Revised: 26 June 2020

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



WILEY

Meta-analysis: Association between hepatitis B virus preS mutation and hepatocellular carcinoma risk

Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu^{1,2} | Fis Citra Ariyanto^{3,4} | | Gwenny Ichsan Prabowo¹ | Soetjipto Soetjipto^{1,2} | Retno Handajani^{1,2}

¹Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Institute of Tropical Disease, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

³Faculty of Nursing, Jember University, Jember, Indonesia

⁴Hearing Vision Ltd-Darmo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia

Correspondence

Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia. Email: citrawati.dvah@fk.unair.ac.id

Funding information Tahir Professorship Program, Grant/Award Number: -

Abstract

Previous observational studies suggested that hepatitis B virus (HBV) preS mutation plays an important role in the existence of HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, the results are still debatable. With an increasing number of studies about this topic, this study employed a meta-analysis to identify the association between HBV preS mutation and HCC risk. We searched for eligible studies from PubMed, ProQuest, CINAHL, ScienceDirect and Springer databases to assess the association between HBV mutation and HCC risk. This meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan 5.3 to provide pooled estimate for odds ratio (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls). Twenty-one clinical studies were included in this metaanalysis study which consisted of 1738 participants with HBV-related HCC and 3740 HBsAg-positive patients without HCC. All studies used samples of Asian population. PreS deletion was the most common mutation found in all studies. We found that ORs of HBV overall preS deletion was associated with HCC (OR = 3.28; 95% CI = 2.32-4.65; P < .00001; random-effects model). Each preS1 and preS2 deletion was associated with increased risk of HCC, with OR 2.42 (95% CI = 1.25-4.68, P = .008) and 3.36 (95% CI = 2.04-5.55, P < .00001), respectively. PreS2 start codon mutation was also significantly associated with HCC risk (OR = 2.47; 95% CI: 1.15-5.27; P = .02; random-effect model). The result of this meta-analysis suggested that HBV preS deletion (all, preS1 and preS2) and preS2 start codon mutation might contribute to the increased risk of HBV-related HCC.

KEYWORDS

hepatitis B virus, hepatocellular carcinoma, meta-analysis, preS mutation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is an infection targeting the liver which can cause both acute and chronic diseases. HBV infection is easily transmitted through blood and body fluid, while the virus can survive outside the body for up to 7 days.^{1,2} HBV infection is the most dangerous type of hepatitis virus infection and ranks fifteenth as the leading cause of death worldwide. It is estimated that around 887 000 people die each year due to HBV infection, mainly by liver cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).³

Abbreviations: ASC, asymptomatic carrier; CH, chronic hepatitis; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; L, large; LC, liver cirrhosis; M, medium; MeSH, medical subject heading; NF, nuclear factor; ORs, odds ratio; S, small; STROBE, strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology; STROME-ID, Strengthening the reporting of molecular epidemiology for infectious diseases; VEGF/Akt/mTOR, vascular endothelial growth factor/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin.

² WILEY-

There are several factors influencing the clinical course of HBV infection into HCC, including mutations in the preS region of HBV.⁴⁻⁶ Some studies reported associations between mutations in the HBV preS region and HCC.⁷⁻¹⁰ The preS region consists of preS1 and preS2 domain. It is a highly immunogenic region of HBV which has many epitopes for B and T lymphocytes.¹¹ PreS region also has many other functional sites that play an important role in the attachment, replication and progression of viral infections.¹²

Mutations in important functional sites of the preS regions can cause imbalance in the synthesis of HBV surface protein. For example, mutation in the L protein start codon, S promoter, viral topology domain, nucleocapsid binding region, CBF binding region, and pHSA binding region can cause L protein to be synthesized more than S and M proteins are thus detected in endoplasmic reticulum (ER).^{13,14} Excessive accumulation of L protein in ER leads to ER stress and trigger oxidative stress. The reactive oxygen species formed can trigger hepatocarcinogenesis through the activation of vascular endothelial growth factor/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (VEGF/Akt/mTOR), calpain cleavage, and nuclear factor (NF)- κ B pathway.^{15,16}

However, data on HBV preS mutations and HCC progression show different results in each population. In the last decade, much more studies (both case-control and cohort studies) focusing on the association between preS mutations and HCC have emerged which do not only focus on preS deletion mutation but other important preS mutation such as preS2 start codon mutation as well. Thus, it would be important in discerning the contribution of each mutation in preS region for HCC risk through analysing their effects, respectively. This is an updated meta-analysis to give us a more comprehensive understanding on those mutations with HCC risk, especially in the area where HBV infection is prevalent.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study selection

A comprehensive literature search was conducted to search journals in the latest decade using the following databases: PubMed, ProQuest, CINAHL, ScienceDirect and Springer until 30 November 2019. This study used Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms with the following keywords: 'hepatitis b virus' or 'HBV' and 'preS' and 'mutation' or 'variant' and 'hepatocellular carcinoma' or 'HCC'. In addition, the researchers performed a manual search to retrieve potential articles without missing any additional eligible studies.

2.2 | Criteria for article screening

The eligible studies should meet the following criteria: (a) the article assessed the association between HBV preS mutations and HCC; (b) the study design was case-control or cohort; and (c) odds ratio with

the 95% confidence interval was reported or could be determined through available data.

