

FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY FOLLOWING THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORMS: A CONDITIONAL CONSERVATISM PERSPECTIVE

Wan Adibah Wan Ismail^{*}, Iman Harymawan^{**}, Dian Agustia^{**},
Khairul Anuar Kamarudin^{***}

^{*} Corresponding author, Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia

Contact details: Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia

^{**} Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

^{***} Faculty of Business, University of Wollongong in Dubai, Dubai, the UAE



Abstract

How to cite this paper: Ismail, W. A. W., Harymawan, I., Agustia, D., & Kamarudin, K. A. (2021). Financial reporting quality following the corporate governance reforms: A conditional conservatism perspective [Special issue]. *Journal of Governance & Regulation*, 10(2), 216–225.
<https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv10i2siart3>

Copyright © 2021 The Authors

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

ISSN Print: 2220-9352

ISSN Online: 2306-6784

Received: 30.01.2021

Accepted: 10.05.2021

JEL Classification: G38, G18, M41, M43, M44

DOI: 10.22495/jgrv10i2siart3

This study examines the quality of financial reporting during the period following the corporate governance reforms in Malaysia, as motivated by the importance of investors' needs for high-quality financial reporting. Using the asymmetric timeliness of the earnings model, we analysed the sample of 6,819 firm-year observations of Malaysian listed companies from 2002 to 2011. The findings show evidence of the high quality of reporting following the corporate governance reforms. We found that firms have reported a more timely recognition of losses than gains in the post-reform period. Our results suggest that conditional conservatism has been prevalent during the period, and the results are robust even after conducting extensive specification tests. This study suggests that after the corporate governance reforms, Malaysian companies' financial statements have been more reliable for investors in making investment decisions.

Keywords: Financial Reporting Quality, Corporate Governance, Conditional Conservatism, Institutional Reforms

Authors' individual contribution: Conceptualization — W.A.W.I. and K.A.K.; Resources — W.A.W.I.; Methodology — W.A.W.I. and K.A.K.; Writing — Original Draft — W.A.W.I., I.H., and D.A.; Writing — Review & Editing — W.A.W.I., I.H., and D.A.

Declaration of conflicting interests: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the workshop participants at the Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia (UiTM) and Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia (UNAIR) for insightful and constructive comments. The research is financially supported by the UITM-UNAIR Matching Grant No. 100-TNCPI/INT 16/6/2 (027/2020).

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial reporting quality is vital to users of financial statements and practitioners, regulators, and accounting researchers. High-quality accounting information, such as earnings, is also essential for firms to access equity and debt markets.

The informative function of earnings means that it is often used to describe the firm's financial performance. For example, the earnings numbers and various ratios or metrics have been widely used in compensation agreements and debt agreements. Analysts also use earnings to evaluate firms' previous and current performances and forecast

firms' future ability to create additional wealth for shareholders.

The importance of financial reporting quality can also be explained from at least two perspectives, the contracting perspective and the investment perspective (Schipper & Vincent, 2003). From the contracting perspective, low quality of financial reports may result in unintentional wealth transfers. For instance, firms that reward managers based on profit may overcompensate the managers if the profit is overstated. From an investing perspective, poor quality of financial reports is problematic as it can mislead investors, resulting in a misallocation of resources (Myers, Myers, & Omer, 2003; Schipper & Vincent, 2003). High-quality financial reporting would also increase the attractiveness of stocks to outside investors and increase market liquidity (Young & Guenther, 2003), lower cost of debt (Salvato & Moores, 2010), reduce the cost of capital (Khalifa, Othman, & Hussainey, 2018; Leuz & Verrecchia, 2000; Salvato & Moores, 2010), and promote more efficient capital allocation (Ha & Feng, 2018; Biddle, Hilary, & Verdi, 2009; Bushman, Piotroski, & Smith, 2011).

This study aims to assess whether Malaysia's corporate governance reforms significantly affect the financial reporting quality, particularly conditional conservatism. Prior to the corporate governance reforms, Ball, Robin, and Wu (2003) documented no evidence of conditional conservatism in Malaysian listed firms' financial statements. Ball et al. (2003) concluded that although during the period of 1984-1996, Malaysian accounting standards were perceived as being of high quality as they were derived from the United Kingdom and based on the International Accounting Standards, weak institutional structures gave low incentives for preparers of financial statements to produce high-quality financial reports.

Our study is the extension of this empirical strand of research. After the 1997 Asian financial crisis, Malaysia's regulatory bodies have undertaken significant corporate governance reforms; thus, we assessed the financial reporting quality during the period following the reforms. We predicted that corporate governance reforms will lead to increased conditional conservatism. We conjectured that institutional structure is an essential element influencing the quality of financial reports. In this study, we offered both demand and supply arguments for the quality of financial reporting. The changes in Malaysia's regulatory environment, particularly corporate governance reforms, have allowed us to examine whether the period following the reforms has been associated with more conservative reporting.

Our sample comprised all Malaysian public companies for the ten years of 2002-2011. We chose this sample period because the Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance came into effect from the financial year ending June 30, 2001. We posit that corporate governance reforms have provided strong demand and incentives for high-quality reporting. From the analysis, we found robust evidence of conditional conservatism following the corporate governance reforms. The results show that economic losses are recognised in a more timely way than economic gains. Specifically, earnings are seven times more sensitive to negative stock returns than to positive stock returns. These results are also robust to various sensitivity tests.

