

comparison of malaria microscopy and polymerase chain reaction for identification of plasmodium in papua indonesia

by Christophorus Oetama Adiatmaja

Submission date: 19-Jul-2021 11:53AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1621384543

File name: reaction-for-identification-of-plasmodium-in-papua-indonesia.pdf (381.72K)

Word count: 3782

Character count: 20209

Comparison of Malaria Microscopy and Polymerase Chain Reaction for Identification of Plasmodium in Papua, Indonesia

Christophorus Oetama Adiatmaja¹, Trieva Verawaty Butarbutar¹, Amarensi Milka Betaubun¹, Puspa Wardhani¹, Aryati¹

¹Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine-Dr. Soetomo Teaching Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60131, Indonesia

*E-mail: puspa_pk@yahoo.co.id

Article History:

Submitted: 02.03.2020

Revised: 10.04.2020

Accepted: 31.05.2020

ABSTRACT

To diagnose malaria, microscopic examination is still considered as the standard tool, but still there are certain reservations. This study aimed to compare the identification of *Plasmodium* species by microscopy and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). This cross-sectional investigation was carried out in June 2019 until September 2019. Blood samples were taken and examined using microscope and nested PCR. Both results were compared to evaluate the diagnostic performance of microscopy and agreement between both examinations. Only *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* were found in current study. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of the microscopy examination compared to nested PCR for detecting *P. vivax*, was 91.0%, 97.5%, 96.8%, and 92.8% respectively with $\kappa=0.889$; and for *P. falciparum*, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV was 62.7%,

95.8%, 88.9%, and 82.7% respectively with $\kappa=0.628$. Among all mixed infections, only 12% were identified correctly by microscopy. Microscopy is reliable way for routine diagnostic purposes, to detect single malaria infection. However, it is unreliable to detect mixed malaria infections.

Keywords: Malaria, microscopy, polymerase chain reaction.

Correspondance:

Puspa Wardhani

Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine-Dr. Soetomo Teaching Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60131, Indonesia

E-mail: puspa_pk@yahoo.co.id

DOI: [10.31838/srp.2020.6.16](https://doi.org/10.31838/srp.2020.6.16)

@Advanced Scientific Research. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is major threat to common people through the world. It is one of main cause of death in number of under developed countries. (1) The cause of malaria is the *Plasmodium* parasite and infected female *Anopheles* mosquitos is responsible for its transmission. (2,3) Potential risk factors of this disease are poor sanitation, life styles, housing situation, living conditions and personal hygiene, similiar as other infections such as *Helicobacter pylori*. (4) According to WHO's annual Malaria Report published in 2019 disclosed that, almost 228 million malaria cases reported through the world in 2018 with around 405.000 deaths. Most vulnerable group to be affected by malaria are children under 5 year age. (5) In 2018, 67% of all malaria deaths are accounted of this group. (6) Although surveillance has been carried out since April 2000 malaria is still a tropical health problem in Indonesia. (7) According to the Indonesia Ministry of Health, malaria cases are more prevalent in eastern Indonesia. Papua as the easternmost province, has the most annual parasite index among all provinces in Indonesia. (8,9)

WHO recommends first diagnosis of malaria before the start of its treatment, in order to avoid the drug resistance. It is also important for identifying malaria-negative patients, which need further investigations for suitable treatment. (10) For management and effective control of malaria, its accurate diagnosis and use of appropriate anti-malarial drug is very important. (11)

The examination of blood film under microscope is a standard laboratory technique for malaria diagnosis in endemic countries. (12) It is not very much expensive and can be used for finding *Plasmodium* species and its density. (13–15) However, microscopic examination in endemic areas has several limitations, such as shortage of well-trained competent microscopist, labor-intensive, time-consuming, inadequate quality control, and poor diagnosis. (16–20) On the other hand, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is more sensitive, highly specific and can detect very low density of

parasitemia. It has features of repeatability and reproducibility even with low parasite densities. (3,21) However, costs and infrastructure required to operate and maintain PCR methods are not feasible in most field settings. (13,22) Huge time span is required between sample collection, their transportation, then processing and finally sending the results to the physician. These drawbacks limits the usefulness of PCR technique in routine clinical practice. (20)

The definition of a "gold standard" for the purpose of malaria diagnosis is an important issue that should be addressed. (21) Microscopic examination of blood films has long been used for making assessment regarding the results of trials of vaccine and drug and for the purpose of using them as standard reference in evaluating new method for malaria diagnosis. (3) Although microscopy has its limitations and molecular diagnostic techniques have improvements, which pose the question of whether PCR should become the reference standard for finding the parasites of malaria. (20) Current study aimed to compare the identification of *Plasmodium* parasite by microscopy and PCR and to determine the reliability of microscopy to be used as a gold standard for clinical trial and routine examinations in Papua, Indonesia.

