BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

Jurnal:

HELIYON

(VOL. 7 (8) e07756, 2021)

Judul Artikel:

"THE IMPACT OF OPENING THE EXPORT PROMOTION AGENCIES ON INDONESIA'S NON-OIL AND GAS EXPORTS"

AUTHOR:

Shochrul Rohmatul Ajija (Corresponding Author)

Arivia Fikratuz Zakia

Rudi Purwono

DAFTAR ISI

HALAMAN JUDUL	i
DAFTAR ISI	ii
BUKTI KORESPONDENSI	1
1. ARTICLE SUBMISSION	1
1.1 Lampiran Manuscript Submission melalui OJS	1
1.2 Lampiran Manuscript Submission Confirmation melalui E-Mail	1
2. REVIEW ARTICLE PROCESS	2
2.1 Lampiran Lampiran Manuscript Report Form	4
2.2 Lampiran E-Mail Manuscript Revision	7
2.3 Lampiran Pengiriman Manuscript Revision	9
3. ACCEPTED JOURNAL PUBLICATION	11
3.1 Lampiran Pemberitahuan Hasil Review	11
4. JOURNAL PUBLISHING AGREEMENT	12
4.1 Lampiran Letter of Acceptance	12
4.2 Lampiran proses produksi artikel	12
5. PEMBERITAHUN PUBLISHED	13
5.1 Lampiran E-mail pemberitahuan telah published	13
6. PUBLICATION FEE	
6.1 Lampiran Invoice	14
6.2 Lampiran Konfirmasi pembayaran	14

1. ARTICLE SUBMISSION


1.1 Lampiran Manuscript Submission melalui Website Heliyon

- Pengiriman artikel melalui OJS dilakukan pada 4 Januari 2021.
- Berikut merupakan lampiran pengiriman artikel melalui website Heliyon pada 4 Januari 2021: https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/default.aspx



1.2 Lampiran Manuscript Submission Confirmation melalui E-Mail


- Setelah pengiriman artikel dilakukan melalui website, editor Heliyon mengirimkan e-mail konfirmasi bahwa artikel telah diterima oleh Heliyon dan akan segera dilakukan proses review.
- Berikut merupakan lampiran e-mail konfirmasi dari Heliyon pada 4 Januari 2021.



2. REVIEW ARTICLE PROCESS

2.1 Lampiran Manuscript Report Form

Pada 24 Februari 2021 diperoleh email notifikasi bahwa manuskrip diterima dengan perbaikan minor:




2.2 Lampiran E-Mail Manuscript Review Tahap 1

Komentar dari reviewer tahap 1

	REVIWER I	
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions
		from Author
1	 Methods: ♣ The title does not reflect the paper when it compared to the developed model and discussion. The model is overidentified. I have two alternative recommendation: 	
	- If the authors stick on the paper content, the title better changed to be: The Influencing factors of ITPC Presence. With model:	
	In = 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + +5 + 6 + - If the authors stick on title, the authors must change their model, their literature, their discussion and their recommendation. With simple repaired model be: In = 0 + 1 + 2 +	
	The perception of the geographical distance variable in the model (formula 2 and table 2) is the distance between national capital. It differs from line 28 page 11, which distance proxied from transportation cost. Which one is true?	
	The geographical distance variable is not significant for developed countries model, t is okay if variable has not significant effect. This paper will be better if the authors can explain why this variable not significant and explain it related with the hypotheses 4.	
2	Results: Please check the results in table 5 again and be consistent with the discussion, especially the ITPC variable.	
3	Interpretation: please clarify the interpretation of geographic distance variable and ITPC variable.	
4	Other comments:	
	 please enrich the literature with the product cycle theory. In this statement, i don't see the in depth relevance with the existing of ITPC with endowment factor that boost the export. Because the market is 	
	changing. Maybe the authors can add the theory of stable allocations and market design by Alvin E. Roth. (Just suggestion).	
	 The keywords can be: ITPC, non-oil and gas exports value, Indonesia, global value, developing country, developed country. 	
	REVIWER 2	
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions from Author


1	Methods:	
	-	
2	Results:	
	-	
3	Interpretation:	
	-	
4	Other comments:	
	-	

Pada 8 April 2021, revisi diunggah melalui website Heliyon dan mendapatkan email notifikasi submission revisi melalui website:



2.3 Lampiran E-Mail Manuscript Review Tahap 2

Pada tanggal 12 Mei 2021 kembali mendapatkan notifikasi email hasil review tahap 2:



Komentar dari reviewer tahap 2


REVIWER I		
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions
		from Author
1.	Methods	
	•	
2.	Results	
	-	
3	Interpretation	
	PP.14 line 38. Please check the word "depreciation". Do the	
	authors gathered and processed the depreciation rupiahs data	
	only or use the nominal exchange rate value of rupiahs/US\$?	

