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#### Abstract

Coronary stent infection (CSI) is the rarest complication associated with the percutaneous coronary intervention, occurring in less than $0.1 \%$ of cases. So far, all reported instances are limited to case reports. CSI presents itself in various, often confusing, ways in clinical settings. Therefore, the current systematic review summarizes reports of CSI's clinical presentations, causative pathogens, diagnoses and treatments. This systematic review considered three online databases, using reference lists as an additional source. All case reports or case series with stent infection in the coronary artery were included - however, reviews or commentaries, articles not published in English, and articles mentioning a history of hemodialysis or any surgery were excluded. Thirtytwo studies on 34 CSI patients were included in the final qualitative analysis. CSI predominantly affected males of a wide range of ages. The most common symptoms were chest pain and fever with various onsets. Interestingly, CSI usually occurred during the first stent implantation. Cultures and coronary angiography were the most common methods used to diagnose CSI. Furthermore,


## Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major global health problem, as an estimated 126 million individuals worldwide are reported to suffer from CAD. In addition, CAD was responsible for 9 million deaths in 2017 [1]. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is well-recognized as a transformative CAD treatment first performed (balloon angioplasty) in 1977 by Andreas Grüntzig [2]. Since then, many advanced techniques and instruments have augmented this treatment, most notably the implantation of bare-metal stents (BMSs) to multiple generations of drug-eluting stents (DESs).

Complications rarely arise during PCI but are life-threatening when they occur. Complications such as the no-reflow phenomenon and stent thrombosis occur in about $2 \%$ of cases, while coronary stent infection (CSI) occurs in less than $0.1 \%$ of cases (all such instances are limited to case reports) [3]. The first report of a CSI case described a Palmaz-Schatz-stent infection in a 66 -year-old woman in 1993 [4]. The patient died despite undergoing emergency cardiac surgery. A previous study that reviewed 23 cases demonstrated that the mortality rate of CSI was $39 \%$ [5]. Moreover, CSI has various clinical presentations that are often confusing. Therefore, this study systematically
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reviews the clinical presentation, causative pathogens, diagnoses and treatments associated with reported CSI cases.

## Materials and methods

## Study design

We performed this systematic review following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. This systematic review is registered in the university hospital medical information network (UMIN) with registration number UMIN000043308.

## Literature search

A literature search was conducted on three online databases (PubMed, ScienceDirect and ProQuest) using the keywords 'coronary stent infection' and 'stent infection.' The reference lists of both included and excluded studies were also screened for additional relevant studies.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Relevant full-text articles were assessed on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Specifically, case reports or case series with stent infection in the coronary artery were included, while (1) reviews or commentaries,
(2) articles not published in English and (3) articles mentioning a history of hemodialysis or any surgery were excluded.

## Data extraction and analysis

From the included articles, two independent reviewers directly extracted data regarding the authors, publication year, location, patient(s) demographics, initial presentation, onset after the procedure, involved vessel and any angiography abnormalities, type of stent, pathogen involved, treatment approaches and outcomes. The collected data are presented descriptively on the basis of baseline characteristics, clinical presentation, diagnostic methods, stent and vessel pathology, causative pathogens, management and outcomes.

## Results

## Selected studies and baseline characteristics

Initially, 571 articles were screened. Of these, 32 studies involving a total of 34 CSI patients were included in the analysis (Fig. 1). The earliest included case was reported by Leroy et al. (1996) in France [6]. Although the firstknown CSI case was reported in 1993 by Günther et al., this case was not included in the present review because the full-text article could not be accessed. Most cases were reported in India, and most patients were males ( $88.24 \%$ ), with ages ranging from 38 to 86 years old (Table 1).

## Clinical presentations

The clinical presentations of CSI vary among cases. Most cases reported fever $(76.47 \%)$ and chest pain (52.94\%) as primary symptoms, followed by shortness of breath (11.76\%). Additionally, Zateyshchikov et al. [26] and Dalal et al. [29] reported cardiogenic shock and cardiac arrest, respectively, upon the admission of the CSI case.