METHODOLOGY

Current cross-sectional investigation was carried out in June 2019 until September 2019. The population of this study was all patients in the emergency department, inpatient department, and outpatient clinic of Merauke General Hospital, Papua, Indonesia. Adult and child patients with clinical signs of fever were included in this study.

5 mL EDTA containing tubes were used to collect blood samples. Thick and thin blood film was made using Giemsa stain and interpreted on a light microscope at 1000 times magnification by 2 certified microscopists. Microscopy interpretation was done before other examinations.

Remaining blood samples were spotted on a Whatman™ paper (GE Healthcare Companies) for further molecular studies. These blood samples Whatman paper were air-dried, and double zip-lock plastic bags with silica gel were used to store these samples at 4 °C, and subsequently transported to the Department of Parasitology of Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia for diagnostic confirmation by PCR.

DNA extraction from the filter paper was done using Norgen's Dried Blood Spot DNA Isolation Kit (Norgen Biotek Corporation, Canada). Nested PCR method was performed using Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) followed by electrophoresis according to the instruction manual. Electrophoresis reading was performed using Bio-Rad Gel Doc EZ Imager (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Each run was included positive and negative control. The primers used for amplification are shown in table 1.

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 25.0 software. The microscopy outcomes were compared to PCR results. Using PCR as the gold standard, we calculate the not only sensitivity, and specificity, but also positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of microscopy. Interrater reliability between microscopy and PCR was analyzed using Cohen's Kappa Coefficient (κ).

Well briefed and well informed consent was obtained from every patient of this study. Research ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia approved this study.

RESULTS

Among 146 subjects were recruited as a sample of this study. Subject characteristics were shown in Table 2. Male subjects were dominant in this study (63%). Most subjects were within the age group of 21-30 (23.3%), 11-20 (21.2%), and 31-40 (17.8%).

Identification of Plasmodium spp. by microscopy and PCR were categorized as P. vivax, P. falciparum, mixed P. vivax and P. falciparum, and negative. The proportion of each was shown in Table 3. P. vivax was the most prevalent Plasmodium species in current study. Other Plasmodium spp. were not found in this study.

Table 4 showed the cross-tabulation of Plasmodium spp. identification by microscopy and nested PCR. Among 25 subjects with mixed infection identified by nested PCR, there were only 3 subjects that were correctly identified as mixed infection by microscopy. The remaining subjects were identified by microscopy as P. vivax in 17 subjects, P. falciparum in 4 subjects, and negative in 1 subject.

The diagnostic test performance of microscopy was evaluated by using nested PCR as the reference standard (Table 5). The diagnostic test performance was done separately for each Plasmodium species identification. To identify P. vivax, microscopy had sensitivity (91.0%), specificity (97.5%), PPV (96.8%) and NPV (92.8%) with $\kappa=0.889$. κ value ranges between 0.80 and 0.90 showed strong agreement between the two examinations.(23) To identify P. falciparum, microscopy had sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of 62.7%, 95.8%, 88.9%, and 82.7% respectively with $\kappa=0.628$. κ value between 0.60 and 0.79 showed moderate agreement between the two examinations.(23)

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Among 146 subjects were examined of Plasmodium species by microscopy and PCR. The sensitivity of microscopy to identify P. falciparum was lower than to identify P. vivax. The microscopy readings showed substantial discrepancy when compared to PCR, especially in mixed infection. Several of the P. falciparum were in subjects with mixed infection and most of them were interpreted as single P. vivax infection, resulting in increased false-negative readings of P. falciparum and thus lowered the sensitivity of microscopy to identify P. falciparum. The microscopists might have missed the occurrence of P. falciparum due to the predominance of P. vivax in those subjects. It has been found that the co-infection of P. vivax and P. falciparum in a host (human) has interspecies suppression through competition of host red blood cell and cross-species immunity.(24) Our result was consistent with previous studies which found that microscopy had lower sensitivity to detect Plasmodium spp. compared to a molecular diagnostic methods like nested PCR(25), semi-nested multiplex PCR(15), and RT-PCR(26). It is important to differentiate among various Plasmodium species to establish the accurate treatment regimen, and also to apply suitable strategies to control malaria in various endemic regions. Inaccurate identification of the Plasmodium species can create problems in public health and inappropriate treatments, can lead to produce drug resistance and malaria resurgence.(15,27-29) Drug resistance was associated with malaria resurgence because infections that were not effectively treated remained to contribute towards onwards transmission.(29) According to WHO's guidelines, high quality diagnostic techniques require to control.(15)

