# Parameter estimation and fractional derivatives of dengue transmission model

*by* Fatmawati Fatmawati

**Submission date:** 02-Jun-2020 03:53PM (UTC+0800)
**Submission ID:** 1336399053
**File name:** 6..pdf (873.53K)
**Word count:** 6422
**Character count:** 29089

AIMS Mathematics, 5(3): 2758–2779.
DOI:10.3934/math.2020178
Received: 05 November 2019
Accepted: 12 March 2020
Published: 17 March 2020

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

*Research article*

# Parameter estimation and fractional derivatives of dengue transmission model

**Windarto**[1], **Muhammad Altaf Khan**[2] **and Fatmawati**[1,*]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

[2] Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of the Free state, South Africa

* **Correspondence:** Email: fatmawati@fst.unair.ac.id.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we propose a parameter estimation of dengue fever transmission model using a particle swarm optimization method. This method is applied to estimate the parameters of the host-vector and SIR type dengue transmission models by using cumulative data of dengue patient in East Java province, Indonesia. Based on the parameter values, the basic reproduction number of both models are greater than one and obtained their value for SIR is $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1.4159$ and for vector host is $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1.1474$ . We then formulate the models in fractional Atangana-Baleanu derivative that possess the property of nonlocal and nonsingular kernel that has been remained effective to many real-life problems. A numerical procedure for the solution of the model SIR model is shown. Some specific numerical values are considered to obtain the graphical results for both the SIR and Vector Host model. We show that the model vector host provide good results for data fitting than that of the SIR model.

**Keywords:** dengue model; parameter estimation; particle swarm optimization method; Atangana-Baleanu derivative
**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34A08, 92B05

## 1. Introduction

Dengue fever is one of the most contagious diseases in the tropics and subtropics around the world. The disease is caused by the dengue virus that is transmitted to humans through the bite of Aedes Aegypti female mosquito. After incubation of the virus for 4-10 days, the infected mosquito is capable of transmitting the virus for the rest of its life. The incidence of dengue globally has been widespread in recent decades. About half of the world's population is now at risk of becoming infected with this disease [1].

Compared to other diseases and their effects, dengue is a huge burden on human populations, health,

and economic systems in most tropical countries in the world. Every year, 100 out of 1000 cases increase, 20,000 of them die [2]. There is no specific treatment for dengue, but early detection and access to appropriate medical care reduce mortality. Prevention and control of dengue depend on effective vector control [1].

In Indonesia, dengue fever is one of the infectious diseases that until now is still a public health problem. This disease often appears as an extraordinary event because of its rapid and potentially deadly spread. Dengue fever spread throughout Indonesia and attacked all age groups, especially children since it was first discovered in 1968 in Surabaya [3]. In 2013, the number of dengue fever patients in Indonesia reported as many as 112,511 cases with the death of 871 people [4].

The mathematical modeling has become a powerful tool for understanding the dynamics of the spread of an infectious disease including dengue fever. Some researchers have developed a mathematical model of the spread of dengue fever with a host and vector approach [5–7]. A mathematical model cannot be interpreted in real cases if the parameter values of the model are unknown. Pandey et al. in [8] have applied Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to estimate the parameters of simple host-vector and SIR (Susceptible, Infectious, Recovery) model using monthly incidence of dengue fever in Thailand. The comparison result show that the SIR model better than the host-vector model to explain the incidence data from Thailand. Gotz et al. [9] linked daily data of dengue and rainfall patients in Semarang city, Indonesia to estimate IR (Infectious, Recovery) model with optimal control theory approach. Recently, Agusto and Khan [10] have parameterized the dengue model using the classical least-squares method based on the 2017 dengue data in Pakistan.

When a mathematical model was presented in a closed form, then the parameters in the model can be estimated by deterministic optimization methods such as the Newton method or Nelder-Mead method [11]. Unfortunately, the Nelder-Mead or Newton method fails to converge into global minimum of a function if the function has many local minima [12]. In addition, many mathematical models occur in non-linear ODE (ordinary differential equation) systems, so the exact solution (closed form solution) of the model cannot be obtained. In this case, a heuristic method can be used to estimate parameter values from a non-linear model or a non-linear ODE system. Heuristic method such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) method have been previously used to estimate parameters of non-linear ordinary differential equations system model. GA method has been often employed to optimize the parameters of ODE model [13–15]. So far the PSO method is rarely explored in this field [16, 17]. PSO method was developed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [18]. This method is inspired by social behavior of organisms in a group e.g. bird swarm, fish swarm and ant colony.

In the present era the fractional order models associated with epidemic models gaining much attention day by day. The development in fractional calculus in terms of fractional derivative and the relevant definitions are proposed, Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu derivative. These derivative have successfully applied to the models of real-life problems and obtained good results [19–25]. The Atangana-Baleanu is the recent development in the fractional calculus and getting attention day by day due to its memory effect [26]. This derivative is based on nonlocal and non-singular kernel and can handle the problems associated to real life situations. The crossover behavior and the non-local dynamics of the realistic models cannot be utilized efficiently with the integer derivative and to such problems the role of fractional calculus is appreciated. More recently,

Qureshi and Atangana [27] have compared the integer and fractional derivative dengue models related to dengue fever outbreak in Cape Verde islands around 2009.