The onset of symptoms usually occurred within the first week after stent implantation. The earliest onset of CSI occurred 1-day postprocedure, while the latest onset occurred around seven years after the procedure [23,26]. The number of stent implantation procedures performed on patients ranged from one to three. Interestingly, most CSI cases resulted from the first stent implantation (67.65\%).

## Diagnostic methods

Blood culture (79.41\%) and coronary angiography ( $70.59 \%$ ) were the most preferred diagnostic methods reported. Some cases in which stent or pericardial fluid evacuation were conducted also involved tissue or pericardial fluid culture to confirm pathogenic causes. Some reports also utilized a PET-CT scan and cardiac CT scan. In some instances, cardiac MRI and gallium SPECT were performed for CSI diagnosis. One postmortem study revealed coronary occlusion via an abscess [26]. Nineteen of 34 cases were definitively diagnosed by a surgical specimen or postmortem examination; the other

15 cases were confirmed by their fulfillment of Dieter's diagnostic criteria [38] (Table 2).

## Stents and coronary abnormalities

DESs were the most commonly reported stents (67.65\%), followed by BMSs (20.59\%) and DES-BMS combination $(5.88 \%)$. Two case reports did not specify the stent type. CSI occurred in the left anterior descending artery (LAD) in 21 cases and in the right coronary artery (RCA) in 14 cases. CSI in the left circumflex artery and left main coronary were rarely reported.

Twenty-nine reports describe abnormalities in coronary morphology, with aneurysm (true- and pseudoaneurysm) being the most common ( $64.71 \%$ ), followed by vessel occlusion (32.35\%) and in-stent restenosis (20.59\%). Coronary-cameral fistula, coronary perforation and soft-tissue density collection were also reported.

## Causative pathogens

All CSI pathogens identified in the current review were bacteria. Staphylococci bacteria were the most prevalent pathogens, of which Staphylococcus aureus was the most commonly reported. Out of 17 reports, seven cases included methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Pseudomonas aeroginosa were also prevalent, while Acinetobacter baumannii, Escherichia coli, Enterobacter cloacae, and Actinomyces oris were reported in few cases. Bacterial cultures did not grow in two cases - these cases described a history of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia 2 and 3 months before surgery, with one case traced back to rare gram-positive cocci $[12,15]$.

## Treatments, complications and outcome

Antibiotic therapy was mentioned in 31 cases. One study did not mention giving any antibiotics, while another was a postmortem study. Meanwhile, surgery was performed in only 26 cases. Table 3 provides detailed information related to the antibiotics administered and the surgeries performed. Pericardial effusion, abscess, heart failure and valvular involvement were the most often reported complications. The results demonstrate a mortality rate of $26.47 \%$ among CSI patients.

## Discussion

This systematic review addresses 34 CSI cases from 32 reports. CSI predominantly affected males of a wide range of ages. The most common symptoms were chest pain and fever, the onset of which varied among cases. Interestingly, most CSI cases occurred during the first stent implantation. Cultures and coronary angiography were the most common methods used to diagnose CSI, though some cases also reported more advanced imaging modalities.

The majority of infected stents were DESs placed in the LAD or RCA. Furthermore, aneurysms were the most common abnormalities found in infected stents, followed
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Systematic search and screen flowchart.
by vessel occlusion and in-stent restenosis. Pathogenic agents commonly caused bacteria, namely Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeroginosa. Hence, more than $90 \%$ of the cases mentioned using various antibiotics, while $74 \%$ of the cases involved surgery. In addition, our systematic review presented a mortality rate of $26.47 \%$ among CSI patients.

Our findings are similar to a previous review of 25 coronary infection cases carried out by Franco et al. [5]. Their review included patients with balloon-related and saphenous vein graft stent infections and showed that the most common symptoms were fever, chills and chest pain. These symptoms occurred two days to 4 months post-intervention.