	topic, I have some significant concerns with the article. I detail some major concerns below.	
	interesting. However, despite the authors cover an interesting	
	question (i.e., why its important the role of ITCP) is quite	
1	The article is focused on a topic that is relevant for both academia and policy decision making. Further, the research	
1	The article is focused on a topic that is relevant for both	from Author
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions
REVIWER 2		
	developed countries and global markets.	
	maintain and expand the presence of ITPC to the developing countries and keep maintaining ITPC in	
	recommended that the Indonesian government should	
	38 percent. Based on the increase in export value, it is	
	presence of the ITPC will increase Indonesia's exports by	
	by 50%. And in the developed country model, the	
	non-oil and gas exports by 22%. In the developing country model, it is able to increase Indonesia's exports	
	existence of IPTC can increase the value of Indonesia's	
	next sentence in line: In global value model, the	
	4. PP.16 Line 22. please insert this sentence before the	
	3. PP.13 Line 17: Tabel 4.4 is it typo?	
	potential to generate free riders".	
	increase due the rent-seeking and misuse of information by unauthorized parties" and delete "EPA have the	
	please insert this sentence: "The social cost of EPA can	
	doesn't it? If the authors get the same idea with me: so	
	externalities theory, free-riders probably becomes cost,	
	potential to generate the free riders". What do the authors want to emphasize? in my opinion, in term of	
	2. PP.9 Line 39: The sentece "EPA services have the	
	"preference".	
4	Other comments: 1. PP.9 Line 30; Please replace "taste" with the word "preference".	-

Eliminate acronym (ITCP) from the title


2	Methods:	-
	The definition of GDP per capita is incorrect, the one given in	
	Table 2 refers to GDP only.	
	GDP per capita is GDP divided by population.	
	Why did you use GDP per capita as a measure and not just	
	GDP as a whole?	
	the other variables are not per capita, homogenize if not the	
	regression does not go. In fact seeing the values of tab 3	
	there is discordance.	
	And the panel regression model predicts homogeneous	
	quantities.	
	Why there are only positive variables to the proposed	
	hypothesis? we could evaluate and insert them:	
	1) if there is a negative image of the state as a debtor or	
	other;	
	2) its reputation at the international level;	
	3) barriers to entry/exit for non-oil and gas exsport;	
	4) any tariff barriers (e.g. customs duties) for exports to	
	countries outside the free trade area;	
	5) excise duties for this type of product;	
	6) international trends (fluctuations) in supply and demand for	
	non-oil and gas products.	
	I think the distance variable has a negative impact on	
	transportation costs.	
3	Results:	-
	Review the results in light of previous comments	
	The conclusion section are too modest and lack limitations	
	to such research, e.g., why some variables were used	
	instead of others.	
4	Interpretation:	-
	the variables chosen I think were chosen to support the	
	hypotheses and the research question, but they are all	
	positive variables, so they already have the answers inside.	
	review the GDP variable per capita.	
5	Other comments:	-
	1. I think it would be useful to review the variables, not the	
	method, and a section where the critical issues are	
	highlighted.	
	2. I hope these comments will help the authors to improve	
	their manuscript, good luck!	

Pada tanggal 17 Juni 2021 revisi ke-2 diunggah melalui website Heliyon dan mendapatkan notifikasi email:



2.4 Lampiran E-Mail Manuscript Review Tahap 3


Pada tanggal 22 Juli 2021 kembali mendapatkan notifikasi email hasil review tahap 3 yang menyatakan bahwa pada dasarnya artikel ini diterima namun dengan beberapa perbaikan:



Komentar dari reviewer tahap 3

REVIEWER I		
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions from Author
1.	Methods	
	-	
2.	Results	
	-	
3	Interpretation	
	-	
4	Other comments:	
	REVIEWER 2	
No.	Revisions from Reviewer	Response and Revisions from Author
1.	Methods	
	-	
2.	Results	
	-	
2	Internated or	
3	Interpretation	
4	Other comments:	
	Conclusions are still restricted and research	
	limitations are lacking.	
EDITORIAL OFFICE		
1	Please upload a clean copy of your most up to	
1	date manuscript file without tracked changes	
	and in a word document format.	


Pada tanggal 9 Agustus 2021 revisi ke-3 diunggah melalui website Heliyon dan mendapatkan notifikasi email:



3. ACCEPTED JOURNAL PUBLICATION


3.1 Lampiran Pemberitahuan Hasil Review

Setelah melakukan 3 kali revisi, pada 9 Agustus 2021 diperoleh notifikasi bahwa paper ini diterima dan akan dipublikasikan di Heliyon:




4. JOURNAL PUBLISHING AGREEMENT

4.1 Lampiran Letter of Acceptance




4.2 Lampiran Proses Produksi Artikel

Pada 12 Agustus 2021 mendapat notifikasi bahwa artikel sudah mulai masuk tahap produksi:




Pada tanggal yang sama, 12 Agustus 2021 mendapatkan email notifikasi bahwa manuskrip akhir akan segera dikirim untuk mendapatkan final check:




5. PUBLISHED

Pada 21 Agustus 2021 mendapatkan email notifkasi bahwa artikel sudah terbit



5. PUBLICATION FEE

Invoice biaya publikasi pada 27 Agustus 2021:



Bukti pembayaran pada 7 September 2021:

