



Vidjiati Widjiati<sup>ar</sup>, Zakiyatul Faizah<sup>a</sup>, Ninik Darsini<sup>a</sup>, ViskiFitri Hendrawan<sup>a</sup>, HellyNui Karima<sup>a</sup>, Choirunil Chotimah<sup>a</sup>, Sutiman B. Sumitro<sup>5</sup>,LitaRakhma Yustinasari<sup>6</sup>, A. A. Muhammad Nur Kasman<sup>7</sup>, JulianoMwenda Ntoruru<sup>8</sup>, Epy Muhammad Luqman<sup>6</sup>

- (1) Post Graduate School of Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, Indonesia;
- (2) Department of Biomedical Science Faculty of Medicine Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, Indonesia;
- GO Department of Reproduction Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universitas Brawijaya Malang, Indonesia;
- (4) Bio-Science Central Laboratory Universitas Brawijaya Malang, Indonesia;
- (5) Department of Biology Faculty of Science UniversitasBrawijayaMalang, Indonesia;
- (6) Department of Veterinary Science Faculty of Veterinary Medicine UniversitasAirlangga Surabaya, Indonesia;
- (7) Faculty of Health Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram
- (8) Research Assistant, Meru University Kenya

Kampus B Unair Jl. DharmawangsaDalam Selatan 30, Surabaya 60286Indonesia, Telp. +62315041566, +62315041536, Fax. +62315029856. Corresponding Author:widjiati@fkh.unair.ac.id.

## Abstract

## The process of vitrification of the cumulus-oocyte complex (COCs) often results in cold shock...

When warming, heat shock occurs which can disrupt the balance of intracellular calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) intensity. Drastic changes in temperature cause Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), So that it will affect changes on Ca<sup>2+</sup> in COCs. The role of calcium is needed for oocyte activation in the fertilization process. The purpose of this study was to measure the expression of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and the intensity of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in COCsafter vitrification. The study was divided into 2 groups, namely the control group (C) of fresh COCs, and the treatment group(T) of COCsafter vitrification. After vitrification for 24 hours, then thawing, then examined the expression of Ca<sup>2+</sup> by Immunocytochemistry method and the intensity of calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) with Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM). The research data obtained were analyzed statistically by T-Test. The results showed that the expression of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the control group (12.00±0.00) was

(1059.43±489.59) was different from the treatment group (568.21± 84.31). In conclusion of this study is that cryopreservation affects calcium in COCs, there were differences in the expression and the intensity of Ca<sup>2+</sup> between fresh COCsand COCs after vitrification. Ca<sup>2+</sup> intensity of COCsafter vitrification was concentrated in the nucleus, while fresh COCsin the cytoplasm.

Keywords: Calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>), Cumulus-oocyte complex (COCs), food production, Kacang goat,

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope.

## Introduction

Kacang goat is one of Indonesia's germ-plasm, where its body shape is small and economically may not be profitable compared to foreign goats, but it has several advantages, including being easy to adapt because it is native to Indonesia and maintenance costs are not expensive. The breakthrough technology to produce goat embryos quickly can be done in vitro using the In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) method either conventionally by adding mature eggs with spermatozoa outside the body or using the Intra-Cytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI) method. The main obstacles to goat embryo production through conventional IVF are low oocyte quality and limited oocyte sources for in vitro goat embryo production (Nasar et al. 2007).

Oocytes as a source of female gamete cells can be stored before maturation as an oocyte bank. Oocyte bank is a breakthrough for providing gamete cells for in vitro fertilization. Storage of oocytes for a long time for oocyte bank purposes can be done by cryopreservation. The best oocyte cryopreservation method can be done by the vitrification method (Rienzi et al., 2017). The vitrification method is often used because there is no crystal ice formation and the processing time is faster than the slow freezing method (MunckandVajta. 2017). According to Tavukcuoglu et al. (2012), the drawback of the vitrification method is that osmotic stress and toxic content in cryoprotectants can also reduce the level of oocyte quality.

Chen and Yang (2009) explained that both the slow-freezing method with increased sucrose concentration and new vitrification techniques significantly improve the results of cryopreservation of human oocytes. The survival of cryopreserved oocytes ranged from 74% to 90% using the slow-freezing method and from 84% to 99% by vitrification method. Overall, the survival rate of oocytes from vitrification (95%, 899/948) appeared higher than that of the slow-freezing method (75%, 1,275/1,683). For both protocols, firstthe cells must be treated with a combination of cell-permeating and non-permeating agents to minimizeice formation that can severely damage the cell. The stark difference between the success of oocyte and embryo

PAGE 1 OF 16 4899 WORDS ENGLISH (UNITED STATES)