In this paper, we propose the parameter estimation techniques with PSO method. This method is applied to estimate the parameters of the host-vector and SIR type dengue fever transmission model based on cumulative data of dengue fever patient in East Java province, Indonesia. Furthermore, we formulate the dengue transmission model of the host-vector and SIR type in Atangana-Baleanu derivative. The numerical procedure for Atangana-Baleanu derivative is then implemented for different values of the fractional order of the model.

## 2. Host-vector model for dengue transmission

In this section, we describe a simple host-vector model for dengue transmission. Here we follow the host-vector model from [8, 19] to describe the dynamics of dengue disease transmission. We also apply the model to explain dengue transmission in East Java province, Indonesia. We included the disease-induced mortality in human population (host). The host-vector model is divided into three human host populations, susceptible ($S$), infectious ($I$) and recovered ($R$), and two mosquitoes (vector) populations, susceptible ($V_S$) and infectious ($V_I$). The system of differential equations describing the host-vector model is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - \beta_h \frac{V_I}{V} S - \mu S, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta_h \frac{V_I}{V} S - (\gamma + \mu + d) I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R, \\
\frac{dV_S}{dt} &= \Lambda_v - \beta_v \frac{I}{N} V_S - \mu_v V_S, \\
\frac{dV_I}{dt} &= \beta_v \frac{I}{N} V_S - \mu_v V_I,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $N = S + I + R$ and $V = V_S + V_I$ denote the total population size of host and vector, respectively. Parameter description of model (2.1) could be seen in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Parameters of model (2.1).

| Description | Parameter |
|---|---|
| Recruitment rate of host | $\Lambda$ |
| Recruitment rate of vector | $\Lambda_v$ |
| Natural death rate of host | $\mu$ |
| Natural death rate of vector | $\mu_v$ |
| The vector–to–host transmission probability | $\beta_h$ |
| The host–to–vector transmission probability | $\beta_v$ |
| Recovery rate | $\gamma$ |
| Disease induced death rate for host | $d$ |

The change rate of the total human populations is given by

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \Lambda - \mu N - dI,$$

and the change rate of the total vector populations is as follow

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \Lambda_v - \mu_v V.$$

It is noted that in the absence of the disease-induced death ($d = 0$), the total human population, $N \to \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}$ as $t \to \infty$. The biologically feasible region of the model (2.1) consisting of

$$\Omega_h \times \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2_+,$$

with

$$\Omega_h = \left\{(S, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : 0 \le N \le \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right\},$$

and

$$\Omega_v = \left\{(V_S, V_I) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : 0 \le V \le \frac{\Lambda_v}{\mu_v}\right\}.$$

As the standard analysis of the model, we begin by calculating the basic reproduction number of the model. The basic reproduction number is the expected number of secondary case per primary case in a virgin population [28, 29]. The model (2.1) has two equilibriums, namely the disease-free equilibrium and the endemic equilibrium. The disease-free equilibrium of model (2.1) is given by $E^0 = (S^0, I^0, R^0, V_S^0, V_I^0) = (\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, 0, 0, \frac{\Lambda_v}{\mu_v}, 0)$. Using the next generation method [30], the basic reproduction number of the model (2.1) is

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_h \beta_v}{\mu_v(\gamma + \mu + d)}}. \tag{2.2}$$

The disease-free equilibrium of model (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, however the endemic equilibrium locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

In order to parameterize dengue model (2.1), we assumed that the total human population ($N$) is a constant due to we only fit the data to the model about one year. We also assumed that the total vector populations is the constant. Thus, we choose $\Lambda = \mu N + dI$ and $\Lambda_v = \mu_v V$. Hence, the mathematical model (2.1) can be written follow

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &= 0, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta_h \frac{V_I}{V}(N - I - R) - (\gamma + \mu + d)I, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} &= 0,\\ \frac{dV_I}{dt} &= \beta_v \frac{I}{N}(V - V_I) - \mu_v V_I.\end{aligned}$$

There are six unknown parameters in host-vector model (2.3) i.e. $\beta_h, \mu, \gamma, d, \beta_v$ and $\mu_v$. The six parameters of the model (2.3) were estimated using dengue fever data obtained from East Java province using particle swarm optimization method.

## 3. SIR model for dengue transmission

Most of the mathematical models for dengue fever transmission have been developed with the host-vector model approach. However, vector population (mosquito) data in the field is usually difficult to obtain because of the infection dynamics in the vectors are faster than in the hosts (humans). In terms of estimating the model parameters, it is more appropriate to model the spread of dengue using the SIR-type. The dengue transmission model using SIR-type is represented by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - \beta \frac{I}{N} S - \mu S,\\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta \frac{I}{N} S - (\gamma + \mu + d) I,\\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R,\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $S, I$ and $R$ denote the susceptible, infected and recovered human populations respectively. The parameters $\Lambda$ denote the birth rate, $\beta$ denote the rate for dengue transmission and $\mu$ denote natural death rate. By $\gamma$ we denote the recovery rate and $d$ denote dengue death rate. All parameters of the model (3.1) are assumed to be constant and nonnegative. If we assume $N$ denotes the total human population, then we have $N = S + I + R$. The change rate of the total populations is given by

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \Lambda - \mu N - dI. \tag{3.2}$$

The model (3.1) has the biologically feasible region on $\Omega$ with

$$\Omega = \left\{ (S, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : 0 \le N \le \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right\}.$$

