Indian Journal of ORTHOPAEDICS







Indian Journal of Orthopaedics

Editors

Editor

Lalit Maini

Associate Editors

Rehan Ul Haq Murali Poduval Srinivas Kambhampati

Assistant Editors

Raju Vaishya Bhavuk Garg Sujit Tripathy Shivam Sinha Ashish Gulia Amit Kumar Agarwal Basant Kumar Bhuyan Rajesh Kumar Rohilla Hitesh Lal Praveen Sarda Ashok Shyam

Chief Advisor AK Jain

Past Editor

Ish Dhammi

Section Editors Shoulder & Elbow Tarun Goyal Praveen Sarda DP Bakshi Anand Arya (UK)

Marius M Scarlat (France) Minoo Patel (Australia)

Hand

Alock Agrawal Basant K Bhuyan J Terrence Jose Ted Mah (Australia) Karthik Vishwanathan Amitabha Lahiri Singapore Sunil Choudhary India

Hip

Vivek Trikha Rajiv Thukral Sudhir Babhulkar Vikas Khanduja (UK) Venu Kavarthapu (UK)

Knee

Ujwal Debnath Saurabh Jain Parag Sancheti Sidharth M Shah Jegan Krishnan (Australia)

Foot & Ankle

Vinod Panchbhavi (USA) MS Dhillon Maninder Shah Abdul Q Khan

Oncology

Ashish Gulia SS Yadav Mayil Natrajan Sudhir Kapoor Aditya Maheshwari (USA)

Paediatric

Mandar V Agashe Shakti Das Ashok N Johari HS Sandhu Shivam Sinha

Spine

Bhavuk Garg Kshitij Choudhary Naresh Babu J Keith D Luk (HongKong) Dilip Sengupta (USA)

Arthroscopy & Sports

Amit Kr Agrawal Saseendar S P Gopinathan Arun GR Samir Dwidmuthe

Arthroplasty

Rajesh Malhotra Sujit Tripathy Rohit Rambani (UK) Anoop C. Dhamangaonkar

Trauma

Ashok Gavaskar Yogesh Salphale Cyril Mauffrey (USA) Rajesh P Dharia

Infection

Hitesh Lal Zile Singh Kundu SM Tuli AK Jain Kamal Bose (Singapore)

Education & statistics

Ashok Shyam Mohit Bhandari (Canada) DK Taneja Anant Mahapatra (Ireland)

Biomedical Engineering

Raju Vaishya Abhishek Kashyap Boyko Gueorguiev (Swiss) Friedrich Baumgaertel (Germany) Abhishek Kashyap

Health Policy & Administration

Murali Poduval Shantaram Shetty Sudhir Kumar S Rajasekaran

Reconstruction & Illizarov

Rajesh Rohilla Milind Chowdhary CP Pal Leonid Solomin (Russia)

Biomaterial & Basic Science Ujjwal Debnath SC Goel Shivam Sinha

Biostatistics

Mohit P

Krishna V

Editorial Board

S. Saseendar



Volume 55, issue 1, February 2021

31 articles in this issue

Presidential Address

R. C. Meena Presidential Address | Published: 21 January 2021 | Pages: 1 - 2



Save the Hip-Hip Surveillance in Cerebral Palsy is the Need of the Hour!!

Ashok N. Johari Editorial | Published: 01 February 2021 | Pages: 3 - 4

Hip Displacement in Cerebral Palsy: The Role of Surveillance

Alaric Aroojis, Nihit Mantri & Ashok N. Johari Review Article | Published: 11 June 2020 | Pages: 5 - 19

Suspension of Hip Surveillance for Children with Cerebral Palsy During the COVID-19 Outbreak: The Benefit of Hip Surveillance Does Not Outweigh the Risk of Infection

Stacey Miller, Jeffrey Bone & Kishore Mulpuri Review Article | Published: 28 August 2020 | Pages: 20 - 22

Fractures of the Proximal Femur in Childhood: A Review

Deepika A. Pinto & Alaric Aroojis Review Article | Published: 18 September 2020 | Pages: 23 - 34