In addition, coronary angiography was the most preferred diagnostic modality. The prevalence of infection in DESs was slightly higher than in BMSs ( $48 \%$ vs.
$32 \%$ ), and the most common causative pathogens were Staphylococcus aureus ( $80 \%$ ) and Pseudomonas aeroginosa (20\%). Surprisingly, the recorded CSI mortality rate was $39 \%$ after excluding balloon-related infections. Another review of 17 cases demonstrated similar results but did not address the type of stents used [39].

The pathophysiology of CSI remains unclear. Stent implantation, specifically as it relates to its struts, disrupts coronary endothelial surface and impairs the host defense mechanism. The stent itself serves as an ideal reservoir for bacterial growth [5]. Moreover, increasing the popularity and use of DESs is associated with a higher incidence of CSI. The immunosuppressive effect of eluted drugs inhibits restenosis via neointima growth and hyperplasia suppression while also reducing the protective function of neointima against infection [40].
Table 1 Summary of coronary stent infection cases

| Author | Sex | Age | Presenting Symptoms | First onset | $\begin{aligned} & N \text { of } \\ & \mathrm{PCl} \end{aligned}$ | Diagnostic methods | Stent type | Vessel | Angiographic abnormality | Pathogen | Complication | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leroy et al. France (1996) <br> [6] | M | 49 | F | 1 week | 1 | BC, CAG, TC, Urine Culture | BMS | LAD | Saccular aneurysm | P. aeroginosa | VI, IE | Died |
| Bouchart et al. France (1997) [7] | M | 38 | CP | 6 days | 1 | BC, CTC, TC | BMS | LCX | Pseudoaneurysm, VO | P. aeroginosa | PE, partial aneurysm rupture | Survived |
| Grewe et al. Germany (1999) [8] | M | 54 | CP, F | 4 days | 1 | BC, TC, Autopsy | BMS | LAD | - | S. aureus | VF, HF, suppurative pancarditis | Died |
| Liu et al. USA (2003) [9] | M | 72 | CP, F | 18 days | 1 | BC, CAG, TC | BMS | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm | S. aureus, S. simulans, S. capitis | Abscess | Survived |
| Hoffman et al. Israel (2005) [10] | M | 80 | CP, F | 1 week | 1 | BC, CTC | DES | LAD | Soft-tissue mass | S. aureus | Lung and Liver Abscess, Bacterial Endophthalmitis | Survived |
| Alfonso et al. Spain (2005) [11] | M | 47 | CP, F | 2 days | 2 | BC, PFC | DES | RCA |  | S. aureus | PE, Hemopericardium | Died |
| Marcu et al. USA (2005) [12] | M | 55 | F | 3 months | 1 | BC, CAG, Tissue Gram Staining | DES | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm ISR | History of S. aureus, Rare Gram-positive cocci | Granulation | Survived |
| Singh et al. India (2005) [13] | M | 56 | F, Sob | 4 days | 1 | BC, CAG | DES, BMS | LAD, RCA | Saccular aneurysm, ISR | S. aureus |  | Survived |
| Garg et al. USA (2007) $[14]$ | Fm | 86 | CP | >9 days | 1 | BC, CAG | DES | LAD, RCA | Mycotic aneurysm, Coronary perforation | MRSA | PE | Died |
| Kishida et al. Japan (2007) [15] | M | 70 | F | 2 months | 2 | CAG, TC, CTC | DES | RCA | VO, aneurysm-fistula | no growth, history of $S$. aureus bacterimia | Microabscess | Survived |
| Schoenkerman and Lundstrom, USA (2009) [16] | M | 59 | F | 4 days | 1 | $\mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{CAG}$, Urine Culture | BMS | LAD | Mycotic aneurysm | S. aureus | Aneurysm rupture, HFrEF, Shock, Lung Edema | Died |
| Lim et al. Singapore (2011) [17] | M | 69 | F | 4 days | 2 | BC, CAG, CTC | DES | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm | MRSA | Low Cardiac Output Syndrome | Died |
| Furtado et al. India (2011) [18] | M | 62 | CP, F | 2 weeks | 1 | BC, CAG, TC | DES | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm | P. aeroginosa | Abscess, PE | Survived |
| Patel et al. USA (2013) [19] | M | 60 | CP, SoB | 8 weeks | 1 | PFC, CAG | DES | LAD | Mycotic aneurysm | MRSA | PE | Survived |
| Morris et al. UK (2013) [20] | Fm | ND | F | 5 days | 1 | BC, Echo | ND | LM-LAD |  | S. Iugdunensis | Abscess, PE | Died |
| Wedekind et al. Germany (2013) [21] | M | 80 | F | 3 weeks | 2 | BC, PET-CT, PFC | DES | LAD, LCx | - | E. coli | PE | Survived |
| Chang et al. Taiwan (2014) [22] | M | 38 | CP | >1 year | >1 | BC, CTC | ND | LM-LAD | Mycotic aneurysm | S. aureus | Multiorgan failure | Died |
| Satish et al. India (2015) [23] | ND | 51 | CP, F | 2 days | 1 | BC, CAG | DES | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm | Staphylococcus | Abscess | Survived |
| Roubelakis et al. UK (2015) [24] | M | 62 | CP, F | 3 years | 1 | BC, CAG, TC | DES | LAD | Aneurysm | S. aureus | Ruptured Aneurysm, PE | Surived |
| Sekhar et al. India (2015) [25] | M | 60 | F | 15 days | 1 | BC, CAG, TC, FDG-PET-CT Scan | DES | RCA | ISR-VO | P. aeroginosa, Enterobac ter cloacae | Abscess, VI, PE | Survived |
| Zateyshchikov et al. Russia (2015) [26] | M | 45 | Cardiac Shock | 7 years | 1 | Autopsy | BMS | RCA | - | ND | Abscess-VO | Died |
| Madkaiker et al. India (2016) [27] | M | 50 | F | 4 days | 2 | BC, CAG, TC | DES | LAD, LCx | Pseudoaneurysm, ISR | P. aeroginosa | HFrEF, Lung Edema | Survived |
| Aggarwal et al. India (2016) [28] | M | 51 | CP, F | $>13$ month | 2 | TC, CTC | DES | LAD | Pseudoaneurysm, VO | Pseudomonas | VI, HFrEF, non-sustained VT | Surived |
| Dalal et al. India (2017) | Fm | 66 | CP | 1 month | 1 | CAG, TC | DES | RCA | Aneurysm,VO | P. aeroginosa | Septic shock, AF, HFrEF, | Survived |
| [29] | M | 50 | F, Cardiac Arrest | 1 months | 1 | CAG, TC | DES | LCx | Aneurysm, VO | $P$. aeroginosa and $S$. warneri |  | Survived |