The unpublished reports such as conference abstracts were not included. This study excluded articles with patients who have co-infection with HCV and/or HIV, patients with occult HBV infection, duplicated data, or any article with sufficient information. Studies written by the same author focusing on distinct mutations or different samples were still included.

2.3 | Exclusion criteria

The excluded literatures were as follows: (a) cross-sectional study, case report or series, experimental, editorial and narrative review; (b) duplicated publication; (c) studies with indefinite population recruited or unqualified data; and (d) non-English articles.

2.4 | Quality assessment

The quality of the studies had been evaluated using the guidelines for reporting observational studies for infectious diseases, developed by strengthening the reporting of molecular epidemiology for infectious diseases (STROME-ID), which was built based on strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement. The use of this guideline was to improve and standardize the reporting of infectious-disease molecular data in epidemiological research. We checked whether the included studies fulfilled the points in the STROME-ID checklist.¹⁷

2.5 | Data collection

The data were collected by two members (CDKW and FCA) independently, using the standardized form. The researchers consulted with other investigators in case of any disagreement until reaching the consensus. The following information was extracted: first author's name, publication year, country, study design, matched variables, sequencing method, number of cases (patients who have developed HCC) and controls (patients without HCC), genotype distribution, mutation sites, and the corresponding ORs and 95% CIs.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

The mutation's impact on HCC was estimated by the summary of odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% Cl. The overall effect was appraised through the *Z* test which could be considered significant if the *P* value <.05. Heterogeneity was assessed with the Q-statistic test and l^2 test. The l^2 statistic measured the percentage of total variation across the studies due to clinical or methodological heterogeneity rather than chance. The pool estimated ORs were measured with models based on fixed effects or random effects

assumptions. If the value of l^2 statistics was <50% or the *P* value was more than .1, the fixed-effects model could be applied; otherwise, random effects model would be used. Begg's funnel plot was performed to determine any publication bias. All the statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager version 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study characteristics

As seen in Figure 1, we initially obtained 4871 studies through primary database searching and 21 through manual searching. Then, we selected 530 potentially relevant articles. Moreover, we removed the duplicates and screened the studies, and 26 of them were excluded due to non-English language. Eventually, as much as 233 fulltext studies were checked for their eligibility. Finally, some studies were excluded due to irrelevant study design and insufficient information or unqualified articles.

Eventually, a total of 21 potential articles were screened and met the STROME recommendations. Those articles collected 5518 HBV-infected participants in accumulation, which consisted of 1738 HCC cases and 3740 controls. The basic characteristics of all included studies and patients were shown in Table 1. The papers were published between 2007 and 2016. The sample size for each study ranged from 40 to 1820. Of the 21 included studies, eight studies took samples of Chinese population,^{8,18-24} four studies took Taiwanese,^{9,25-27} four were South Korean,^{10,28-30} two were Hong Kongese,^{12,31} one was Indonesian,³² one was Thai,³³ and one from mixed four Asian countries (Vietnamese, Korean, Taiwanese, Japanese).³⁴ The characteristics of the included studies in this meta-analysis are shown in Table 1.

The most commonly reported type of preS mutation was preS deletion, which was dominated by preS2 deletion and followed by preS1 deletion. Most of these studies stated that HBV preS deletions were clustered mainly in the 3' end of preS1 and 5' end of preS2 regions. Most of the deletions are in-frame deletion with multiplication of 3. PreS2 start codon mutation was also found in several included studies. The reported HBV genotype was dominated by genotypes C and B, while genotype D was reported in 1 study. Genotype C was the most reported by studies that took population in East Asia, while genotype B was most commonly found in a study conducted in Indonesia, which is a part of Southeast Asia region.

3.2 | PreS deletion and HCC risk

PreS deletion mutation was the most common preS mutation found in all included studies. This study found a statistically significant association between preS deletion and HCC risk (Figure 2). There was



FIGURE 1 PRISMA diagram of the literature search

TABLE 1	Characteristics	of included	studies in	this meta-ana	lysis
---------	-----------------	-------------	------------	---------------	-------

				Sample size			
No	First author	Publication year	Study design	Case (n = 1738)	Control (n = 3740)	Population	Matching variable
1	Choi	2007	Case-control	72	228	Korean	NA
2	Gao	2007	Case-control	26	53	Chinese	NA
3	Cao	2008	Cohort	47	50	Chinese	NA
4	Fang	2008	Case-control	33	33	Chinese	age, sex, BCP mutation
5	Chen	2008	Case-control	80	160	Taiwanese	age, sex, HBeAg status
6	Mun	2008	Case-control	40	80	Korean	NA
7	Abe	2009	Case-control	30	10	Vietnamese, Korean, Taiwanese, Japanese	NA
8	Jang	2009	Case-control	48	71	Korean	NA
9	Huang	2010	Case-control	19	19	Taiwanese	Age, gender
10	Yin	2010	Case-control	231	441	Chinese	Age, gender
11	Mun	2011	Case-control	99	142	Korean	NA
12	Qu	2011	Nested case-control	134	114	Chinese	Age
13	Utama	2011	Case-control	62	203	Indonesian	NA
14	Yeung	2011	Case-control	69	69	Hong Kongese	Age, gender, HBeAg status
15	Као	2012	Nested case-control	112	56	Taiwanese	Age
16	Thongbai	2013	Cohort	65	89	Thai	NA
17	Qu	2014	Nested case-control	96	97	Chinese	Age, history of cigarette smoking and alcohol c onsumption, HBeAg status
18	Zhao	2014	Case-control	157	160	Chinese	Age, gender, living location
19	Zhang	2015	Cohort	74	148	Hong Kongese	Age, gender
20	Li	2016	Cohort	136	257	Chinese	HBeAg status
21	Chen	2016	Case-control	108	110	Taiwanese	Age