The model (3.1) has a disease free equilibrium $E_0 = (S_0, I_0, R_0) = (\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, 0, 0)$. Using the next generation method as presented in [30], the basic reproduction number of the model (3.1) is

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma + \mu + d}. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, the endemic equilibrium of the model (3.1) is given by $E^* = \left( \frac{(\gamma+\mu+d)\Lambda}{\mu\beta}, \frac{\Lambda}{\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1), \frac{\gamma\Lambda}{\mu\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) \right)$. The disease-free equilibrium of model (3.1) is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$. Meanwhile, the endemic equilibrium is exists and locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

Next, for the simplicity we assumed the total population ($N$) was constant by using $\Lambda = \mu N + dI$. Thus, the mathematical model (3.1) can be expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &= 0 \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta \frac{I}{N}(N - I - R) - (\gamma + \mu + d)I, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In the next section, we estimate all parameters in the model (3.4). The model has four parameters i.e. $\beta, \mu, \gamma$, and $d$. We apply the genetic algorithm to estimate all parameters in the model.

## 4. Parameter estimation using particle swarm optimization method

In this method, solutions are represented by particles. A particle is represented by the position of the particle ($x_i$) and the particle velocity ($v_i$). This method begins with random selection of particle position and particle velocity.

Motion of a particle is influenced by stochastic and deterministic components. Every particle is influenced by global-best position ($g_{best}$) and particle-best position in a particle group ($p_{best}$). In general, the parameter estimation step by using the particle swarm optimization method is as follows:

(a) Evaluate cost function value of every particle. Here we choose mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as a cost function which is given by

$$x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\left|y_{ij} - y_{ij}^{*}\right|}{y_{ij}}\right)}{3}}{n}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $n$ is the number of data, $y_{ij}$ is the real data component, and $y_{ij}^{*}$ is the component of the solution of the differential equation using the Runge-Kutta method.

(b) Update the particle best ($p_{best}$) and the global best ($g_{best}$). Let the particle swarm optimization method contains $m$ particles. At every iteration, the particle-best position ($p_{(best,i)}(t)$) is replaced by position of current particle $x_i(t+1)$ when the MAPE of $x_i(t+1)$ is lower than the MAPE of $p_{(best,i)}(t)$. Moreover, and the global best position ($g_{best}$) is substituted by position of the current particle $x_i(t+1)$ when the MAPE of $x_i(t+1)$ is lower than the MAPE of $g_{best}(t)$.

(c ) Calculate new velocity of every particle by using

$$v_i(t+1) = wv_i(t) + c_1 r_1(p_{best}(t) - x_i(t)) + c_2 r_2(g_{best}(t) - x_i(t)); \tag{4.2}$$

Here $r_1$ and $r_2$ are random numbers between zero and one with uniform distribution.

(d) Calculate new position of every particle by using

$$x_i(t+1) = x_i(t) + v_i(t+1) \tag{4.3}$$

The steps are reiterated some termination condition is met. Here we choose $c_1 = c_2 = 2$ and $w = 1$.

## 5. Parameter estimation of host-vector and SIR model

East Java Province is located in the eastern part of the island of Java, Indonesia. The population is about $N = 41.5$ millions [31]. East Java has the widest area among 6 provinces in Java Island and has the second largest population in Indonesia after West Java. The data used for parameter estimation on the SIR-type model is cumulative data of dengue fever case per month from March 2012 - February 2013 presented in Figure 1 [3]. The data represent data of dengue epidemic condition in East Java. Cumulative data are generally smoother than actual event data and it is also easier to handle data delays due to holidays and weekends [8].




**Figure 1.** Cumulative dengue fever incidence in East-Java Indonesia from March 2012 to February 2013.

In this section, we estimate parameters of host-vector model (2.3) and SIR model (3.4) by using PSO method. We estimated parameters in the host-vector and SIR such that the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is minimum. In the PSO implementation, the number of particles is set to 40 particles. We applied the methods for 20 trials where for every trial the methods were terminated after 250 iterations. The best results of the host-vector model and SIR model are presented in the Table 2 and Table 3 respectively.

**Table 2.** The best estimation results of the host-vector model.

| $\beta_h$ | $\beta_v$ | $\mu$ | $\gamma$ | $\mu_v$ | $d$ | MAPE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.361353 | 1.255105 | 0.001185 | 0.224115 | 1.415122 | 0.691767 | 0.077705 |

**Table 3.** The best estimation results of the SIR model.

| $\beta$ | $\mu$ | $\gamma$ | $d$ | MAPE |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.888349 | 0.001185 | 0.262486 | 0.363749 | 0.116089 |

From the Tables 2 and 3, minimum of MAPE of the host-vector and SIR model are around 7.77 % and 11.61 % respectively. By using parameter values from the tables, we find the basic reproduction number for the host-vector model and the SIR model are

$$R_{0,Host-Vector} = 1.147434, R_{0,SIR} = 1.415876. \tag{5.1}$$

respectively. The basic reproduction number values from both models indicates dengue endemic condition in East Java province, Indonesia. This prediction result is consistent with the real situation, where dengue infection is not removed yet from the East Java province.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate both models matching images with real data. From Figure 2 it can be seen that the number of infected humans of the SIR model between estimation results with real data is very close at the end of the observation although there is a small deviation at the beginning. However, the estimated result of the host-vector model for the infected human is very closed for the first 10 months and then there is a small deviation at the end of the observation. Similarly, in Figure 3 indicates that the number of recovered human of both models between estimation results and real data is also quite close until the end of the observation. Hence, we will explore the two dengue models with a fractional model approach in order to see the comparison with real data.




