

Resti Yudhawati <restiyudhawati@gmail.com>

Fwd: Your Submission

Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com> To: restiyudhawati@gmail.com Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 9:24 PM

------ Forwarded message ------Dari: **Annals of Medicine and Surgery** <em@editorialmanager.com> Date: Sen, 24 Jan 2022 pukul 19.04 Subject: Your Submission To: Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com>

Ms. Ref. No.: AMSU-D-21-01406R1 Title: Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Dear Mrs Yudhawati,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper "Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study" has been accepted for publication in Annals of Medicine and Surgery.

This journal is fully open access; all articles will be immediately and permanently free for everyone to read and download. To provide Open Access, this journal has a publication fee which needs to be met by the authors or their research funders.

In the next few days, you will be receiving information via email to allow you to choose one of the CC license options, providing funding information and a link to our payment system.

Learn about publishing Open Access in this journal at http://www.elsevier.com/journals/Annals-of-Medicine-and-Surgery/2049-0801/open-access-journal.

Your manuscript will be published online in raw form 4 days from this acceptance.

We appreciate and value your contribution to Annals of Medicine and Surgery. We regularly invite authors of recently published manuscript to participate in the peer review process. If you were not already part of the journal's reviewer pool, you have now been added to it. We look forward to your continued participation in our journal, and we hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Riaz Agha Editorial Office Annals of Medicine and Surgery

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EM via

interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/amsu/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.



Resti Yudhawati <restiyudhawati@gmail.com>

Fwd: Editor handles AMSU-D-21-01406R1

Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com> To: restiyudhawati@gmail.com Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 9:26 PM

------ Forwarded message ------Dari: **Annals of Medicine and Surgery** <em@editorialmanager.com> Date: Sab, 22 Jan 2022 pukul 05.58 Subject: Editor handles AMSU-D-21-01406R1 To: Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com>

Ms. Ref. No.: AMSU-D-21-01406R1 Title: Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Dear Mrs Yudhawati,

Your submission "Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study" will be handled by Editor in Chief Riaz Agha.

You may check on the progress of your paper by logging on to the Editorial Manager as an author.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Editorial Manager Annals of Medicine and Surgery

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923 Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EM via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/amsu/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.



Resti Yudhawati <restiyudhawati@gmail.com>

Fwd: Your Submission

Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com> To: restiyudhawati@gmail.com Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 9:25 PM

------ Forwarded message ------Dari: **Annals of Medicine and Surgery** <em@editorialmanager.com> Date: Jum, 7 Jan 2022 pukul 06.18 Subject: Your Submission To: Resti Yudhawati <resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com>

Ms. Ref. No.: AMSU-D-21-01406 Title: Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity: An Observational Study Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Dear Mrs Yudhawati,

The reviewers have commented on your above paper. They indicated that it is not acceptable for publication in its present form.

However, if you feel that you can suitably address the Managing Editor (if applicable) and Reviewer(s) comments (included below), I invite you to revise and resubmit your manuscript.

Please carefully address the issues raised in the comments.

If you are submitting a revised manuscript, please also:

a) outline each change made (point by point) as raised in the reviewer comments

AND/OR

b) provide a suitable rebuttal to each reviewer comment not addressed

c) Supply a revised manuscript with track changes - Your revised manuscript with track changes added or your revisions highlighted in bold/red.

d) Supply a revised manuscript un-tracked - A clean unmarked copy of your revised manuscript.

To submit your revision, please do the following:

- 1. Go to: https://www.editorialmanager.com/amsu/
- 2. Enter your login details

3. Click [Author Login] This takes you to the Author Main Menu.

4. Click [Submissions Needing Revision]

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Riaz Agha

Reviewer(s) comments:

Managing Editor

Please can you make the following changes/checks:

1) Ensure your work is fully compliant with the STROCSS 2021 criteria www.strocssguideline.com, which should be cited within the methods section of your article and please submit a completed STROCSS checklist stating the page numbers where you completed each item (your work will be returned if this is not done).

Please also ensure your methods section states that the work has been reported in line with the STROCSS criteria and cite the paper as follows:

Mathew G and Agha R, for the STROCSS Group. STROCSS 2021: Strengthening the Reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies in Surgery. International Journal of Surgery 2021;96:106165.

2) Please ensure you submit your work with a Research Registry UIN: e.g. from www.researchregistry.com – it can't progress without being registered – even it its retrospective research. Please ensure you also state your registration unique identifying number (UIN) in your methods section and reference it including a hyperlink to it.

3) Please go through your paper and proofread it to correct spelling, grammar and syntax errors. If you need our author support services, you can access them here: https://www.ijspg.com/services/author-support

4. If you haven't already, please include your "highlights" which are 3-5 bullet points summarising the novel aspects and/or learning points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

5. Please add the following statement above references:

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed

Reviewer #1: Thank you for the opportunity to review the document. I am returning the manuscript with editing and proofreading revisions in accordance with the journal's guidelines. You will find margin comments, some of which only explain the reason for a change, but others ask to confirm that a change made does not alter the intended meaning. I indicated the missing parts requested in the journal's guidelines that you still need to insert. I found no major inconsistencies or points you should clarify. You will want to review the comments carefully. Please read all comments on the tracked file, and check all revisions throughout the document for the intended meaning. The article possessed all the essential details to allow a helpful conclusion. I believe the limitations section needs to be expanded. The author mentions there were limitations to the study, but it would be useful to know what the limitations were as this knowledge could lead to further research topics in the

future. The manuscript ensured that the patients' anonymity and confidentiality were protected. There were no apparent conflicts of interest. After the revisions have been accepted and comments/recommendations have been addressed, I recommend accepting the paper for publication.

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/?ik=6286584c38&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1723718360599255247&simpl=msg-f%3A1723718360... 2/3

^{******}

Please note that the editorial process varies considerably from journal to journal. To view the submission-to-publication lifecycle, click here: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/p/7923/a_id/160

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EM via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/amsu/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity in Indonesian Adult: An Observational Study --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Article Type:	Cross-sectional Study
Keywords:	serum sRAGE; COVID-19 severity; infectious disease
Corresponding Author:	Resti Yudhawati Universitas Airlangga Fakultas Kedokteran Surabaya, East Java INDONESIA
First Author:	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra
Order of Authors:	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra
	Resti Yudhawati
	Munawaroh Fitriah
Abstract:	Background Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early lung damage. Objective Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with COVID-19 severity in Indonesian adults. Methods This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020 – October 2021. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity was analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence t-test, Mann Withney test, and Spearman's rank test with p-value <0.05. Results The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes (9,896.51 ± 4,949.64/µL; z = 2.431; p = 0.015), lymphocytes (13.55 ± 8.48%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils (78.91 ± 10.50%; z = 2.464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/L; z = 2.114; p = 0.034), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029), and fibrinogen (474.58 ± 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.333; p = 0.703). There was a significant difference in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63 - 1.00] ng/mL) and severe group (1.47 [0.97 - 2.25] ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001). There was a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p <0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p <0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% CI. Conclusion
	there is also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.
Suggested Reviewers:	Miriana d'Alessandro delassandro.miriana@gmail.com

AN Frix an.frix@chuliege.be
Xiaoping Tang tangxiaopinggz@163.com

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

The following information is required for submission. Please note that failure to respond to these questions/statements will mean your submission will be returned. If you have nothing to declare in any of these categories then this should be stated.

Please state any conflicts of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Please state any sources of funding for your research

All sources of funding should be declared as an acknowledgement at the end of the text. Authors should declare the role of study sponsors, if any, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. If the study sponsors had no such involvement, the authors should so state.

None.

Ethical Approval

Research studies involving patients require ethical approval. Please state whether approval has been given, name the relevant ethics committee and the state the reference number for their judgement.

We have conducted an ethical approval base on Declaration of Helsinki at Ethical Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Consent

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and fully informed written consent which should be documented in the paper.

Authors must obtain written and signed consent to publish a case report from the patient (or, where applicable, the patient's guardian or next of kin) prior to submission. We ask Authors to confirm as part of the submission process that such consent has been obtained, and the manuscript must include a statement to this effect in a consent section at the end of the manuscript, as follows: "Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request".

Patients have a right to privacy. Patients' and volunteers' names, initials, or hospital numbers should not be used. Images of patients or volunteers should not be used unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and explicit permission has been given as part of the consent. If such consent is made subject to any conditions, the Editor in Chief must be made aware of all such conditions.

Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Author contribution

Please specify the contribution of each author to the paper, e.g. study concept or design, data collection, data analysis or interpretation, writing the paper, others, who have contributed in other ways should be listed as contributors.

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Registration of Research Studies

In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2013, all research involving human participants has to be registered in a publicly accessible database. Please enter the name of the registry and the unique identifying number (UIN) of your study.

You can register any type of research at <u>http://www.researchregistry.com</u> to obtain your UIN if you have not already registered. This is mandatory for human studies only. Trials and certain observational research can also be registered elsewhere such as: <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> or ISRCTN or numerous other registries.

- 1. Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics Coommitee in the Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
- 2. Unique Identifying number or registration ID: 1953/KEPK/IV/2020.
- 3. Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): -

Guarantor

The Guarantor is the one or more people who accept full responsibility for the work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish

Resti Yudhawati

To,

The Editor

Sub: Submission of Manuscript for publication

Dear sir,

We intend to publish an article entitled "Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End Products (sRAGE) Serum on COVID-19 Severity in Indonesian Adult: An Observational Study" in your esteemed journal as an Original Article.

On behalf of all the contributors, I will act and guarantor and will correspond with the journal from this point onward.

In this paper, I/we report on the association of sRAGE serum on COVID-19 severity. This is significant because the process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more due to an increase in HMGB1 which in the end increases the inflammatory response and can make tissue damage. The paper should be of interest to readers in the areas of respiratory and infectious disease.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with its submission to the Annals of Medicine and Surgery.

We hereby transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership, including any rights incidental thereto, exclusively to the journal, if such work is published by the journal.

Thanking you,

Yours' sincerely,

Resti Yudhawati Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Jl. Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-8, Airlangga, Gubeng, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia Mail: resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com Phone: +6231-5501656 Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0808-8524

1 Highlights

- 2 1. Serum sRAGE can be used to identify COVID-19 severity.
- 3 2. The level of serum sRAGE in each COVID-19 patient is different.
- 4 3. The blood components of each COVID-19 severity are different.

5

1	Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum
2	with COVID-19 Severity in Indonesian Adult: An Observational Study
3	
4	Running head: sRAGE on COVID-19 Severity
5	
6	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra ¹ , Resti Yudhawati ¹ *, Munawaroh Fitriah ²
7	
8	¹ Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
9	Airlangga – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
10	² Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga – Dr.
11	Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Resti Yudhawati
19	Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
20	Airlangga – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Jl. Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-
21	8, Airlangga, Gubeng, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia
22	Mail: restiyudhawati2021@gmail.com
23	Phone: +6231-5501656
24	Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0808-8524
25	

Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity in Indonesian Adult: An Observational Study

3

4 Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 5 6 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early 7 lung damage. Objective: Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with COVID-19 8 9 severity in Indonesian adults. Methods: This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020 – October 2021. The number 10 of participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-11 12 severe = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity was analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence t-test, Mann Withney 13 test, and Spearman's rank test with p-value <0.05. **Results**: The results of blood analysis 14 showed several blood components such as leukocytes (9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/µL; z = 2.431; p15 = 0.015), lymphocytes (13.55 \pm 8.48%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils (78.91 \pm 10.50%; z 16 = 2.464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP (8.59 ± 17 7.62 mg/L; z = 2.114; p = 0.034), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 2.18618 0.029), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703). There was a significant 19 20 difference in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63 - 1.00] ng/mL) and severe group (1.47 [0.97 - 2.25] ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001). There was a significant 21 relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-22 23 off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% 24

- CI. Conclusion: There is a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19
 severity and there is also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.
- 3

4 Keywords: serum sRAGE, COVID-19 severity, infectious disease

5

6 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 or better known as COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 7 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) became a worldwide pandemic at the 8 9 end of 2019 with various systemic complaints but was more dominant in respiratory disorders. The worldwide mortality rate was 2.1% by February 12, 2020 [1]. The February 10 2020 data by Johns Hopkins University's Center for Systems Science and Engineering 11 12 (CSSE) showed a total case of more than 60,331 patients, with a total death of more than 1,369 patients and an improvement of more than 6,061 patients [2]. On December 27, 2020, 13 the total number of worldwide cases was more than 79 million, including 1,751,311 deaths. 14 Incidents in Indonesia were 706,837 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 20,994 cases of 15 death [3]. 16

The severity of COVID-19 according to WHO is divided into mild, moderate, severe, 17 and critical [4, 5]. The most frequently encountered clinical symptoms are pneumonia 18 symptoms. Biomarkers are frequently used to determine the severity of pneumonia such as 19 procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP), copeptin, pro-ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide), 20 adrenomedullin, cortisol, and D-dimers [6]. These biomarkers are good in determining 21 infection in pneumonia but have not been able to detect early tissue damage, as patients often 22 go to the hospital with a more severe condition. Recent studies in immunology have 23 examined soluble RAGE (sRAGE) as a biomarker of the severity of community pneumonia 24 25 and can detect tissue damage in ARDS early [7].

Pathophysiology occurred in COVID-19 includes the inflammatory process. One of the 1 2 inflammatory processes during pneumonia is characterized by an increase in Receptors for 3 Advanced Glycation End-Products (RAGE). RAGE is one of the non-enzymatic receptors of 4 Advanced Glycation End-Products (AGEs) which has a multi-ligand receptor, namely a Vtype domain, two C-type domains, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. RAGE 5 has several ligands including AGEs, S100/calgranulins, and HMGB I which are present in 6 7 different vascular cells such as endothelial cells, neuronal cells, smooth muscle cells, or 8 inflammatory cells (monocytes). HMGB I is one of the RAGE ligands that play a role in the 9 occurrence of sepsis which can stimulate the formation of cytokines along with TLRs in the immune system cells (B cells) [8]. The interaction between RAGE and its ligands will cause 10 11 the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) which will activate NADPH oxidation. The 12 process will mediate the formation of inflammatory cells. Trianta et al. stated two processes of RAGE interaction with its ligands that are related to the inflammatory process, namely its 13 interaction with leukocytes and on endothelial cells, RAGE is an adhesive receptor and 14 15 directly forms inflammatory cells. The accumulation of RAGE ligands is predicted to cause chronic cell stimulation and tissue damage [9, 10]. 16

17 RAGE is expressed in the membrane-bound form (fl-RAGE or mRAGE) and the soluble form in the transmembrane domain. Soluble RAGE is produced by proteolytic 18 19 cleavage of fl-RAGE and alternative splicing mRNA [7]. The administration of sRAGE in 20 experimental animals can also interact with the RAGE ligand [10]. Based on these studies, the role of sRAGE becomes very important in determining COVID-19 diagnosis based on the 21 severity quickly, so that effective and adequate treatment planning can be carried out early to 22 23 reduce the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19 patients. In addition, the level of sRAGE in serum can detect early tissue damage which in turn can affect the severity of COVID-19 24 patients as common biomarkers have not been able to detect the process of tissue damage 25

early. Research on sRAGE in the serum of COVID-19 patients is still limited and has never
been carried out in Indonesia despite a few studies having been conducted in other countries.
This biomarker is also easy to use and at a more affordable cost, so we are interested in
analyzing the association of serum sRAGE on COVID-19 severity.

5

6 Methods

7 Participants

Participants in this study were COVID-19 patients diagnosed with real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [5]. Participants' inclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and aged >21 years. Participants' exclusion criteria included patients with a history of respiratory tract infection, myocardia infarct, cancer, and cerebral vascular attack. Participants who were willing to take part in the research first received an explanation of the rights and obligations of the participants, in which they voluntarily filled out the informed consent form.