Abbreviations: ASC, asymptomatic carrier; CH, chronic hepatitis; HCC, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, LC, liver cirrhosis.

a significant heterogeneity (P < .00001, $I^2 = 77\%$); thus, the random-effects model was used for analysis. The forest plot showed that subject with HCC had more HBV preS deletions than subject without HCC (35.16% vs 11.11%). The overall risk estimates OR for HCC of preS deletion was 3.28 (95% CI = 2.32-4.65, P < .00001) in a random-effects model. As heterogeneity was found in the statistical analyses, we analysed publication bias by Funnel plot to evaluate the sources of heterogeneity. We found that heterogeneity between studies was mainly caused by the studies by Yin et al¹⁸ and Chen et al,²⁷ as after these studies were excluded, no significant heterogeneity was found. Genotype C with preS mutation had significant association with HCC risk, with OR for HCC of preS deletion with genotype C was 3.53 (95% CI = 2.64-4.73, P < .00001) in a fixed-effect model (Figure 3).

3.3 | PreS1 deletion and HCC risk

Some study separated preS1 and preS2 deletions from all preS mutation. We analysed each preS1 and preS2 deletion and found a statistically significant association between preS1 deletion and HCC risk (Figure 4). There was a significant heterogeneity (P = .0003, $l^2 = 71\%$);

Type of preS mutation	Control	HBV genotype	preS mutation detection method	Deletion range (bp)
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion, preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	С	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	12-210
All preS deletion, preS1 and preS2 start codon	ASC-CH	С	Direct sequencing (Sangon, Beijing Genomics Institute)	12-255
All preS deletion, preS2 start codon	СН	NA	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	12-48
All preS deletion, preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	B, C	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-81
All preS deletion, preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	B, C, D	Sequencing using fluorescent-labelled primers (Applied biosystem)	3-1077
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion, preS1 start codon	Non-HCC	С	fluorescent 373A DNA sequencer (Perkin Elmer Applied Biosystems)	12-90
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion	Non-HCC	С	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-72
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion	Non-HCC	С	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	6-207
preS1 and preS2 deletion	СН	B, C	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	15-249
preS1 and preS2 deletion, preS1 and preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	n/a
preS2 deletion	Non-HCC	С	Mboll PRA sequencing	n/a
All preS deletion	ASC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	n/a
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion, preS1 start codon, preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	B, C, D	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-162
All preS deletion	Non-HCC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-189
All preS deletion	ASC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-351
preS1 and preS2 deletion, preS2 start codon	Non-HCC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	n/a
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion, preS2 start codon	ASC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	6-84
All preS deletion	Non-HCC	С, В	Capillary Gel ELP	15-183
All preS deletion	СН	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-422
preS1, preS2, all preS deletion	CH + LC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-201
All preS deletion, preS1 and preS2 start codon	CH + LC	С, В	Direct sequencing (Applied biosystem)	3-507

thus, the random-effects model was used for analysis. The overall risk estimates OR for HCC of preS1 deletion was 2.42 (95% CI = 1.25-4.68, P = .008). As heterogeneity was found in the statistical analyses, we analysed publication bias by Funnel plot to evaluate the sources of heterogeneity. We found that heterogeneity between studies was mainly caused by the studies by Yin et al,¹⁸ as after this study was excluded, no significant heterogeneity was found. Both genotypes B and C showed significant association between preS1 deletion and HCC risk, with OR for genotype C was 2.87 (95% CI = 1.47-5.61, P = .002, random-effect model) and OR for genotype B was 2.83 (95% CI = 1.17-6.84, P = .002, fixed-effect model; Figure 5).

3.4 | PreS2 deletion and HCC risk

We also found a statistically significant association between preS2 deletion and HCC risk (Figure 6). There was a significant heterogeneity (P = .0002, $I^2 = 71\%$); thus, the random-effects model was used for analysis. The overall risk estimates OR for HCC of preS2 deletion was 3.36 (95% CI = 2.04-5.55, P < .00001). As heterogeneity was found in the statistical analyses, we analysed publication bias by Funnel plot to evaluate the sources of heterogeneity. We found that heterogeneity between studies was mainly caused by the studies by Yin et al,¹⁸ as after this study was excluded, no significant _IVH

heterogeneity was found. Both genotypes B and C showed significant association between preS2 deletion and HCC risk, with OR for genotype C was 3.18 (95% CI = 1.85-5.48, P < .00001, random-effect model) and OR for genotype B was 6.82 (95% CI = 1.18-39.41, P = .03, random-effect model; Figure 7).

3.5 | PreS1 start codon mutation and HCC risk

In this meta-analysis, we obtained non-significant association between preS1 start codon mutation and HCC risk (P = .15, randomeffect model). Both genotypes C and B also showed non-significant association between preS1 start codon mutation and HCC risk.

3.6 | PreS2 start codon mutation and HCC risk

PreS2 start codon mutation was either an amino acid substitution from methionine (M120) to other amino acids or in a form of deletion. In this meta-analysis, all HCC subjects in the included studies had more preS2 start codon mutation than those without HCC (21.2% vs 4.96%). As shown in Figure 8, this study observed a statistically significant association between preS2 start codon mutation and HCC risk (OR = 2.47; 95% CI = 1.15-5.27, *P* = .02, random-effect model). As heterogeneity was found in the statistical analyses, we analysed publication bias by Funnel plot to evaluate the sources of heterogeneity. We found that heterogeneity between studies was mainly caused by the studies by Yin et al,¹⁸ as after this study was

	нсс	:	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Gao 2007	10	26	3	53	3.2%	10.42 [2.55, 42.57]	2007	
Choi 2007	31	72	41	228	5.8%	3.45 [1.94, 6.14]	2007	
Mun 2008	21	40	16	80	5.0%	4.42 [1.93, 10.12]	2008	· · · ·
Chen 2008	28	80	27	160	5.7%	2.65 [1.43, 4.92]	2008	_
Fang 2008	15	33	6	33	4.0%	3.75 [1.22, 11.48]	2008	· · · · ·
Cao 2008	24	47	9	50	4.7%	4.75 [1.89, 11.94]	2008	
Jang 2009	17	48	13	71	4.9%	2.45 [1.05, 5.69]	2009	
Abe 2009	27	30	0	10	1.1%	165.00 [7.84, 3474.63]	2009	
Yin 2010	41	231	34	1589	6.1%	9.87 [6.11, 15.93]	2010	
Huang 2010	8	19	0	19	1.2%	28.83 [1.52, 547.34]	2010	│ ———→
Yeung 2011	23	69	11	69	5.0%	2.64 [1.17, 5.96]	2011	· · ·
Utama 2011	11	62	21	205	5.1%	1.89 [0.86, 4.18]	2011	
Mun 2011	35	99	19	142	5.6%	3.54 [1.88, 6.68]	2011	
Qu 2011	32	134	14	114	5.5%	2.24 [1.13, 4.45]	2011	
Kao 2012	56	112	4	56	4.1%	13.00 [4.40, 38.37]	2012	· · · ·
Thongbai 2013	6	65	9	89	4.1%	0.90 [0.31, 2.68]	2013	
Qu 2014	28	96	11	97	5.2%	3.22 [1.50, 6.93]	2014	
Zhao 2014	74	157	45	160	6.2%	2.28 [1.43, 3.63]	2014	
Zhang 2015	22	74	14	148	5.3%	4.05 [1.93, 8.51]	2015	
Chen 2016	55	108	67	110	5.9%	0.67 [0.39, 1.14]	2016	
Li 2016	47	136	52	257	6.2%	2.08 [1.31, 3.32]	2016	-
Total (95% CI)		1738		3740	100.0%	3.28 [2.32, 4.65]		•
Total events	611		116					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	0.45 [.] Chi ²	= 86 7	4 df = 20	(P < 0)	00001) 12	2 = 77%	F	
Test for overall effect:	7 = 6 69 (F	2 < 0.0	-, ui - 20 0001)	(1 < 0.	.00001), 1	- 11/0	0.01	0.1 1 10 100
reactor overall effect.	⊆ = 0.03 (I	- 0.00	0001)					Favours [HCC] Favours [control]

FIGURE 2 Forest plot for the correlation between preS deletion and HCC risk

	HCC	;	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Choi 2007	31	72	41	228	24.2%	3.45 [1.94, 6.14]	
Fang 2008	14	29	5	30	5.5%	4.67 [1.40, 15.57]	
Gao 2007	10	26	3	53	2.6%	10.42 [2.55, 42.57]	
Jang 2009	17	48	13	71	14.6%	2.45 [1.05, 5.69]	
Mun 2008	21	40	16	80	10.9%	4.42 [1.93, 10.12]	
Mun 2011	35	99	19	142	21.8%	3.54 [1.88, 6.68]	
Qu 2014	24	89	9	92	13.9%	3.41 [1.48, 7.82]	
Utama 2011	3	12	11	54	6.5%	1.30 [0.30, 5.64]	
Total (95% CI)		415		750	100.0%	3.53 [2.64, 4.73]	•
Total events	155		117				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	5.28, df =	7 (P = 0	0.63); I ² =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.46 (P < 0.0	0001)			0.01	
							Favours Inc. Favours Icontroll



excluded, no significant heterogeneity was found. Genotype B with preS2 start codon mutation had significant association with HCC risk, with OR was 2.48 (95% CI = 1.17-5.26, P = .002) in a fixed-effect model (Figure 9).

3.7 | Sensitivity analysis

In this meta-analysis, there was no significant change in ORs by deleting a particular study, which indicated that no single study influenced the statistical significance of the overall results.