Table 1 (continued)

| Author | Sex | Age | Presenting Symptoms | First onset | $\begin{aligned} & N \text { of } \\ & \mathrm{PCl} \end{aligned}$ | Diagnostic methods | Stent type | Vessel | Angiographic abnormality | Pathogen | Complication | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Elder et al. Australia (2017) [30]``` | M | 50 | CP, F | 2 days | 2 | BC, CAG, Gali-um-SPECT | DES, BMS | RCA | vo | MRSA | Pleural effusion, PE | Survived |
| Sangolkar et al. India (2018) [31] | M | 66 | F | 3 years | 2 | BC, CAG, PET-CT | DES | RCA | Coronary-cameral fistula | P. aeroginosa | Abscess, VI, Sepsis, Lymphadenopathy | Survived |
| Shetty et al. India (2018) [32] | M | 52 | CP, F | 9 months | 1 | BC, CAG, TC, CTC | DES | RCA | Aneurysm, VO | Acinetobacter baumannii, Staphyloccocus aureus |  | Survived |
| Shah et al. India (2018) [33] | M | 53 | F | 2 years | 1 | TC, PET Scan, CMRI, Echo | DES | LAD | ISR | P. aeroginosa | Abscess, HFrEF | Survived |
| Sudhakar, India (2018) [34] | M | 49 | F, SoB | 2 weeks | 1 | BC, CAG | DES | LAD | Fusiform Aneurysm, ISR | Suspect P. aeroginosa | VI, IE | Survived |
| Messaoud et al. Tunisia (2019) [35] | M | 71 | CP, F | 12 days | 1 | BC, CAG, CTC | BMS | RCA | Mycotic aneurysm, ISR | S. aureus | RBBB, IE, VI, PE | Survived |
| Reddy et al. India (2019) | M | 50 | CP, F, SoB | 8 days |  | BC, CAG, TC, PFC | DES | LAD, RCA | Aneurysm, VO | MRSA | HFrEF, PE | Survived |
| [36] | M | 52 | F | 1 month | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BC, CAG, TC, CTC, } \\ & \text { PET, PFC } \end{aligned}$ | DES | RCA | Pseudoaneurysm, VO | MRSA | AV block, HFrEF, PE, Granulation | Survived |
| Saeed et al. Qatar (2020) [37] | M | 50 | CP | 3 months | 2 | BC, CAG, CMRI | DES | RCA | vo | Actinomyces oris. | Abscess | Survived |