**Figure 2.** The estimates of human infections to the data.




**Figure 3.** The estimates of human recovery to the data.

## 6. A fractional dengue model

The present section presents the dengue SIR model given in (3.1), will be formulated in Atangana-Baleanu derivative. Therefore, the fractional Atangana-Baleanu representation for the SIR dengue model (3.1) take the shape below:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}S = \Lambda - \beta\frac{I}{N}S - \mu S, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}I = \beta\frac{I}{N}S - (\gamma+\mu+d)I, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}R = \gamma I - \mu R, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where, $S$, $I$ and $R$ and their parameters defined in above sections. Further, the initial conditions associated with the model (6.1) are non-negative. Before working on the model (6.1), first, we present the basic definitions related to the fractional Atangana-Baleanu derivative and then, we give the numerical procedure to obtain the graphical results of the model with various fractional values of the fractional order parameter $\alpha$. The Vector host model in the form of Atangana-Baleanu derivative can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}S = \Lambda - \beta_h\frac{V_I}{V}S - \mu S, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}I = \beta_h\frac{V_I}{V}S - (\gamma+\mu+d)I, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}R = \gamma I - \mu R, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}V_S = \Lambda_v - \beta_v\frac{I}{N}V_S - \mu_v V_S, \\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}V_I = \beta_v\frac{I}{N}V_S - \mu_v V_I. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

In the numerical section, we give a numerical procedure for the solution of the SIR model in Atangana-Balenau derivative (6.1) and for the vector host model in fractional derivative (6.2) the readers can easily implement a numerical scheme by following the procedure given for (6.1).

### 6.1. Basic concepts of A-B derivative

First, we give some definitions on A-B fractional derivative which will be used later in our study [26].

**Definition 6.1.** Suppose $h \in F^1(a,b)$, $b > a$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ then in Caputo sense the newly fractional derivative can be written as follows:

$${}^{AB}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}(h(t)) = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\int_a^t h'(\chi)E_{\alpha}\left[-\alpha\frac{(t-\chi)^{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right]d\chi,$$

where $B(\alpha) = 1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is a normalized function with $B(0) = B(1) = 1$ and $E_\alpha$ is Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(z)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}, \alpha > 0.$$

**Definition 6.2.** Consider $h \in F^1(a,b)$, $b > a$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$, (not necessary differentiable) then, in Riemann-Liouville (ABR) sense, one can express the newly derivative knows as Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative as is follows:

$${}^{ABR}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}(h(t)) = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}\int_a^t h(\chi)E_{\alpha}\left[-\alpha\frac{(t-\chi)^{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right]d\chi.$$

**Definition 6.3.** For the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative one can express the fractional integral wit non local kernel as follows:

$$ {}^{AB}_{a}I^{\alpha}_{t}(h(t)) = \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)}h(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{a}^{t} h(y)(t-y)^{\alpha-1}dy. $$

We obtain the initial function and the classical integral respectively, when the fractional order is zero and 1.

**Theorem 6.4.** *On $[a,b]$, the following inequality holds for $f$ when the function $h$ is continuous on $[a,b]$.*

$$ \|{}^{ABR}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}(h(t))\| < \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\|h(x)\|, \text{ where } \|h(x)\| = max_{a\le x\le b}|h(x)|. \tag{6.3} $$

**Theorem 6.5.** *Atangana-Baleanu and Atanagan-Baleanu-R derivatives both fulfill the condition Lipschitz given in the following:*

$$ \|{}^{AB}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}h_1(t) - {}^{ABC}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}h_2(t)\| < K\|h_1(t)-h_2(t)\|, \tag{6.4} $$

*also for ABR derivative we have*

$$ \|{}^{ABR}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}h_1(t) - {}^{ABR}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}h_2(t)\| < K\|h_1(t)-h_2(t)\|. \tag{6.5} $$

**Theorem 6.6.** *For the FDE*

$$ {}^{AB}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}h(t) = s(t), \tag{6.6} $$

*one can obtain a unique solution by using the inverse Laplace transform and the convolution result [26]:*

$$ h(t) = \frac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}s(t) + \frac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{a}^{t} s(\xi)(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}d\xi. \tag{6.7} $$

## 7. Numerical procedure

The aim of the present section is to obtain the numerical results of the model (6.1) using the method in [32] which is applied efficiently to various kind of real-life problems such as [21–23]. Now using this technique we apply to our model (6.1) as follows:

For the simplification purpose, we express the model (6.1) in the following form:

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}S &= \mathcal{K}_1(t,S,I,R),\\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}I &= \mathcal{K}_2(t,S,I,R),\\ {}^{AB}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}R &= \mathcal{K}_3(t,S,I,R). \end{aligned} \tag{7.1} $$

System (6.1) can be converted in the following form using the fundamental theorem of integration.

$$ \begin{aligned} S(t)-S(0) &= \tfrac{(1-\alpha)}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_1(t,S) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_1(\xi,S)(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}d\xi,\\ I(t)-I(0) &= \tfrac{(1-\alpha)}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_2(t,I) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_2(\xi,I)(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}d\xi,\\ R(t)-R(0) &= \tfrac{(1-\alpha)}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_3(t,R) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_3(\xi,R)(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2} $$