4 | DISCUSSIONS

The preS region of HBV consists of preS1 and preS2 regions which encodes three surface proteins that are structurally different but interrelated with each other: Large (L), medium (M), and small (S) proteins.³⁵ The preS region also has B and T epitopes with other several functional domains [10]. Mutations in the preS region associated with HCC are usually in the form of deletions, although there are several studies showing that point mutations in the form of substitutions in some codons also play a contribution in HCC risk.^{18,31,36}

	HCC		Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Abe 2009	5	30	0	10	3.8%	4.53 [0.23, 89.44]		
Choi 2007	1	72	2	228	5.3%	1.59 [0.14, 17.81]		
Huang 2010	4	19	1	19	5.7%	4.80 [0.48, 47.68]		
Jang 2009	5	48	8	71	11.4%	0.92 [0.28, 2.99]		
Li 2016	12	136	26	257	14.9%	0.86 [0.42, 1.76]		
Mun 2008	13	40	11	80	13.4%	3.02 [1.21, 7.56]		
Qu 2014	25	96	11	97	14.5%	2.75 [1.27, 5.98]		
Thongbai 2013	5	65	0	89	4.0%	16.27 [0.88, 299.73]		\longrightarrow
Utama 2011	3	62	7	201	10.1%	1.41 [0.35, 5.62]		
Yin 2010	53	231	75	1589	17.0%	6.01 [4.09, 8.83]		
Total (95% CI)		799		2641	100.0%	2.42 [1.25, 4.68]	•	
Total events	126		141					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.62; Chi ²	= 31.2	6, df = 9 (P = 0.0	003); l² =	71%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.63 (F	> = 0.0	08)			0.01	0.1 1 10	100
	,						Favours [HCC] Favours [control]

FIGURE 4	Forest plot for the o	correlation between	preS1 deletion	and HCC risk

(A)									
(* •/		HCC	;	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
	Choi 2007	1	72	2	228	6.3%	1.59 [0.14, 17.81]		
	Jang 2009	5	48	8	71	16.5%	0.92 [0.28, 2.99]		
	Mun 2008	13	40	11	80	20.8%	3.02 [1.21, 7.56]		
	Qu 2014	24	89	9	92	22.3%	3.41 [1.48, 7.82]		
	Utama 2011	0	12	2	54	4.1%	0.84 [0.04, 18.62]	-	_
	Yin 2010	48	210	58	1254	30.0%	6.11 [4.03, 9.26]		
	Total (95% CI)		471		1779	100.0%	2.87 [1.47, 5.61]	•	
	Total events	91		90					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).35; Chi ²	= 12.1	8, df = 5 (P = 0.0)3); l ² = 599	% ⊢		
	Test for overall effect: Z	z = 3.08 (I	P = 0.0	02)			0.01	0.1 1 10	100
		,		54				Favours [HCC] Favours [co	ntrol]

(B)		НСС	:	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
	Qu 2014	1	7	1	5	20.4%	0.67 [0.03, 14.03]		
	Utama 2011	3	50	5	147	48.6%	1.81 [0.42, 7.88]		
	Yin 2010	5	21	17	335	31.1%	5.85 [1.91, 17.85]		
	Total (95% CI)		78		487	100.0%	2.83 [1.17, 6.84]	-	
	Total events	9		23					
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.84, df = 2	2 (P = 0).24); I ² =	30%				~
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.32 (I	P = 0.0	2)			0.01	Favours [HCC] Favours [control]	J



	HCC	;	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Abe 2009	20	30	0	10	2.4%	41.00 [2.18, 770.08]	
Choi 2007	15	72	17	228	11.1%	3.27 [1.54, 6.94]	
Huang 2010	8	19	0	19	2.4%	28.83 [1.52, 547.34]	│ ————————————————————————————————————
Jang 2009	14	48	8	71	9.5%	3.24 [1.24, 8.50]	
Li 2016	26	136	15	257	11.6%	3.81 [1.94, 7.48]	
Mun 2008	6	40	12	80	8.9%	1.00 [0.35, 2.89]	
Mun 2011	35	99	19	142	11.9%	3.54 [1.88, 6.68]	
Qu 2014	18	97	7	97	9.8%	2.93 [1.16, 7.38]	
Thongbai 2013	6	65	9	89	8.7%	0.90 [0.31, 2.68]	
Utama 2011	11	62	16	201	10.5%	2.49 [1.09, 5.71]	_ .
Yin 2010	41	231	34	1589	13.0%	9.87 [6.11, 15.93]	
Total (95% CI)		899		2783	100.0%	3.36 [2.04, 5.55]	•
Total events	200		137				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.44; Chi ²	= 34.0	1, df = 10	(P = 0	.0002); l² =	= 71%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.74 (I	P < 0.0	0001)			0.01	
							Favours [HCC] Favours [control]



(A)]	нсс		Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
	Choi 2007	15	72	17	228	16.0%	3.27 [1.54, 6.94]		
	Jang 2009	14	48	8	71	13.4%	3.24 [1.24, 8.50]		
	Mun 2008	6	40	12	80	12.3%	1.00 [0.35, 2.89]		
	Mun 2011	35	99	19	142	17.6%	3.54 [1.88, 6.68]		
	Qu 2014	17	89	6	92	13.2%	3.38 [1.27, 9.03]		
	Utama 2011	3	12	11	54	8.6%	1.30 [0.30, 5.64]		
	Yin 2010	34	210	28	1254	19.0%	8.46 [5.01, 14.29]		
	Total (95% CI)		570		1921	100.0%	3.18 [1.85, 5.48]	•	
	Total events	124		101					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.33; Chi ²	= 17.61	1, df = 6 (P = 0.0	07); l ² = 6	6%		
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.18 (F	> < 0.00	001)			0.01		0
(B)	1	нсо		Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
-	Qu 2014	1	7	1	5	19.8%	0.67 [0.03, 14.03]		
	Utama 2011	8	50	5	147	40.4%	5.41 [1.68, 17.42]	_	
	Yin 2010	7	21	6	335	39.8%	27.42 [8.14, 92.36]		
	Total (95% CI)		78		487	100.0%	6.82 [1.18, 39.41]		
	Total events	16		12					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.63; Chi ²	² = 7.34	, df = 2 (F	P = 0.03	3); l ² = 739	‰ ⊢		ł
	Tost for overall effect:	7-2141	P = 0.0	2)			0.01	0.1 1 10 10	0