This study contributes to the literature in the following ways. First, this study extends a study by Ball et al. (2003) by focusing on the period following corporate governance reforms. Our point of departure is that the institutional structure became much stronger following the various initiatives undertaken by the regulators in Malaysia, as immediate responses to the Asian financial crisis. These initiatives included: the Financial Reporting Act 1997; amendment of the Securities Law and Bursa Malaysia Listing Requirements; the introduction of the Code of Corporate Governance; and establishment of the Malaysian Accounting Standards Board (MASB), the Malaysian Institute of Corporate Governance (MICG), and the Minority Shareholders Watch Group (MSWG). Our motivation to examine conditional conservatism following the corporate reforms is to provide insight into the extent of financial reporting quality compared to the period before the reforms. Second, this study provides additional insight into conditional conservatism in an emerging market. By focusing on a single country, this study avoids several concerns relating to cross-country studies, such as the possibility of endogeneity amongst the variables at the country level, noise in the variables, and severe correlated omitted variable problems (Miller, 2004)¹.

Our study is closely similar to Li, Wu, Zhang, and Chand's (2018), which examined the effects of the two major changes in the accounting standards of 2001 and 2007 in China on accounting conservatism. Li et al. (2018) found that the 2001 accounting reforms positively impacted conservatism in earnings. They concluded that accounting reforms that restrict managers' ability to conduct earnings management and improve conservatism in earnings serve as a vital substitute mechanism for investor protection institutions. Whilst Li et al.'s (2018) study focused on the effect of changes in accounting practices, our study has investigated the effect of another type of institutional reform: corporate governance practices.

This paper's remainder is organised as follows. The second section provides the literature review and hypothesis development. The third section discusses the research methodology, followed by Section 4 that discusses the findings. The discussion of the results is presented in Section 5. Finally, the main findings are summarized in Section 6.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

2.1. Background of corporate governance reforms in Malaysia

In 1997, several countries in East Asia, including Malaysia, were hit by a severe financial crisis. The crisis originated from international currency speculation, which led to major slumps in exchange rates. It began as a currency crisis in Thailand after the country removed the pegged exchange rate between the Thai baht and the US dollar. The crisis turned into a financial and economic crisis. It spread

¹ According to Miller (2004), a more focused study permits variables to be designed that more cleanly capture the construct being measured, and also frees researchers from the need for variables to be available across a wide range of countries.

rapidly to other East Asia countries when foreign investors lost confidence and started to pull out their investments, causing the countries' currencies to fall further. By January 1998, the Malaysian ringgit had depreciated by almost half its value from MYR2.42 in April 1997 to MYR4.88 to the US dollar, and interest rates climb to more than 12% per annum.

The vulnerability of the Malaysian economy to the Thai spill-over was due to several reasons. Some argued that the main contributing factor to the crisis was the large proportion of private sector debt (Suto, 2003). Other than the excessive use of unhedged short-term foreign debt, the vulnerability was caused by corporate governance weaknesses and poor investments. Poor corporate governance had resulted in the poor quality of corporate reporting by publicly listed companies. Ineffective boards of directors, weak internal controls, poor audits, lack of adequate disclosure, and lax legal enforcement are believed to have contributed to the crisis by shielding companies from market discipline and by failing to terminate unprofitable projects, recognise bad loans, restructure or liquidate poorly performing enterprises, and discipline banks (Zhuang, Edwards, Webb, & Capulong, 2000). The majority of Malaysian companies also have concentrated shareholdings, leading to potential problems in protecting minority shareholders (Haniffa & Hudaib, 2006). Furthermore, the lack of an active market for corporate control and little role for hostile takeovers to discipline managers have given managers less incentive to maximise shareholder value (OECD, 1999).

The financial crisis has resulted in a call for better corporate governance in Malaysia. The reforms started with establishing the high level Finance Committee on Corporate Governance by the Ministry of Finance in March 1998, followed by a series of regulatory changes through the Securities Commission, Bursa Malaysia, and the Companies Commission of Malaysia. This was followed by establishing the Malaysian Institute of Corporate Governance and the Minority Shareholder Watchdog Committee.

The high level Finance Committee on Corporate Governance, comprising government and industry representatives, carried out detailed investigations to identify and address weaknesses relating to the 1997 financial crisis. Following the investigations, the committee introduced the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance (MCCG) in March 2000. The Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance was based on the recommendations of the Cadbury Report (The Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance, 1992) and the Hampel Report (The Committee on Corporate Governance, 1998) in the United Kingdom. The code sets out the principles and best practices on corporate governance to improve the directors' monitoring function, audit committee, and external audit. This includes the essential criteria for the structure and operational process of the monitoring units, such as the composition of the board; procedures for recruiting new directors; remuneration of directors; and the use of board committees, their mandates, and their activities.

In January 2001, the MCCG came into full effect when an amendment was made to the Bursa Malaysia Listing Requirements. The revision requires all public listed firms to include in their annual report a statement of corporate governance,

a statement of internal control, the composition of the board of directors, and the composition of and quorum for the audit committee. All listed firms must also include in their annual reports a narrative of the application of the principles and best practices set out in the MCCG. The reasons for areas of non-compliance and alternative practices that were adopted must be justified and disclosed. Besides that, directors of publicly listed firms must attend a directors' training programme, known as the mandatory accreditation programme, which includes topics such as the Companies Act 1965, the Listing Requirements of Bursa Malaysia, risk management and internal control, and relevant securities laws.

The efforts to improve corporate governance and protect investors and shareholders continued even after the issuance of the MCCG. Various initiatives to strengthen the existing mechanism were undertaken, including the issuance of the guideline Best Practice in Corporate Disclosure by Bursa Malaysia in August 2004. The guideline's objective is to ensure public listed firms' compliance with disclosure obligations under the listing requirements and securities law.