K. Venkatadass, G. Sangeet ... S. Rajasekaran Review Article | Published: 06 October 2020 | Pages: 35 - 46

The Pulseless Supracondylar Elbow Fracture: A Rational Approach

Samir Sabharwal, Adam Margalit ... Sanjeev Sabharwal Review Article | Published: 13 October 2020 | Pages: 47 - 54

Pediatric Femoral Shaft Fracture: An Age-Based Treatment Algorithm

Glen Zi Qiang Liau, Hong Yi Lin ... James Hoi Po Hui Review Article | Published: 10 October 2020 | Pages: 55 - 67

Supracondylar Humerus Fractures: Classification Based Treatment Algorithms

Mudit Shah & Mandar Vikas Agashe Review Article | Published: 22 October 2020 | Pages: 68 - 80

Dilemma for Fracture Fixation of Paediatric Ipsilateral Neck with Shaft Femur Fracture

Jaswinder Singh & John Mukhopadhaya Review Article | Published: 13 January 2021 | Pages: 81 - 92

Procedural Outcomes of Double Vs. Single Fluoroscopy for Fixing Supracondylar Humerus Fractures in Children: A Case–Control Study

Burak Gunaydın, Ali Turgut ... Yavuz Selim Kabukcuoglu Original Article | Published: 31 May 2020 | Pages: 93 - 99











Mandar V. Agashe, Deepika A. Pinto & Sandeep Vaidya Original Article | Published: 03 June 2020 | Pages: 100 - 108

Close Reduction Technique for Severely Displaced Radial Neck Fractures in Children

Maulin M. Shah, Gaurav Gupta ... Kemble K. Wang Original Article | Published: 04 July 2020 | Pages: 109 - 115

Usefulness of the Sauvegrain Method of Bone Age Assessment in Indian Children

Premal Naik, Dhren Ganjwala ... Kranti Suresh Vora Original Article | Published: 02 July 2020 | Pages: 116 - 124

A Practical Method for Obtaining True Lateral Elbow X-rays in a Paediatric Age Group: Lateral Elbow X-ray in the Standing Salute Position

Mehmet Burtaç Eren, Murat Aşçı ... Tahir Öztürk Original Article | Published: 27 August 2020 | Pages: 125 - 129

A Comparative Study of Clinical and Radiological Outcomes of Open Reduction Using the Anterior and Medial Approaches for the Management of Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip

Omer Naci Ergin, Mehmet Demirel ... Fuat Bilgili Original Article | Published: 20 June 2020 | Pages: 130 - 141

Is the Acetabulum Retroverted in SCFE? A Study of Acetabular Morphology in Indian Children with SCFE

K. Venkatadass, S. Muthukumar ... S. Rajasekaran Original Article | Published: 15 June 2020 | Pages: 142 - 146





するなれ







Modified Dunn Osteotomy for Moderate and Severe Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis – A retrospective study of thirty hips

Swaddling Practices in an Indian Institution: Are they Hip-Safe? A Survey of Paediatricians, Nurses and Caregivers



Deepika A. Pinto, Alaric Aroojis & Rujuta Mehta Original Article | Published: 08 July 2020 | Pages: 147 - 157

Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip: An Examination of Care Practices of Orthopaedic Surgeons in India

Nikki Hooper, Alaric Aroojis ... Kishore Mulpuri Original Article | Published: 20 August 2020 | Pages: 158 - 168

The Association Between *BMP-2*, *UQCC1* and *CX3CR1* Polymorphisms and the Risk of Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip

Evren Gumus, Ebru Temiz ... Ataman Gonel Original Article | Published: 29 August 2020 | Pages: 169 - 175

The Impact of Spinal Fusion on Hip Displacement in Cerebral Palsy

Mutlu Cobanoglu, Brian Po-Jung Chen ... Freeman Miller Original Article | Published: 09 October 2020 | Pages: 176 - 182



Prospective Study of Gross Motor Milestones in Children with Severe Idiopathic Clubfoot Treated by Ponseti Method

Chaitrali Shrikant Gundawar, Sameer Shrikrishna Desai ... Amrut V. Oswal

Original Article Published: 27 July 2020 Pages: 183 - 187

Changes in the Management of Clubfoot Cases During COVID-19 Pandemic—A Survey Among Orthopaedic Specialists