Test for overall effect: Z = 2.14 (P = 0.03)

FIGURE 7 Forest plot for the correlation between preS2 deletion and HCC risk in genotype C (A) and B (B)

In mutations on preS1 functional sites, for example at the start of the preS1 codon and topology domain, excessive expression of L protein occurs. Mutations in the S promoter, the domain of viral secretion, the nucleocapsid binding domain and the CBF binding region can decrease the expression of S protein. Mutations in pHSA binding region and preS2 start codon can reduce the expression of M protein and produce truncated M protein. Furthermore, there will be a change in the ratio of L, M and S protein expression. 13,25,37 The large size of L protein (up to 800 nm) and its branched structure make this protein difficult to be secreted as normally, as the L protein

must form complexes with S and M proteins to be secreted. The low ratio of S protein and defects in M protein causes increasing L protein accumulation inside the ER that leads to oxidative stress and further liver damage.^{38,39}

Favours [HCC] Favours [control]

In the absence of very large individual studies and limited ethnicity data, this study had carried out this updated meta-analysis for clarifying the correlation between HBV preS mutation and HCC risk. The largest sample size available in the individual study was 1820 subjects. All subject population in this meta-analysis were only Asians, and no study involving non-Asian participant was found.

	нсс	;	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Cao 2008	10	47	4	50	9.2%	3.11 [0.90, 10.72]	
Chen 2008	23	80	24	160	11.2%	2.29 [1.19, 4.38]	
Chen 2016	24	40	24	46	10.6%	1.38 [0.58, 3.24]	
Choi 2007	7	72	22	228	10.5%	1.01 [0.41, 2.47]	
Fang 2008	10	33	6	33	9.5%	1.96 [0.62, 6.21]	
Gao 2007	6	26	4	53	8.8%	3.67 [0.94, 14.43]	
Qu 2014	17	96	7	97	10.3%	2.77 [1.09, 7.02]	
Thongbai 2013	6	65	5	89	9.3%	1.71 [0.50, 5.86]	
Utama 2011	3	62	8	201	8.8%	1.23 [0.32, 4.77]	
Yin 2010	69	231	44	1589	11.8%	14.96 [9.91, 22.57]	
Total (95% CI)		752		2546	100.0%	2.47 [1.15, 5.27]	•
Total events	175		148				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ²	1.22; Chi ²	= 65.7	3, df = 9 (P < 0.0	00001); l ² =	= 86% H	

0.01

0.1

1

Favours [HCC] Favours [control]

10

100

Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02)

FIGURE 8 Forest plot for the correlation between preS2 start codon mutation and HCC risk

	HCC		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Chen 2016	19	24	11	19	33.2%	2.76 [0.72, 10.57]		
Fang 2008	1	4	1	3	11.1%	0.67 [0.02, 18.06]		
Utama 2011	3	50	6	147	37.1%	1.50 [0.36, 6.23]		
Yin 2010	4	21	15	335	18.6%	5.02 [1.50, 16.77]	· · · · ·	
Total (95% CI)		99		504	100.0%	2.48 [1.17, 5.26]	•	
Total events	27		33					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.43, df = 3 (P = 0.49); l ² = 0%						H		<u> </u>
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.37$ (P = 0.02)						0.0	1 0.1 1 10	100
	(_,				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	

FIGURE 9 Forest plot for the correlation between preS2 start codon mutation and HCC risk in genotype B

Asian countries are reported to have a high or high-intermediate prevalence of HBV infection in general population, in which the infections in this region are most prevalent during infancy or childhood.⁴⁰ The high prevalence of HBV infection in Asian populations also causes high risk of HCC incidence.⁴¹ Meanwhile, the ethnicities obtained in this study were mostly Chinese. In this meta-analysis, genotype C was the most common genotype of HBV in the included studies due to majority samples of East Asian population (mostly Chinese), in which genotype C is mostly found in the area.⁴² The least common genotype was genotype B, except in one study from Indonesia in which genotype B was prevalent.^{32,43}

This study managed to identify the association between preS mutation with HCC risk in this meta-analysis. The results showed that all preS deletion, preS1 deletion, preS2 deletion and preS2 start codon mutation had a significant correlation with the increased risk of HCC. The pooled crude ORs from the included studies suggested that all preS deletions were associated with 3.28 increased risk of HCC (95% CI = 2.32-4.65, P < .00001) in a random-effects model, compared with HBV infection without HCC. While the pooled crude ORs from the included studies suggested that both preS1 and preS2 deletions were associated with increased risk of HCC, with OR 2.42 (95% CI = 1.25-4.68, P = .008) and 3.36 (95% CI = 2.04-5.55, P < .00001), respectively. In this meta-analysis, we found no

significant association between preS1 start codon mutation and HCC risk. We found that preS2 start codon mutation was significantly associated with HCC risk, with OR = 2.47 (95% CI = 1.15-5.27, P = .02, random-effect model).