15

16 Study Design

This study used a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was 17 carried out from May 2020 - October 2020. This study collected participant characteristics, 18 serum sRAGE, and COVID-19 severity. This study reported the data based on the 19 20 Strengthening the Reporting of Cohort Studies in Surgery (STROCSS) 2019 guideline [11]. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants that were divided into 2 groups 21 (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). The non-severe group consisted of participants identified 22 23 as having COVID-19 in the mild and moderate category, while the severe group consisted of participants identified as having COVID-19 in the severe and critical categories [5]. 24

25

1 **Ethical Approval**

We have conducted an ethical approval based on the Declaration of Helsinki with registration research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia (1953/KEPK/IV/2020).

5

6 Assessment of COVID-19 Severity

7 The severity of COVID-19 in this study was assessed using WHO criteria at the time of the initial examination of the patient, which distinguished the severity of COVID-19 from 8 9 being non-severe (mild-moderate category) and severe (severe-critical categories). Mild is a symptomatic patient who meets the COVID-19 case definition without evidence of viral 10 pneumonia or hypoxia. Moderate include clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, 11 12 dyspnoea, rapid breathing) but no signs of severe pneumonia, including SpO2 90% in room air or PaO2 60 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). 13 Severe shows clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, shortness of breath, rapid 14 breathing) plus one of respiratory rate >30 times/minute; severe respiratory distress or SpO2 15 <90% or PaO2 59 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). 16 Critical when patients have ARDS, sepsis, and septic shock. Mild ARDS: 200 mmHg 17 <PaO2/FiO2a 300 mmHg (with PEEP or CPAP 5 cmH2O). Moderate ARDS: 100 mmHg 18 <PaO2/FiO2 200 mmHg (with PEEP 5 cmH2O). ARDS weight: PaO2/FiO2 100 mmHg 19 20 (with PEEP 5 cmH2O) [5].

21

22 sRAGE serum examination

The sRAGE is soluble forms in the transmembrane domain of RAGE which the serum
levels of sRAGE are determined using a specific sandwich human ELISA kit BioAssay
(MyBioSource Inc, San Diego, USA). The sRAGE measurement is in the range of 0.31 –

1 2.00 ng/mL. These results were obtained from taking 5 cc venous blood samples [12].

2

3 Statistical analysis

4 The analysis in this study used descriptive analysis and bivariate analysis. The descriptive analysis includes a descriptive presentation of the results using a distribution 5 6 table, mean, median, standard deviation, maximum value, and minimum value. The analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, 7 8 USA). Participants' characteristic data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's 9 exact test. Meanwhile, the data from this study were first tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Analysis of the association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 10 severity using the independence t-test or Mann Whitney test. The comparison between the 11 12 two variables is significant if p <0.05. In addition, Spearman's rank test was used to analyze the association between two variables. 13

14

15 **Results**

16 Characteristics of Participants

17 The demographic characteristics of participants included age and gender. The average age of participants was 50.54 ± 12.70 years (non-severe group = 49.11 ± 12.44 years and 18 severe group = 51.23 ± 12.83 years). The median age of participants was 52.00 (43.00 - 10.00)19 20 59.00) years of which the youngest participant was 22.00 years old and the oldest participant was 80.00 years old. Most participants were in the age range of 35.00 - 55.00 years, 21 consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in the non-severe group and 51 participants (52.0%; p =22 23 0.705) in the severe group. Most participants were male (90 participants; 62.1%), consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in non-severe group and 65 participants in severe group (66.3%; 24 OR = 0.577; *p* = 0.179; Table 1). 25

1 There were several clinical symptoms appeared, including shortness of breath in 122 participants (84.1%; 63.8% vs 93.9%; OR = 8,689; p < 0.001), fever in 61 participants 2 3 (42.1%; 46.8% vs 39.8%; OR = 0.751; p = 0.535), cough in 70 participants (70%; 59.6\% vs. 4 42.9%; OR = 0.509; p = 0.088), painful swallowing in 4 participants (2.8%; 2.1% vs. 3.1%; OR = 1.453; p = 1,000), and diarrhoea in 7 participants (4.8%; 6.4% vs. 4.1%; OR = 0.624; p5 6 = 0.682). Based on the outcome of the COVID-19 treatment, most of non-severe participants recovered as many as 41 participants (87.2%) and most of severe participants were declared 7 dead as many as 51 participants (52%; p < 0.001). Overall, 88 participants (60.7%) were 8 9 recovered. Several participants were declared to have comorbidities, including hypertension as many as 41 participants (28.3%; 27.7% vs 28.6%; OR = 1.046; p = 1.000), diabetes as 10 many as 66 participants (45.5%; 42.6% vs 46.9%; OR = 1,194; p = 0.750), and obesity as 11 12 many as 35 participants (24.1%; 19.1% vs. 26.5%; OR = 1.525; p = 0.444; Table 1).

13

Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity

The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes 16 $(9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/\mu L)$, lymphocytes $(13.55 \pm 8.48\%)$, neutrophils $(78.91 \pm 10.50\%)$, 17 procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/ L), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 18 ng/mL), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL). The average value of serum sRAGE was 19 20 1.48 ± 0.98 ng/mL, with a median value of 1.07 (0.85 - 1.84) ng/mL. The lowest and highest value of participants' serum sRAGE was 0.44 ng/mL and 5.14 ng/mL, respectively. The 21 results of the COVID-19 severity measurement were divided into 4: mild as many as 2 22 23 participants (1.4%), moderate as many as 45 participants (31.0%), severe as many as 96 participants (66.2%), and critical as many as 2 participants (1.4%). Meanwhile, in this study, 24

COVID-19 severity was divided into 2 groups, namely the non-severe group with 47
 participants (32.88%) and the severe group with 98 participants (68.53%).

3 There was a significant difference in blood component in the non-severe group and the 4 severe group as follows: leukocyte value was 8.005.00 (6.157.50 - 9.687.50) vs 9.840.00 $(7.420.00 - 12.830.00/\mu L; z = 2.431; p = 0.015)$, lymphocyte was 14.40 (8.83 - 21.65) vs 5 10.20 (6.60 - 16.80%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils was 77.40 (68.90 - 83.28) vs. 82.60 6 (76.00 - 87.10%; z = 2,464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin was 0.11 (0.07 - 0.22) vs 0.27 (0.13 - 0.22)7 0.46 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 mg/L; 8 9 z = 2.114; p = 0.034), and D-dimer was 810.00 (535.00 - 2,430.00) vs. 1,460.00 (740.00 -4,025 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the 10 levels of fibrinogen between participants in the two groups (465.50 \pm 176.04 vs. 480.06 \pm 11 12 165.92 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703; Table 2).

There was a significant difference between serum sRAGE in the non-severe group and the severe group $(0.78 \ (0.63 - 1.00) \text{ vs. } 1.47 \ (0.97 - 2.25 \text{ ng/mL}; r = 7.154; p < 0.001; Table$ 2). There was a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity <math>(r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe group was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5%, OR of 8.077 and AUC 0.868 CI 95% (Figure 1).

19

20 Discussion

This study assessed serum sRAGE based on the severity of COVID-19. The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that examined sRAGE as a biomarker for COVID-19. A study examined the association of sRAGE with severity and as an indicator of mechanical ventilation requirements, ARDS, and mortality in COVID-19 patients. The results showed an increase in serum sRAGE concentrations in COVID-19 patients based on severity [13]. These results are consistent with another study which stated a significant
 increase in serum sRAGE of ARDS patients admitted to non-isolated ICUs [14].

_

3 There is a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity. The 4 serum sRAGE values in the severe group show a significant difference from serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group. The results are consistent with previous studies that showed 5 6 an increase in serum sRAGE values in COVID-19 patients with a degree of severity. 7 Increased sRAGE values can also help predict respiratory disorders that require mechanical ventilation and the mortality rate of COVID-19 patients [13]. Increased serum sRAGE is 8 9 commonly found in ARDS patients admitted to the ICU [15]. As many as 20% of COVID-19 patients progress to the third phase called the involvement of the respiratory tract and 10 progression to ARDS [16]. 11

12 Increased serum sRAGE values can occur due to a viral infection process that will trigger an immune response, namely the innate immune system. Pattern-recognition receptors 13 (PRR) recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) involving toll-like 14 15 receptors (TLR) that detect components of infection and signaling tissue damage, one of which is HMGB1. Then it continues to the process of indirect lung tissue damage, namely 16 damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that involve RAGE, NLR, TLR, and CLR 17 which can exacerbate the occurrence of tissue damage that has occurred previously. The 18 process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more frequent due to an increase in 19 20 HMGB1 that result in the increased inflammatory response in the form of IL-1 and TNF-Alpha activation [17, 18]. 21

Other tissue damage processes can also occur when SARS-CoV2 invades AT2 cells located in the periphery and subpleural so that the patient begins to feel hypoxia. SARS-CoV2 replicates in AT2 lead to cell damage and death. Dead AT2 cells release toxins and damage surrounding cells. Infected cells send signals that are detected by the immune system which then releases cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α. These cytokine release aims to
kill the virus, but it also causes damage to lung cells, namely diffuse alveolar damage,
formation of hyaline membranes, and multinuclear giant cells. Abnormal wound healing
leads to fibrosis [16, 19].

5 This study, however, has limitations, including the need for a future study that 6 compares healthy individuals and pneumonia patients without COVID-19.

7

8 Conclusion

9 sRAGE is a biomarker that can be used to determine COVID-19 severity. The patients'
10 COVID-19 severity in this study is categorized into 2, namely non-severe and severe. Based
11 on blood component analysis, there are significant differences between the non-severe and
12 severe groups. The differences consist of leukocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, procalcitonin,
13 CRP, and D-dimer. The sRAGE values in the two groups also show a significant difference.
14 In addition, there is a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity.

15

16 **Conflict of interest**

17 The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

18

```
19 Funding
```

20 Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

21

22 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the COVID-19 patients and Guardian. We would also thank Dr.
Soetomo General Academic Hospital as the place of our research, and our editor "Fis Citra
Ariyanto".

2 **References**

- Rothan HA, Byrareddy SN. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease
 (COVID-19) outbreak. Journal of autoimmunity. 2020;109:102433. doi:
 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433.
- Harapan H, Itoh N, Yufika A, Winardi W, Keam S, Te H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019
 (COVID-19): A literature review. Journal of infection and public health. 2020;13(5):667 73. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.03.019.
- Djalante R, Lassa J, Setiamarga D, Sudjatma A, Indrawan M, Haryanto B, et al. Review and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March 2020. Progress in disaster science. 2020;6:100091. doi: 10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091.
- Son KB, Lee TJ, Hwang SS. Disease severity classification and COVID-19 outcomes, Republic of Korea. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2021;99(1):62-6. doi: 10.2471/blt.20.257758.
- Suryananda TD, Yudhawati R. Association of serum KL-6 levels on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study design with purposive sampling. Annals of medicine and surgery (2012). 2021;69:102673. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102673.
- Seligman R, Ramos-Lima LF, Oliveira Vdo A, Sanvicente C, Pacheco EF, Dalla Rosa K.
 Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia: a state-of-the-art review. Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 2012;67(11):1321-5. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2012(11)17.
- Narvaez-Rivera RM, Rendon A, Salinas-Carmona MC, Rosas-Taraco AG. Soluble
 RAGE as a severity marker in community acquired pneumonia associated sepsis. BMC
 infectious diseases. 2012;12:15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-15.
- Sparvero LJ, Asafu-Adjei D, Kang R, Tang D, Amin N, Im J, et al. RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation. Journal of translational medicine. 2009;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-7-17.
- 9. Chavakis T, Bierhaus A, Nawroth PP. RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products): a central player in the inflammatory response. Microbes and infection. 2004;6(13):1219-25. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.004.
- 10. Clynes R, Moser B, Yan SF, Ramasamy R, Herold K, Schmidt AM. Receptor for AGE
 (RAGE): weaving tangled webs within the inflammatory response. Current molecular
 medicine. 2007;7(8):743-51. doi: 10.2174/156652407783220714.
- Agha R, Abdall-Razak A, Crossley E, Dowlut N, Iosifidis C, Mathew G. STROCSS 2019
 Guideline: Strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery. International journal
 of surgery (London, England). 2019;72:156-65. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2019.11.002.
- 37 12. García-Salido A, Melen G, Gómez-Piña V, Oñoro-Otero G, Serrano-González A,
 38 Casado-Flores J, et al. Circulating soluble RAGE and cell surface RAGE on peripheral
 39 blood mononuclear cells in healthy children. Journal of pediatric endocrinology &
 40 metabolism : JPEM. 2018;31(6):649-54. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2017-0512.
- Lim A, Radujkovic A, Weigand MA, Merle U. Soluble receptor for advanced glycation
 end products (sRAGE) as a biomarker of COVID-19 disease severity and indicator of the
 need for mechanical ventilation, ARDS and mortality. Annals of intensive care.
 2021;11(1):50. doi: 10.1186/s13613-021-00836-2.
- 45 14. Jabaudon M, Berthelin P, Pranal T, Roszyk L, Godet T, Faure JS, et al. Receptor for
 46 advanced glycation end-products and ARDS prediction: a multicentre observational
 47 study. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):2603. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20994-x.

1

- 15. van Zoelen MA, Schouten M, de Vos AF, Florquin S, Meijers JC, Nawroth PP, et al. The
 receptor for advanced glycation end products impairs host defense in pneumococcal
 pneumonia. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950). 2009;182(7):4349-56. doi:
 10.4049/jimmunol.0801199.
- 16. Mason RJ. Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. The European respiratory journal. 2020;55(4). doi: 10.1183/13993003.00607-2020.
- 17. Mondrinos MJ, Kennedy PA, Lyons M, Deutschman CS, Kilpatrick LE. Protein kinase C
 and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Shock (Augusta, Ga). 2013;39(6):467-79. doi:
 10.1097/SHK.0b013e318294f85a.
- 18. Horiguchi H, Loftus TJ, Hawkins RB, Raymond SL, Stortz JA, Hollen MK, et al. Innate Immunity in the Persistent Inflammation, Immunosuppression, and Catabolism Syndrome and Its Implications for Therapy. Frontiers in immunology. 2018;9:595. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00595.
- 14 19. Carcaterra M, Caruso C. Alveolar epithelial cell type II as main target of SARS-CoV-2
 virus and COVID-19 development via NF-Kb pathway deregulation: A physiopathological theory. Medical hypotheses. 2021;146:110412. doi:
 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110412.
- 18

19 Figure Legend

- 20 Figure 1. Cut-Off Serum sRAGE Level Based on Severe and Non-Severe Groups of COVID-
- 21 19 Patients

1 Table and Legend

2 Table 1. Characteristics of Participants

Characteristics	COVID-19 Severity		
Characteristics	Non-severe	Severe	<i>p</i>
Age (years)			
21-35	8 (17.0)	12 (12.2)	0.705
35-55	25 (53.2)	51 (52.0)	
55-65	8 (17.0)	24 (24.5)	
>65	6 (12.8)	11 (11.2)	
Gender			
Male	25 (53.2)	65 (66.3)	0.179
Female	22 (46.8)	33 (33.7)	
Clinical symptoms			
Shortness of breath	30 (63.8)	92 (93.9)	< 0.001**
Fever	22 (46.8)	39 (39.8)	0.535
Cough	28 (59.6)	42 (42.9)	0.088
Painful swallowing	1 (2.1)	3 (3.1)	1.000
Diarrhea	3 (6.4)	4 (4.1)	0.682
Outcome			
Recovered	41 (87.2)	47 (48.0)	< 0.001**
Died	6 (12.8)	51 (52.0)	
Comorbid			
Hypertension	13 (27.7)	28 (28.6)	1.000
Diabetes	20 (42.6)	46 (46.9)	0.750
Obesity	9 (19.1)	26 (26.5)	0.444