For $t = t_{n+1}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, we obtain from Eq (7.2)

$$\begin{aligned} S(t_{n+1}) - S(t_0) &= \tfrac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_1(t_n, S) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}\mathcal{K}_1(\tau, S)(t_{n+1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1}d\tau, \\ I(t_{n+1}) - I(t_0) &= \tfrac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_2(t_n, I) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}\mathcal{K}_2(\tau, I)(t_{n+1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1}d\tau, \\ R(t_{n+1}) - R(t_0) &= \tfrac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_3(t_n, R) + \tfrac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}\mathcal{K}_3(\tau, R)(t_{n+1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1}d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Approximating the integral in Eq (7.3), using two-point interpolation polynomial and then simplifying, we finally get the following iterative solution for the model given (6.1). In a similar way for the rest of equations of the system (6.1), we obtained the recursive formula as below

$$\begin{aligned} S(t_{n+1}) &= S(t_0) + \frac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_1(t_n, S) + \frac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\times \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Big(\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_1(t_k, S)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+\alpha) - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+2\alpha)) \\ &-\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_1(t_{k-1}, S)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^{\alpha+1} - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+1+\alpha))\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_{n+1}) &= I(t_0) + \frac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_2(t_n, I) + \frac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\times \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Big(\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_2(t_k, I)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+\alpha) - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+2\alpha)) \\ &-\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_2(t_{k-1}, I)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^{\alpha+1} - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+1+\alpha))\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(t_{n+1}) &= R(t_0) + \frac{1-\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\mathcal{K}_3(t_n, R) + \frac{\alpha}{AB(\alpha)}\times \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Big(\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_3(t_k, R)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+\alpha) - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+2+2\alpha)) \\ &-\frac{h^\alpha\mathcal{K}_3(t_{k-1}, R)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}((n+1-k)^{\alpha+1} - (n-k)^\alpha(n-k+1+\alpha))\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

After the successful implementation of the numerical scheme on the fractional model (6.1) as explained above, we find the graphical results of the model (6.1), by considering and assigning values to the fractional parameter $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and to the model relevant parameters.

The parameters used in numerical simulations are estimated from the real data given in Table 2 for the host-vector model and in Table 3 for the SIR model. The value of recruitment rate of host and vector are $\Lambda = 41,539.567095$ and $\Lambda_v = 787,736.152032$ respectively. The initial values of the host-vector model (2.1) and SIR model (3.1) are given by

$(S(0), I(0), R(0), V_S(0), V_I(0)) = (35054487; 706; 700; 556656; 33)$ and $(S(0), I(0), R(0)) = (35054487; 706; 700)$ respectively. We obtained Figure 4 with subgraphs (a) and (b) by using the real data and its comparison with the fractional order parameter $\alpha$. We make a little change in the parameter value of $\beta_h = 1.361353$ by $\beta_h = 1.461353$ and then checked for different value of $\alpha$ and observed that fractional order provide good fitting to the real data. The graphical results for fractional SIR model (6.1) and vector host model (6.2) are shown respectively in Figures 5–8 and 9–12.




(a) (b)

**Figure 4.** Numerical results for SIR fractional model (6.1) when $\alpha = 1, 0.99, 0.98$ versus data fitting Figure (4(a)) and Numerical results for Vector host fractional model (6.1) when $\alpha = 1, 0.95, 0.90, 0.85$ versus data fitting Figure (4(b)) .

## 8. Conclusion

In this study, the particle swarm optimization is implemented to estimate the parameters of the dengue transmission model using host-vector and SIR-type. The parameters of both models are estimated using the cumulative data of dengue fever patient in East Java province, Indonesia. The mean absolute percentage error of the host-vector and SIR model are around 7.77 % and 11.61 % respectively. From the parameter values, the basic reproduction number of both models are greater than one. This prediction result is consistent with the real situation, where dengue infection has not removed yet from the East Java province. Further, we formulated the host-vector and SIR models in Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative due to the reason of non-singular and non-local kernel which effectively handled the problems of real life phenomenon which is not handled by the ordinary order derivative. Therefore, to use the advantage of the Atanagana-Baleanu fractional order derivative, the results are obtained and discussed with the newly proposed procedure which is applied effectively to many real life problems. The graphical results obtained for both the fractional dengue model (6.1) and (6.2) and considered the value of $\alpha = 1, 0.95, 0.9, 0.85$. The graphical results show that at each instant of time level as well as at arbitrary derivative, one can obtain resealable information which is not possible in the case of integer order derivative. We proven that the vector host model provide better result for the dengue data rather than SIR model.