In the genotype analysis, preS deletion was significantly associated with HCC in genotype C, preS1 and preS2 in both genotypes B and C, and preS2 start codon in genotype B. However, this should be more elucidated in the future, as data regarding the association between preS mutation and HBV genotype are still lacking. As most included studies came from Asian countries, we did not find genotypes other than B and C for further analysis. Some mutations carry both preS1 deletion and preS2 deletion which generally harbour extensive deletion. This condition seems unable to make either the large or middle surface protein, although the major surface ORF is intact.²⁴ This kind of deletion also removes all or part of a critical epitope in preS1 and/or preS2. Most of the deletion regions encompassed T-cell and B-cell epitopes and important functional sites.⁵ Some studies stated that in genotype B, deletion mutation tends to occur in preS1 or preS1/S2 region, while in genotype C, the deletion mutation usually occurs in the preS2 region.^{25,32}

Although many observational studies had been conducted, there are still few meta-analysis studies that identify the relationship between preS mutations and HCC. The results of this meta-analysis 10

II F

are in line with the meta-analysis conducted by Wang et al which showed a significant relationship between preS deletion and HCC risk in Asian populations; however, the meta-analysis did not analyse the effect of different preS1 and preS2 region on HCC risk. The study also did not analyse the association between preS1 and preS2 start codon mutations with HCC and did not distinguish the genotype of HBV.⁴⁴

This research proved the role of preS deletion and preS2 start codon mutation on the risk of HCC. However, it did not rule out the influence of other factors that also play a role in the incidence of HCC. This is because the pathogenesis of HCC due to HBV infection is also influenced by age, gender, mutations in other susceptible genes (X, precore/core region), and host genetic polymorphism. These factors should be considered in any studies involving the role of preS mutation on HCC.

Another limitation of this study is that this meta-analysis only included a limited number of eligible studies, particularly available ethnicity. This study found no research taking samples from outside Asia. Although Asia is a highly endemic area of HBV infection, another hyperendemic region such as Africa should also affect the outcome. In conclusion, this study revealed that HBV preS mutation is associated with increased risk of HCC, especially in Asian countries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We give thanks to Dato' Sri Prof. Dr Tahir for supporting this research through the Tahir Professorship Program, Indonesia.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu, Fis Citra Ariyanto, Gwenny Ichsan Prabowo, Soetjipto and Retno Handajani declare that they have no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu ២ https://orcid.

org/0000-0001-5<u>1</u>80-957X

Fis Citra Ariyanto D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6883-195X Soetjipto Soetjipto D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2203-5565

REFERENCES

- Sarkar N, Chakravarty R. Hepatitis B virus infection, MicroRNAs and liver disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16(8):17746-17762.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis B Questions and Answers for the Public. https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hbv/ bfaq.htm.Published2019. Accessed December 3, 2019.
- World Health Organization. Hepatitis B. https://www.who. int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b. Published 2019. Accessed December 1, 2019.
- Xie JX, Zhao J, Yin JH, et al. Association of novel mutations and heplotypes in the preS region of hepatitis B virus with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Med China*. 2010;4(4):419-429.
- Chen BF. Hepatitis B virus pre-S/S variants in liver diseases. World J Gastroenterol. 2018;24(14):1507-1520.
- An P, Xu J, Yu Y, Winkler CA. Host and Viral genetic variation in HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Genet*. 2018;9:1-15.
- 7. Chen BF, Liu CJ, Jow GM, Chen PJ, Kao JH, Chen DS. High prevalence and mapping of pre-S deletion in hepatitis B virus

carriers with progressive liver diseases. *Gastroenterology*. 2006;130(4):1153-1168.