In October 2007, the MCCG was revised to strengthen boards of directors and audit committees' roles and responsibilities. The revision provides guidelines for the appointment of directors, the role of nominating committees, the eligibility criteria for appointment as an audit committee member, the audit committee composition, the frequency of meetings, and the need for continuous training. The 2007 Revised MCCG Code requires the audit committee to consist of at least three members with a majority of independent directors. Further, all audit committee members should be financially literate, with at least one member being a member of an accounting association or body. The revised code also enhances the nomination committee's role by requesting that when candidates are recommended for directorships, they should have the necessary skills, knowledge, expertise, experience, professionalism, and integrity to strengthen the board and ensure that the board discharges its roles and responsibilities effectively.

Recent revisions of the MCCG were made in 2012 and 2017. The revised code highlights the importance of auditors' independence and its potential impairment caused by non-audit services. The code suggests that the audit committee establishes policies governing the circumstances under which contracts for the non-audit services can be entered into and procedures that must be followed by the external auditors (Securities Commission, 2012, p. 19). Audit committees are also expected to obtain written assurance from the external auditors to confirm that the auditors are, and have been, independent throughout the conduct of the audit engagement following the terms of all relevant professional and regulatory requirements (Securities Commission, 2012, p. 19).

2.2. Hypothesis development

Empirical studies examining conditional conservatism in Malaysia are still sparse. The most significant study was carried out by Ball et al. (2003), who examined conditional conservatism in four East Asian countries (Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand,

and Hong Kong). Using a sample of 2,726 firm-year observations from 1984 to 1996, they found that the four East Asian countries, including Malaysia, had low levels of conditional conservatism, hence a low quality of financial reporting. Ball et al. (2003) linked this result with the weak institutional structures of these countries, which appeared to provide little incentives to prepare high-quality financial reports, i.e., report earnings conservatively. Since these countries' accounting standards are perceived as of high quality², the evidence suggests that incentives appear to dominate accounting standards as a determinant of financial reporting quality.

Another study, by Bushman and Piotroski (2006), also included Malaysian firms in its sample. To examine the effect of country-level institutions, including a country's legal/judicial system, securities laws, and political economy, on conservative accounting practices, Bushman and Piotroski (2006) examined a final sample of 86,927 observations drawn from 38 countries. Of the total sample, Malaysian firms contributed 2,348 firm-year observations from 1992 to 2001. Bushman and Piotroski (2006) found that country-level institutions, such as judicial systems, strong public enforcement aspects of securities law, and lower state involvement in the economy significantly influenced conservative accounting's financial reporting incentives. From the results reported in Bushman and Piotroski (2006), Malaysian firms recognised bad news in a more timely fashion than they recognised good news, which conflicted with Ball et al.'s (2003) findings. However, whilst the study periods overlapped, Bushman and Piotroski's (2006) study period extended to a later date, including the early post-reform years.

This study examines the conditional conservatism following the corporate governance reforms discussed earlier to complement both studies. The sample covered the period of 2002–2008, which is different from Ball et al. (2003) who examined the period before the 1997 Asian financial crisis, and Bushman and Piotroski (2006) where their sample was only up to 2001. Notably, the results of this study will give a better insight as to whether the period following the corporate governance reforms has exhibited the presence of conditional conservatism. This study predicts that conditional conservatism is a pervasive feature of Malaysian financial reporting following corporate governance reforms. Several reasons are supporting this prediction.

First, after the 1997 Asian financial crisis, there were major reforms in the institutional structure governing Malaysian firms, including matters relating to corporate governance, securities laws, and financial reporting. Amongst the initiatives were: 1) the establishment of the Malaysian Institute of Corporate Governance (MICG) in March 1998; 2) the issuance of the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance (MCCG) in March 2000; 3) the establishment of the Minority Shareholder Watchdog Group (MSWG) in August 2000; 4) the amendment of the listing requirements of Bursa Malaysia to enable the full effect to be given to the MCCG in January 2001; 5) the launch of the Capital Market Master Plan (CMP) by

the Securities Commission in February 2001; 6) the issuance of the Best Practice in Corporate Disclosure by Bursa Malaysia in August 2004; 7) the adoption of IFRS in January 2006; 8) the introduction of the Green Book (Initiative on Board Effectiveness) by the Putrajaya Committee on GLC High Performance (PCG) to guide GLC transformation and upgrade the effectiveness of GLC Boards in April 2006, and 9) the revision of the MCCG in 2007 and 2012. The regulators' concerted initiatives were undertaken to enhance public confidence in the Malaysian capital market and strengthen investors' protection.

Secondly, the period examined in this study is associated with the high demand for conservative reporting due to several high-profile corporate scandals, which include the alleged failure of auditors to detect and persuade their clients to make timely recognition of economic losses. The high-profile corporate scandals in US firms, such as Enron and WorldCom, eroded investors' confidence in the securities markets and caused a credibility crisis in the accounting profession (Wall Street Journal, 2002). In the Malaysian context, the failure of local firms, such as Perwaja Steel, Technology Resources Industries, and Malaysian Airlines System, had also eroded the public's confidence in financial statements and thus created a strong demand for reporting that was more conservative.