3 Note: *significant <0.05; **significant <0.01

4

5 Table 2. Comparison of Blood Component Based on COVID-19 Severity

Plood Analysis	COVID-19 Severity		12	
Blood Allarysis	Non-severe	Severe	p	
Leukocytes ($n = 139$)	$8{,}622.10 \pm 4{,}204.47$	$10{,}526.86 \pm 5{,}185.37$	0.015*	
Lymphocyte ($n = 139$)	15.50 ± 8.22	12.58 ± 8.49	0.024*	
Neutrophile ($n = 139$)	76.06 ± 10.36	80.32 ± 10.34	0.014*	
Procalcitonin ($n = 143$)	1.01 ± 4.67	0.88 ± 2.22	0.001*	
CRP (n = 90)	6.52 ± 6.71	9.53 ± 7.87	0.034*	
Fibrinogen ($n = 85$)	465.50 ± 176.04	480.06 ± 165.92	0.703	
D-Dimer ($n = 139$)	$2,790.64 \pm 5,558.74$	$5,162.17 \pm 8,641.11$	0.029*	
s-RAGE ($n = 143$)	0.82 ± 0.23	1.80 ± 1.04	< 0.001**	

6 Note: CRP = C-reactive protein; s-RAGE = soluble receptor for advanced glycation end

7 products; *significant <0.05; **significant <0.001</pre>

8





The STROCSS 2019 Guideline			
Item Ite	em description	Page	
	41	4	
	The word export or gross sectional or ease controlled is included	Ĩ	
	The word conort of cross-sectional of case-controlled is included		
	- The area of focus is described (e.g. disease, exposure/intervention,		
	- Key elements of study design are stated (e.g. retrospective or		
	prospective)		
ABSTRA	CT		
$2a$ \ln^2	troduction: the following points are briefly described	1	
	- Background	•	
	- Scientific Rationale for this study		
2b M	ethods: the following areas are briefly described	1	
_	- Study design (cohort, retro-/prospective, single/multi-centred)		
	- Patient populations and/or groups, including control group, if applicable		
	- Interventions (type, operators, recipients, timeframes)		
	- Outcome measures		
2c Re	esults: the following areas are briefly described	1	
	- Summary data (with statistical relevance) with qualitative descriptions,		
	where appropriate		
2d Co	onclusion: the following areas are briefly described	1	
	- Key conclusions		
	- Implications to practice		
	- Direction of and need for future research		
INTRODU	CTION		
3 In	troduction: the following areas are described in full	2-3	
	- Relevant background and scientific rationale		
	- Aims and objectives		
METHOD	- Research question and hypotheses, where appropriate		
	5 agistration and othics	4	
4a Re	egistration and ethics	4	
	- Research Registry number is stated, in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki*		
	- All studies (including retrospective) should be registered before		
	submission		
	300111331011		
*"	Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a		
ומ	ublicly accessible database before recruitment of the first subject" (this can		
be	e obtained from: ResearchRegistry.com or ClinicalTrials.gov or ISRCTN)		
4b Et	hical Approval: the following areas are described in full	4	
	- Necessity for ethical approval		
	- Ethical approval, with relevant judgement reference from ethics		
	committees		
	- Where ethics was unnecessary, reasons are provided		
4c Pr	rotocol: the following areas are described comprehensively	4	
	- Protocol (a priori or otherwise) details, with access directions		

4d	Patient Involvement in Research	4
	- Describe how, if at all, patients were involved in study design e.g. were	
	they involved on the study steering committee, did they provide input	
	on outcome selection, etc.	
5a	Study Design: the following areas are described comprehensively	4
	 'Cohort' study is mentioned 	
	 Design (e.g. retro-/prospective, single/multi-centred) 	
5b	Setting: the following areas are described comprehensively	4
	- Geographical location	
	 Nature of institution (e.g. academic/community, public/private) 	
	- Dates (recruitment, exposure, follow-up, data collection)	
5c	Cohort Groups: the following areas are described in full	3
	- Number of groups	
	- Division of intervention between groups	-
5d	Subgroup Analysis: the following areas are described comprehensively	3
	- Planned subgroup analyses	
	- Methods used to examine subgroups and their interactions	
6a	Participants: the following areas are described comprehensively	3
	- Eligibility criteria	
	- Recruitment sources	
Gh	- Length and methods of follow-up	4
00	Methods of recruitment to each patient group	4
	- Methods of recruitment to each patient group	
60	Sample Size: the following areas are described comprehensively	1
00	- Margin of error calculation	4
	- Analysis to determine study population	
	- Power calculations, where appropriate	
INTER	VENTION AND CONSIDERATIONS	
7a	Pre-intervention Considerations: the following areas are described	4
	comprehensively	
	 Patient optimisation (pre-surgical measures) 	
	- Pre-intervention treatment (hypothermia/-volaemia/-tension; ICU care;	
	bleeding problems; medications)	
7b	Intervention: the following areas are described comprehensively	4
	- Type of intervention and reasoning (e.g. pharmacological, surgical,	
	physiotherapy, psychological)	
	 Aim of intervention (preventative/therapeutic) 	
	 Concurrent treatments (antibiotics, analgaesia, anti-emetics, NBM, 	
	VTE prophylaxis)	
	- Manufacturer and model details where applicable	
7c	Intra-Intervention Considerations: the following areas are described	4
	comprehensively	
	- Administration of intervention (location, surgical details, anaesthetic,	
	positioning, equipment needed, preparation, devices, sutures,	
	operative time)	
	- Pharmacological theraples include formulation, dosages, routes and	
	aurations	
	- Figures and other media are used to illustrate	

7d	Operator Details: the following areas are described comprehensively	4
	- Training needed	
	- Learning curve for technique	
	- Specialisation and relevant training	
7e	Quality Control: the following areas are described comprehensively	4-5
	- Measures taken to reduce variation	
	 Measures taken to ensure quality and consistency in intervention 	
	delivery	
7f	Post-Intervention Considerations: the following areas are described	4-5
	comprehensively	
	 Post-operative instructions and care 	
	- Follow-up measures	
	- Future surveillance requirements (e.g. imaging, blood tests)	
8	Outcomes: the following areas are described comprehensively	4-5
	 Primary outcomes, including validation, where applicable 	
	- Definitions of outcomes	
	- Secondary outcomes, where appropriate	
	- Follow-up period for outcome assessment, divided by group	
9	Statistics: the following areas are described comprehensively	5
	- Statistical tests, packages/software used, and interpretation of	
	significance	
	- Contounders and their control, if known	
	- Analysis approach (e.g. intention to treat/per protocol)	
DEOLU	- Sub-group analysis, if any	
RESU	LIS Derticia entre the fallewing angle and described some reheasingly	<u> </u>
10a	Participants: the following areas are described comprehensively	6
	- Flow of participants (recruitment, non-participation, cross-over and	
	Williulawal, Will Teasons)	
	- Population demographics (prognostic reatures, relevant socioeconomic features, and significant numerical differences)	
10h	Participant Comparison: the following areas are described comprehensively	6
100	- Table comparing demographics included	0
	- Differences with statistical relevance	
	- Any group matching with methods	
10c	Intervention: the following areas are described comprehensively	6-7
	- Changes to interventions, with rationale and diagram, if appropriate	0.
	- Learning required for interventions	
	- Degree of novelty for intervention	
11a	Outcomes: the following areas are described comprehensively	6-7
	Obvision according to a tight and a stand out a many factor and a many	
	- Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group	
	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable 	
	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted 	
11b	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively 	6-7
11b	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance 	6-7
11b	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) 	6-7
11b	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) Cross-over with explanation 	6-7
11b 11c	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) Cross-over with explanation Complications: the following areas are described comprehensively 	6-7
11b 11c	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) Cross-over with explanation Complications: the following areas are described comprehensively Adverse events described 	6-7
11b 11c	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively Assessment of tolerance Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) Cross-over with explanation Complications: the following areas are described comprehensively Adverse events described Classified according to Clavien-Dindo classification* 	6-7

	should be specified)		
	*Dindo D. Demartines N. Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical		
	Complications. A New Proposal with Evaluation in a Cohort of 6336 Patients		
	and Results of a Survey. Ann Surg. 2004; 240(2): 205-213		
12	Key Results: the following areas are described comprehensively	7	
	 Key results, including relevant raw data 		
	 Statistical analyses with significance 		
DISCU	SSION		
13	Discussion: the following areas are described comprehensively	7-9	
	- Conclusions and rationale		
	 Reference to relevant literature 		
	- Implications to clinical practice		
	 Comparison to current gold standard of care 		
	- Relevant hypothesis generation		
14	Strengths and Limitations: the following areas are described comprehensively	10	
	- Strengths of the study		
	- Limitations and potential impact on results		
	- Assessment of bias and management		
15	Implications and Relevance: the following areas are described	10	
	comprehensively		
	 Relevance of findings and potential implications to clinical practice are detailed 		
	 Future research that is needed is described, with study designs 		
	detailed		
CONCLUSION			
16	Conclusions:	10	
	 Key conclusions are summarised 		
	 Key directions for future research are summarised 		
DECLA	ARATIONS		
17a	Conflicts of interest	10	
	- Conflicts of interest, if any, are described		
17b	Funding	10	
	 Sources of funding (e.g. grant details), if any, are clearly stated 		

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AMSU-D-21-01406R1
Article Type:	Cross-sectional Study
Keywords:	serum sRAGE; COVID-19 severity; Infectious disease
Corresponding Author:	Resti Yudhawati Universitas Airlangga Fakultas Kedokteran Surabaya, East Java INDONESIA
First Author:	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra
Order of Authors:	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra
	Resti Yudhawati
	Munawaroh Fitriah
Abstract:	Background
	Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early lung damage.
	Objective
	Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with COVID-19 severity.
	Methods
	This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020 – October 2021. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity was analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence t-test, Mann Withney test, and Spearman's rank test with p-value <0.05.
	Results
	The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes (9,896.51 ± 4,949.64/µL; $z = 2.431$; $p = 0.015$), lymphocytes (13.55 ± 8.48%; $z = 2.256$; $p = 0.024$), neutrophils (78.91 ± 10.50%; $z = 2.464$; $p = 0.014$), procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL; $z = 3.323$; $p = 0.001$), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/L; $z = 2.114$; $p = 0.034$), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 ng/mL; $z = 2.186$; $p = 0.029$), and fibrinogen (474.58 ± 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.383; $p = 0.703$). There was a significant difference in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63 – 1.00] ng/mL) and severe group (1.47 [0.97 – 2.25] ng/mL; $r = 7.154$; $p < 0.001$). There was a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity ($r = 0.598$; $p < 0.001$). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% CI.
	There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity and
	there is also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.
Suggested Reviewers:	Miriana d'Alessandro delassandro.miriana@gmail.com

	AN Frix an.frix@chuliege.be
	Xiaoping Tang tangxiaopinggz@163.com
Response to Reviewers:	We have revised according to reviewer comment.

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

The following information is required for submission. Please note that failure to respond to these questions/statements will mean your submission will be returned. If you have nothing to declare in any of these categories then this should be stated.

Please state any conflicts of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Please state any sources of funding for your research

All sources of funding should be declared as an acknowledgement at the end of the text. Authors should declare the role of study sponsors, if any, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. If the study sponsors had no such involvement, the authors should so state.

None.

Ethical Approval

Research studies involving patients require ethical approval. Please state whether approval has been given, name the relevant ethics committee and the state the reference number for their judgement.

We have conducted an ethical approval base on Declaration of Helsinki at Ethical Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Consent

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and fully informed written consent which should be documented in the paper.

Authors must obtain written and signed consent to publish a case report from the patient (or, where applicable, the patient's guardian or next of kin) prior to submission. We ask Authors to confirm as part of the submission process that such consent has been obtained, and the manuscript must include a statement to this effect in a consent section at the end of the manuscript, as follows: "Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request".

Patients have a right to privacy. Patients' and volunteers' names, initials, or hospital numbers should not be used. Images of patients or volunteers should not be used unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and explicit permission has been given as part of the consent. If such consent is made subject to any conditions, the Editor in Chief must be made aware of all such conditions.

Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Author contribution

Please specify the contribution of each author to the paper, e.g. study concept or design, data collection, data analysis or interpretation, writing the paper, others, who have contributed in other ways should be listed as contributors.

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
Registration of Research Studies

In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2013, all research involving human participants has to be registered in a publicly accessible database. Please enter the name of the registry and the unique identifying number (UIN) of your study.

You can register any type of research at <u>http://www.researchregistry.com</u> to obtain your UIN if you have not already registered. This is mandatory for human studies only. Trials and certain observational research can also be registered elsewhere such as: <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> or ISRCTN or numerous other registries.

- 1. Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics Coommitee in the Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
- 2. Unique Identifying number or registration ID: 1954/KEPK/IV/2020.
- 3. Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): -

Guarantor

The Guarantor is the one or more people who accept full responsibility for the work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish

Resti Yudhawati

To,

The Editor

Sub: Submission of Manuscript for publication

Dear sir,

We intend to publish an article entitled "Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 Severity: A cross-sectional study" in your esteemed journal as an Original Article.

On behalf of all the contributors, I will act and guarantor and will correspond with the journal from this point onward.

In this paper, I/we report on the association of sRAGE serum on COVID-19 severity. This is significant because the process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more due to an increase in HMGB1 which in the end increases the inflammatory response and can make tissue damage. The paper should be of interest to readers in the areas of respiratory and infectious disease.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with its submission to the Annals of Medicine and Surgery.

We hereby transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership, including any rights incidental thereto, exclusively to the journal, if such work is published by the journal.

Thanking you,

Yours' sincerely,

Resti Yudhawati Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Jl. Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-8, Airlangga, Gubeng, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia Mail: resti.yudhawati2021@gmail.com Phone: +6231-5501656 Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0808-8524

Response to Reviewer 1 Annals of Medicine and Surgery 2 Title: Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum 3 4 on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study 5 Dear Mrs Yudhawati, 6 7 Thank you for your recent submission to Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 8 9 Registration of Research mandatory Apologies but research registration is not completed as requested, there is no url provided and 10 no confirmation that it is a publicly accessible data base. Please see the requirements below. 11 12 The World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki 2013 states in article 35: 'Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a publicly accessible database 13 before recruitment of the first subject'. The Editors of AMS require that all types of research 14 studies involving human participants should be registered prospectively, but failing that 15 retrospectively. There are many places to register your research, and you can choose which is 16 the most suitable for your needs: 17 *Clinicaltrials.gov - for all human studies - free 18 19 *Chinese Clinical Trial Registry chictr.org.cn - for all human studies - free 20 *Researchregistry.com - for all human studies - charge 21 *ISRCTN.com - for all human studies - charge *Prospero - for systematic reviews - free 22 23 *There are many national registries approved by the UN that can be found, please refer to the Guide for Authors. 24 Elsevier does not support or endorse any registry. 25

Once registered, you will need to submit your assigned Unique Identifying Number (UIN)
 from your registration body as a mandatory part of your submission, please add the number
 and a hyperlink to the registration site to the Author Form.

Author response: we have added in our manuscript and author form. We have conducted a
research register based on the Declaration of Helsinki at Dr. Soetomo General Academic
Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia with certificate number "1954/KEPK/IV/2020".

7

8 Provenance and peer review

- 9 Please add the following statement to your manuscript above the references.
- 10 Provenance and peer review
- 11 Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.
- 12 Author response: we have added in our manuscript.

1 Highlights

- 2 1. Serum sRAGE can be used to identify COVID-19 severity.
- 3 2. The level of serum sRAGE in each COVID-19 patient is different.
- 4 3. The blood components of each COVID-19 severity are different.