- Gao ZY, Li T, Wang J, et al. Mutations in preS genes of genotype C hepatitis B virus in patients with chronic hepatitis B and hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol. 2007;42(9):761-768.
- Chen C-H, Changchien C-S, Lee C-M, et al. Combined mutations in pre-s/surface and core promoter/precore regions of hepatitis B virus increase the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a case-control study. J Infect Dis. 2008;198(11):1634-1642.
- Mun H-S, Lee S-A, Kim H, Hwang E-S, Kook Y-H, Kim B-J. Novel F141L pre-S2 mutation in hepatitis b virus increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic genotype C infections. J Virol. 2011;85(1):123-132.
- Shouval D, Roggendorf H, Roggendorf M. Enhanced immune response to hepatitis B vaccination through immunization with a Pre-S1/Pre-S2/S Vaccine. *Med Microbiol Immunol.* 2015;204(1):57-68.
- 12. Zhang AY, Lai CL, Huang FY, et al. Evolutionary changes of hepatitis B virus Pre-S mutations prior to development of hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(9):1-14.
- 13. Chen B-F. Clinical significance of the hepatitis B virus Pre-S deletion. *FJJM*. 2010;85-95.
- Su I-J, Wang LH-C, Hsieh W-C, et al. The emerging role of hepatitis B virus Pre-S2 deletion mutant proteins in HBV tumorigenesis. *J Biomed Sci.* 2014;21(1):98.
- Pollicino T, Cacciola I, Saffioti F, Raimondo G. Hepatitis B virus PreS/S gene variants: pathobiology and clinical implications. *J Hepatol.* 2014;61(2):408-417.
- Li YW, Yang FC, Lu HQ, Zhang JS. Hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatitis B surface protein. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(6):1943-1952.
- 17. Field N, Cohen T, Struelens MJ, et al. Strengthening the reporting of molecular epidemiology for infectious diseases (STROME-ID): an extension of the STROBE statement. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(4):341-352.
- Yin J, Xie J, Zhang H, et al. Significant association of different preS mutations with hepatitis B-related cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol. 2010;45(10):1063-1071.
- 19. Qu LS, Liu TT, Jin F, et al. Combined pre-S deletion and core promoter mutations related to hepatocellular carcinoma: a nested case-control study in China. *Hepatol Res.* 2011;41(1):54-63.
- 20. Qu LS, Liu JX, Liu TT, et al. Association of hepatitis B virus pre-S deletions with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in Qidong, China. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):1-8.
- Zhao ZM, Jin Y, Gan Y, et al. Novel approach to identifying the hepatitis B virus pre-S deletions associated with hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(37):13573-13581.
- 22. Li X, Qin Y, Liu Y, et al. PreS deletion profiles of hepatitis B virus (HBV) are associated with clinical presentations of chronic HBV infection. *J Clin Virol*. 2016;82:27-32.
- Cao Z, Bai X, Guo X, Jin Y, Qian G, Tu H. High prevalence of hepatitis B virus pre-S mutation and its association with hepatocellular carcinoma in Qidong, China. Arch Virol. 2008;153(10):1807-1812.
- Fang ZL, Sabin CA, Dong BQ, et al. Hepatitis B virus pre-S deletion mutations are a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma: a matched nested case - Control study. J Gen Virol. 2008;89(11):2882-2890.
- Huang H-P, Hsu H-Y, Chen C-L, et al. Pre-S2 deletions of hepatitis B virus and hepatocellular carcinoma in children. *Pediatr Res.* 2010;67(1):90-94.
- 26. Kao JH, Liu CJ, Jow GM, Chen PJ, Chen DS, Chen BF. Fine mapping of hepatitis B virus pre-S deletion and its association with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int*. 2012;32(9):1373-1381.
- 27. Chen BF. Different pre-S deletion patterns and their association with hepatitis B virus genotypes. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016;22(35):8041-8049.

- Mun H-S, Lee S-A, Jee Y, et al. The prevalence of hepatitis B virus preS deletions occurring naturally in korean patients infected chronically with genotype C. J Med Virol. 2008;80:1189-1194.
- 29. Jang JS, Kim HS, Kim HJ, et al. Association of concurrent hepatitis B surface antigen and antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen with hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *J Med Virol.* 2009;81:1531-1538.
- Choi MS, Kim DY, Lee DH, et al. Clinical significance of pre-S mutations in patients with genotype C hepatitis B virus infection. J Viral Hepat. 2007;14(3):161-168.
- Yeung P, Wong DKH, Lai CL, Fung J, Seto WK, Yuen MF. Association of hepatitis B virus pre-S deletions with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B. J Infect Dis. 2011;203(5):646-654.
- Utama A, Siburian MD, Fanany I, et al. Low prevalence of hepatitis B virus pre-S deletion mutation in Indonesia. J Med Virol. 2011;83:1717-1726.
- Thongbai C, Sa-nguanmoo P, Kranokpiruk P, Poovorawan K, Poovorawan Y, Tangkijvanich P. Hepatitis B virus genetic variation and TP53 R249S mutation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in Thailand. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(6):3555-3559.
- Abe K, Thung SN, Wu HC, et al. Pre-S2 deletion mutants of hepatitis B virus could have an important role in hepatocarcinogenesis in Asian children. *Cancer Sci.* 2009;100(12):2249-2254.
- Tong S, Li J, Wands JR, Wen YM. Hepatitis B virus genetic variants: Biological properties and clinical implications. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2013;2(1):1-11.
- Liu WC, Wu IC, Lee YC, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma-associated single-nucleotide variants and deletions identified by the use of genome-wide high-throughput analysis of hepatitis B virus. J Pathol. 2017;243(2):176-192.

- Ogura S, Tameda M, Sugimoto K, et al. A substitution in the pre-S1 promoter region is associated with the viral regulation of hepatitis B virus. Virol J. 2019;16(1):1-11.
- Bruss V. Hepatitis B virus morphogenesis. World J Gastroenterol. 2007;13(1):65-73.
- 39. Churin Y, Roderfeld M, Roeb E. Hepatitis B virus large surface protein: function and fame. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr.* 2015;4(1):1-10.
- 40. Shan S, Cui F, Jia J. How to control highly endemic hepatitis B in Asia. *Liver Int*. 2017;2018(38):122-125.
- 41. El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2012;142(6):1264-1273.e1.
- 42. Lin SYC, Magalis BR, Salemi M, Liu HF. Origin and dissemination of hepatitis B virus genotype C in East Asia revealed by phylodynamic analysis and historical correlates. J Viral Hepat. 2019;26(1):145-154.
- 43. Yano Y, Utsumi T, Lusida MI, Hayashi Y. Hepatitis B virus infection in Indonesia. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(38):10714-10720.
- 44. Wang C, Teng Z, Zhu Y, Zhao AZ, Sun C. Associations between pre-S deletion mutation of hepatitis B virus and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in the Asian population: a meta-analysis. *Med Sci Monit.* 2015;21:1072-1077.

How to cite this article: Wungu CDK, Ariyanto FC, Prabowo GI, Soetjipto S, Handajani R. Meta-analysis: Association between hepatitis B virus preS mutation and hepatocellular carcinoma risk. *J. Viral Hepat.*. 2020;00:1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jvh.13402</u>