From the above arguments, it is predicted that the period following the corporate governance reforms will exhibit conditional conservatism, as shown by the timelier recognition of bad news as compared to good news. We conjecture that institutional structure reforms, i.e., corporate governance, create strong incentives for corporate managers, account preparers, auditors, and investors to favour reporting earnings conservatively. The hypothesis is stated as follows:

H1: Ceteris paribus, earnings reported after the corporate governance reforms have incorporated bad news (negative returns) faster than good news (positive returns).

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Data

This study focused on Malaysian listed firms from the year 2002 to 2011. The data on stock returns were retrieved from the Datastream database, whilst other financial data were downloaded from the Worldscope database³. The sample selection was performed based on several procedures. First, we excluded all financial institutions (Standard Industrial Classification [SIC] codes 6000 to 6999) and utility companies (SIC codes 4900 to 4999), similar to previous research (e.g., Kamarudin, Ariff, & Jaafar, 2020). Second, we deleted firms with missing values for the variables required in the study. Finally, to mitigate the influence of outliers, we winsorised the observations that fell in the top and bottom one percent of all the continuous variables. The final sample consisted of 6,819 firm-year observations.

² The accounting standards were substantially influenced in the past by UK or US accounting standards, and have continued to be influenced by the International Accounting Standards (IAS).

³ Both databases are currently provided by Refinitiv which spans bond indices, bonds, commodities, convertibles, credit default swaps, derivatives, economics, energy, equities, equity indices, ESG, estimates, exchange rates, fixed income, funds, fundamentals, interest rates, and investment trusts.

3.2. Measurement of conditional conservatism

Following the research design of Basu (1997), we used the asymmetric timeliness of the earnings model as a primary measure for conditional conservatism. This measure has been widely used in many studies, for example, Ball et al. (2003), Ball and Shivakumar (2005), Bushman and Piotroski (2006), Francis, LaFond, Olsson, and Schipper (2004), and Roychowdhury and Watts (2007). In this model, conservatism is defined as the extent to which the current period accounting earnings asymmetrically incorporate economic losses relative to economic gain. Stock return is used as a proxy for economic income as it is assumed that stock prices reflect all information from various sources other than financial statements, consistent with evidence that the stock prices lead to earnings information

$$E_{it}/P_{it-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RET_{it} + \beta_2 RD_{it} + \beta_3 RET_{it} * RD_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where, E_{it} is the earnings per share for firm i in fiscal year t ; P_{it-1} is the price per share at the beginning of the fiscal year; RET_{it} is the annual return of firm i in fiscal year t ; RD_{it} is a dummy variable equal to one if RET_{it} is negative, and zero otherwise, and ε_{it} is the error term. In equation (1), β_1 measures the response of earnings to returns when returns are positive, and $\beta_1 + \beta_3$ measures the response of earnings to negative returns. If $\beta_1 + \beta_3 > \beta_1$ or $\beta_3 > 0$, then earnings reflect bad news more quickly than they reflect good news or conditional conservatism.

4. FINDINGS

4.1. Descriptive analysis

Panel A of Table 1 summarises the descriptive statistics for the variables used in this study. For earnings yield (E/P), the mean is 0.026 with

(Ball & Brown, 1968; Beaver, Lambert, & Morse, 1980; Kothari & Sloan, 1992). In contrast, accounting earnings impose different verification standards for the recognition of different types of economic news. For bad news (negative stock returns), lower verification standards are used, which results in the immediate recognition of losses. However, for good news (positive stock returns), higher verification standards are imposed for gains to be recognised in accounting earnings (Basu, 1997; Ball et al., 2003). This approach results in a stronger positive association between bad news and earnings, suggesting a timelier reporting of bad news relative to good news.

To conduct the tests, we estimate the accounting earnings on stock returns, as shown in equation (1) below:

a maximum (minimum) value of 0.990 (-2.328). The stock return has a mean of 0.184, whilst the maximum (minimum) value is 28.317 (-0.971). Firm size, measured using a natural logarithm of total assets, ranges between 1.585 and 11.009, with a mean of 5.583. For leverage (LEV), the ratio of total debts per total assets is between 0.000 and 0.823, with a mean value of 0.210. The MTB ratios range between -1.390 and 14.226 with a mean value of 1.066.

Panel B of Table 1 presents the comparison of means between two groups in the sample. The groups are companies with positive stock returns (good news) and companies with negative stock returns (bad news). We found that the good news sample had a significantly higher earnings yield, higher stock returns, bigger firm size, higher market-to-book ratio, and lower leverage than the bad news samples.

Table 1. Summary of descriptive analysis (Panel A)

Overall sample (N = 6819)				
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum
E/P	0.026	0.294	-2.328	0.990
RET	0.184	1.034	-0.971	28.317
$SIZE$	5.583	1.368	1.585	11.009
MTB	1.066	1.056	-1.390	14.226
LEV	0.210	0.181	0.000	0.823

Table 1. Summary of descriptive analysis (Panel B)

Comparison of means						
Group	Good news (Obs = 3,515)		Bad news (Obs = 3,304)		Difference	
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean diff.	t-stat.
E/P	0.084	0.281	-0.036	0.294	0.120*	17.245
RET	0.618	1.285	-0.276	0.196	0.894*	39.538
$SIZE$	5.737	1.396	5.419	1.318	0.318*	9.647
MTB	1.270	1.186	0.850	0.843	0.420*	16.763
LEV	0.192	0.171	0.230	0.190	-0.037*	-8.525

Note: * Asterisks denote statistical significance at the 1% (*) level.

A correlation analysis was performed for testing the relationships amongst the variables used in this study. Table 2 provides Pearson and Spearman

correlations amongst all the variables. In general, the analysis shows no problem of collinearity between the variables.