**Figure 5.** Numerical result for SIR model when $\alpha = 1$.




**Figure 6.** Numerical result for SIR model when $\alpha = 0.95$.




**Figure 7.** Numerical result for SIR model when $\alpha = 0.9$.




**Figure 8.** Numerical result for SIR model when $\alpha = 0.85$.




**Figure 9.** Numerical result for Vector host model (6.2) when $\alpha = 1$.




**Figure 10.** Numerical result for Vector host model (6.2) when $\alpha = 0.95$.




**Figure 11.** Numerical result for Vector host model (6.2) when $\alpha = 0.9$.




**Figure 12.** Numerical result for Vector host model (6.2) when $\alpha = 0.85$.

## Acknowledgments

Part of this research is funded by Unversitas Airlangga through Hibah Riset Mandat (No. 342/UN3.14/LT/2019).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

1. World Health Organization, *Fact sheet on the Dengue and severe dengue*, WHO, 2017. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs117/en/.
2. World Health Organization, *Global strategy for dengue prevention and control 2012-2020*, WHO, 2012. Available from: http://www.who.int/denguecontrol/9789241504034/en/.
3. Health Office (Dinas Kesehatan) of the East Java, Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Jawa Timur, Surabaya, Indonesia, 2009.
4. Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia, Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Jakarta, 2014.
5. D. Aldila, T. Gotz, E. Soewono, *An optimal control problem arising from a dengue disease transmission model*, Math. Biosci., **242** (2013), 9–16.
6. H. Tasman, A. K. Supriatna, N. Nuraini, et al. *A dengue vaccination model for immigrants in a two-age-class population*, Int. J. Math., **2012** (2012), 1–15.
7. J. P. Chavez, T. Gotz, S. Siegmund, et al. *An SIR-Dengue transmission model with seasonal effects and impulsive control*, Math. Biosci., **289** (2017), 29–39 .
8. A. Pandey, A. Mubayi, J. Medlock, *Comparing vector-host and SIR models for dengue transmission*, Math. Biosci., **246** (2013), 252–259 .
9. T. Gotz, N. Altmeier, W. Bock, et al. *Modeling dengue data from Semarang, Indonesia*, Ecol. Complex., **30** (2017), 57–62.
10. F. B. Agusto, M. A. Khan, *Optimal control strategies for dengue transmission in pakistan*, Math. Biosci., **305** (2018), 102–121 .
11. N. Tutkun, *Parameter estimation in mathematical models using the real coded genetic algorithms*, Expert Syst. Appl., **36** (2009), 3342–3345.
12. R. L. Haupt, S. E. Haupt, *Practical Genetic Algorithms*, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
13. W. B. Roush, S. L. Branton, *A Comparison of fitting growth models with a genetic algorithm and nonlinear regression*, Poultry Sci., **84** (2005), 494–502.
14. W. S. W. Indratno, N. Nuraini, E. Soewono, *A comparison of binary and continuous genetic algorithm in parameter estimation of a logistic growth model*, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, **1587** (2014), 139–142.
15. Windarto, *An Implementation of continuous genetic algorithm in parameter estimation of predator-prey model*, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2016.

16. D. Akman, O. Akman, E. Schaefer, *Parameter Estimation in Ordinary Differential Equations Modeling via Particle Swarm Optimization*, J. Appl. Math., **2018** (2018), 1–9.
17. Windarto, Eridani, U. D. Purwati, *A comparison of continuous genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization in parameter estimation of Gompertz growth model*, AIP Conference Proceedings, **2084** (2019), 020017.
18. R. Eberhart, J. Kennedy, *A new optimizer using particle swarm theory*, Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science, 1995.
19. K. Diethelm, *A fractional calculus based model for the simulation of an outbreak of dengue fever*, Nonlinear Dyn., **71** (2013), 613–619.
20. T. Sardar, S. Rana, J. Chattopadhyay, *A mathematical model of dengue transmission with memory*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci., **22** (2015), 511–525.
21. M. A. Khan, S. Ullah, M. Farooq, *A new fractional model for tuberculosis with relapse via Atangana-Baleanu derivative*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **116** (2018), 227–238.
22. S. Ullah, M. A. Khan, M. Farooq, *Modeling and analysis of the fractional HBV model with Atangana-Baleanu derivative*, The European Physical Journal Plus, **133** (2018), 313.
23. E. O. Alzahrani, M. A. Khan, *Modeling the dynamics of Hepatitis E with optimal control*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **116** (2018), 287–301.
24. Fatmawati, E. M. Shaiful, M. I. Utoyo, *A fractional order model for HIV dynamics in a two-sex population*, Int. J. Math., **2018** (2018), 1–11.
25. Fatmawati, M. A. Khan, M. Azizah, et al. *A fractional model for the dynamics of competition between commercial and rural banks in Indonesia*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **122** (2019), 32–46.
26. A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, *New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model*, Therm. Sci., **20** (2016), 763–769.
27. S. Qureshi, A. Atangana, *Mathematical analysis of dengue fever outbreak by novel fractional operators with field data*, Physica A, **526** (2019), 121127.
28. O. Diekmann, J. A. P. Heesterbeek, J. A. J. Metz, *On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio $R_0$ in models for infectious diseases in heterogenous populations*, J. Math. Biol., **28** (1990), 362–382.
29. O. Diekmann, J. A. P. Heesterbeek, *Mathematical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, Model Building, Analysis and Interpretation*, John Wiley & Son, 2000.
30. P. van den Driessche, J. Watmough, *Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmition*, Math. Biosci., **180** (2002), 29–48.
31. Wikipedia contributors: East Java, Wikipedia. Available from : `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/East-Java`.
32. M. Toufik, A. Atangana, *New numerical approximation of fractional derivative with non-local and non-singular kernel: application to chaotic models*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, **132** (2017), 444.

