294,
494
Putri, A
Rahaju, S
Rahayu, D
Rahmania, A
Rai, N
Raisya, H
Rani, S
Ratu, A
Retalista, N
Riyono, B 505
Rosito, A 469
Safitri, S
Salim, R
Saman, A
Sani, R
Santi, D
Setiawan, J
Setiowati, E
Setyaningsih, R 115
Setyawati, R
Siregar, J
Soen, C
Soesanto, M
Solichah, N
Soura, S
Suhariadi, F 108, 505
Sukamto, M 120, 140
Sulasmi, S
Sulistiani, W
Sulistyarini, I
Suryanto 26, 149, 207, 230,
303, 406, 494
Suryanto, A
Suyanto, B
Tairas, M55, 101
Tamar, M535
Umar M 26
Umar, M26

Utami, A	
Wahyuni, E. 345, 376 Wahyuni, H. 285 Wan, Y. 364 Wandansari, Y. 86 Watofa, Y. 307 Wibowo, W. 49	3 4 2 3

Wicaksono, I1	33
Widanti, N4	86
Widiantoro, D 199, 3	91
Widyasari, P 3	51
Wirawan, H 535, 5	
Xiangjun, Z5	54
Yiming, W3	68

Yoga, D 397
Yudiarso, A
Zainudin, M 323
Zamroni 386
Zhao, J
Zhao, Z
Zhenguo, J554

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All Rights Reserved ISBN: 978-989-758-435-0