5

1	Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on
2	COVID-19 Severity: A cross-sectional study
3	
4	Running head: sRAGE on COVID-19 Severity
5	
6	Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra ¹ , Resti Yudhawati ¹ *, Munawaroh Fitriah ²
7	
8	¹ Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
9	Airlangga – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
10	² Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga – Dr.
11	Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Resti Yudhawati
19	Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
20	Airlangga – Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Jl. Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-
21	8, Airlangga, Gubeng, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia
22	Mail: restiyudhawati2021@gmail.com
23	Phone: +6231-5501656
24	Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0808-8524
25	

Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum
 with-on COVID-19 Severity: An Observational-cross-sectional Study

4 Abstract

3

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 5 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Receptor for 6 7 Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early lung damage. Objective: Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with COVID-19 8 severity. Methods: This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive 9 sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020 - October 2021. The number of 10 participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-severe 11 = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity was analyzed 12 using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence t-test, Mann Withney test, and 13 Spearman's rank test with p-value <0.05. Results: The results of blood analysis showed 14 several blood components such as leukocytes (9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/µL; z = 2.431; p = 0.015), 15 lymphocytes (13.55 \pm 8.48%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils (78.91 \pm 10.50%; z = 2.464; 16 17 p = 0.014), procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/L; 18 z = 2.114; p = 0.034), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703). There was a significant difference 19 in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63 - 1.00] ng/mL) and severe group 20 (1.47 [0.97 - 2.25] ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001). There was a significant association between 21 serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum 22 sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained 23 sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% CI. Conclusion: 24

1 There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity and there is

2 also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.

3

4 Keywords: serum sRAGE, COVID-19 severity, infectious disease

5

6 Introduction

7 Coronavirus disease 2019 or better known as COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) became a worldwide pandemic at the 8 end of 2019 with various systemic complaints but was more dominant in respiratory 9 disorders. The worldwide mortality rate was 2.1% by February 12, 2020 [1]. The February 10 2020 data by Johns Hopkins University's Center for Systems Science and Engineering 11 (CSSE) showed a total case of more than 60,331 patients, with a total death of more than 12 1,369 patients and an improvement of more than 6,061 patients [2]. On December 27, 2020, 13 the total number of worldwide cases was more than 79 million, including 1,751,311 deaths. 14 Incidents in Indonesia were 706,837 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 20,994 cases of 15 death [3]. 16

17 The severity of COVID-19 according to WHO is divided into mild, moderate, severe, 18 and critical [4, 5]. The most frequently encountered clinical symptoms are pneumonia symptoms. Biomarkers are frequently used to determine the severity of pneumonia such as 19 20 procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP), copeptin, pro-ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide), adrenomedullin, cortisol, and D-dimers [6]. These biomarkers are good in determining 21 infection in pneumonia but have not been able to detect early tissue damage, as patients often 22 go to the hospital with a more severe condition. Recent studies in immunology have 23 examined soluble RAGE (sRAGE) as a biomarker of the severity of community pneumonia 24 25 and can detect tissue damage in ARDS early [7].

Pathophysiology occurred in COVID-19 includes the inflammatory process. One of the 1 inflammatory processes during pneumonia is characterized by an increase in Receptors for 2 Advanced Glycation End-Products (RAGE). RAGE is one of the non-enzymatic receptors of 3 Advanced Glycation End-Products (AGEs) which has a multi-ligand receptor, namely a V-4 type domain, two C-type domains, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. RAGE 5 has several ligands including AGEs, S100/calgranulins, and HMGB I which are present in 6 7 different vascular cells such as endothelial cells, neuronal cells, smooth muscle cells, or inflammatory cells (monocytes). HMGB I is one of the RAGE ligands that play a role in the 8 occurrence of sepsis which can stimulate the formation of cytokines along with TLRs in the 9 10 immune system cells (B cells) [8]. The interaction between RAGE and its ligands will cause the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) which will activate NADPH oxidation. The 11 process will mediate the formation of inflammatory cells. Trianta et al. stated two processes 12 of RAGE interaction with its ligands that are related to the inflammatory process, namely its 13 interaction with leukocytes and on endothelial cells, RAGE is an adhesive receptor and 14 directly forms inflammatory cells. The accumulation of RAGE ligands is predicted to cause 15 chronic cell stimulation and tissue damage [9, 10]. 16

17 RAGE is expressed in the membrane-bound form (fl-RAGE or mRAGE) and the 18 soluble form in the transmembrane domain. Soluble RAGE is produced by proteolytic cleavage of fl-RAGE and alternative splicing mRNA [7]. The administration of sRAGE in 19 experimental animals can also interact with the RAGE ligand [10]. Based on these studies, 20 the role of sRAGE becomes very important in determining COVID-19 diagnosis based on the 21 22 severity quickly, so that effective and adequate treatment planning can be carried out early to 23 reduce the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19 patients. In addition, the level of sRAGE in serum can detect early tissue damage which in turn can affect the severity of COVID-19 24 patients as common biomarkers have not been able to detect the process of tissue damage 25

early. Research on sRAGE in the serum of COVID-19 patients is still limited and has never
 been carried out in Indonesia despite a few studies having been conducted in other countries.
 This biomarker is also easy to use and at a more affordable cost, so we are interested in
 analyzing the association of serum sRAGE on COVID-19 severity.

5

6 Methods

7 Participants

8 Participants in this study were COVID-19 patients diagnosed with real-time polymerase 9 chain reaction (PCR) [5]. Participants' inclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with 10 COVID-19 and aged >21 years. Participants' exclusion criteria included patients with a 11 history of respiratory tract infection, myocardia infarct, cancer, and cerebral vascular attack. 12 Participants who were willing to take part in the research first received an explanation of the 13 rights and obligations of the participants, in which they voluntarily filled out the informed 14 consent form.

15

16 Study Design

17 This study used a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was 18 carried out from May 2020 - October 2020. This study collected participant characteristics, serum sRAGE, and COVID-19 severity. This study reported the data based on the 19 20 strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery (STROCSS) 20219 guideline [11] [11]. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants that were divided into 2 21 groups (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). The non-severe group consisted of participants 22 identified as having COVID-19 in the mild and moderate category, while the severe group 23 consisted of participants identified as having COVID-19 in the severe and critical categories 24 25 [5].

1

2 Ethical Approval

3 We have conducted an ethical approval based on the Declaration of Helsinki with 4 registration research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Hospital.

5

6 Assessment of COVID-19 Severity

7 The severity of COVID-19 in this study was assessed using WHO criteria at the time of the initial examination of the patient, which distinguished the severity of COVID-19 from 8 being non-severe (mild-moderate category) and severe (severe-critical categories). Mild is a 9 symptomatic patient who meets the COVID-19 case definition without evidence of viral 10 pneumonia or hypoxia. Moderate include clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, 11 dyspnoea, rapid breathing) but no signs of severe pneumonia, including SpO2 90% in room 12 air or PaO2 60 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). 13 Severe shows clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, shortness of breath, rapid 14 15 breathing) plus one of respiratory rate >30 times/minute; severe respiratory distress or SpO2 <90% or PaO2 59 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). 16 17 Critical when patients have ARDS, sepsis, and septic shock. Mild ARDS: 200 mmHg 18 <PaO2/FiO2a 300 mmHg (with PEEP or CPAP 5 cmH2O). Moderate ARDS: 100 mmHg <PaO2/FiO2 200 mmHg (with PEEP 5 cmH2O). ARDS weight: PaO2/FiO2 100 mmHg 19 20 (with PEEP 5 cmH2O) [5].

21

22 sRAGE serum examination

The sRAGE is soluble forms in the transmembrane domain of RAGE which the serum
levels of sRAGE are determined using a specific sandwich human ELISA kit BioAssay
(MyBioSource Inc, San Diego, USA). The sRAGE measurement is in the range of 0.31 –

1 2.00 ng/mL. These results were obtained from taking 5 cc venous blood samples [12].

2

3 Statistical analysis

The analysis in this study used descriptive analysis and bivariate analysis. The 4 5 descriptive analysis includes a descriptive presentation of the results using a distribution table, mean, median, standard deviation, maximum value, and minimum value. The analysis 6 7 was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Participants' characteristic data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's 8 exact test. Meanwhile, the data from this study were first tested for normality using the 9 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Analysis of the association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 10 severity using the independence t-test or Mann Whitney test. The comparison between the 11 two variables is significant if p <0.05. In addition, Spearman's rank test was used to analyze 12 the association between two variables. 13

14

15 Results

16 Characteristics of Participants

17 The demographic characteristics of participants included age and gender. The average 18 age of participants was 50.54 \pm 12.70 years (non-severe group = 49.11 \pm 12.44 years and severe group = 51.23 ± 12.83 years). The median age of participants was 52.00 (43.00 - 10.00)19 20 59.00) years of which the youngest participant was 22.00 years old and the oldest participant was 80.00 years old. Most participants were in the age range of 35.00 - 55.00 years, 21 consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in the non-severe group and 51 participants (52.0%; p = 22 0.705) in the severe group. Most participants were male (90 participants; 62.1%), consisting 23 of 25 participants (53.2%) in non-severe group and 65 participants in severe group (66.3%; 24 25 OR = 0.577; *p* = 0.179; Table 1).

1	There were several clinical symptoms appeared, including shortness of breath in 122
2	participants (84.1%; 63.8% vs 93.9%; OR = 8,689; p <0.001), fever in 61 participants
3	(42.1%; 46.8% vs 39.8%; OR = 0.751; $p = 0.535$), cough in 70 participants (70%; 59.6% vs.
4	42.9%; OR = 0.509; $p = 0.088$), painful swallowing in 4 participants (2.8%; 2.1% vs. 3.1%;
5	OR = 1.453; $p = 1,000$), and diarrhoea in 7 participants (4.8%; 6.4% vs. 4.1%; OR = 0.624; p
6	= 0.682). Based on the outcome of the COVID-19 treatment, most of non-severe participants
7	recovered as many as 41 participants (87.2%) and most of severe participants were declared
8	dead as many as 51 participants (52%; $p < 0.001$). Overall, 88 participants (60.7%) were
9	recovered. Several participants were declared to have comorbidities, including hypertension
10	as many as 41 participants (28.3%; 27.7% vs 28.6%; OR = 1,046; $p = 1,000$), diabetes as
11	many as 66 participants (45.5%; 42.6% vs 46.9%; OR = 1,194; $p = 0.750$), and obesity as
12	many as 35 participants (24.1%; 19.1% vs. 26.5%; OR = 1.525; $p = 0.444$; Table 1).

13

Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity

The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes 16 17 $(9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/\mu L)$, lymphocytes $(13.55 \pm 8.48\%)$, neutrophils $(78.91 \pm 10.50\%)$, 18 procalcitonin (0.92 \pm 3.22 ng/mL), CRP (8.59 \pm 7.62 mg/ L), D-dimer (4,360.29 \pm 7,797.81 ng/mL), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL). The average value of serum sRAGE was 19 20 1.48 ± 0.98 ng/mL, with a median value of 1.07 (0.85 - 1.84) ng/mL. The lowest and highest value of participants' serum sRAGE was 0.44 ng/mL and 5.14 ng/mL, respectively. The 21 results of the COVID-19 severity measurement were divided into 4: mild as many as 2 22 participants (1.4%), moderate as many as 45 participants (31.0%), severe as many as 96 23 participants (66.2%), and critical as many as 2 participants (1.4%). Meanwhile, in this study, 24

COVID-19 severity was divided into 2 groups, namely the non-severe group with 47
 participants (32.88%) and the severe group with 98 participants (68.53%).

There was a significant difference in blood component in the non-severe group and the 3 severe group as follows: leukocyte value was 8.005.00 (6.157.50 - 9.687.50) vs 9.840.00 4 $(7.420.00 - 12.830.00/\mu$ L; z = 2.431; p = 0.015), lymphocyte was 14.40 (8.83 - 21.65) vs 5 10.20 (6.60 – 16.80%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils was 77.40 (68.90 – 83.28) vs. 82.60 6 7 (76.00 - 87.10%; z = 2,464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin was 0.11 (0.07 - 0.22) vs 0.27 (0.13 - 0.22)0.46 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 3.323; p = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 ng/L); z = 0.001, CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (0.80 -8 z = 2.114; p = 0.034), and D-dimer was 810.00 (535.00 - 2,430.00) vs. 1,460.00 (740.00 -9 4,025 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the 10 levels of fibrinogen between participants in the two groups (465.50 \pm 176.04 vs. 480.06 \pm 11 165.92 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703; Table 2). 12

There was a significant difference between serum sRAGE in the non-severe group and the severe group (0.78 (0.63 – 1.00) vs. 1.47 (0.97 – 2.25 ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001; Table 2). There was a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r =0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe group was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5%, OR of 8.077 and AUC 0.868 CI 95% (Figure 1).

19

20 Discussion

This study assessed serum sRAGE based on the severity of COVID-19. The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that examined sRAGE as a biomarker for COVID-19. A study examined the association of sRAGE with severity and as an indicator of mechanical ventilation requirements, ARDS, and mortality in COVID-19 patients. The results showed an increase in serum sRAGE concentrations in COVID-19 patients based on severity [13]. These results are consistent with another study which stated a significant
 increase in serum sRAGE of ARDS patients admitted to non-isolated ICUs [14].

There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity. The 3 serum sRAGE values in the severe group show a significant difference from serum sRAGE 4 5 values in the non-severe group. The results are consistent with previous studies that showed an increase in serum sRAGE values in COVID-19 patients with a degree of severity. 6 7 Increased sRAGE values can also help predict respiratory disorders that require mechanical ventilation and the mortality rate of COVID-19 patients [13]. Increased serum sRAGE is 8 commonly found in ARDS patients admitted to the ICU [15]. As many as 20% of COVID-19 9 10 patients progress to the third phase called the involvement of the respiratory tract and progression to ARDS [16]. 11

Increased serum sRAGE values can occur due to a viral infection process that will 12 trigger an immune response, namely the innate immune system. Pattern-recognition receptors 13 (PRR) recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) involving toll-like 14 receptors (TLR) that detect components of infection and signaling tissue damage, one of 15 which is HMGB1. Then it continues to the process of indirect lung tissue damage, namely 16 17 damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that involve RAGE, NLR, TLR, and CLR 18 which can exacerbate the occurrence of tissue damage that has occurred previously. The process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more frequent due to an increase in 19 20 HMGB1 that result in the increased inflammatory response in the form of IL-1 and TNF-Alpha activation [17, 18]. 21

22 Other tissue damage processes can also occur when SARS-CoV2 invades AT2 cells 23 located in the periphery and subpleural so that the patient begins to feel hypoxia. SARS-24 CoV2 replicates in AT2 lead to cell damage and death. Dead AT2 cells release toxins and 25 damage surrounding cells. Infected cells send signals that are detected by the immune system which then releases cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α. These cytokine release aims to
 kill the virus, but it also causes damage to lung cells, namely diffuse alveolar damage,
 formation of hyaline membranes, and multinuclear giant cells. Abnormal wound healing
 leads to fibrosis [16, 19].
 This study, however, has limitations, including the need for a future study that

6 compares healthy individuals and pneumonia patients without COVID-19.