Karthick Rangasamy, Rujuta Mehta ... Mandeep S. Dhillon Original Article | Published: 06 October 2020 | Pages: 188 - 194 <u>Comparison of Kinesiotaping, Exercise and</u> <u>Subacromial Injection Treatments on</u> <u>Functionality and Life Quality in Shoulder</u> <u>Impingement Syndrome: A Randomized</u> <u>Controlled Study</u>



Ozge Vergili, Birhan Oktas & Ibrahim Deniz Canbeyli Original Article | Published: 11 June 2020 | Pages: 195 - 202

Lengthening of Massive Intercalary Cortical Allograft After Successful Graft Incorporation in Skeletally Immature Bone with Critical-Sized Defect: A Case Report with 6-year Follow-up



Ferdiansyah Mahyudin, Kuk<mark>u</mark>h Dwiputra Hernugrahanto ... Heri Suroto

Case Report Published: 24 March 2020 Pages: 203 - 207

Anterior Physeal Separation of Distal Humerus: Report of a Rare Case with Review of Literature

Deny Kurien Mathew, Sangeet Gangadharan ... Rajasekaran Shanmughanathan

Case Report Published: 23 April 2020 Pages: 208 - 212

Ilizarov Frame Application Based on Ponseti Principles for Clubfoot Correction: A Case Report and Description of Surgical Technique

Nirmal Raj Gopinathan, Karthick Rangasamy ... Pebam Sudesh Case Report | Published: 03 June 2020 | Pages: 213 - 218

Congenital Posterior Dislocation of Fibula with Pes Valgus Deformity

Alok Chandra Agrawal & Shilp Verma Surgical Technique | Published: 31 July 2020 | Pages: 219 - 223









Letter to the Editor Published: 28 July 2020 Pages: 230 - 231



R.L. Mittal: CLUBFOOT—A Comprehensive Approach (Past, Present, and Future)

Ashok N. Johari & Farokh Wadia Book Review | Published: 12 October 2020 | Pages: 232 - 233



CASE REPORT



Lengthening of Massive Intercalary Cortical Allograft After Successful Graft Incorporation in Skeletally Immature Bone with Critical-Sized Defect: A Case Report with 6-year Follow-up

Ferdiansyah Mahyudin¹ · Kukuh Dwiputra Hernugrahanto¹ · Jeffry Andrianus¹ · Lukas Widhiyanto¹ · Mouli Edward¹ · Heri Suroto¹

Received: 21 January 2020 / Accepted: 6 March 2020 © Indian Orthopaedics Association 2020

Abstract

Bone allograft serves as an alternative to overcome the limitation of autograft. Some concerns, such as graft rejection, infection, and low union rate, arise from the use of bone allograft since the graft is a non-living and foreign material. We reported a case of critical-sized bone defect in a skeletally immature patient treated with massive intercalary allograft that not only did it show union but also graft incorporation that allowed for subsequent bone lengthening at the site of the incorporated massive allograft. To our knowledge, there has been a report of lengthening of free-vascularized fibular autograft but not the nonvascularized one. Massive intercalary allograft that incorporates well to the host could be an option to treat criticalsized bone defect.

Keywords Massive intercalary allograft · Bone lengthening · Critical-sized defect

 $\textbf{MeSH Terms} \ \ Massive \cdot Intercalary \cdot Allograft \cdot Lengthening \cdot Critical-sized \cdot Defect$

Introduction

Autologous bone grafting remains the gold standard to treat bone defect, but its limited supply deems bone allograft more feasible for critical-sized defect [1]. Issues like graft rejection and low union rate arise from the use of bone allograft since it is a non-living material [2, 3]. We reported a case of critical-sized bone defect treated with massive intercalary allograft. Not only did it show union but also complete graft incorporation that allowed for subsequent bone lengthening at the site of the allograft. To our knowledge, there has been a report of lengthening of free-vascularized autograft but not the nonvascularized massive allograft.

Case History

A 10-year-old boy had suffered from right distal femoral fracture and underwent an internal fixation in a remote district hospital. Following the surgery, he was referred to our hospital after an intraoperative finding suggested a haemangioma lesion. Neither CT scan nor MRI had been performed prior to the operation to suggest the presence of haemangioma. Biopsy specimen was taken during the internal fixation surgery in the district hospital. The histopathologic result confirmed the finding of haemangioma. The patient was then scheduled for curettage of the lesion and bone grafting using freeze-dried cancellous bone allograft (Fig. 1).