AIMS Press

# Parameter estimation and fractional derivatives of dengue transmission model

ORIGINALITY REPORT

| 20% | 13% | 18% | 0% |
|---|---|---|---|
| SIMILARITY INDEX | INTERNET SOURCES | PUBLICATIONS | STUDENT PAPERS |

PRIMARY SOURCES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Sonal Jain, Abdon Atangana. "Analysis of Lassa hemorrhagic fever model with non-local and non-singular fractional derivatives", International Journal of Biomathematics, 2019<br>Publication | 2% |
| 2 | Ebraheem O. Alzahrani, M. A. Khan. "Comparison of numerical techniques for the solution of a fractional epidemic model", The European Physical Journal Plus, 2020<br>Publication | 1% |
| 3 | Saif Ullah, Muhammad Altaf Khan. "Chapter 4 Modeling and Analysis of Fractional Leptospirosis Model Using Atangana–Baleanu Derivative", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019<br>Publication | 1% |
| 4 | amudu-gowripalan.blogspot.com<br>Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | lowprofileworld.blogspot.com<br>Internet Source | 1% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 6 | e-pharmatimes.blogspot.com<br>Internet Source | 1 % |
| 7 | worldwidescience.org<br>Internet Source | 1 % |
| 8 | www.hindawi.com<br>Internet Source | 1 % |
| 9 | Fred Brauer, Carlos Castillo-Chavez, Zhilan Feng. "Mathematical Models in Epidemiology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 10 | scitepress.org<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 11 | epdf.tips<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 12 | www.cs.uoi.gr<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 13 | www.tandfonline.com<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 14 | scik.org<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 15 | DANIEL OKUONGHAE, VINCENT AIHIE. "CASE DETECTION AND DIRECT OBSERVATION THERAPY STRATEGY (DOTS) IN NIGERIA: ITS | <1 % |

EFFECT ON TB DYNAMICS", Journal of Biological Systems, 2011
Publication

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 16 | Li, Y.. "The effect of constant and pulse vaccination on SIS epidemic models incorporating media coverage", Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 200905<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 17 | Rashid Jan, Muhammad Altaf Khan, Poom Kumam, Phatiphat Thounthong. "Modeling the transmission of dengue infection through fractional derivatives", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 18 | Sania Qureshi, Abdon Atangana. "Mathematical analysis of dengue fever outbreak by novel fractional operators with field data", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 19 | aip.scitation.org<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 20 | Muhammad Altaf Khan, Abdon Atangana. "Modeling the dynamics of novel coronavirus (2019-nCov) with fractional derivative", Alexandria Engineering Journal, 2020<br>Publication | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 21 | Nur 'Izzati Hamdan, Adem Kilicman. "A fractional order SIR epidemic model for dengue transmission", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 22 | Qian Huang, Lequan Min, Xiao Chen. "Susceptible-infected-recovered models with natural birth and death on complex networks", Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2015<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 23 | Julius Tumwiine, Joseph Y.T. Mugisha, Livingstone S. Luboobi. "THRESHOLD AND STABILITY RESULTS FOR A MALARIA MODEL IN A POPULATION WITH PROTECTIVE INTERVENTION AMONG HIGH - RISK GROUPS", Mathematical Modelling and Analysis, 2008<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 24 | Miranda I. Teboh-Ewungkem. "Mathematical Study of the Role of Gametocytes and an Imperfect Vaccine on Malaria Transmission Dynamics", Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 07/01/2009<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 25 | www.science.gov<br>Internet Source | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 26 | Devin Akman, Olcay Akman, Elsa Schaefer. "Parameter Estimation in Ordinary Differential Equations Modeling via Particle Swarm Optimization", Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 27 | Ganga Ram Phaijoo, Dil Bahadur Gurung. "Modeling Impact of Temperature and Human Movement on the Persistence of Dengue Disease", Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine, 2017<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 28 | Antonio Coronel-Escamilla, José Francisco Gómez-Aguilar, Dumitru Baleanu, Ricardo Fabricio Escobar-Jiménez et al. "Formulation of Euler-Lagrange and Hamilton equations involving fractional operators with regular kernel", Advances in Difference Equations, 2016<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 29 | Bugajewski, D.. "Almost periodicity in Frechet spaces", Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 20041115<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 30 | Jing-Jing Xiang, Juan Wang, Li-Ming Cai. "Global stability of the dengue disease transmission models", Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - B, 2015 | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Publication | |
| 31 | tcc.ecomp.poli.br<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 32 | www.m-hikari.com<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 33 | www.thieme-connect.de<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 34 | J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, Kashif Ali Abro, Olusola Kolebaje, Ahmet Yildirim. "Chaos in a calcium oscillation model via Atangana-Baleanu operator with strong memory", The European Physical Journal Plus, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 35 | M. Schumann. "Optimizing a Stochastic Warehouse using Particle Swarm Optimization", Second International Conference on Innovative Computing Informatio and Control (ICICIC 2007), 09/2007<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 36 | "Mathematical Modelling in Health, Social and Applied Sciences", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 37 | health-policy-systems.biomedcentral.com<br>Internet Source | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 38 | Anggriani, N, A K Supriatna, and E Soewono. "A Critical Protection Level Derived from Dengue Infection Mathematical Model Considering Asymptomatic and Symptomatic Classes", Journal of Physics Conference Series, 2013.<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 39 | Dumitru Baleanu, Arran Fernandez. "On some new properties of fractional derivatives with Mittag-Leffler kernel", Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 40 | J.B.H. Njagarah, C.B. Tabi. "Spatial synchrony in fractional order metapopulation cholera transmission", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 41 | Rubayyi T. Alqahtani. "Atangana-Baleanu derivative with fractional order applied to the model of groundwater within an unconfined aquifer", Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 2016<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 42 | Yazhi Li, Xianning Liu. "A sex-structured model with birth pulse and release strategy for the spread of Wolbachia in mosquito population", Journal of Theoretical Biology, 2018 | <1 % |