Table 2. Correlation matrix

Variable	E/P	RET	SIZE	MTB	LEV
E/P	1.000	0.414*	0.250*	0.098*	-0.154*
RET	0.203*	1.000	0.132*	0.336*	-0.090*
SIZE	0.141*	0.053*	1.000	-0.030**	0.241*
MTB	0.073*	0.205*	0.006	1.000	-0.147*
LEV	-0.289*	-0.048*	0.215*	-0.066*	1.000

Notes: Significance is based on two-tailed tests. */** represents significance at a 1/5% level. Pearson (Spearman) correlation is reported in the lower (upper) diagonal.

As reported in Table 3, earnings yield (E/P) and stock returns (RET) are positively correlated at the 1% level (Pearson = 0.203; Spearman = 0.414), which is consistent with the previous evidence on the earnings-return relationship. Other variables also show significant correlation with other variables, but no serious multi-collinearity exists amongst the independent variables since none exceeds 0.7 (Pallant, 2007).

4.2. Main analysis: Conditional conservatism in Malaysia

To provide greater insight into the differences in timeliness of earnings between good news and bad news samples, we partitioned the sample into good news and bad news subsamples. The results

reported in Column 1 and Column 2 of Table 3 show that the coefficients for RET in both good news and bad news subsamples are positive and significant at a 1% level. These results show evidence of the timeliness of earnings in response to economic news. The coefficient for RET in the good news sample is 0.036, which is lower than the coefficient for RET in the bad news sample, which is 0.255. These results indicate higher timeliness of earnings in recognising bad news as compared to good news.

We then estimated equation (1) to test for a significant difference in the asymmetric timeliness of earnings between the two subsamples. The results are summarised in Column 3. We also report the regression estimates of Ball et al. (2003) in Column 4 for comparison purposes.

Table 3. Asymmetric timeliness of earnings

Model 1								
$E_{it}/P_{it-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RET_{it} + \beta_2 RD_{it} + \beta_3 RET_{it} * RD_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ (1)								
Sample	Good news		Bad news		Pooled		Ball et al. (2003)	
	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	t-stat.
Intercept	0.062*	11.781	0.035*	4.211	0.062*	11.780	Not reported	
RET	0.036*	5.269	0.255*	9.837	0.036*	5.269	Not reported	
RD					-0.028*	-2.826	0.01**	(2.44)
RET * RD					0.220*	8.194	0.00	(0.20)
Adj. R ²		0.03		0.03		0.07		0.09
N		3515		3304		6819		768
F-stat		27.766		96.760		125.685	Not reported	

Notes: The sample comprises 6,819 firm-year observations from firms in Bursa Malaysia during 2003–2008. The reported t-statistics are in parentheses and adjusted for heteroscedasticity (White, 1980). Asterisks denote statistical significance at the 1% (*), 5% (**), or 10% (***) level, respectively. Variable definitions: E_{it} is the earnings per share for firm i in fiscal year t ; P_{it-1} is the price per share at the beginning of the fiscal year; RET_{it} is the annual return of firm i in fiscal year t ; RD_{it} is a dummy variable that equals one if RET_{it} is negative, and zero otherwise, and ε_{it} is the error term.

This result differs from the result documented by Ball et al. (2003) from 768 firm-year observations from 1984 to 1996. They found that the coefficient for $RET * RD$ was 0.00 and insignificant ($p > 0.10$), showing no evidence for conditional conservatism or no significant difference in the timeliness of earnings between good news and bad news. In an environment of a weak institutional structure, Ball et al. (2003) suggested that preparers of financial reports have less incentive to report high-quality earnings, even though the accounting standards are of high quality. Complementing Ball et al. (2003), this study shows evidence of conditional conservatism in the period following the corporate governance reforms, implying that managers and preparers of financial reports have high incentives to report earnings conservatively in order to meet higher market demand for quality accounting information. In short, our results suggest

that strong institutional factors give greater incentives for managers to report earnings conservatively.

4.3. Sensitivity analysis

To check the robustness of the main results, we conducted several specification tests. The results are reported in Table 4. First, we estimated the Fama and MacBeth (1973) annual regression to cope with potential cross-sectional dependence problems, particularly since the data are concentrated in a small number of years⁴. Estimating the regression

⁴ The OLS standard errors from pooled regressions could be biased and result in incorrect inferences in the presence of cross-sectional dependence. This problem is especially acute in regressions using annual stock returns because the common time-series variation in observations drawn from the same year induces cross-sectional dependence, and biases the pooled cross-sectional standard errors (Basu, 1997).

separately for each year partially controls this problem. The coefficients for the parameters are obtained as the simple average from the cross-sectional regression. The t-statistics are the ratios of the mean estimated coefficients to the standard deviation of the distribution of the annual estimated slope coefficients, divided by the square root of the number of years. The regression estimates are summarised in Table 4 and show that the coefficient for $RET * RD$ is positive (0.326) and significant at the 1% level, implying that bad news is recognised significantly faster than good news.

Second, we controlled for serial correlation problems of residuals of the panel data by incorporating industry and year dummies in the regression model. Using the four-digit Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS), we created seventeen industry dummies. For year variables, we created nine dummy variables from 2003 to 2011, whilst the year 2002 was used as a base variable. The result reported in Table 4 shows that conditional conservatism is a pervasive feature of Malaysian financial reporting even after controlling for industry and year effects. The coefficient value for $RET * RD$ after controlling both industry and year effects is 0.255, respectively, which is significant at the 1% level. These results imply robust evidence of conditional conservatism in Malaysia in the period following the corporate governance reforms.