7

8 Conclusion

sRAGE is a biomarker that can be used to determine COVID-19 severity. The patients' 9 COVID-19 severity in this study is categorized into 2, namely non-severe and severe. Based 10 on blood component analysis, there are significant differences between the non-severe and 11 severe groups. The differences consist of leukocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, procalcitonin, 12 13 CRP, and D-dimer. The sRAGE values in the two groups also show a significant difference. In addition, there is a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity. 14 15 **Conflict of interest** 16 17 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 18

- We would like to thank the COVID-19 patients and Guardian. We would also thank Dr.
 Soetomo General Academic Hospital as the place of our research, and our editor "Fis Citra Ariyanto".
 4
- 24 Ethical approval

Acknowledgment

19

1	We have conducted an ethical approval base on the Declaration of Helsinki with registration
2	research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic
3	Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia (1954/KEPK/IV/2020).
4	
5	Funding
6	Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.
7	
8	Registration of research studies
9	Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics Committee in the Dr. Soetomo General
10	Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.
11	Unique identifying number or registration ID: 1954/KEPK/IV/2020.
12	Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked):
13	
14	Guarantor
14 15	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati.
14 15 16	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati.
14 15 16 17	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor
14 15 16 17 18	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final
14 15 16 17 18 19	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. Provenance and peer review
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Guarantor Resti Yudhawati. Author contributor All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. Provenance and peer review Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

References

- <u>Rothan HA, Byrareddy SN. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease</u>
 (COVID-19) outbreak. Journal of autoimmunity. 2020;109:102433. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433.
- _Harapan H, Itoh N, Yufika A, Winardi W, Keam S, Te H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019
 (COVID-19): A literature review. Journal of infection and public health. 2020;13(5):667 73. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.03.019.
- 7 3. _Djalante R, Lassa J, Setiamarga D, Sudjatma A, Indrawan M, Haryanto B, et al. Review
 8 and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March
 9 2020. Progress in disaster science. 2020;6:100091. doi: 10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091.
- 4. Son KB, Lee TJ, Hwang SS. Disease severity classification and COVID-19 outcomes, Republic of Korea. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2021;99(1):62-6. doi: 10.2471/blt.20.257758.
- Suryananda TD, Yudhawati R. Association of serum KL-6 levels on COVID-19 severity:
 A cross-sectional study design with purposive sampling. Annals of medicine and surgery
 (2012). 2021;69:102673. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102673.
- Seligman R, Ramos-Lima LF, Oliveira Vdo A, Sanvicente C, Pacheco EF, Dalla Rosa K.
 Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia: a state-of-the-art review. Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 2012;67(11):1321-5. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2012(11)17.
- Narvaez-Rivera RM, Rendon A, Salinas-Carmona MC, Rosas-Taraco AG. Soluble
 RAGE as a severity marker in community acquired pneumonia associated sepsis. BMC
 infectious diseases. 2012;12:15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-15.
- Sparvero LJ, Asafu-Adjei D, Kang R, Tang D, Amin N, Im J, et al. RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation. Journal of translational medicine. 2009;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-7-17.
- Chavakis T, Bierhaus A, Nawroth PP. RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products): a central player in the inflammatory response. Microbes and infection.
 2004;6(13):1219-25. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.004.
- Clynes R, Moser B, Yan SF, Ramasamy R, Herold K, Schmidt AM. Receptor for AGE
 (RAGE): weaving tangled webs within the inflammatory response. Current molecular
 medicine. 2007;7(8):743-51. doi: 10.2174/156652407783220714.
- Mathew G, Agha R. STROCSS 2021: Strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross sectional and case-control studies in surgery. International journal of surgery (London,
 England). 2021;96:106165. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.106165.
- García-Salido A, Melen G, Gómez-Piña V, Oñoro-Otero G, Serrano-González A,
 Casado-Flores J, et al. Circulating soluble RAGE and cell surface RAGE on peripheral
 blood mononuclear cells in healthy children. Journal of pediatric endocrinology &
 metabolism : JPEM. 2018;31(6):649-54. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2017-0512.
- 13. Lim A, Radujkovic A, Weigand MA, Merle U. Soluble receptor for advanced glycation
 end products (sRAGE) as a biomarker of COVID-19 disease severity and indicator of the
 need for mechanical ventilation, ARDS and mortality. Annals of intensive care.
 2021;11(1):50. doi: 10.1186/s13613-021-00836-2.
- 43 14. Jabaudon M, Berthelin P, Pranal T, Roszyk L, Godet T, Faure JS, et al. Receptor for
 44 advanced glycation end-products and ARDS prediction: a multicentre observational
 45 study. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):2603. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20994-x.
- 46 15. van Zoelen MA, Schouten M, de Vos AF, Florquin S, Meijers JC, Nawroth PP, et al. The
 47 receptor for advanced glycation end products impairs host defense in pneumococcal
 48 pneumonia. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950). 2009;182(7):4349-56. doi:
 49 10.4049/jimmunol.0801199.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.28"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

- 16. Mason RJ. Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. The European respiratory journal. 2020;55(4). doi: 10.1183/13993003.00607-2020.
- 17. Mondrinos MJ, Kennedy PA, Lyons M, Deutschman CS, Kilpatrick LE. Protein kinase C
 and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Shock (Augusta, Ga). 2013;39(6):467-79. doi:
 10.1097/SHK.0b013e318294f85a.
- 10.1097/SHK.0b013e318294f85a.
 18. Horiguchi H, Loftus TJ, Hawkins RB, Raymond SL, Stortz JA, Hollen MK, et al. Innate
 Immunity in the Persistent Inflammation, Immunosuppression, and Catabolism
 Syndrome and Its Implications for Therapy. Frontiers in immunology. 2018;9:595. doi:
 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00595.
- Carcaterra M, Caruso C. Alveolar epithelial cell type II as main target of SARS-CoV-2*
 virus and COVID-19 development via NF-Kb pathway deregulation: A physio pathological theory. Medical hypotheses. 2021;146:110412. doi:
- 13 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110412.
- 14

15 Figure Legend

- 16 Figure 1. Cut-off Serum sRAGE level based on severe and non-severe groups of COVID-19
- 17 patients

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.28", Space After: 0 pt

Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 Severity: A cross-sectional Study

3

4 Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 5 6 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early 7 lung damage. Objective: Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with COVID-19 8 severity. Methods: This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive 9 sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020 - October 2021. The number of 10 participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-severe 11 12 = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity was analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence t-test, Mann Withney test, and 13 Spearman's rank test with p-value <0.05. **Results**: The results of blood analysis showed 14 several blood components such as leukocytes (9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/µL; z = 2.431; p = 0.015), 15 lymphocytes (13.55 \pm 8.48%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils (78.91 \pm 10.50%; z = 2.464; 16 p = 0.014), procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/L; 17 z = 2.114; p = 0.034), D-dimer (4,360.29 \pm 7,797.81 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029), and 18 fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703). There was a significant difference 19 20 in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63 - 1.00] ng/mL) and severe group (1.47 [0.97 - 2.25] ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001). There was a significant association between 21 serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum 22 sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained 23 sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% CI. Conclusion: 24

- There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity and there is
 also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.
- 3

4 Keywords: serum sRAGE, COVID-19 severity, infectious disease

5

6 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 or better known as COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 7 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) became a worldwide pandemic at the 8 9 end of 2019 with various systemic complaints but was more dominant in respiratory disorders. The worldwide mortality rate was 2.1% by February 12, 2020 [1]. The February 10 2020 data by Johns Hopkins University's Center for Systems Science and Engineering 11 12 (CSSE) showed a total case of more than 60,331 patients, with a total death of more than 1,369 patients and an improvement of more than 6,061 patients [2]. On December 27, 2020, 13 the total number of worldwide cases was more than 79 million, including 1,751,311 deaths. 14 Incidents in Indonesia were 706,837 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 20,994 cases of 15 death [3]. 16

The severity of COVID-19 according to WHO is divided into mild, moderate, severe, 17 and critical [4, 5]. The most frequently encountered clinical symptoms are pneumonia 18 symptoms. Biomarkers are frequently used to determine the severity of pneumonia such as 19 procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP), copeptin, pro-ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide), 20 adrenomedullin, cortisol, and D-dimers [6]. These biomarkers are good in determining 21 infection in pneumonia but have not been able to detect early tissue damage, as patients often 22 go to the hospital with a more severe condition. Recent studies in immunology have 23 examined soluble RAGE (sRAGE) as a biomarker of the severity of community pneumonia 24 25 and can detect tissue damage in ARDS early [7].

Pathophysiology occurred in COVID-19 includes the inflammatory process. One of the 1 2 inflammatory processes during pneumonia is characterized by an increase in Receptors for 3 Advanced Glycation End-Products (RAGE). RAGE is one of the non-enzymatic receptors of 4 Advanced Glycation End-Products (AGEs) which has a multi-ligand receptor, namely a Vtype domain, two C-type domains, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. RAGE 5 has several ligands including AGEs, S100/calgranulins, and HMGB I which are present in 6 7 different vascular cells such as endothelial cells, neuronal cells, smooth muscle cells, or 8 inflammatory cells (monocytes). HMGB I is one of the RAGE ligands that play a role in the 9 occurrence of sepsis which can stimulate the formation of cytokines along with TLRs in the immune system cells (B cells) [8]. The interaction between RAGE and its ligands will cause 10 11 the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) which will activate NADPH oxidation. The 12 process will mediate the formation of inflammatory cells. Trianta et al. stated two processes of RAGE interaction with its ligands that are related to the inflammatory process, namely its 13 interaction with leukocytes and on endothelial cells, RAGE is an adhesive receptor and 14 15 directly forms inflammatory cells. The accumulation of RAGE ligands is predicted to cause chronic cell stimulation and tissue damage [9, 10]. 16

17 RAGE is expressed in the membrane-bound form (fl-RAGE or mRAGE) and the soluble form in the transmembrane domain. Soluble RAGE is produced by proteolytic 18 19 cleavage of fl-RAGE and alternative splicing mRNA [7]. The administration of sRAGE in 20 experimental animals can also interact with the RAGE ligand [10]. Based on these studies, the role of sRAGE becomes very important in determining COVID-19 diagnosis based on the 21 severity quickly, so that effective and adequate treatment planning can be carried out early to 22 23 reduce the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19 patients. In addition, the level of sRAGE in serum can detect early tissue damage which in turn can affect the severity of COVID-19 24 patients as common biomarkers have not been able to detect the process of tissue damage 25

early. Research on sRAGE in the serum of COVID-19 patients is still limited and has never
been carried out in Indonesia despite a few studies having been conducted in other countries.
This biomarker is also easy to use and at a more affordable cost, so we are interested in
analyzing the association of serum sRAGE on COVID-19 severity.

5

6 Methods

7 Participants

Participants in this study were COVID-19 patients diagnosed with real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [5]. Participants' inclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and aged >21 years. Participants' exclusion criteria included patients with a history of respiratory tract infection, myocardia infarct, cancer, and cerebral vascular attack. Participants who were willing to take part in the research first received an explanation of the rights and obligations of the participants, in which they voluntarily filled out the informed consent form.

15

16 Study Design

This study used a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was 17 carried out from May 2020 - October 2020. This study collected participant characteristics, 18 serum sRAGE, and COVID-19 severity. This study reported the data based on the 19 20 strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery (STROCSS) 2021 guideline [11]. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants that were divided into 2 groups 21 (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). The non-severe group consisted of participants identified 22 23 as having COVID-19 in the mild and moderate category, while the severe group consisted of participants identified as having COVID-19 in the severe and critical categories [5]. 24

25

1 Ethical Approval

2 We have conducted an ethical approval based on the Declaration of Helsinki with 3 registration research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Hospital.

4

5 Assessment of COVID-19 Severity

6 The severity of COVID-19 in this study was assessed using WHO criteria at the time of the initial examination of the patient, which distinguished the severity of COVID-19 from 7 8 being non-severe (mild-moderate category) and severe (severe-critical categories). Mild is a 9 symptomatic patient who meets the COVID-19 case definition without evidence of viral pneumonia or hypoxia. Moderate include clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, 10 dyspnoea, rapid breathing) but no signs of severe pneumonia, including SpO2 90% in room 11 12 air or PaO2 60 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). Severe shows clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, shortness of breath, rapid 13 breathing) plus one of respiratory rate >30 times/minute; severe respiratory distress or SpO2 14 15 <90% or PaO2 59 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). Critical when patients have ARDS, sepsis, and septic shock. Mild ARDS: 200 mmHg 16 17 <PaO2/FiO2a 300 mmHg (with PEEP or CPAP 5 cmH2O). Moderate ARDS: 100 mmHg <PaO2/FiO2 200 mmHg (with PEEP 5 cmH2O). ARDS weight: PaO2/FiO2 100 mmHg 18 19 (with PEEP 5 cmH2O) [5].

20

21 sRAGE serum examination

The sRAGE is soluble forms in the transmembrane domain of RAGE which the serum levels of sRAGE are determined using a specific sandwich human ELISA kit BioAssay (MyBioSource Inc, San Diego, USA). The sRAGE measurement is in the range of 0.31 – 2.00 ng/mL. These results were obtained from taking 5 cc venous blood samples [12]. 1

2 Statistical analysis

3 The analysis in this study used descriptive analysis and bivariate analysis. The 4 descriptive analysis includes a descriptive presentation of the results using a distribution table, mean, median, standard deviation, maximum value, and minimum value. The analysis 5 6 was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Participants' characteristic data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's 7 8 exact test. Meanwhile, the data from this study were first tested for normality using the 9 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Analysis of the association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity using the independence t-test or Mann Whitney test. The comparison between the 10 two variables is significant if p <0.05. In addition, Spearman's rank test was used to analyze 11 12 the association between two variables.

13

14 **Results**

15 Characteristics of Participants

The demographic characteristics of participants included age and gender. The average 16 age of participants was 50.54 ± 12.70 years (non-severe group = 49.11 ± 12.44 years and 17 severe group = 51.23 ± 12.83 years). The median age of participants was 52.00 (43.00 - 10.00)18 59.00) years of which the youngest participant was 22.00 years old and the oldest participant 19 was 80.00 years old. Most participants were in the age range of 35.00 - 55.00 years, 20 consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in the non-severe group and 51 participants (52.0%; p =21 0.705) in the severe group. Most participants were male (90 participants; 62.1%), consisting 22 23 of 25 participants (53.2%) in non-severe group and 65 participants in severe group (66.3%; OR = 0.577; *p* = 0.179; Table 1). 24

1 There were several clinical symptoms appeared, including shortness of breath in 122 participants (84.1%; 63.8% vs 93.9%; OR = 8,689; p < 0.001), fever in 61 participants 2 3 (42.1%; 46.8% vs 39.8%; OR = 0.751; p = 0.535), cough in 70 participants (70%; 59.6\% vs. 4 42.9%; OR = 0.509; p = 0.088), painful swallowing in 4 participants (2.8%; 2.1% vs. 3.1%; OR = 1.453; p = 1,000), and diarrhoea in 7 participants (4.8%; 6.4% vs. 4.1%; OR = 0.624; p5 6 = 0.682). Based on the outcome of the COVID-19 treatment, most of non-severe participants recovered as many as 41 participants (87.2%) and most of severe participants were declared 7 dead as many as 51 participants (52%; p < 0.001). Overall, 88 participants (60.7%) were 8 9 recovered. Several participants were declared to have comorbidities, including hypertension as many as 41 participants (28.3%; 27.7% vs 28.6%; OR = 1.046; p = 1.000), diabetes as 10 many as 66 participants (45.5%; 42.6% vs 46.9%; OR = 1,194; p = 0.750), and obesity as 11 12 many as 35 participants (24.1%; 19.1% vs. 26.5%; OR = 1.525; p = 0.444; Table 1).

13

Association of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) Serum with COVID-19 Severity

The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes 16 $(9,896.51 \pm 4,949.64/\mu L)$, lymphocytes $(13.55 \pm 8.48\%)$, neutrophils $(78.91 \pm 10.50\%)$, 17 procalcitonin (0.92 ± 3.22 ng/mL), CRP (8.59 ± 7.62 mg/ L), D-dimer (4,360.29 ± 7,797.81 18 ng/mL), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL). The average value of serum sRAGE was 19 20 1.48 ± 0.98 ng/mL, with a median value of 1.07 (0.85 - 1.84) ng/mL. The lowest and highest value of participants' serum sRAGE was 0.44 ng/mL and 5.14 ng/mL, respectively. The 21 results of the COVID-19 severity measurement were divided into 4: mild as many as 2 22 23 participants (1.4%), moderate as many as 45 participants (31.0%), severe as many as 96 participants (66.2%), and critical as many as 2 participants (1.4%). Meanwhile, in this study, 24

COVID-19 severity was divided into 2 groups, namely the non-severe group with 47
 participants (32.88%) and the severe group with 98 participants (68.53%).

3 There was a significant difference in blood component in the non-severe group and the 4 severe group as follows: leukocyte value was 8.005.00 (6.157.50 - 9.687.50) vs 9.840.00 $(7.420.00 - 12.830.00/\mu L; z = 2.431; p = 0.015)$, lymphocyte was 14.40 (8.83 - 21.65) vs 5 6 10.20 (6.60 – 16.80%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils was 77.40 (68.90 – 83.28) vs. 82.60 (76.00 - 87.10%; z = 2,464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin was 0.11 (0.07 - 0.22) vs 0.27 (0.13 - 0.22)7 0.46 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP was 4.65 (0.80 - 11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30 - 13.60 mg/L; 8 9 z = 2.114; p = 0.034), and D-dimer was 810.00 (535.00 - 2,430.00) vs. 1,460.00 (740.00 -4,025 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the 10 levels of fibrinogen between participants in the two groups (465.50 \pm 176.04 vs. 480.06 \pm 11 12 165.92 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703; Table 2).