Six months following the curettage and bone grafting, we observed clearly from the plain x-ray that the lesion continued to expand, and the grafts were resorbed. The expansion also involved the physis distally. The patient was then scheduled for another curettage, but this time bone cement was used instead of bone allograft (Fig. 2).

After 6-month of close follow-up, the patient was 11 years old then, no sign of recurrence was found. The patient underwent another surgery to reconstruct the defect (Fig. 3). Firstly, the bone cement was removed. Upon removal of the

Ferdiansyah Mahyudin ferdiansyah@fk.unair.ac.id

¹ Orthopedic and Traumatology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga/Dr, Soetomo General Hospital, Jalan Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-8, Surabaya, East Jawa, Indonesia



Fig. 1 The patient was 10 years old: a initial X-ray image from the previous hospital suggested a well-demarcated lesion in the distal metaphysis of the right femur; b curettage and bone grafting using cancellous bone allograft; c postoperative X-ray image after curettage and bone grafting Source: internal documentation



а b Fig. 3 Six months after the second curettage. The patient was 11 years old: a critical-sized defect of 10 cm was found; b massive intercalary

bone allograft was used; c the bone graft was inserted and fixed Source: internal documentation

bone cement, intraoperative evaluation did not find any sign of recurrent hemangioma lesion, but a critical-sized bone defect of 10 cm was found. It was decided to use massive intercalary fresh-frozen bone allograft. The bone allograft had been

С

procured through careful screening of donor and processed in our hospital tissue bank according to the standard protocols of American Association of Tissue Bank (AATB), European Association of Tissue Bank (EATB), and Asia Pacific Association of Surgical Tissue Bank (APASTB) to ensure the safety and quality of the allograft.

The patient was closely followed up to evaluate any clinical and radiological sign of recurrence, graft rejection, graft failure, infection, fracture, or nonunion. Neither of the sign was found in the patient. After 2 years, the radiological evaluation showed graft union and incorporation. The patient was 13 years old then. Clinical examination found that there was leg-length discrepancy of 2 cm. It was decided to remove all internal fixation and continue to do close observation until the patient passed the period of rapid growth to allow any remaining leg discrepancy to occur. After 3 years of observation, the patient was 16 years old, the leg-length discrepancy increased to 8 cm by then. Limb lengthening procedure was necessary to restore the length of the shortened limb. Gradual lengthening using Ilizarov's distraction osteogenesis was preferred. Osteotomy of the meta-diaphyseal area at the site of the previous intercalary bone allograft with meticulous preservation of the surrounding periosteum and soft tissue was done followed by application of a ring fixator. Seven-day delay was done to allow osteogenesis before starting distraction. We followed the Ilizarov's optimum distraction rule of "one millimeter a day" although in the actual practice the rate of the distraction was adjusted according to the patient's pain assessment and the progress of radiological callus formation. The lengthening procedure was carried out under close monitoring until both limbs achieved equal length. On average, the external fixation index (EFI) was 21.25 days/cm. After a year, equal length with complete radiological union was achieved, and the fixator was removed. Neither clinical nor radiological sign of pin tract infection was observed. We performed close follow-up every 6 months after the last operation to monitor any occurrence of late discrepancy or additional deformity. Although there was residual 5° varus deformity of the right knee found during the 6-month follow-up, the patient was satisfied with his condition. He was able to walk painlessly without limp and to perform daily activity (Fig. 4). According to the Association for the Study and Application of Methods of Ilizarov (ASAMI) outcome criteria, the patient achieved excellent result in both radiological and clinical criteria [4]. The patient was planned for future corrective osteotomy for the varus deformity.