Third, we controlled for firm-specific variables, such as firm size ($SIZE$), firm leverage (LEV), and growth (MTB). According to Khan and Watts (2009), these variables could significantly influence conditional conservatism. Following LaFond and Watts (2008), we included the interaction variables of $SIZE$, LEV , and MTB with RET , RD , and $RET * RD$ in equation (1). The regression estimates reported in Table 4 show qualitatively similar results to those reported in the main analysis. The coefficients for $RET * RD$ are positive (0.473) and significant ($p < 0.01$), implying a more timely recognition of economic losses than economic gains in Malaysia even after controlling the effect of $SIZE$, LEV , and MTB . For the control variables, all the control variables have a significant influence on conditional conservatism. Firm size ($SIZE$) has a negative relationship with conditional conservatism, supporting the argument that large firms have lower information asymmetry, thus reducing the contracting demands for conservatism (Khan & Watts, 2009). For firm leverage (LEV), the results show a positive relationship between LEV and conditional conservatism, suggesting that high-leverage firms have higher agency costs and higher financial distress costs, creating greater demand for conservatism to alleviate the problems. For growth (MTB), the results show a strong negative relationship between MTB and conditional conservatism. This is consistent with the explanation by Roychowdhury and Watts (2007) that the short horizon MTB is negatively correlated with conservatism flows due to prior unrecognised increases in asset values reducing the necessity to recognise asset value losses.

Fourth, a study by Morck, Yeung, and Yu (2000) reports that the systematic component of returns variation is large in emerging markets as compared to the United States and other developed countries. In Malaysia and many developing countries, the stock returns variation appeared unrelated to the fundamentals' co-movement, whilst in the United States, high firm-specific returns variation was documented (Li, Morck, Yang, & Yeung, 2004; Morck et al., 2000). To control this problem, time-series non-stationarity in the returns processes, we employed market-adjusted returns as an alternative proxy for economic news. Also, the use of market-adjusted returns provides a useful robustness check because it can be argued that market-adjusted returns may provide a more reliable indicator for good versus bad news (Pope & Walker, 1999)⁵. The results reported in Table 4 show qualitatively similar results to those reported in the main results, in which conditional conservatism is a pervasive feature of Malaysian financial reporting. The coefficients for $RET * RD$ are positive and significant ($p < 0.01$) in all the models, implying a more timely recognition of economic losses than economic gains in Malaysia.

Fifth, we used inter-announcement period stock returns as an alternative measure for economic news. This variable is calculated based on the period of three months after the end of the previous fiscal year to three months after the current fiscal year. We re-estimated equation (1), and the results are reported in Table 4. The results show that the coefficients for $RET * RD$ are positive and significant at the 1% level, implying that bad news is recognised in a more timely fashion than good news.

Sixth, we reduced the sample to firms with stock prices higher than MYR1.00. This procedure was undertaken to avoid creating artificial scale problems that could even lead to the nonlinearity of the model (Kothari & Zimmerman, 1995). Smaller firms have, on average, less liquid stocks, greater information asymmetry, and higher idiosyncratic uncertainty (Khan & Watts, 2009)⁶. As a result of excluding all observations with a stock price less than MYR1.00, the number of observations was reduced to 4,532 firm-year observations. Consistent with the earlier results, the regression estimates in Table 4 show robust evidence for conditional conservatism in Malaysia. The coefficients for $RET * RD$, which measures conditional conservatism, are positive and significant at the 1% level, hence providing evidence of conditional conservatism in Malaysia following the corporate governance reforms.

⁵ To calculate the market-adjusted return, RET , we used the Bursa Malaysia Composite Index as a proxy for market return.

⁶ Thus, many studies excluded those observations when the deflator was smaller than one dollar. For example, García Lara and Mora (2004) excluded observations with stock prices less than one euro, whilst Lobo and Zhou (2006) and Khan and Watts (2009) excluded observations with stock prices below US\$1.00.

Table 4. Sensitivity analyses on the assessment of conditional conservatism

Model 1												
$E_{it}/P_{it-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RET_{it} + \beta_2 RD_{it} + \beta_3 RET_{it} * RD_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ (1)												
Tests	Fama-MacBeth (1973)		Industry and year effect		Firm-specific variables		Market-adjusted returns		Inter-announcement period stock returns		Reduced sample (prices higher than MYR1.00)	
	Coeff.	t-stat.	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t	Coeff.	White-t
Intercept	0.062*	5.764	0.021	1.250	-0.020	-0.675	0.024	1.428	0.032***	1.852	0.020	0.924
RET	0.035**	2.418	0.035*	5.229	-0.070***	-1.823	0.035*	4.507	0.045*	3.614	0.027*	2.828
RD	-0.020	-1.441	-0.032*	-3.235	-0.014	-0.313	-0.030*	-3.042	-0.004	-0.393	-0.037*	-2.750
RET * RD	0.326*	6.430	0.255*	9.029	0.473*	4.252	0.256*	9.099	0.322*	9.587	0.214*	6.372
SIZE					0.027*	5.553						
SIZE * RET					0.020*	3.215						
SIZE * RD					-0.003	-0.380						
SIZE * RET * RD					-0.058*	-2.793						
MTB					-0.013*	-3.117						
MTB * RET					0.005	1.065						
MTB * RD					0.016***	1.767						
MTB * RET * RD					-0.101*	-3.865						
LEV					-0.377*	-7.671						
LEV * RET					-0.076***	-1.676						
LEV * RD					-0.094	-1.029						
LEV * RET * RD					0.392***	1.943						
Industry effects	Not included		Included		Included		Included		Included		Included	
Year effects	Not included		Included		Included		Included		Included		Included	
Adj. R ²				0.09		0.20		0.09		0.08		0.06
N		6819		6819		6813		6761		6756		4532
F-stat		45.883		21.975		28.235		21.933		17.410		12.196