There was a significant difference between serum sRAGE in the non-severe group and the severe group $(0.78 \ (0.63 - 1.00) \text{ vs. } 1.47 \ (0.97 - 2.25 \text{ ng/mL}; r = 7.154; p < 0.001; Table$ 2). There was a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity <math>(r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe group was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5%, OR of 8.077 and AUC 0.868 CI 95% (Figure 1).

19

20 Discussion

This study assessed serum sRAGE based on the severity of COVID-19. The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that examined sRAGE as a biomarker for COVID-19. A study examined the association of sRAGE with severity and as an indicator of mechanical ventilation requirements, ARDS, and mortality in COVID-19 patients. The results showed an increase in serum sRAGE concentrations in COVID-19 patients based on severity [13]. These results are consistent with another study which stated a significant
 increase in serum sRAGE of ARDS patients admitted to non-isolated ICUs [14].

3 There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity. The 4 serum sRAGE values in the severe group show a significant difference from serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group. The results are consistent with previous studies that showed 5 6 an increase in serum sRAGE values in COVID-19 patients with a degree of severity. 7 Increased sRAGE values can also help predict respiratory disorders that require mechanical ventilation and the mortality rate of COVID-19 patients [13]. Increased serum sRAGE is 8 9 commonly found in ARDS patients admitted to the ICU [15]. As many as 20% of COVID-19 patients progress to the third phase called the involvement of the respiratory tract and 10 progression to ARDS [16]. 11

12 Increased serum sRAGE values can occur due to a viral infection process that will trigger an immune response, namely the innate immune system. Pattern-recognition receptors 13 (PRR) recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) involving toll-like 14 15 receptors (TLR) that detect components of infection and signaling tissue damage, one of which is HMGB1. Then it continues to the process of indirect lung tissue damage, namely 16 damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that involve RAGE, NLR, TLR, and CLR 17 which can exacerbate the occurrence of tissue damage that has occurred previously. The 18 process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more frequent due to an increase in 19 20 HMGB1 that result in the increased inflammatory response in the form of IL-1 and TNF-Alpha activation [17, 18]. 21

Other tissue damage processes can also occur when SARS-CoV2 invades AT2 cells located in the periphery and subpleural so that the patient begins to feel hypoxia. SARS-CoV2 replicates in AT2 lead to cell damage and death. Dead AT2 cells release toxins and damage surrounding cells. Infected cells send signals that are detected by the immune system which then releases cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α. These cytokine release aims to
kill the virus, but it also causes damage to lung cells, namely diffuse alveolar damage,
formation of hyaline membranes, and multinuclear giant cells. Abnormal wound healing
leads to fibrosis [16, 19].

5 This study, however, has limitations, including the need for a future study that 6 compares healthy individuals and pneumonia patients without COVID-19.

7

8 Conclusion

9 sRAGE is a biomarker that can be used to determine COVID-19 severity. The patients'
10 COVID-19 severity in this study is categorized into 2, namely non-severe and severe. Based
11 on blood component analysis, there are significant differences between the non-severe and
12 severe groups. The differences consist of leukocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, procalcitonin,
13 CRP, and D-dimer. The sRAGE values in the two groups also show a significant difference.
14 In addition, there is a significant relationship between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity.

16 Conflict of interest

17 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

18

19 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the COVID-19 patients and Guardian. We would also thank Dr.
Soetomo General Academic Hospital as the place of our research, and our editor "Fis Citra
Ariyanto".

23

24 Ethical approval

1	We have conducted an ethical approval base on the Declaration of Helsinki with registration
2	research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic
3	Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia (1954/KEPK/IV/2020).
4	
5	Funding
6	Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.
7	
8	Registration of research studies
9	Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics Committee in the Dr. Soetomo General
10	Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.
11	Unique identifying number or registration ID: 1954/KEPK/IV/2020.
12	Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked):
13	
14	Guarantor
15	Resti Yudhawati.
16	
17	Author contributor
18	All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final
19	approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the
20	work.
21	
22	Provenance and peer review
23	Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.
24	
25	References

- Rothan HA, Byrareddy SN. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease
 (COVID-19) outbreak. Journal of autoimmunity. 2020;109:102433. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433.
- Harapan H, Itoh N, Yufika A, Winardi W, Keam S, Te H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019
 (COVID-19): A literature review. Journal of infection and public health. 2020;13(5):667 73. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.03.019.
- Djalante R, Lassa J, Setiamarga D, Sudjatma A, Indrawan M, Haryanto B, et al. Review
 and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March
 2020. Progress in disaster science. 2020;6:100091. doi: 10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091.
- Son KB, Lee TJ, Hwang SS. Disease severity classification and COVID-19 outcomes,
 Republic of Korea. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2021;99(1):62-6. doi:
 10.2471/blt.20.257758.
- Suryananda TD, Yudhawati R. Association of serum KL-6 levels on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study design with purposive sampling. Annals of medicine and surgery (2012). 2021;69:102673. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102673.
- Seligman R, Ramos-Lima LF, Oliveira Vdo A, Sanvicente C, Pacheco EF, Dalla Rosa K.
 Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia: a state-of-the-art review. Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 2012;67(11):1321-5. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2012(11)17.
- Narvaez-Rivera RM, Rendon A, Salinas-Carmona MC, Rosas-Taraco AG. Soluble
 RAGE as a severity marker in community acquired pneumonia associated sepsis. BMC
 infectious diseases. 2012;12:15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-15.
- Sparvero LJ, Asafu-Adjei D, Kang R, Tang D, Amin N, Im J, et al. RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation. Journal of translational medicine. 2009;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-7-17.
- Chavakis T, Bierhaus A, Nawroth PP. RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products): a central player in the inflammatory response. Microbes and infection.
 2004;6(13):1219-25. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.004.
- 10. Clynes R, Moser B, Yan SF, Ramasamy R, Herold K, Schmidt AM. Receptor for AGE
 (RAGE): weaving tangled webs within the inflammatory response. Current molecular
 medicine. 2007;7(8):743-51. doi: 10.2174/156652407783220714.
- Mathew G, Agha R. STROCSS 2021: Strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross sectional and case-control studies in surgery. International journal of surgery (London,
 England). 2021;96:106165. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.106165.
- 35 12. García-Salido A, Melen G, Gómez-Piña V, Oñoro-Otero G, Serrano-González A,
 36 Casado-Flores J, et al. Circulating soluble RAGE and cell surface RAGE on peripheral
 37 blood mononuclear cells in healthy children. Journal of pediatric endocrinology &
 38 metabolism : JPEM. 2018;31(6):649-54. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2017-0512.
- Lim A, Radujkovic A, Weigand MA, Merle U. Soluble receptor for advanced glycation
 end products (sRAGE) as a biomarker of COVID-19 disease severity and indicator of the
 need for mechanical ventilation, ARDS and mortality. Annals of intensive care.
 2021;11(1):50. doi: 10.1186/s13613-021-00836-2.
- 43 14. Jabaudon M, Berthelin P, Pranal T, Roszyk L, Godet T, Faure JS, et al. Receptor for
 44 advanced glycation end-products and ARDS prediction: a multicentre observational
 45 study. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):2603. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20994-x.
- van Zoelen MA, Schouten M, de Vos AF, Florquin S, Meijers JC, Nawroth PP, et al. The
 receptor for advanced glycation end products impairs host defense in pneumococcal
 pneumonia. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950). 2009;182(7):4349-56. doi:
 10.4049/jimmunol.0801199.

- Mason RJ. Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. The European respiratory journal. 2020;55(4). doi: 10.1183/13993003.00607-2020.
- 17. Mondrinos MJ, Kennedy PA, Lyons M, Deutschman CS, Kilpatrick LE. Protein kinase C
 and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Shock (Augusta, Ga). 2013;39(6):467-79. doi:
 10.1097/SHK.0b013e318294f85a.
- 18. Horiguchi H, Loftus TJ, Hawkins RB, Raymond SL, Stortz JA, Hollen MK, et al. Innate
 Immunity in the Persistent Inflammation, Immunosuppression, and Catabolism
 Syndrome and Its Implications for Therapy. Frontiers in immunology. 2018;9:595. doi:
 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00595.
- 10 19. Carcaterra M, Caruso C. Alveolar epithelial cell type II as main target of SARS-CoV-2
 virus and COVID-19 development via NF-Kb pathway deregulation: A physio pathological theory. Medical hypotheses. 2021;146:110412. doi:
 13 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110412.

15 Figure Legend

14

- 16 Figure 1. Cut-off Serum sRAGE level based on severe and non-severe groups of COVID-19
- 17 patients

ŧ

1 Table and Legend

2 Table 1. Characteristics of Participants

Characteristics	COVID-19 Severity			
Characteristics	Non-severe	Severe	<i>p</i>	
Age (years)				
21-35	8 (17.0)	12 (12.2)	0.705	
35-55	25 (53.2)	51 (52.0)		
55-65	8 (17.0)	24 (24.5)		
>65	6 (12.8)	11 (11.2)		
Gender				
Male	25 (53.2)	65 (66.3)	0.179	
Female	22 (46.8)	33 (33.7)		
Clinical symptoms				
Shortness of breath	30 (63.8)	92 (93.9)	< 0.001**	
Fever	22 (46.8)	39 (39.8)	0.535	
Cough	28 (59.6)	42 (42.9)	0.088	
Painful swallowing	1 (2.1)	3 (3.1)	1.000	
Diarrhea	3 (6.4)	4 (4.1)	0.682	
Outcome				
Recovered	41 (87.2)	47 (48.0)	< 0.001**	
Died	6 (12.8)	51 (52.0)		
Comorbid				
Hypertension	13 (27.7)	28 (28.6)	1.000	
Diabetes	20 (42.6)	46 (46.9)	0.750	
Obesity	9 (19.1)	26 (26.5)	0.444	

3 Note: *significant <0.05; **significant <0.01

4

5 Table 2. Comparison of Blood Component Based on COVID-19 Severity

Plood Analysis	COVID-19 Severity		12	
Blood Allarysis	Non-severe	Severe	p	
Leukocytes ($n = 139$)	$8{,}622.10 \pm 4{,}204.47$	$10{,}526.86 \pm 5{,}185.37$	0.015*	
Lymphocyte ($n = 139$)	15.50 ± 8.22	12.58 ± 8.49	0.024*	
Neutrophile ($n = 139$)	76.06 ± 10.36	80.32 ± 10.34	0.014*	
Procalcitonin ($n = 143$)	1.01 ± 4.67	0.88 ± 2.22	0.001*	
CRP (n = 90)	6.52 ± 6.71	9.53 ± 7.87	0.034*	
Fibrinogen ($n = 85$)	465.50 ± 176.04	480.06 ± 165.92	0.703	
D-Dimer ($n = 139$)	$2,790.64 \pm 5,558.74$	$5,162.17 \pm 8,641.11$	0.029*	
s-RAGE ($n = 143$)	0.82 ± 0.23	1.80 ± 1.04	< 0.001**	

6 Note: CRP = C-reactive protein; s-RAGE = soluble receptor for advanced glycation end

7 products; *significant <0.05; **significant <0.001</pre>

8





The ST	ROCSS 2021 Guideline	
ltem	Item description	Page
no.		
TITLE		1
1	Title	1
	 The word cohort or cross-sectional or case-control is included* 	
	 Temporal design of study is stated (e.g. retrospective or prospective) 	
	 The focus of the research study is mentioned (e.g. population, setting, 	
	disease, exposure/intervention, outcome etc.)	
	*STRACSS 2021 guidelines apply to ophert studios as well as other observational	
	studies (a g. cross-sectional, case-control etc.)	
ARCTD		
ADJIK	AUI Introduction briefly describe:	1
Za	Reckargund	I
	Dackyrounu Scientific retionale for this study	
	Scientific rationale for this study	
01	Alms and objectives	
ZD	Methods - Driefly describe:	1
	 Type of study design (e.g. conort, case-control, cross-sectional etc.) Other have a large at a start of a task a large in a large a matrix. 	
	 Other key elements of study design (e.g. retro-/prospective, single/multi- contract at a) 	
	centred etc.)	
	• Patient populations and/or groups, including control group, if applicable	
	• Exposure/interventions (e.g. type, operators, recipients, timetrames etc.)	
	Outcome measures – state primary and secondary outcome(s)	
2c	Results - briefly describe:	1
	 Summary data with qualitative descriptions and statistical relevance, 	
	where appropriate	
2d	Conclusion - briefly describe:	2
	Key conclusions	
	Implications for clinical practice	
	Need for and direction of future research	
INTRO	DUCTION	
3	Introduction – comprehensively describe:	2-4
	Relevant background and scientific rationale for study with reference to	
	key literature	
	 Research question and hypotheses, where appropriate 	
	Aims and objectives	
METHO	DDS	
4a	Registration	5
	 In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki[*], state the research 	
	registration number and where it was registered, with a hyperlink to the	
	registry entry (this can be obtained from ResearchRegistry.com,	
	Clinical I rials.gov, ISRC I N etc.)	
	All retrospective studies should be registered before submission; it should	
	be stated that the research was retrospectively registered	
	* "Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a publicly	
	accessible database before recruitment of the first subject"	
4b	Ethical approval	5
	 Reason(s) why ethical approval was needed 	
	Name of body giving ethical approval and approval number	
	 Where ethical approval wasn't necessary reason(s) are provided 	
		1