 SoB, shortness of breath; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; TC, tissue culture; VI, valvular involvement; VO, vessel occlusion.

Aneurysms are commonly observed in CSI cases. Although the underlying mechanism is unknown, infected aneurysms are formed through arterial injury and direct infection - this is known as the 'two-hit' hypothesis [41]. The first hit strikes and the coronary artery wall, weakening it and leading to its degeneration. The first hit could be induced by atherosclerosis, trauma, coronary manipulation, infection or vascular inflammation. Subsequently, the second hit, which involves infectious materials, strikes the weakened arterial wall, thus facilitating rapid aneurysmal development.

Several methods have been utilized to diagnose CSI, with blood culture and coronary angiography being the most common. Nevertheless, previous reviews focus on the use of imaging modalities to diagnose CSI. These reviews agree that coronary angiography is the modality of choice for diagnosing CSI [5,39,40]. In the present review, we did not consider echocardiography as a diagnostic modality since it is primarily used to evaluate cardiac valve function, detect pericardial effusion, or rule out endocarditis. Additionally, transesophageal echocardiography is positive in only four out of 10 cases, whereas coronary angiography is positive in 10 out of 10 cases [39].

Currently, there is no universal standard for diagnosing CSI. However, Dieter proposed several criteria for diagnosing CSI cases [38]. A definitive diagnosis of CSI was on the basis of surgical specimens or postmortem examinations, revealing an infected coronary artery stent complex. Subsequently, three or more of the following conditions indicate CSI: (1) coronary stent implantation within the last 4 weeks, (2) repeated procedures through the same vascular sheath or complications at the puncture site, (3) bacteremia, (4) a fever above $101.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ without any bacterial infection, (5) leukocytosis without any infection or acute coronary syndrome, (6) acute coronary syndrome, (7) cardiac imaging supporting the presence of persistent inflammation.
The classification of CSI has been proposed on the basis of its onset as adapted from orthopedic surgery [39]. Infections occurring within 10 days of stent implantation are classified as early-onset; otherwise, it is classified as late-onset.

Ideally, CSI should be treated with a combination of antibiotics and surgery. However, in some cases, treatments with antibiotics alone were effective [10,21,35]. Contrarily, several cases treated with antibiotics alone showed a different outcome $[14,16,20]$. Surgery is the logical treatment of choice for treating CSI, as surgery can provide a definitive diagnosis of CSI while also removing the infection source, repairing aneurysms, and providing bypass vascular grafts. However, patients often refuse surgery or die during surgery preparations [10,16].
Table 2 Case diagnosis on the basis of previous criteria [38]