The application of microscopy as the gold standard for malaria diagnosis has been under scrutiny due to the reports of false-negative results even at low level of parasitemia and errors in identification of species in mixed infections.(20,28,30) The findings of this study also showed limitations of microscopy which was associated with mixed infection. Among 25 subjects with mixed infection confirmed by nested PCR, most of them were identified as single P. vivax infection by microscopy. Only 3 of them were identified correctly as mixed infection by microscopy. (40)

We also observed other misidentifications by microscopy compared to nested PCR. Two subjects with negative PCR results were identified as P. vivax and P. falciparum infection respectively, two subjects with single Plasmodium infection were identified incorrectly, and two subjects with single P. vivax infection were interpreted as mixed infection by microscopy. It is known that bad quality of blood film often produce wrong information about the presence malaria parasites,(31,32) and these wrong information can cause false-positive readings of Plasmodium species.

Still use of microscopy is quite useful diagnostic tool in malaria-hit areas, but its use as a gold standard can produce wrong outcomes in clinical trials. Expertise of technicians to make good blood film, its staining, and then reading are important requirements for better quality of microscopy .(13,14,16,26) It also is important to look into many areas to detect infection, and it requires services of at least two train microscopists.(15) Microscopy readings also produced both

false-negative readings and false-positive readings, which can greatly affect the outcomes of clinical trials. This finding was similar to previous studies. (16,26) PCR-based methods from previous studies mentioned excellent diagnostic outcomes than microscopy to detect *Plasmodium* spp. (13,25) PCR is strongly suggested for the real time diagnosis of *Plasmodium* spp. and should be used as a gold standard in clinical trials and reference laboratories with adequate infrastructure to perform molecular procedures.

The drawback of current study was a small sample size and limited finding of *Plasmodium* spp. Papua province is the endemic area of *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum*, but other *Plasmodium* spp. were very rare in this area and thus made this study unable to find other *Plasmodium* spp. Further study with other *Plasmodium* spp. and larger sample size is needed. Microscopy can be used for routine diagnostic purposes to detect single malaria infection in Papua, Indonesia and other endemic areas with limited resources. However, it is unreliable to detect mixed malaria infections. The molecular diagnostic approach is highly recommended to be used as a gold standard in clinical trials and reference laboratories.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Merauke General Hospital and their coworkers for their support for this project.