4c	Protocol	4
	 Give details of protocol (a priori or otherwise) including how to access it 	
	(e.g. web address, protocol registration number etc.)	
	 If published in a journal, cite and provide full reference 	
4d	Patient and public involvement in research	4
	 Declare any patient and public involvement in research 	
	 State the stages of the research process where patients and the public 	
	were involved (e.g. patient recruitment, defining research outcomes,	
	dissemination of results etc.) and describe the extent to which they were	
	involved.	
5a	Study design	4
	State type of study design used (e.g. cohort, cross-sectional, case-control	
	etc.)	
	Describe other key elements of study design (e.g. retro-/prospective,	
	single/multi-centred etc.)	
50	Setting and timetrame of research – comprehensively describe:	4
	Geographical location	
	Nature of institution (e.g. primary/secondary/tertiary care setting, district	
	general nospital/teaching nospital, public/private, low-resource setting	
	eic.)	
50	Dates (e.g. recruitment, exposure, rollow-up, data collection etc.)	4
50	Study groups	4
	Initial number of participants	
	 Number of groups Detail expegure/intervention ellegated to each group 	
	Detail exposure/intervention allocated to each group	
50	Number of participants in each group	1
Ju	Planned subgroup analyses	4
	 Methods used to examine subgroups and their interactions 	
62	Particinants – comprehensively describe:	Δ
υa	Inclusion and exclusion criteria with clear definitions	-
	 Sources of recruitment (e.g. physician referral study website social 	
	media posters etc.)	
	 I ength frequency and methods of follow-up (e.g. mail telephone etc.) 	
6b	Recruitment – comprehensively describe:	4
0.0	Methods of recruitment to each patient group (e.g. all at once, in batches,	-
	continuously till desired sample size is reached etc.)	
	Any monetary incentivisation of patients for recruitment and retention	
	should be declared; clarify the nature of any incentives provided	
	 Nature of informed consent (e.g. written, verbal etc.) 	
	Period of recruitment	
6c	Sample size – comprehensively describe:	4
	 Analysis to determine optimal sample size for study accounting for 	
	population/effect size	
	 Power calculations, where appropriate 	
	Margin of error calculation	
METHO	DDS - INTERVENTION AND CONSIDERATIONS	
7a	Pre-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:	5
	Preoperative patient optimisation (e.g. weight loss, smoking cessation,	
	glycaemic control etc.)	
	Pre-intervention treatment (e.g. medication review, bowel preparation,	
	correcting nypothermia/-volemia/-tension, mitigating bleeding risk, ICU	
1	care etc.)	
7b	Intervention – comprehensively describe:	5
-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---
	• Type of intervention and reasoning (e.g. pharmacological, surgical,	
	physiotherapy, psychological etc.)	
	Aim of intervention (preventative/therapeutic)	
	Concurrent treatments (e.g. antibiotics, analgesia, anti-emetics, VTE	
	prophylaxis etc.)	
	 Manufacturer and model details, where applicable 	
7c	Intra-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:	5
	 Details pertaining to administration of intervention (e.g. anaesthetic, 	
	positioning, location, preparation, equipment needed, devices, sutures,	
	operative techniques, operative time etc.)	
	 Details of pharmacological therapies used, including formulation, 	
	dosages, routes, and durations	
	Figures and other media are used to illustrate	
7d	Operator details – comprehensively describe:	5
	 Requirement for additional training 	
	Learning curve for technique	
	 Relevant training, specialisation and operator's experience (e.g. average 	
	number of the relevant procedures performed annually)	
7e	Quality control – comprehensively describe:	5
	 Measures taken to reduce inter-operator variability 	
	 Measures taken to ensure consistency in other aspects of intervention 	
	delivery	
	Measures taken to ensure quality in intervention delivery	
7f	Post-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:	5
	 Post-operative instructions (e.g. avoid heavy lifting) and care 	
	Follow-up measures	
	Future surveillance requirements (e.g. blood tests, imaging etc.)	
8	Outcomes – comprehensively describe:	5
	 Primary outcomes, including validation, where applicable 	
	Secondary outcomes, where appropriate	
	Definition of outcomes	
	If any validated outcome measurement tools are used, give full reference	
	Follow-up period for outcome assessment, divided by group	
9	Statistics – comprehensively describe:	6
	Statistical tests and statistical package(s)/software used	
	Confounders and their control, if known	
	 Analysis approach (e.g. intention to treat/per protocol) 	
	Any sub-group analyses	
	Level of statistical significance	
RESUL		
10a	Participants – comprenensively describe:	6
	Flow of participants (recruitment, non-participation, cross-over and with drawal with response). Use figure to illustrate	
	withdrawai, with reasons). Use figure to illustrate.	
	Population demographics (e.g. age, gender, relevant socioeconomic fontures, prognastic fontures, etc.)	
	I edures, progrostic realures etc.)	
105	Any significant numerical differences should be nighlighted	6
	railicipali comparing baseling sharesteristics of schort groups	Ö
	Include table comparing baseline characteristics of conort groups Cive differences, with statistical relevance	
	Give differences, with statistical relevance Departies any group matching, with matheda	
100	Describe any group matching, with methods	7
TUC	mervention – comprehensively describe:	1

	 Degree of novelty of intervention 		
	 Learning required for interventions 		
	 Any changes to interventions, with rationale and diagram, if appropriate 		
11a	Outcomes – comprehensively describe:	7	
	 Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group 		
	 Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable 		
	 Any confounding factors and state which ones are adjusted 		
11b	Tolerance – comprehensively describe:		
	 Assessment of tolerability of exposure/intervention 		
	Cross-over with explanation		
	 Loss to follow-up (fraction and percentage), with reasons 		
11c	Complications – comprehensively describe:	7	
	 Adverse events and classify according to Clavien-Dindo classification* 		
	Timing of adverse events		
	 Mitigation for adverse events (e.g. blood transfusion, wound care, revision 		
	surgery etc.)		
	*Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical Complications. A		
	New Proposal with Evaluation in a Cohort of 6336 Patients and Results of a Survey.		
10	Ann Surg. 2004; 240(2): 205-213		
12	Key results – comprenensively describe:	7-8	
	Key results with relevant raw data		
	Statistical analyses with significance Inducto table charging response findings and statistical analyses with		
	 Include table showing research findings and statistical analyses with significance 		
חופכוו	Significance		
13	Discussion – comprehensively describe:	8-10	
10	Conclusions and rationale	0-10	
	Reference to relevant literature		
	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice 		
	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care 		
	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation 		
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons 	10	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice 	-	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs 	-	
14	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned 	-	
14 15 CONCL	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned 	-	
14 15 CONCL 16	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned 	-	
14 15 CONCL 16	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions 	-	
14 15 CONCL 16	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions Outline key directions for future research 	- 10	
14 15 CONCL 16 DECLA	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions for future research 	-	
14 15 CONCL 16 DECLA 17a	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Outline key directions for future research 	- 10 10 -	
14 15 CONCL 16 DECLA 17a	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions Outline key directions for future research KATIONS Conflicts of interest Conflicts of interest, if any, are described 	- - -	
14 15 CONCL 16 DECLA 17a 17b	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions Outline key directions for future research KATIONS Conflicts of interest Conflicts of interest, if any, are described Funding 	- - 10 - - -	
14 15 CONCL 16 DECLA 17a 17b	 Reference to relevant literature Implications for clinical practice Comparison to current gold standard of care Relevant hypothesis generation Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe: Strengths of the study Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation Assessment and management of bias Deviations from protocol, with reasons Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe: Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned USION Conclusions Summarise key conclusions Outline key directions for future research Rations Conflicts of interest Conflicts of interest, if any, are described Funding Sources of funding (e.g. grant details), if any, are clearly stated 	10 - 10 - -	

17c 🛛	Contributorship	-
	 Acknowledge patient and public involvement in research; report the extent of involvement of each contributor 	

Table 2: The full revised STROCSS 2021 checklist

Cross-sectional Study

Association of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) serum on COVID-19 severity: A **a** cross-sectional study

(i) The corrections made in this section will be reviewed and approved by a journal production editor.

Gusti Noor Ramadany Saputra^a, Resti Yudhawati^{a,*}, restiyudhawati2021@gmail.com, Munawaroh Fitriah

^aDepartment of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga - Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia

^bDepartment of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga - Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia

Q3 *Corresponding author.

> *Corresponding author: Resti Yudhawati, Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga - Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Jl. Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-8, Airlangga, Gubeng, Surabaya, East Java 60286, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new health problem discovered in 2019 thus requires biomarkers that can detect early tissue damage. Soluble Rreceptor for aAdvanced Gglycation EendpProducts (sRAGE) is a biomarker that can be used to identify early lung damage.

Objective: Analyzing the association of serum sRAGE with<u>on</u> COVID-19 severity.

Methods: This study employed a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was conducted from May 2020-October 2021. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants which were divided into 2 groups (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). Association of sRAGE serum $\frac{1}{1000}$ COVID-19 severity was analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independence test, Mann Withney test, and Spearman's rank test with *p*-value <0.05.

Results: The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes $(9896.51 \pm 4949.64/\mu L; z = 2.431; p = 0.015)$, lymphocytes $(13.55 \pm 8.48\%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024)$, neutrophils (78.91 \pm 10.50%; z = 2.464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin (0.92 \pm 3.22 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP (8.59 \pm 7.62 mg/L; z = 2.114; p = 0.034), D-dimer (4360.29 \pm 7797.81 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029, and fibrinogen (474.58 ± 168.90 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703). There was a significant difference comparison in serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group (0.78 [0.63-1.00] ng/mL) and severe group (1.47 [0.97–2.25] ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < -0.001). There was a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p < -0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe groups was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5% OR 8.077 and AUC 0.868 95% CI.

Conclusion: There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity and there is also a significant difference in serum sRAGE in the two groups.

Keywords:

Serum sRAGE, COVID-19 severity, Infectious disease

1 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 or better known as COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) became a worldwide pandemic at the end of 2019 with various systemic complaints but was more dominant in respiratory disorders. The worldwide mortality rate was 2.1% by February 12, 2020 [1]. The February 2020 data by Johns Hopkins University's Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) showed a total case of more than 60,331 patients, with a total death of more than 1369 patients and an improvement of more than 6061 patients [2]. On December 27, 2020, the total number of worldwide cases was more than 79 million, including 1,751,311 deaths. Incidents in Indonesia were 706,837 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 20,994 cases of death [3].

The severity of COVID-19 according to WHO is divided into mild, moderate, severe, and critical [4,5]. The most frequently encountered clinical symptoms are pneumonia symptoms. Biomarkers are frequently used to determine the severity of pneumonia such as procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP), copeptin, pro-ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide), adrenomedullin, cortisol, and D-dimers [6]. These biomarkers are good in determining infection in pneumonia but have not been able to detect early tissue damage, as patients often go to the hospital with a more severe condition. Recent studies in immunology have examined soluble RAGE receptors for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) as a biomarker of the severity of community pneumonia and can detect tissue damage in ARDS early [7].

Pathophysiology occurred in COVID-19 includes the inflammatory process. One of the inflammatory processes during pneumonia is characterized by an increase in **R**receptors for **a**Advanced **G**glycation **E**gnd-**P**products (RAGE). RAGE is one of the non-enzymatic receptors of Advanced Glycation End-Products (AGEs) which has a multi-ligand receptor, namely a V-type domain, two C-type domains, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. RAGE has several ligands including AGEs, S100/calgranulins, and HMGB I which are present in different vascular cells such as endothelial cells, neuronal cells, smooth muscle cells, or inflammatory cells (monocytes). HMGB I is one of the RAGE ligands that play a role in the occurrence of sepsis which can stimulate the formation of cytokines along with TLRs in the immune system cells (B cells) [8]. The interaction between RAGE and its ligands will cause the formation of inflammatory cells. Trianta et al. stated two processes of RAGE interaction with its ligands that are related to the inflammatory process, namely its interaction with leukocytes and on endothelial cells, RAGE is an adhesive receptor and directly forms inflammatory cells. The accumulation of RAGE ligands is predicted to cause chronic cell stimulation and tissue damage [9,10].

RAGE is expressed in the membrane-bound form (fl-RAGE or mRAGE) and the soluble form in the transmembrane domain. Soluble RAGE is produced by proteolytic cleavage of fl-RAGE and alternative splicing mRNA [7]. The administration of sRAGE in experimental animals can also interact with the RAGE ligand [10]. Based on these studies, the role of sRAGE becomes very important in determining COVID-19 diagnosis based on the severity quickly, so that effective and adequate treatment planning can be carried out early to reduce the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19 patients. In addition, the level of sRAGE in serum can detect early tissue damage which in turn can affect the severity of COVID-19 patients as common biomarkers have not been able to detect the process of tissue damage early. Research on sRAGE in the serum of COVID-19 patients is still limited and has never been carried out in Indonesia despite a few studies having been conducted in other countries. This biomarker is also easy to use and at a more affordable cost, so we are interested in analyzing the association of serum sRAGE on COVID-19 severity.

2 Methods

2.1 Participants

Participants in this study were COVID-19 patients diagnosed with real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [5]. Participants' inclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and aged >21 years. Participants' exclusion criteria included patients with a history of respiratory tract infection, myocardia infarct, cancer, and cerebral vascular attack. Participants who were willing to take part in the research first received an explanation of the rights and obligations of the participants, in which they voluntarily filled out the informed consent form.

2.2 Study design

This study used a cross-sectional design with a consecutive sampling method. It was carried out from May 2020– October 2020. This study collected participant characteristics, serum sRAGE, and COVID-19 severity. This study reported the data based on the strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery (STROCSS) 2021 guideline [11]. The number of participants in this study was 145 participants that were divided into 2 groups (non-severe = 47 and severe = 98). The non-severe group consisted of participants identified as having COVID-19 in the mild and moderate category, while the severe group consisted of participants identified as having COVID-19 in the severe and critical categories [5].

Ethical approval

We have conducted an ethical approval based on the Declaration of Helsinki with registration research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Hospital.

2.3 Assessment of COVID-19 severity

The severity of COVID-19 in this study was assessed using WHO criteria at the time of the initial examination of the patient, which distinguished the severity of COVID-19 from being non-severe (mild-moderate category) and severe (severe-critical categories). Mild is a symptomatic patient who meets the COVID-19 case definition without evidence of viral pneumonia or hypoxia. Moderate include clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, dyspnoea, rapid breathing) but no signs of severe pneumonia, including SpO2 90% in room air or PaO2 60 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). Severe shows clinical symptoms of pneumonia (fever, cough, shortness of breath, rapid breathing) plus one of respiratory rate >30 times/minute; severe respiratory distress or SpO2 <90% or PaO2 59 mmHg (PaO2 measurements were obtained from patient medical records). Critical when patients have ARDS, sepsis, and septic shock. Mild ARDS: 200 mmHg (with PEEP 5 cmH2O). ARDS weight: PaO2/FiO2 100 mmHg (with PEEP 5 cmH2O) [5].

2.4 sRAGE serum examination

The sRAGE is soluble forms in the transmembrane domain of RAGE which the serum levels of sRAGE are determined using a specific sandwich human ELISA kit BioAssay (MyBioSource Inc, San Diego, USA). The sRAGE measurement is in the range of 0.31–2.00 ng/mL. These results were obtained from taking 5 cc venous blood samples [12].

2.5 Statistical analysis

The analysis in this study used descriptive analysis and bivariate analysis. The descriptive analysis includes a descriptive presentation of the results using a distribution table, mean, median, standard deviation, maximum value, and minimum value. The analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Participants' characteristic data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Meanwhile, the data from this study were first tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Analysis of the association of sRAGE serum with COVID-19 severity using the independence test or Mann Whitney test. The comparison between the two variables is significant if p < 0.05. In addition, Spearman's rank test was used to analyze the association between two variables.

3 Results

3.1 Characteristics of participants

The demographic characteristics of participants included age and gender. The average age of participants was 50.54 ± 12.70 years (non-severe group = 49.11 ± 12.44 years and severe group = 51.23 ± 12.83 years). The median age of participants was 52.00 (43.00-59.00) years of which the youngest participant was 22.00 years old and the oldest participant was 80.00 years old. Most participants were in the age range of 35.00-55.00 years, consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in the non-severe group and 51 participants (52.0%; p = 0.705) in the severe group. Most participants were male (90 participants; 62.1%), consisting of 25 participants (53.2%) in non-severe group and 65 participants in severe group (66.3%; OR = 0.577; p = 0.179; Table 1).

alt-text: Table 1 Table 1			
 The table layout displayed in this section is not how it will appear in the final version. The representation below is solely purposed for providing corrections to the table. To preview the actual presentation of the table, please view the Proof. 			
Characteristics	COVID-19 Severity		р
	Non-severe	Severe	
Age (years)			0.705

21-35	8 (17.0)	12 (12.2)		
35-55	25 (53.2)	51 (52.0)		
55-65	8 (17.0)	24 (24.5)		
>65	6 (12.8)	11 (11.2)		
Gender				
Male	25 (53.2)	65 (66.3)	0.179	
Female	22 (46.8)	33 (33.7)		
Clinical symptoms				
Shortness of breath	30 (63.8)	92 (93.9)	<0.001**	
Fever	22 (46.8)	39 (39.8)	0.535	
Cough	28 (59.6)	42 (42.9)	0.088	
Painful swallowing	1 (2.1)	3 (3.1)	1.000	
Diarrhea	3 (6.4)	4 (4.1)	0.682	
Outcome				
Recovered	41 (87.2)	47 (48.0)	<0.001**	
Died	6 (12.8)	51 (52.0)	-	
Comorbid				
Hypertension	13 (27.7)	28 (28.6)	1.000	
Diabetes	20 (42.6)	46 (46.9)	0.750	
Obesity	9 (19.1)	26 (26.5)	0.444	

There were several clinical symptoms appeared, including shortness of breath in 122 participants (84.1%; 63.8% vs 93.9%; OR = 8689; p < 0.001), fever in 61 participants (42.1%; 46.8% vs 39.8%; OR = 0.751; p = 0.535), cough in 70 participants (70%; 59.6% vs. 42.9%; OR = 0.509; p = 0.088), painful swallowing in 4 participants (2.8%; 2.1% vs 3.1%; OR = 1.453; p = 1.000), and diarrheea in 7 participants (4.8%; 6.4% vs. 4.1%; OR = 0.624; p = 0.682). Based on the outcome of the COVID-19 treatment, most of non-severe participants recovered as many as 41 participants (87.2%) and most of severe participants were declared dead as many as 51 participants (52%; p < 0.001). Overall, 88 participants (60.7%) were recovered. Several participants were declared to have comorbidities, including hypertension as many as 41 participants (28.3%; 27.7% vs 28.6%; OR = 1.046; p = 1.000), diabetes as many as 66 participants (45.5%; 42.6% vs 46.9%; OR = 1.194; p = 0.750), and obesity as many as 35 participants (24.1%; 19.1% vs. 26.5%; OR = 1.525; p = 0.444; Table 1).