| Author | Sex | Age | Definitive Dx of CSI |  | Possible Dx of CSI |  |  |  |  |  |  | Definitive/possible diagnosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Surgical specimen | Postmortem | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Leroy et al. France (1996) [6] | M | 49 | No growth | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - |  | Possible |
| Bouchart et al. France (1997) [7] | M | 38 | Yes | $\cdot$ | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | Yes | Definite |
| Grewe et al. Germany (1999) [8] | M | 54 | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes |  | Definite |
| Liu et al. USA (2003) [9] | M | 72 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | . |  | Definite |
| Hoffman et al. Israel (2005) [10] | M | 80 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | Yes | Possible |
| Alfonso et al. Spain (2005) [11] | M | 47 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | $\cdot$ | Yes | Possible |
| Marcu et al. USA (2005) [12] | M | 55 | Yes | - | - | - | Yes | - | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Singh et al. India (2005) [13] | M | 56 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes |  | Possible |
| Garg et al. USA (2007) [14] | Fm | 86 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | - | - | Yes | Yes | Possible |
| Kishida et al. Japan (2007) [15] | M | 70 | Microabcess but no growth | - | - | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Schoenkerman and Lundstrom, USA (2009) [16] | M | 59 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | - | Possible |
| Lim et al. Singapore (2011) [17] | M | 69 | Massive pus was found but no culture was mentioned | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Possible |
| Furtado et al. India (2011) [18] | M | 62 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Definite |
| Patel et al. USA (2013) [19] | M | 60 | - | - | - | - | History of MRSA bacteremia | - | - | Yes | Yes | Possible |
| Morris et al. UK (2013) [20] | Fm | ND | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - |  | Yes | Possible |
| Wedekind et al. Germany (2013) [21] | M | 80 | Purulent pericardial fluid | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | Yes | Possible |
| Chang et al. Taiwan (2014) [22] | M | 38 | Yes | - | - | Several times but unspecified | Yes | - | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Satish et al. India (2015) [23] | ND | 51 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | $\checkmark$ | - | Definite |
| Roubelakis et al. UK (2015) [24] | M | 62 | Yes | - | - | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Sekhar et al. India (2015) [25] | M | 60 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | Complete occlusion of RCA (CTA) | Yes | Definite |
| Zateyshchikov et al. Russia (2015) [26] | M | 45 | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | Yes | - | Definite |
| Madkaiker et al. India (2016) [27] | M | 50 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - |  |  | Definite |
| Aggarwal et al. India (2016) [28] | M | 51 | Yes | - | - | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Dalal et al. India (2017) [29] | Fm | 66 | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | - | - | Yes | - | Definite |
|  | M | 50 | Yes | - | Yes | S | - | Yes | - | Occluded LCx | Yes | Definite |
| Elder et al. Australia (2017) [30] | M | 50 | No specified data regarding 'infected stent' | - | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | $\cdot$ | Yes | Yes | Possible |
| Sangolkar et al. India (2018) [31] | M | 66 | No growth | - | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | - | Yes | Possible |
| Shetty et al. India (2018) [32] | M | 52 | Yes | - | - | - | Yes | ${ }^{-}$ | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Shah et al. India (2018) [33] | M | 53 | Yes | - | - | - | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Definite |
| Sudhakar, India (2018) [34] | M | 49 | No growth | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | - | Possible |
| Messaoud et al. Tunisia (2019) [35] | M | 71 | - | - | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | - | - | Yes | Possible |
| Reddy et al. India (2019) [36] | M | 50 | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
|  | M | 52 | Yes | - | Yes |  | Yes | Low-grade | - | Yes | Yes | Definite |
| Saeed et al. Oatar (2020) [37] | M | 50 | No growth | - | - | - | Yes | Yes | - | Yes | Yes | Possible |