REFERENCES

1. CDC. Malaria's Impact Worldwide.
2. Prastiawan A. Mobility And Behavior Influences On Import Malaria In The Kecamatan Watulimo Kabupaten Trenggalek. *J Kesehat Lingkung*. 2019;11(2):91-8.
3. Siahhaan L. Laboratory diagnostics of malaria. In: L. W, D. W, W. M, J.K. B, P.C. E, M. de J, et al., editors. *Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia: Institute of Physics Publishing; 2018*. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85045674342&doi=10.1088%2F1755-1315%2F125%2F1%2F012090&partnerID=40&md5=c59484fb348cec741f0f22955c32bfd5>
4. Miftahussurur M, Shrestha PK, Subsomwong P, Sharma RP, Yamaoka Y. Emerging *Helicobacter pylori* levofloxacin resistance and novel genetic mutation in Nepal. *BMC Microbiol* [Internet]. 2016;16(1):1-10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0873-6>
5. Siahhaan L, Panggabean M, Panggabean YC. The comparison of detection methods of asymptomatic malaria in hypoendemic areas. In: L. W, D. W, W. M, J.K. B, P.C. E, M. de J, et al., editors. *Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia: Institute of Physics Publishing; 2018*. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85045615832&doi=10.1088%2F1755-1315%2F125%2F1%2F0120198&partnerID=40&md5=e515f3ffa939325afd7df27978f1fa>
6. WHO. World Malaria Report 2019. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019. 1-60 p.
7. Suwandi JF, Asmara W, Kusnanto H, Syafruddin D, Supargiyono S. Efficacy of artemisinin base combination therapy and genetic diversity of *Plasmodium falciparum* from uncomplicated malaria *falciparum* patient in district of pesawaran, Province of Lampung, Indonesia. *Iran J Parasitol* [Internet]. 2019;14(1):143-50. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85063994404&partnerID=40&md5=55f6e70173d0c08f20a6d650e20dac02>
8. Indonesia Ministry of Health. InfoDatin Malaria. 2016.
9. Manumpa S. Influence Of Demographic Factors And History Of Malaria With The Incidence Malaria In MORU PHC. *J Berk Epidemiol*. 2016;4(3):338-48.
10. WHO. Universal access to malaria diagnostic testing. An operational manual. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
11. Mfuh KO, Achonduh-Atjiegbe OA, Bekindaka ON, Esemu LF, Mbakop CD, Gandhi K, et al. A comparison of thick-film microscopy, rapid diagnostic test, and polymerase chain reaction for accurate diagnosis of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Malar J*. 2019;18(1):73.
12. Ratnawati, Hatta M, Smits HL. Point-of-care testing for malaria outbreak management. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* [Internet]. 2008;102(7):699-704. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-44749084910&doi=10.1016%2Fj.trstmh.2008.04.018&partnerID=40&md5=4aed7ba95cd10aee85e9ab4aec7cdda8>
13. Boonma P, Christensen PR, Suwanarusk R, Price RN, Russell B, Lek-Uthai U. Comparison of three molecular methods for the detection and speciation of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Malar J*. 2007;6(1):124.
14. Mens P, Spieker N, Omar S, Heijnen M, Schallig H, Kager PA. Is molecular biology the best alternative for diagnosis of malaria to microscopy? A comparison between microscopy, antigen detection and molecular tests in rural Kenya and urban Tanzania. *Trop Med Int Heal*. 2007;12(2):238-44.
15. Berzosa P, de Lucio A, Romay-Barja M, Herrador Z, González V, García L, et al. Comparison of three diagnostic methods (microscopy, RDT, and PCR) for the detection of malaria parasites in representative samples from Equatorial Guinea. *Malar J* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 17;17(1):333. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30223852>
16. Ohrt C, Purnomo, Sutamihardja MA, Tang D, Kain KC. Impact of Microscopy Error on Estimates of Protective Efficacy in Malaria-Prevention Trials. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;186(4):540-6.
17. Hänscheid T. Current strategies to avoid misdiagnosis of malaria. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2003;9(6):497-504.
18. Payne D. Use and limitations of light microscopy for diagnosing malaria at the primary health care level. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1988;66(5):621-6.

19. Tangpukdee N, Duangdee C, Wilairatana P, Krudsood S. Malaria Diagnosis: A Brief Review. Korean J Parasitol. 2009;47(2):93.
20. Igbino O, Igbino O, Asowata O, Jeffery C. A sequential review on accuracy of detecting malaria Parasitemia in developing countries with large restriction on resources. Med Med Sci. 2010;1(9):385–90.
21. Siahaan L, Eyanoe P, Panggabean M, Panggabean Y. Optimization of diagnostic procedures in primary health services to detect asymptomatic malaria. Fam Med Prim Care Rev [Internet]. 2018;20(1):67–70. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85044445901&doi=10.5114%2Ffmpcr.2018.73706&partnerID=40&md5=31a6e60d7063db8c5747220f47ca6191>
22. Coleman RE, Sattabongkot J, Promstaporm S, Maneechai N, Tippayachai B, Kengluetcha A, et al. Comparison of PCR and microscopy for the detection of asymptomatic malaria in a Plasmodium falciparum/vivax endemic area in Thailand. Malar J. 2006;5(1):121.
23. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem medica. 2012;22(3):276–82.
24. Maitland K, Williams TN, Newbold CI. Plasmodium vivax and P. falciparum: Biological interactions and the possibility of cross-species immunity. Parasitol Today. 1997 Jun;13(6):227–31.
25. Johnston SP, Pieniazek NJ, Xayavong M V., Slemenda SB, Wilkins PP, Da Silva AJ. PCR as a confirmatory technique for laboratory diagnosis of malaria. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44(3):1087–9.
26. Rantala AM, Taylor SM, Trotman PA, Luntamo M, Mbewe B, Maleta K, et al. Comparison of real-time PCR and microscopy for malaria parasite detection in Malawian pregnant women. Malar J. 2010;9(1).
27. Perandin F, Manca N, Calderaro A, Piccolo G, Galati L, Ricci L, et al. Development of a Real-Time PCR Assay for Detection of Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, and Plasmodium ovale for Routine Clinical Diagnosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(3):1214–9.
28. Kang J-M, Cho P-Y, Moe M, Lee J, Jun H, Lee H-W, et al. Comparison of the diagnostic performance of microscopic examination with nested polymerase chain reaction for optimum malaria diagnosis in Upper Myanmar. Malar J. 2017;16(1):119.
29. Cohen JM, Smith DL, Cotter C, Ward A, Yamey G, Sabot OJ, et al. Malaria resurgence: a systematic review and assessment of its causes. Malar J. 2012 Dec;11(1):122.
30. Kimura M, Miyake H, Kim HS, Tanabe M, Arai M, Kawai S, et al. Species-specific PCR detection of malaria parasites by microtiter plate hybridization: clinical study with malaria patients. J Clin Microbiol. 1995 Sep;33(9):2342–6.
31. Wongsrichanalai C, Barcus MJ, Muth S, Sutamihardja A, Wernsdorfer WH. A review of malaria diagnostic tools: microscopy and rapid diagnostic test (RDT). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007 Dec;77(6 Suppl):119–27.
32. Bhatia M, Mishra B, Thakur A, Loomba PS, Dogra V. Microscopic Artefacts in Clinical Practice- A Diagnostic Dilemma. J Clin Diagnostic Res. 2018;12(7):1–4.