Notes: The original sample comprises 6,819 firm-year observations, but the missing values caused a reduction in number in certain estimations. The reported t-statistics are in parentheses and adjusted for heteroscedasticity (White, 1980). Asterisks denote statistical significance at the 1% (*), 5% (**), or 10% (***) level, respectively. Variable definitions: E_{it} is the earnings per share for firm i in fiscal year t ; P_{it-1} is the price per share at the beginning of the fiscal year; RET_{it} is the annual return of firm i in fiscal year t ; RD_{it} is a dummy variable that equals 1 if RET_{it} is negative, and 0 otherwise; and ε_{it} is the error term.

5. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

We hypothesised that conditional conservatism is pervasive in Malaysia following the corporate governance reforms because of the high demand and incentives to produce high-quality reporting of earnings. Previously, Ball et al. (2003) found no evidence of conditional conservatism in a sample from 1984 to 1996 and concluded that Malaysian financial reporting was of low quality. This study argues that corporate governance reforms have provided strong demand and incentives for high-quality reporting.

The results show that there is robust evidence of conditional conservatism following corporate governance reforms. Economic losses are recognised in a more timely way than economic gains. Specifically, earnings are seven times more sensitive to negative stock returns than to positive stock returns. These results are also robust to various sensitivity tests, including 1) estimation of Fama-MacBeth t-statistics; 2) estimation controlling for industry and year effects; 3) estimation controlling for firm size, leverage, and market to book ratio; 4) controlling the effect of market return; 5) employing an inter-announcement stock return as a proxy for economic news, and 6) reducing the observation to firms with a share price greater than MYR1.00. All the results support the prediction concerning bad news being recognised in a more timely way than good news; hence, the results provide enough evidence to reject the first null hypothesis.

This finding supports the arguments made by Bushman and Piotroski (2006) and Ball et al. (2003) that country-specific factors and preparer's incentives have a significant influence on the financial reporting practice. Evidence of conditional

conservatism in the post-reform period shows that firms have greater incentives and demands to report high-quality earnings, in particular by more timely recognition of bad news compared to good news. This is contrary to the period before the economic crisis, when the weak institutional structures resulted in low incentives for preparers to report earnings conservatively (Ball et al., 2003). In short, the results support the prediction that managers and preparers of financial reports have had higher incentives to report earnings conservatively in order to meet higher market demand for quality accounting information following the corporate governance reforms.

6. CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrates that the institutional reforms have generally led to conservative financial reporting in Malaysian companies. The results imply that policymakers and regulators in a particular country could put more efforts into providing infrastructures to support the reforms of the institutional environment, not only in terms of strengthening the corporate governance of corporations but also in reinforcing a strong economic and legal system to achieve a better quality of financial reporting practices. The results of this study are also useful for investors when assessing the performance of companies and making investment decisions, not only in Malaysia but also in other similar emerging countries. Practically, investors should put extra caution when investing in companies from countries where the institutional factors are weak, compared to those where a strong institutional environment is in place.

Our results should be interpreted with several caveats. Although we have employed a large dataset of the sample of 6,819 firm-year observations of Malaysian listed companies from 2002 to 2011, the dataset is still restricted by the availability of the data. Also, we mainly focused on the Malaysian sample, where future studies can explore the effect

of corporate governance reforms in other emerging markets. Going forward, we encourage more research on the specific regulatory and policy efforts as well as firm-level reforms. Other dimensions, such as reforms in board composition, political connection, culture, and expertise, are other potential research avenues.