 inflammation.
Table 3 Antibiotics and surgical procedure

| Author | Antibiotics | Administered Antibiotics | Antibiotics Duration | Surgery | Surgical Procedure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leroy et al. France (1996) [6] | Yes | Ciprofloxacin, Amoxicillin-Clavulanate (1st tx) <br> IV Ciprofloxacin, Netilmicin (2nd tx) Ceftazidime-Amikacin-Ciprofloxacin, Imipenem Cilastatin-Ciprofloxacin (3rd tx) | 2 weeks (1st tx) <br> 3 weeks (2nd tx) <br> 3 weeks <br> (3rd tx) | Yes | Infected stent removal, Debridement infected tissue |
| Bouchart et al. France (1997) [7] | Yes | Ceftazidime-Amikacin | 4 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
| Grewe et al. Germany (1999) [8] | No | - | - | No | - |
| Liu et al. USA (2003) [9] | Yes | IV Nafcillin (1st tx) IV Nafcillin + Rifampicin (2nd tx) | 20 days (1st tx) <br> 7 weeks (2nd tx) | Yes | Infected stent removal, Debridement, CABG |
| Hoffman et al. Israel (2005) [10] | Yes | IV Cloxacillin | 6 weeks | No | - |
| Alfonso et al. Spain (2005) [11] | Yes | Oral Cloxacillin ( $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{tx}$ ) <br> IV Cloxacillin + Gentamycin (2nd tx) | 1 week ( 1 st tx) 2 days (2nd tx) | No | - |
| Marcu et al. USA (2005) [12] | Yes | IV Cefazoline | 6 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
| Singh et al. India (2005) [13] | Yes | Cloxacillin, Gentamicin | 4 weeks | Yes | CABG |
| Garg et al. USA (2007) [14] | Yes | IV Vancomycin, Oral Rifampicin | $>12$ Days | No | - |
| Kishida et al. Japan (2007) [15] | Yes | Intravenous adapted antibiotics | $>20$ days | Yes | Infected stent removal, Aneurysmal excision, Right ventricular wall reconstruction |
| Schoenkerman and Lundstrom, USA (2009) [16] | Yes | No Data | No data | No | - |
| Lim et al. Singapore (2011) [17] | Yes | IV Vancomycin, IV Linezolid | No data | Yes | Infected stent removal, Debridement, CABG, Pus drainage |
| Furtado et al. India (2011) [18] | Yes | Imipenem, Levofloxacin (1st tx) Imipenem, Levofloxacin (2nd tx) | 1 week ( 1 st tx ) <br> 6 weeks (2nd tx) | Yes | Infected stent removal, Debridement, CABG |
| Patel et al. USA (2013) [19] | Yes | IV Vancomycin, Oral Rifampicin (1st tx) IV Vancomycin, Oral Rifampicin (2nd tx) | 6 weeks (1st tx) 6 weeks (2nd tx) | Yes | Complete aneurysm excision, CABG |
| Morris et al. UK (2013) [20] | Yes | IV Vancomycin, Gentamycin | No data | No | - |
| Wedekind et al. Germany (2013) [21] | Yes | Piperacillin/ Tazobactam, Clarithromycin (1st tx) Cefuroxime, Ciprofloxacin | 10 days ( 1 st tx) 12 months (2nd tx) | No | - |
| Chang et al. Taiwan (2014) [22] | No | - | - | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
| Satish et al. India (2015) [23] | Yes | Broad-spectrum antibiotics | No data | Yes | Infected stent removal, Aneurysm removal, CABG |
| Roubelakis et al. UK (2015) [24] | Yes | IV Flucloxacillin | 6 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, Infected tissue resection, CABG |
| Sekhar et al. India (2015) [25] | Yes | No Data | 3 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, Abscess removal, CABG |
| Zateyshchikov et al. Russia (2015) [26] | No | - | - | No | - |
| Madkaiker et al. India (2016) [27] | Yes | No Data | No data | Yes | Infected stent removal, Pus drainage, Debridement, CABG |
| Aggarwal et al. India (2016) [28] | Yes | Inj. Meropenem, Teicoplanin | 8 weeks | Yes | CABG, Coronary pseudoaneurysmectomy, Necrotic tissue excision, |
| Dalal et al. India (2017) [29] | Yes | IV Meropenem, IV Amikacin, IV Cefepime | 10 days ( 1 st tx ) 6 weeks (2nd tx) | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
|  | Yes | IV Ceftazidime, IV Vancomycin, IV Gentamycin* | 6 weeks <br> *2 weeks due to ototoxicity | Yes | Infected stent removal, Aneurysmal removal |
| Elder et al. Australia (2017) [30] | Yes | IV Ceftriaxone (1st tx) <br> IV Vancomycin (2nd tx) <br> Oral Rifampicin and Fusicid Acid (3rd tx) | 1 day ( 1 st tx) 6 weeks (2nd tx) Indefinitely | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
| Sangolkar et al. India (2018) [31] | Yes | IV Cefepime, Ciprofloxacin, Gentamycin | 2 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, Debridement |
| Shetty et al. India (2018) [32] | Yes | No data | 4 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, Aneurysm removal, Debridement, CABG |
| Shah et al. India (2018) [33] | Yes | No data | No data | Yes | Infected stent removal, Aneurysm removal, Debridement, CABG |
| Sudhakar, India (2018) [34] | Yes | IV piperacillin/tazobactam IV Meropenem, IV Gentamycin*, IV Ciprofloxacin | 5 days (switched) <br> 6 weeks <br> *2 weeks then switched to Ciprofloxacin | Yes | Infected stent removal, Mitral valve replacement, Debridement, CABG |
| Messaoud et al. Tunisia (2019) [35] | Yes | IV Vancomycin, IV Gentamycin, Oral Rifampicin | 6 weeks | No | - |
| Reddy et al. India (2019) [36] | Yes | No data | 4 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |
|  | Yes | IV Teicoplanin | 4 weeks | Yes | Infected stent removal |
| Saeed et al. Qatar (2020) [37] | Yes | IV Piperacillin-Tazobactam, Vancomycin (1st tx) <br> Penicillin G (2nd tx) <br> IV Ceftriaxone ( 3 rd tx ) <br> Oral Amoxicillin (4th tx) | 5 days (switched) No data (2nd tx) 6 weeks (3rd tx) 6 months (4th tx) | Yes | Infected stent removal, CABG |