Table 1: Primer Sequences

Nest 1 Genus-specific primers		
Plasmodium (1600 bp)	rPLU5	5'-CCT GTT GTT GCC TTA AAC TTC-3'
	rPLU1	5'-TCA AAG ATT AAG CCA TGC AAG TGA-3'
Nest 2		
A. Genus Specific Primers		
Plasmodium (240 bp)	rPLU3	5+-TTT TTA TAA GGA TAA CTA CGG AAA AGC TGT-3+
	rPLU4	5+-TAC CCG TCA TAG CCA TGT TAG GCC AAT ACC-3'
B. Species Specific Primers		
P. vivax (117 bp)	rVIV1	5+-CGC TTC TAG CTT AAT CCA CAT AAC TGA TAC-3+
	rVIV2	5+-ACT TCC AAG CCG AAG CAA AGA AAG TCC TTA-3+
P. falciparum (205 bp)	rFAL1	5+-TTA AAC TGG TTT GGG AAA ACC AAA TAT ATT-3+
	rFAL2	5+-ACA CAA TGA ACT CAA TCA TGA CTA CCC GTC-3+
P. ovale (787 bp)	rOVA1	5+-ATC TCT TTT GCT ATT TTT TAG TAT TGG AGA-3+
	rOVA2	5+-GGA AAA GGA CAC ATT AAT TGT ATC CTA GTG-3+
P. malariae (144 bp)	rMAL1	5+-ATA ACA TAG TTG TAC GTT AAG AAT AAC CGC-3+
	rMAL2	5+-AAA ATT CCC ATG CAT AAA AAA TTA TAC AAA-3+
P. knowlesi (153 bp)	PMK8F	5'-GTT AGC GAG AGC CAC AAA AAA GCG AAT-3'
	PMK9R	5'-ACT CAA AGT AAC AAA ATC TTC CGTA-3'

Table 2: Subject characteristics

Characteristics	Value
Gender	
Male	92 (63%)
Female	54 (37%)
Age	27 (16-41.5)*
1-10 years	17 (11.6%)
11-20 years	31 (21.2%)
21-30 years	34 (23.3%)
31-40 years	26 (17.8%)
41-50 years	16 (11%)
51-60 years	12 (8.2%)
61-70 years	7 (4.8%)
71-80 years	3 (2.1%)

*median (IQR)

Table 3: Plasmodium spp. identification by microscopy and nested PCR

Identification	Microscopy	Nested PCR
P. vivax	58 (39.7%)	42 (28.8%)
P. falciparum	31 (21.2%)	26 (17.8%)
Mixed P. vivax and P. falciparum	5 (3.4%)	25 (17.1%)
Negative	52 (35.6%)	53 (36.3%)