Discussion

The decision to use bone allograft in this case was due to the massive defect size in which autologous graft would not be sufficient to fill. Intercalary cortical graft was chosen since the defect required structural support. Some concerns arise from the use of bone allograft. Several studies reported the complication of bone allograft in critical-sized segmental bone defect. Bullens reported that out of 32 patients undergone reconstruction with massive structural allografts, the fracture rate, infection rate, and union rate after five-year followed up were 13%, 16%, and 25% respectively [5]. Another report from 78 cases with average follow up of 37.9 months by Zheng showed that there were 18 cases (23%) of local rejections, 4 cases (5%) of infection, 5 cases (6.5%) of nonunion [6]. Companacci et al. assessed the long-term results of pediatric osteoarticular allografts after massive resection in 13 distal femoral and 12 proximal tibial tumors. They concluded that allograft mechanical failure was the most important complication, which occurred in 12 cases (60%) [7]. Fracture occurs in about 16% of massive allografts and is usually seen two years after implantation [8]. No finding of infection, graft rejection, fracture, and nonunion was found in this patient. The clinical and radiological evaluation also showed good graft union and incorporation. The complications that occured were limb shortening and varus deformity.

Stevenson has studied the factors affecting bone graft incorporation. Bone graft incorporation requires the process of revascularization, new bone formation, and host-graft union [9]. The incorporation process of a bone allograft usually occurs at the host-graft junctions since the process is the result of creeping substitution that replaces the allograft with newly formed bone. The nonvascularized massive bone allograft is poorly remodeled which causes the central part of the graft distant from the host-graft junctions to be almost non-viable even after several years of implantation [10]. This makes the allograft entirely dependent on the surrounding tissue for its revascularization. Therefore, the condition of perigraft environment is very important. In this reported case, to prevent any adverse immunologic reaction between the host and the graft, the allograft had been processed under strict standard protocol of AATB, EATB, and APASTB. After allograft implantation, any clinical signs of graft rejection were observed and recorded. No rejection sign was found. The patient was a skeletally immature 10-year old boy. The still-growing pediatric bone provides stronger and thicker periosteum that might have contributed to better revascularization and bone healing, which resulted in good graft incorporation. We performed meticulous and careful handling of the periosteum and the surrounding soft tissues in every stage of the surgery to ensure that the periosteum and the surrounding soft tissues were well preserved. Since infection might also cause poor union rate, we exercised strict monitoring for any sign of pin tract infection both clinically and radiologically. Daily pin sites cleaning, and good personal hygiene were carried out by the patient. In addition, to protect and facilitate the incorporation between the graft and the host bone, optimum mechanical environment



Fig. 4 a Two years after the graft implantation, 13 years old: good graft incorporation and union. Length discrepancy was two centimeters; b after 3 years of observation, 16 years old: the graft was fully incorporated. Leg length discrepancy increased to 8 cm; c limb

is necessary. This requires stable fixation of the graft using ring fixator [9, 11].

Bone defect in skeletally immature patients often leave the patients with residual leg length discrepancy. Distraction osteogenesis offers an additional therapeutic. According to Ilizarov, the requirements for an optimal result of bone lengthening are minimally traumatic osteotomies preferably located in a metaphysis, solid mechanical fixation across osteotomies, an adequate latency period before lengthening, and sufficient time for callus to mature [12]. Han Jo Kim assess the safety and efficacy of limb reconstruction using Ilizarov's distraction osteogenesis method following treatment of a range of benign and malignant bony conditions. The living bone regenerated in distraction osteogenesis will eventually provide sufficient biomechanical strength, stability, and durability. In growing children, leg-length discrepancies may be corrected by distraction osteogenesis after they have matured [13]. Ilizarov showed that formation of new bone at the ostetomy site is definitely influenced by the amount of damage to the bone, medullary cavity, and

the level of meta-diaphyseal junction; **d** one year of lengthening procedure, 17 years old; **e** equal length of both limbs Source: internal documentation

lengthening using Ilizarov's distraction osteogenesis. Osteotomy at

periosteum. Since regeneration of medullary vessels will only occur after 7–10 days following a complete osteotomy, the most important factor for new bone formation at the site of the osteotomy is the integrity of the periosteum [9, 12]. Therefore, we performed careful preservation of the periosteum during the osteotomy to ensure good periosteum cuff around the osteotomy site. For the lengthening to succeed, a period of delay between osteotomy and distraction must be carried out to allow osteogenesis. In an adolescent patient, we consider that 7 days were long enough to allow initial callus formation. Ilizarov suggestes one-milimeter-a-day rule for optimum distraction. Several studies on bone distraction in nonunion cases suggested various external fixation index from 1 to 3 months per centimeter gained. As far as our concern, there is still no published reference for distraction rate in allograft. Therefore, we followed the original rule by Ilizarov with some adjustment based on the patient condition and the radiological progress of callus formation. After equal length was achieved, close observation was carried out to monitor complete union, and any residual discrepancy or deformity. To our knowledge, there has been a report of lengthening of free-vascularized fibular autograft but not the non-vascularized one. The reported case showed successful bone lengthening of the incorporated non-vascularized massive intercalary allograft. This shows that in skeletally immature bone, the graft might achieve complete incorporation to allow subsequent lengthening.