Publication

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 43 | www.doria.fi<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 44 | Elamin H. Elbasha, Abba B. Gumel. "Theoretical Assessment of Public Health Impact of Imperfect Prophylactic HIV-1 Vaccines with Therapeutic Benefits", Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 2006<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 45 | Sulanie Perera, S. S. N. Perera. "Mathematical modeling and analysis of innate and humoral immune responses to dengue infections", International Journal of Biomathematics, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 46 | hdl.handle.net<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 47 | van den Driessche, P.. "Modeling relapse in infectious diseases", Mathematical Biosciences, 200705<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 48 | A. Al-khedhairi, A.A. Elsadany, A. Elsonbaty. "Modelling immune systems based on Atangana–Baleanu fractional derivative", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 49 | M.M. El-Dessoky Ahmed, Muhammad Altaf Khan. "Modeling and analysis of the polluted | <1 % |

lakes system with various fractional approaches", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2020
Publication

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 50 | Saif Ullah, Muhammad Altaf Khan, Muhammad Farooq. "A fractional model for the dynamics of TB virus", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 51 | Xia Wang, Yuming Chen, Shengqiang Liu. "Dynamics of an age - structured host - vector model for malaria transmission", Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2018<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 52 | Zhongfei Li, Zhuang Liu, Muhammad Altaf Khan. "Fractional investigation of bank data with fractal-fractional Caputo derivative", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2020<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 53 | ethesis.nitrkl.ac.in<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 54 | resources.aims.ac.za<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 55 | Annelies Wilder-Smith, Murray, Mikkel Quam. "Epidemiology of dengue: past, present and future prospects", Clinical Epidemiology, 2013<br>Publication | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 56 | C.J. Zúñiga-Aguilar, J.F. Gómez-Aguilar, R.F. Escobar-Jiménez, H.M. Romero-Ugalde. "A novel method to solve variable-order fractional delay differential equations based in lagrange interpolations", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 57 | Fred Brauer, Carlos Castillo-Chavez. "Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2012<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 58 | Hesam Izakian, Ajith Abraham, Vaclav Snasel. "Fuzzy clustering using hybrid fuzzy c-means and fuzzy particle swarm optimization", 2009 World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBIC), 2009<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 59 | Jingjing Zheng, Yan Li, Baodong Liu, YangQuan Chen. "The Lp stability analysis of the basic functions for fractional order systems", 2012 24th Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), 2012<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 60 | Jiuping Xu, Zongmin Li, Zhimiao Tao. "Random-Like Bi-level Decision Making", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016 | <1 % |

Publication

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 61 | Joseph Páez Chávez, Thomas Götz, Stefan Siegmund, Karunia Putra Wijaya. "An SIR-Dengue transmission model with seasonal effects and impulsive control", Mathematical Biosciences, 2017<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 62 | Majhi, B.. "Development of efficient identification scheme for nonlinear dynamic systems using swarm intelligence techniques", Expert Systems With Applications, 201001<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 63 | Sania Qureshi, Abdullahi Yusuf, Asif Ali Shaikh, Mustafa Inc. "Transmission dynamics of varicella zoster virus modeled by classical and novel fractional operators using real statistical data", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 64 | Satya Ganesh Kakarla, Rajasekhar Mopuri, Srinivasa Rao Mutheneni, Kantha Rao Bhimala et al. "Temperature dependent transmission potential model for chikungunya in India", Science of The Total Environment, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 65 | advancesindifferenceequations.springeropen.com<br>Internet Source | <1 % |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 66 | apps.searo.who.int<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 67 | docplayer.net<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 68 | math.lanl.gov<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 69 | res.mdpi.com<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 70 | Abdon Atangana, Sania Qureshi. "Modeling attractors of chaotic dynamical systems with fractal–fractional operators", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2019<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 71 | G Phaijoo, D Gurung. "Mathematical Model of Dengue Disease Transmission Dynamics with Control Measures", Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science, 2017<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 72 | Ibrahim M. ELmojtaba, J.Y.T. Mugisha, Mohsin H.A. Hashim. "Vaccination model for visceral leishmaniasis with infective immigrants", Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2013<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 73 | Jianchao Zeng, Jing Jie, Jianxiu Hu. "Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization Guided by | <1 % |

Acceleration Information", 2006 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security, 2006
Publication

74 Yong Li, Xianning Liu, Lianwen Wang, Xingan Zhang. "Hopf bifurcation of a delay SIRS epidemic model with novel nonlinear incidence: Application to scarlet fever", International Journal of Biomathematics, 2018 <1%
Publication

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Exclude quotes | Off | Exclude matches | Off |
| Exclude bibliography | On | | |

# Parameter estimation and fractional derivatives of dengue transmission model

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

**Instructor**

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15

PAGE 16

PAGE 17

PAGE 18

PAGE 19

PAGE 20

PAGE 21

PAGE 22