Table 4: Plasmodium spp. cross tabulation

Microscopy	Nested PCR				Total
	P. vivax	P. falciparum	Mixed	Negative	
P. vivax	39 (26.7%)	1 (0.7%)	17(11.6%)	1 (0.7%)	58 (39.7%)
P. falciparum	1 (0.7%)	25 (17.1%)	4 (2.7%)	1 (0.7%)	31 (21.2%)
Mixed	2 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	5 (3.4%)
Negative	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	51 (34.9%)	52 (35.6%)
Total	42 (28.8%)	26 (17.8%)	25(17.1%)	53 (36.3%)	146 (100%)

Table 5: Diagnostic test performance of microscopy in Plasmodium spp. identification with nested PCR as reference method

Identification	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	κ	p
P. vivax	91.0%	97.5%	96.8%	92.8%	0.889	<0.001
P. falciparum	62.7%	95.8%	88.9%	82.7%	0.628	<0.001

comparison of malaria microscopy and polymerase chain reaction for identification of plasmodium in papua indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

20%
SIMILARITY INDEX

13%
INTERNET SOURCES

18%
PUBLICATIONS

0%
STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Muhammad Faisal Nadeem, Aamer Ali Khattak, Adnan Yaqoob, Usman Ayub Awan, Nadia Zeeshan. "Assessment of Microscopic detection of Malaria with Nested Polymerase Chain Reaction in War-torn Federally Administered Tribal Areas of Pakistan", Research Square, 2021
Publication **1%**

- 2** Ebrahimzadeh Adel, Fazaeli Asghar. "The Risk of Re-Emergence of Plasmodium malariae in South-East of Iran as Detected by Nested Polymerase Chain Reaction", Asian Journal of Epidemiology, 2008
Publication **1%**

- 3** Segun I Oyedeji. "Comparison of PCR-based detection of Plasmodium falciparum infections based on single and multicopy genes", Malaria Journal, 2007
Publication **1%**

4

V. Joste, C. Kamaliddin, E. Kendjo, V. Hubert, N. Argy, S. Houzé. "Distinction of Plasmodium ovale wallikeri and Plasmodium ovale curtisi using quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction with High Resolution Melting revelation", Scientific Reports, 2018

Publication

1 %

5

Indranee Y. Amarasinghe, Naomal M. A. Perera, N. Bahinathan, H.H Marzook, A.K.C. Peiris. "Review of Distribution of Nodal Disease in Differentiated Thyroid Cancers in an Oncosurgical Center in Sri Lanka", Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2007

Publication

1 %

6

Muhammad Miftahussurur, Iswan Abbas Nusi, Fardah Akil, Ari Fahrial Syam et al. "Gastric mucosal status in populations with a low prevalence of Helicobacter pylori in Indonesia", PLOS ONE, 2017

Publication

1 %

7

parasitol.kr
Internet Source

1 %

8

team.swisstph.ch
Internet Source

1 %

9

www.muucsf.org
Internet Source

1 %

10	Peter Eede. "Human Plasmodium knowlesi infections in young children in central Vietnam", Malaria Journal, 2009 Publication	1 %
11	pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1 %
12	repository.up.ac.za Internet Source	1 %
13	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	1 %
14	academic.oup.com Internet Source	1 %
15	mafiadoc.com Internet Source	1 %
16	sites.kowsarpub.com Internet Source	<1 %
17	www.questia.com Internet Source	<1 %
18	A. A. M. Fernandes, L. J. de Moura Carvalho, G. M. Zanini, A. M. R. da Silva Ventura et al. "Similar Cytokine Responses and Degrees of Anemia in Patients with Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax Infections in the Brazilian Amazon Region", Clinical and Vaccine Immunology, 2008 Publication	<1 %

19	www.dovepress.com Internet Source	<1 %
20	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1 %
21	pdfs.semanticscholar.org Internet Source	<1 %
22	Lina M. Q. Alareqi, Mohammed A. K. Mahdy, Yee-Ling Lau, Mun-Yik Fong et al. "Field evaluation of a PfHRP-2/pLDH rapid diagnostic test and light microscopy for diagnosis and screening of falciparum malaria during the peak seasonal transmission in an endemic area in Yemen", Malaria Journal, 2016 Publication	<1 %
23	prr.hec.gov.pk Internet Source	<1 %
24	www.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	<1 %
25	www.samj.org.za Internet Source	<1 %
26	Edwin Kamau, Saba Alemayehu, Karla C. Feghali, David Saunders, Christian F. Ockenhouse. "Multiplex qPCR for Detection and Absolute Quantification of Malaria", PLoS ONE, 2013 Publication	<1 %