REFERENCES

1. Ball, R., & Brown, P. (1968). An empirical evaluation of accounting income numbers. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(2), 159-178. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2490232>
2. Ball, R., & Shivakumar, L. (2005). Earnings quality in UK private firms: Comparative loss recognition timeliness. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(1), 83-128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2004.04.001>
3. Ball, R., Robin, A., & Wu, J. S. (2003). Incentives versus standards: Properties of accounting income in four East Asian countries. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36(1-3), 235-270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2003.10.003>
4. Basu, S. (1997). The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 24(1), 3-37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101\(97\)00014-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(97)00014-1)
5. Beaver, W. H., Lambert, R. A., & Morse, D. (1980). The information content of security prices. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 2(1), 3-28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101\(80\)90013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(80)90013-0)
6. Biddle, G. C., Hilary, G., & Verdi, R. S. (2009). How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 48(2-3), 112-131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2009.09.001>
7. Bushman, R. M., & Piotroski, J. D. (2006). Financial reporting incentives for conservative accounting: The influence of legal and political institutions. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(1-2), 107-148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2005.10.005>
8. Bushman, R. M., Piotroski, J. D., & Smith, A. J. (2011). Capital allocation and timely accounting recognition of economic losses. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 38(1-2), 1-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.2010.02231.x>
9. Fama, E. F., & MacBeth, J. (1973). Risk, return, and equilibrium: Empirical tests. *Journal of Political Economy*, 81(3), 607-636. <https://doi.org/10.1086/260061>
10. Finance Committee on Corporate Governance. (1999). *Report on corporate governance*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Securities Commission.
11. Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P., & Schipper, K. (2004). Cost of equity and earnings attributes. *The Accounting Review*, 79(4), 967-1010. <https://doi.org/10.1086/260061>
12. García Lara, J. M., & Mora, A. (2004). Balance sheet versus conditional conservatism in Europe. *European Accounting Review*, 13(2), 261-292. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0963818042000203347>
13. Ha, J., & Feng, M. (2018). Conditional conservatism and labor investment efficiency. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 14(2), 143-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcae.2018.05.002>
14. Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2006). Governance structure and performance of Malaysian listed companies. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 33(7-8), 1034-1062. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.2006.00594.x>
15. Kamarudin, K. A., Ariff, M. A., & Jaafar, A. (2020). Investor protection, cross-listing and accounting quality. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting and Economics*, 16(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcae.2019.100179>
16. Khalifa, M., Othman, H. B., & Hussainey, K. (2018). The effect of ex-ante and ex-post conservatism on the cost of equity capital: A quantile regression approach for MENA countries. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 44, 239-255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.093>
17. Khan, M., & Watts, R. L. (2009). Estimation and empirical properties of a firm-year measure of accounting conservatism. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 48(2-3), 132-150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2009.08.002>
18. Kothari, S. P., & Sloan, R. G. (1992). Information in prices about future earnings: Implications for earnings response coefficients. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 15(2-3), 143-171. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101\(92\)90016-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(92)90016-U)
19. Kothari, S. P., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1995). Price and return models. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 20(2), 155-192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101\(95\)00399-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(95)00399-4)
20. LaFond, R., & Watts, R. (2008). The information role of conservatism. *The Accounting Review*, 83(2), 447-478. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30245364>
21. Leuz, C., & Verrecchia, R. E. (2000). The economic consequences of increased disclosure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 38(3), 91-124. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2672910>
22. Li, K., Morck, R., Yang, F., & Yeung, B. (2004). Firm-specific variation and openness in emerging markets. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 86(3), 658-669. <https://doi.org/10.1162/0034653041811789>
23. Li, S., Wu, H., Zhang, J., & Chand, P. (2018). Accounting reforms and conservatism in earnings: Empirical evidence from listed Chinese companies. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 30(1), 32-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2017.12.004>
24. Lobo, G. J., & Zhou, J. (2006). Did conservatism in financial reporting increase after the Sarbanes-Oxley Act? Initial evidence. *Accounting Horizons*, 20(1), 57-73. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch.2006.20.1.57>
25. Miller, G. S. (2004). Discussion of what determines corporate transparency. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 42(2), 253-268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2004.00137.x>
26. Miller, M. H., & Luangaram, P. (1998). *Financial crisis in East Asia: Bank runs, asset bubbles and antidotes* (CSGR Working Paper, University of Warwick). <https://doi.org/10.1177/002795019816500109>
27. Morck, R., Yeung, B., & Yu, W. (2000). The information content of stock markets: Why do emerging markets have synchronous stock price movements? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1-2), 215-260. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00071-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00071-4)

28. Myers, J. N., Myers, L. A., & Omer, T. C. (2003). Exploring the term of the auditor-client relationship and the quality of earnings: A case for mandatory auditor rotation? *Accounting Review*, 78(3), 779-799. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2003.78.3.779>
29. OECD. (1999). *Corporate governance in Asia: A comparative perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264189300-en>
30. Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for Windows (Version 15)* (3rd ed.). New South Wales, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
31. PCG. (2005). *Catalysing GLC transformation to advance Malaysia's development*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Putrajaya Committee — GLC High Performance.
32. Pope, P. F., & Walker, M. (1999). International differences in the timeliness, conservatism, and classification of earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37(3), 53-87. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2491345>
33. Roychowdhury, S., & Watts, R. L. (2007). Asymmetric timeliness of earnings, market-to-book and conservatism in financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 44(1-2), 2-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2006.12.003>
34. Salvato, C., & Moores, K. (2010). Research on accounting in family firms: Past accomplishments and future challenges. *Family Business Review*, 23(3), 193-215. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894486510375069>
35. Schipper, K., & Vincent, L. (2003). Earnings quality. *Accounting Horizons*, 17(Supplement), 97-110. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch.2003.17.s-1.97>
36. Securities Commission Malaysia. (2012). *Malaysian code on corporate governance 2012*. Retrieved from <http://micg.org.my/upload/file/articles/11/CODE-CG-2012.pdf>
37. Suto, M. (2003). Capital structure and investment behaviour of Malaysian firms in the 1990s: A study of corporate governance before the crisis. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 11(1), 25-39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8683.00299>
38. The Committee on Corporate Governance. (1998). *Committee on corporate governance: Final report*. Retrieved from <https://ecgi.global/sites/default/files/codes/documents/hampel.pdf>
39. The Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance. (1992). *The financial aspects of corporate governance*. Retrieved from https://web.actuaries.ie/sites/default/files/erm-resources/243_financial_aspects_of_corporate_governance.pdf
40. Wall Street Journal. (2002, February 6). Accounting faces calls for change. *Wall Street Journal*.
41. White, H. (1980). A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica*, 48(4), 817-838. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912934>
42. Young, D., & Guenther, D. A. (2003). Financial reporting environments and international capital mobility. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 41(3), 553-579. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.00116>
43. Zhuang, J., Edwards, D., Webb, D., & Capulong, M. V. A. (Eds.). (2000). *Corporate governance and finance in East Asia: A study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand: Vol. 2 (Country studies)*. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/11540/6222>