The current systematic review demonstrated a mortality rate among CSI patients of $26.47 \%$. This rate is remarkably lower than those reported in previous reviews. For instance, previous reviews of 23 and 17 CSI cases yielded mortality rates of 39 and $47 \%$, respectively [5,39]. One review also revealed mortality rates among patients with stent infections in coronary and noncoronary arteries of 48 and $23 \%$ [40]. The current review excluded reports mentioning a history of hemodialysis or surgery to minimize infection source bias. Meanwhile, previous reviews included all CSI cases, which is the most likely reason for the higher mortality rates [41].

## Benefits to further clinical practice

The increasing use of PCI procedures and DES implantations could increase the incidence of CSI. Therefore, an updated outlook of PCI-related infections, especially CSI, is urgently needed. This review provides updated evidence to improve the recognition and management of CSI cases.

CSI is the rarest complication related to PCI, and it is avoidable. Patient preparation, personnel and laboratory cleaning significantly minimize the risk of infection [5]. Consequently, conditions such as sterility inadequacy, repeated local site punctures (primarily in the groin area), balloon or catheter re-utilization without adequate sterilization, repeated wire manipulations and prolonged indwelling catheterization can increase the risk of stent infection [36].

## Study limitations

The present review has several limitations. First, this review excluded cases reported before the 2000s because most of these were inaccessible. Second, this review focuses solely on CSI cases without a history of hemodialysis or surgery, and, hence, evidence regarding CSI under these conditions is limited. However, hemodialysis and surgery are considered significant infection sources, which may cause bias [42]. Finally, data regarding patients' risk factors (diabetes, hypertension or any chronic illness) were not extensively assessed, as such factors are rarely mentioned.

## Conclusion

This systematic review provides an updated outlook on CSI cases - namely, regarding clinical presentations, diagnostic methods, stents and vessel pathology, causative pathogens, treatments, complications and outcomes. This review could improve the recognition and management of CSI cases.
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