Conclusion

Optimum perigraft condition that includes infection-free environment, well-preserved periosteum, stable fixation, and proper distraction technique, will facilitate good incorporation and subsequent lengthening of allograft in skeletallyimmature bone.

Author Contributions Concepts: FM, HS. Design: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Definition of intellectual content: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Literature search: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Clinical studies: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Experimental studies: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Data acquisition: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME. Data analysis: FM, HS. Statistical analysis: FM, HS. Manuscript preparation: FM, KDH, HS. Manuscript editing: FM, KDH, HS. Manuscript review: FM, KDH, HS. Guarantor: FM, KDH, JA, LW, ME, HS.

Funding This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors so this research was fully funded personally.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflicting interest No conflict of interest in this study.

Ethical standard statement All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

References

 Utomo, D. N., Hernugrahanto, K. D., Edward, M., Widhiyanto, L., & Mahyudin, F. (2019). International Journal of Surgery Case Reports Combination of bone marrow aspirate, cancellous bone allograft, and platelet-rich plasma as an alternative solution to critical-sized diaphyseal bone defect: A case series. *International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 58,* 178–185.

- 2. Wang, W., & Yeung, K. W. K. (2017). Bioactive Materials Bone grafts and biomaterials substitutes for bone defect repair: A review. *Bioactive Materials*, 2(4), 224–247.
- Goldberg, V. M., & Akhavan, S. (2005). Biology of bone grafts. In J. R. L. G. E. Friedlaender (Ed.), *Bone Regeneration and Repair: Biology and Clinical Applications* (pp. 57–65). Totowa: Humana Press Inc.
- 4. Paley, D., Catagni, M. A., Argnani, F., Villa, A., Benedetti, G. B., Cattaneo, R., et al. (1989). Ilizarov treatment of tibialnonunions with bone loss. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 241, 146–165.
- Bullens, P. H. J., Minderhoud, N. M., de Waal Malefijt, M. C., Veth, R. P. H., Buma, P., & Schreuder, H. (2009). Survival of massive allografts in segmental oncological bone defect reconstructions. *International Orthopaedics*, 33, 757–760.
- Zheng, X.-F., Huang, H.-Y., Ding, H.-W., Zhang, Y., & Yin, Q.-S. (2009). Reconstruction of bone defects with massive bone allograft for bone tumor treatment in 78 cases. *Journal of Clinical Rehabilitative Tissue Engineering Research*, 9(13), 2978–2983.
- 7. Jamshidi, K., & Bahrabadi, M. M. A. (2017). Long-term results of osteoarticular allograft reconstruction in children with distal femoral bone tumors. *Archives of Bone and Joint Surgery*, *5*, 296–301.
- 8. Delloye, C., Cornu, O., Druez, V., & Barbier, O. (2007). Bone allografts. What they can offer and what they cannot. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B.*, *89*(5), 574–579.
- 9. Stevenson, S., Emery, S. E., & Goldberg, V. M. (1996). Factors affecting bone graft incorporation. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 323, 66–74.
- Delloye, C. (2003). How to improve the incorporation of massive allografts? *La Chirurgia Degli Organi di Movimento*, 88(4), 335–343.
- 11. Lindaman, L. (2001). Bone healing in children. *Clinics in Podiatric Medicine and Surgery*, 18(1), 97–108.
- Hvid, I., Horn, J., Huhnstock, S., & Steen, H. (2016). The biology of bone lengthening. *Journal of Children's Orthopaedics*, 10(6), 487–492.
- Frisoni, T., Cevolani, L., Giorgini, A., & Dozza, B. D. D. (2012). Factors affecting outcome of massive intercalary bone allografts in the treatment of tumours of the femur. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 94-B, 836–841.