

mulyadi tanjung <mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id>

Natural Product Research - Decision on Manuscript ID GNPL-2021-1069

1 message

Natural Product Research <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Reply-To: armandodoriano.bianco@uniroma1.it To: mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id Wed, Jun 2, 2021 at 4:24 AM

,

01-Jun-2021

Dear Dr Tanjung:

Your manuscript entitled "Three novel quinolinone alkaloids from the leaves of Melicope denhamii" which you submitted to Natural Product Research, has been reviewed. The reviewer comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) would like to see some revisions made to your manuscript before publication. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

When you revise your manuscript please highlight the changes you make in the manuscript by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

To start the revision, please click on the link below:

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/gnpl?URL MASK=74f00d886fae458380b5bbbb36b0b026

This will direct you to the first page of your revised manuscript. Please enter your responses to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you made to the original manuscript. Please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

This link will remain active until you have submitted your revised manuscript.

If you begin a revision and intend to finish it at a later time, please note that your draft will appear in "Revised Manuscripts in Draft" in your Author Centre

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to Natural Product Research, your revised manuscript should be uploaded by13-Jul-2021. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision by this date, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Sincerely,
Professor Bianco
Editor-in-Chief, Natural Product Research
armandodoriano.bianco@uniroma1.it

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Editorial Office:

Table 1 should be moved in supplementary material.

1 of 2 8/20/2021, 1:08 PM

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The current manuscript descibes the isolation and structural elucidation of three new natural compounds in addition to six known ones.

I think the manuscript needs extensive english revision by an expert

for example:

The abstract

P3 L25 three unreported....three previously unreported

P3 L31 HRESIMS is not a spectroscopic technique

P3 L36 with an IC50 ???

The introduction section needs more revision to make sence.

Results and discussion

Again and again

P4 L22 the functional group of 1 indicating absorption for ????

L31 were characteristics ????

P5 L5 you can not describe this absorption as carbonyl

Overall, the whole manuscript needs extensive revision by a native speaker expert.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

In this article, three unknown quinolone alkaloids and six known compounds were extracted, characterized and tested for their activity in three cells (MCF-7, HeLa and P-388). The workload is full, but the following problems still exist :

- 1. The description of the main content and purpose of the article in the Introduction is not complete, and only the extraction and cell experiments are described emphatically, while the article is generally more characterized.
- 2、Whether the integral of the peak area is also needed in the hydrogen spectra of compounds 2 and 3
- 3、 "Seven known compounds" is mentioned at the beginning of the second paragraph on page 7, and six compounds
- 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 are listed later. The number of compounds is not correct. Please compare them carefully if they are wrong.
- 4. Six known compounds are mentioned in the article to compare their 1D and 2D NMR, but the article does not provide maps or data for comparison.
- 5. After the author made structural predictions, the fragment ion peaks were not used to verify the structure, which lacked persuasiveness.
- 6. The structure of the article can be adjusted appropriately, and whether the results and discussion can be put later.
- 7、 Figure 1. The author tried to be as clear as possible when he numbered the atoms of the compound.
- 8. The article evaluated the cytotoxicity of all nine compounds and got the conclusion that compounds 1 and 5 showed good activity on Hela cells, whether it is possible to be a drug, and whether the effect after the drug has a good effect.
- 9. There are few descriptions and discussions about cell experiments. Whether to further describe and analyze how to carry out the experiment and the results of the experiment.

Based on the above points, it is recommended to publish after major overhaul

2 of 2 8/20/2021, 1:08 PM



mulyadi tanjung <mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id>

Natural Product Research - Decision on Manuscript ID GNPL-2021-1069.R2

1 message

Natural Product Research <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Reply-To: armandodoriano.bianco@uniroma1.it To: mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id Mon, Jul 19, 2021 at 4:10 AM

18-Jul-2021

Dear Dr Tanjung:

Ref: Three novel quinolinone alkaloids from the leaves of Melicope denhamii

Our referees have now considered your paper and have recommended publication in Natural Product Research. We are pleased to accept your paper in its current form which will be forwarded to the publisher for copy editing and typesetting in due course. The reviewer comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

You will then receive instructions for transfer of copyright, and proofs for checking from the publisher's Central Article Tracking System (CATS).

Thank you for your contribution to Natural Product Research, and we look forward to receiving further submissions from you.

Sincerely,
Professor Bianco
Editor-in-Chief, Natural Product Research
armandodoriano.bianco@uniroma1.it

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The authors responded to the comments and the manuscript can be accepted for publication in NPR.

1 of 1 8/20/2021, 1:06 PM



mulyadi tanjung <mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id>

Your article proofs For review (Manuscript ID: GNPL 1960524)

3 messages

TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com < TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com >

Tue, Jul 27, 2021 at 12:33 PM

Tue, Jul 27, 2021 at 3:31 PM

To: mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id

Cc: GNPL-production@journals.tandf.co.uk

Manuscript Title: Three novel quinolinone alkaloids from the leaves of Melicope denhamii

Manuscript DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2021.1960524

Journal: Natural Product Research

Dear Mulyadi Tanjung,

I am pleased to inform you that your proofs are now available for review using the Taylor & Francis online proofing system:

https://smartproof.kwglobal.com/smartproof/common/home/e3bba2a7743e/TF

Please submit your corrections within 3 working days, to avoid delays in publication.

Corrections must be limited to answers to the Author Queries, typographical and essential corrections only.

After we have received your corrections and Author Publishing Agreement, your article will be corrected and published online within 10 working days.

The DOI of your paper is: 10.1080/14786419.2021.1960524. Once your article has published online, it will be available at the following permanent link: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2021.1960524

If you have any questions, please contact me using the details below and I will be pleased to assist.

Thank you,

Iniya Chitravel

On behalf of the GNPL production team

Taylor and Francis

4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 4RN, United Kingdom

Email:GNPL-production@journals.tandf.co.uk

mulyadi tanjung <mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id>

To: TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com Cc: GNPL-production@journals.tandf.co.uk

Congratulations!

[Quoted text hidden]

--

salam

1 of 2 8/20/2021, 1:04 PM

Dr. Mulyadi Tanjung,MS Departemen Kimia,Fakultas Sains dan Teknologi Universitas Airlangga

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Tue, Jul 27, 2021 at 3:30 PM

To: mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id



Message blocked

Your message to **TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com** has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

The response from the remote server was:

550 blocked

Final-Recipient: rfc822; TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com

Action: failed Status: 5.0.0

Remote-MTA: dns; d239642a.ess.barracudanetworks.com. (209.222.82.253, the

server for the domain kwglobal.com.) Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 blocked

Last-Attempt-Date: Tue, 27 Jul 2021 01:30:27 -0700 (PDT)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: mulyadi tanjung <mulyadi-t@fst.unair.ac.id>

To: TandF.SmartProof@kwglobal.com Cc: GNPL-production@journals.tandf.co.uk

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 2021 15:31:43 +0700

Subject: Re: Your article proofs For review (Manuscript ID: GNPL 1960524)

---- Message truncated -----

2 of 2 8/20/2021, 1:04 PM