3.2 Association of soluble receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) serum with COVID-19 severity

The results of blood analysis showed several blood components such as leukocytes (9896.51 \pm 4949.64/µL), lymphocytes (13.55 \pm 8.48%), neutrophils (78.91 \pm 10.50%), procalcitonin (0.92 \pm 3.22 ng/mL), CRP (8.59 \pm 7.62 mg/L), D-dimer (4360.29 \pm 7797.81 ng/mL), and fibrinogen (474.58 \pm 168.90 mg/dL). The average value of serum sRAGE was 1.48 \pm 0.98 ng/mL, with a median value of 1.07 (0.85–1.84) ng/mL. The lowest and highest value of participants' serum sRAGE was 0.44 ng/mL and 5.14 ng/mL, respectively. The results of the COVID-19 severity measurement were divided into 4: mild as many as 2 participants (1.4%), moderate as many as 45 participants (31.0%), severe as many as 96 participants (66.2%), and critical as many as 2 participants (1.4%). Meanwhile, in this study, COVID-19 severity was divided into 2 groups, namely the non-severe group with 47 participants (32.88%) and the severe group with 98 participants (68.53%).

There was a significant difference in blood component in the non-severe group and the severe group as follows: leukocyte value was 8005.00 (6157.50–9687.50) vs 9840.00 (7420.00–12,830.00/ μ L; z = 2.431; p = 0.015), lymphocyte was 14.40 (8.83–21.65) vs 10.20 (6.60–16.80%; z = 2.256; p = 0.024), neutrophils was 77.40 (68.90– 83.28) vs. 82.60 (76.00–87.10%; z = 2464; p = 0.014), procalcitonin was 0.11 (0.07–0.22) vs 0.27 (0.13–0.46 ng/mL; z = 3.323; p = 0.001), CRP was 4.65 (0.80–11.35) vs. 8.70 (2.30–13.60 mg/L; z = 2.114; p = 0.034), and D-dimer was 810.00 (535.00–2430.00) vs. 1460.00 (740.00–4025 ng/mL; z = 2.186; p = 0.029). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the levels of fibrinogen between participants in the two groups (465.50 ± 176.04 vs. 480.06 ± 165.92 mg/dL; t = 0.383; p = 0.703; Table 2). (i) The table layout displayed in this section is not how it will appear in the final version. The representation below is solely purposed for providing corrections to the table. To preview the actual presentation of the table, please view the Proof.

Comparison of blood component based on COVID-19 severity.

Discil Analysis	COVID-19 Severity			
Blood Analysis	Non-severe	Severe	р	
Leukocytes (n = 139)	8622.10 ± 4204.47	10,526.86 ± 5185.37	0.015*	
Lymphocyte (n = 139)	15.50 ± 8.22	12.58 ± 8.49	0.024*	
Neutrophile (n = 139)	76.06 ± 10.36	80.32 ± 10.34	0.014*	
Procalcitonin (n = 143)	1.01 ± 4.67	0.88 ± 2.22	0.001*	
CRP (n = 90)	6.52 ± 6.71	9.53 ± 7.87	0.034*	
Fibrinogen (n = 85)	465.50 ± 176.04	480.06 ± 165.92	0.703	
D-Dimer (n = 139)	2790.64 ± 5558.74	5162.17 ± 8641.11	0.029*	
s-RAGE (n = 143)	0.82 ± 0.23	1.80 ± 1.04	<0.001**	
Note: CRP = C-reactive protein; s-RAGE = soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products; *significant <0.05; **significant <0.001				

There was a significant difference between serum sRAGE in the non-severe group and the severe group of 0.78 (0.63–1.00) vs 1.47 (0.97–2.25 ng/mL; r = 7.154; p < 0.001; Table 2). There was a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity (r = 0.598; p < 0.001). The cut-off value for serum sRAGE between the severe and non-severe group was 0.985 ng/mL. This study obtained sensitivity of 73.5%, specificity of 74.5%, OR of 8.077 and AUC 0.868 CI 95% (Fig. 1).



4 Discussion

This study assessed serum sRAGE based on the severity of COVID-19. The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that examined sRAGE as a biomarker for COVID-19. A study examined the association of sRAGE with severity and as an indicator of mechanical ventilation requirements, ARDS, and mortality in COVID-19 patients. The results showed an increase in serum sRAGE concentrations in COVID-19 patients based on severity [13]. These results are consistent with another study which stated a significant increase in serum sRAGE of ARDS patients admitted to non-isolated ICUs [14].

There is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity. The serum sRAGE values in the severe group show a significant difference from serum sRAGE values in the non-severe group. The results are consistent with previous studies that showed an increase in serum sRAGE values in COVID-19 patients with a degree of severity. Increased sRAGE values can also help predict respiratory disorders that require mechanical ventilation and the mortality rate of COVID-19 patients [13]. Increased serum sRAGE is commonly found in ARDS patients admitted to the ICU [15]. As many as 20% of COVID-19 patients progress to the third phase called the involvement of the respiratory tract and progression to ARDS [16].

Increased serum sRAGE values can occur due to a viral infection process that will trigger an immune response, namely the innate immune system. Pattern-recognition receptors (PRR) recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) involving toll-like receptors (TLR) that detect components of infection and signaling tissue damage, one of which is HMGB1. Then it continues to the process of indirect lung tissue damage, namely damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that involve RAGE, NLR, TLR, and CLR which can exacerbate the occurrence of tissue damage that has occurred previously. The process of interaction of sRAGE with its ligand becomes more frequent due to an increase in HMGB1 that result in the increased inflammatory response in the form of IL-1 and TNF-Alpha activation [17,18].

Other tissue damage processes can also occur when SARS-CoV2 invades AT2 cells located in the periphery and subpleural so that the patient begins to feel hypoxia. SARS-CoV2 replicates in AT2 lead to cell damage and death. Dead AT2 cells release toxins and damage surrounding cells. Infected cells send signals that are detected by the immune system which then releases cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α . These cytokine release aims to kill the virus, but it also causes damage to lung cells, namely diffuse alveolar damage, formation of hyaline membranes, and multinuclear giant cells. Abnormal wound healing leads to fibrosis [16,19].

This study, however, has limitations, including the need for a future study that compares healthy individuals and pneumonia patients without COVID-19.

5 Conclusion

sRAGE is a biomarker that can be used to determine COVID-19 severity. The patients' COVID-19 severity in this study is categorized into 2, namely non-severe and severe. Based on blood component analysis, there are significant differences between the non-severe and severe groups. The differences consist of leukocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, procalcitonin, CRP, and D-dimer. The sRAGE values in the two groups also show a significant difference. In addition, there is a significant association between serum sRAGE and COVID-19 severity.

Ethical approval

We have conducted an ethical approval base on the Declaration of Helsinki with registration research at the Health Research Ethics Committee in Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia, (1954/KEPK/IV/2020).

Funding

Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Registration of research studies

Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics Committee in the Dr. Soctomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Unique identifying number or registration ID: 1954/KEPK/IV/2020.

Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked):

Guarantor

The Guarantor is the one or more people who accept full responsibility for the work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Guarantor

Resti Yudhawati.

Author contributor

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

Annals of medicine and surgery

The following information is required for submission. Please note that failure to respond to these questions/statements will mean your submission will be returned. If you have nothing to declare in any of these categories then this should be stated.

Please state any conflicts of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Please state any sources of funding for your research

All sources of funding should be declared as an acknowledgement at the end of the text. Authors should declare the role of study sponsors, if any, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. If the study sponsors had no such involvement, the authors should so state.

None.

Ethical approval

Research studies involving patients require ethical approval. Please state whether approval has been given, name the relevant ethics committee and the state the reference number for their judgement.

We have conducted an ethical approval base on Declaration of Helsinki at Ethical Committee in Dr. Soctomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Consent

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethies committee approval and fully informed written consent which should be documented in the paper.

Authors must obtain written and signed consent to publish a case report from the patient (or, where applicable, the patient's guardian or next of kin) prior to submission. We ask Authors to confirm as part of the submission process that such consent has been obtained, and the manuscript must include a statement to this effect in a consent section at the end of the manuscript, as follows: "Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request²⁰.

Patients have a right to privacy. Patients' and volunteers' names, initials, or hospital numbers should not be used. Images of patients or volunteers should not be used unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and explicit permission has been given as part of the consent. If such consent is made subject to any conditions, **the Editor in Chief** must be made aware of all such conditions.

Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. If identifying eharacteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Author contributions

Please specify the contribution of each author to the paper, e.g. study concept or design, data collection, data analysis or interpretation, writing the paper, others, who have contributed in other ways should be listed as contributors.

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Registration of research studies

In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2013, all research involving human participants has to be registered in a publicly accessible database. Please enter the name of the registry and the unique identifying number (UIN) of your study.

You can register any type of research at http://www.researchregistry.com to obtain your UIN if you have not already registered. This is mandatory for human studies only. Trials and certain observational research can also be registered elsewhere such as: ClinicalTrials.gov or ISRCTN or numerous other registries.

- 1. Name of the registry: Health Research Ethics <u>Committee</u> in the Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia.
- 2. Unique Identifying number or registration ID: 1954/KEPK/IV/2020.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the COVID-19 patients and Guardian. We would also thank Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital as the place of our research, and our editor "Fis Citra Ariyanto".

Appendix A Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103303.

References

(*i*) The corrections made in this section will be reviewed and approved by a journal production editor. The newly added/removed references and its citations will be reordered and rearranged by the production team.

- [1] H.A. Rothan, S.N. Byrareddy, The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak, J. Autoimmun. 109 (2020) 102433, doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433.
- [2] H. Harapan, N. Itoh, A. Yufika, W. Winardi, S. Keam, H. Te, et al., Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a literature review, J.of infection and public health 13 (5) (2020) 667–673, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2020.03.019.
- [3] R. Djalante, J. Lassa, D. Setiamarga, A. Sudjatma, M. Indrawan, B. Haryanto, et al., Review and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: period of january to march 2020, Progress in disaster science 6 (2020) 100091, doi:10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091.
- [4] K.B. Son, T.J. Lee, S.S. Hwang, Disease severity classification and COVID-19 outcomes, Republic of Korea, Bull. World Health Organ. 99 (1) (2021) 62–66, doi:10.2471/blt.20.257758.
- [5] T.D. Suryananda, R. Yudhawati, Association of serum KL-6 levels on COVID-19 severity: a crosssectional study design with purposive sampling, Ann. Med. Surg. 69 (2021) 2012 102673, doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102673.
- [6] R. Seligman, L.F. Ramos-Lima, A. Oliveira Vdo, C. Sanvicente, E.F. Pacheco, K. Dalla Rosa, Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia: a state-of-the-art review, Clinics 67 (11) (2012) 1321– 1325, doi:10.6061/clinics/2012(11)17.
- [7] R.M. Narvaez-Rivera, A. Rendon, M.C. Salinas-Carmona, A.G. Rosas-Taraco, Soluble RAGE as a severity marker in community acquired pneumonia associated sepsis, BMC Infect. Dis. 12 (2012) 15, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-12-15.
- [8] L.J. Sparvero, D. Asafu-Adjei, R. Kang, D. Tang, N. Amin, J. Im, et al., RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation, J. Transl. Med. 7 (2009) 17, doi:10.1186/1479-5876-7-17.

- [9] T. Chavakis, A. Bierhaus, P.P. Nawroth, RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products): a central player in the inflammatory response, Microb. Infect. 6 (13) (2004) 1219–1225, doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.004.
- [10] R. Clynes, B. Moser, S.F. Yan, R. Ramasamy, K. Herold, A.M. Schmidt, Receptor for AGE (RAGE): weaving tangled webs within the inflammatory response, Curr. Mol. Med. 7 (8) (2007) 743– 751, doi:10.2174/156652407783220714.
- [11] G. Mathew, R. Agha, Strocss 2021: strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and casecontrol studies in surgery, Int. J. Surg. 96 (2021) 106165, doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.106165.
- [12] A. García-Salido, G. Melen, V. Gómez-Piña, G. Oñoro-Otero, A. Serrano-González, J. Casado-Flores, et al., Circulating soluble RAGE and cell surface RAGE on peripheral blood mononuclear cells in healthy children, J. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab.: JPEM (J. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab.) 31 (6) (2018) 649–654, doi:10.1515/jpem-2017-0512.
- [13] A. Lim, A. Radujkovic, M.A. Weigand, U. Merle, Soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) as a biomarker of COVID-19 disease severity and indicator of the need for mechanical ventilation, ARDS and mortality, Ann. Intensive Care 11 (1) (2021) 50, doi:10.1186/s13613-021-00836-2.
- [14] M. Jabaudon, P. Berthelin, T. Pranal, L. Roszyk, T. Godet, J.S. Faure, et al., Receptor for advanced glycation end-products and ARDS prediction: a multicentre observational study, Sci. Rep. 8 (1) (2018) 2603, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20994-x.
- [15] M.A. van Zoelen, M. Schouten, A.F. de Vos, S. Florquin, J.C. Meijers, P.P. Nawroth, et al., The receptor for advanced glycation end products impairs host defense in pneumococcal pneumonia, J. Immunol. (Baltimore, Md : 1950 182 (7) (2009) 4349–4356, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0801199.
- [16] R.J. Mason, Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective, Eur. Respir. J. 55 (4) (2020), doi:10.1183/13993003.00607-2020.
- [17] M.J. Mondrinos, P.A. Kennedy, M. Lyons, C.S. Deutschman, L.E. Kilpatrick, Protein kinase C and acute respiratory distress syndrome, Shock 39 (6) (2013) 467–479, doi:10.1097/SHK.0b013e318294f85a.
- [18] H. Horiguchi, T.J. Loftus, R.B. Hawkins, S.L. Raymond, J.A. Stortz, M.K. Hollen, et al., Innate immunity in the persistent inflammation, immunosuppression, and catabolism syndrome and its implications for therapy, Front. Immunol. 9 (2018) 595, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.00595.
- [19] M. Carcaterra, C. Caruso, Alveolar epithelial cell type II as main target of SARS-CoV-2 virus and COVID-19 development via NF-Kb pathway deregulation: a physio-pathological theory, Med. Hypotheses 146 (2021) 110412, doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110412.

Highlights

- Serum sRAGE can be used to identify COVID-19 severity.
- The level of serum sRAGE in each COVID-19 patient is different.
- The blood components of each COVID-19 severity are different.

Appendix A Supplementary data

The following is the Supplementary data to this article:

Multimedia Component 1

Multimedia component 1

alt-text: Multimedia component 1

Queries and Answers

Q1

Query: Correctly acknowledging the primary funders and grant IDs of your research is important to ensure compliance with funder policies. Please make sure that funders are mentioned accordingly. Answer: Reviewed

Q2

Query: Please confirm that the provided email "restiyudhawati2021@gmail.com" is the correct address for official communication, else provide an alternate e-mail address to replace the existing one, because private e-mail addresses should not be used in articles as the address for communication.

Answer: Reviewed

Q3

Query: Note: The author's telephone/fax number has been removed as these are not published in journal articles. Answer: Done

Q4

Query: Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly and are presented in the desired order and please carefully verify the spelling of all authors' names.

Answer: Reviewed