27

Hari Shankar, Mrigendra Pal Singh, Sobhan Phookan, Kuldeep Singh, Neelima Mishra. "Diagnostic performance of rapid diagnostic test, light microscopy and polymerase chain reaction during mass survey conducted in low and high malaria-endemic areas from two North-Eastern states of India", *Parasitology Research*, 2021

Publication

<1 %

28

Lara Cotta Amaral, Daniela Rocha Robortella, Luiz Felipe Ferreira Guimarães, Jean Ezequiel Limongi et al. "Ribosomal and non-ribosomal PCR targets for the detection of low-density and mixed malaria infections", *Malaria Journal*, 2019

Publication

<1 %

29

Mamoun M. M. Osman. "Informed decision-making before changing to RDT: a comparison of microscopy, rapid diagnostic test and molecular techniques for the diagnosis and identification of malaria parasites in Kassala, eastern Sudan : Informed decision-making before changing to RDT", *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 12/2010

Publication

<1 %

30

Pedro Berzosa Díaz, Patricia Mula Lozano, Jose Manuel Ramos Rincón, Luz García, Francisco Reyes, Agustín Benito Llanes.

<1 %

"Quality of malaria diagnosis and molecular confirmation of Plasmodium ovale curtisi in a rural area of the southeastern region of Ethiopia", Malaria Journal, 2015

Publication

31

Pedro Berzosa, Vicenta González, Laura Taravillo, Alfredo Mayor et al. "First evidence of the deletion in the pfhrp2 and pfhrp3 genes in Plasmodium falciparum from Equatorial Guinea", Malaria Journal, 2020

Publication

32

Tarekegn A Abeku. "Determinants of the accuracy of rapid diagnostic tests in malaria case management: evidence from low and moderate transmission settings in the East African highlands", Malaria Journal, 2008

Publication

33

eprints.ulm.ac.id
Internet Source

<1 %

34

simonhay.zoo.ox.ac.uk
Internet Source

<1 %

35

Manas Kotepui, Kwuntida Uthaisar Kotepui, Giovanni De Jesus Milanez, Frederick Ramirez Masangkay. "Summary of discordant results between rapid diagnosis tests, microscopy, and polymerase chain reaction for detecting Plasmodium mixed infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis", Scientific Reports,

<1 %

2020

Publication

36

Takahiro Saito, Aoi Kikuchi, Akira Kaneko, Rie Isozumi, Isao Teramoto, Masatsugu Kimura, Noriyasu Hirasawa, Masahiro Hiratsuka. "Rapid and sensitive multiplex single-tube nested PCR for the identification of five human Plasmodium species", Parasitology International, 2018

Publication

<1 %

37

Submitted to Universitas Airlangga

Student Paper

<1 %

38

Alba Marina Gimenez, Rodolfo F. Marques, Matías Regiart, Daniel Youssef Bargieri. "Diagnostic Methods for Non-Falciparum Malaria", Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 2021

Publication

<1 %

39

Okokon I Ita, Akaninyene A Otu, Kenneth Onyedibe, Anthony A Iwuafor, Edmund Banwat, Daniel Z Egah. "A diagnostic performance evaluation of rapid diagnostic tests and microscopy for malaria diagnosis using nested polymerase chain reaction as reference standard in a tertiary hospital in Jos, Nigeria", Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 2018

Publication

<1 %

40

STANIS, Cheronie Shely, SONG, Beng Kah, CHUA, Tock Hing, LAU, Yee Ling and JELIP, Jenarun. "Evaluation of new multiplex PCR primers for the identification of Plasmodium species found in Sabah, Malaysia", TÜBİTAK, 2016.

Publication

<1 %

41

jean marc pujo, Sarah Lemmonier, Stéphanie Houcke, Alexis Frémery, Denis Blanchet, Felix Djossou, Hatem Kallel, Magalie Demar. "Accuracy of SD Malaria Ag P.f/Pan® As A Rapid Diagnosis Test of Malaria in French Amazonia", Research Square Platform LLC, 2021

Publication

<1 %

42

www.scielo.br

Internet Source

<1 %

43

sinta3.ristekdikti.go.id

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

comparison of malaria microscopy and polymerase chain reaction for identification of plasmodium in papua indonesia

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/100

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5
