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Abstract

Introduction: The novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused a pandemic. Many studies have shown that several laboratory parameters are related to disease severity and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 cases. This meta-analysis aimed to determine the relationship of a prognostic factor, D-dimer, with disease severity, need for intensive care unit (ICU) care, and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 patients.

Methods: A systematic search for all observational studies and trials involving adult patients with SARS-CoV-2 that had any data related to D-dimer on admission was conducted using PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, ProQuest, and MedRxiv databases. We performed random-effects inverse-variance weighting analysis using mean difference (MD) of D-dimer values for outcomes such as disease severity, mortality, and need for ICU care.

Results: A total of 29 studies (4,328 patients) were included in this meta-analysis, which revealed a higher mean of D-dimer levels on admission in severe patients than in nonsevere patients (MD = 0.95, [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.61-1.28], \( P < .05; \) \( I^2 = 90\% \)). The nonsurvivor group had a higher pooled MD of D-dimer values on admission (MD = 5.54 [95% CI: 3.40-7.67], \( P < .05; \) \( I^2 = 90\% \)). Patients who needed ICU admission had insignificantly higher D-dimer values than patients who did not need ICU admission (MD = 0.29, [95% CI: -0.05 to 0.63], \( P = .10; \) \( I^2 = 71\% \)).

Conclusion: Elevated D-dimer levels on admission were associated with an increased risk of disease severity and mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Keywords
D-dimer, laboratory, mortality, prognostic factor, SARS-CoV-2, severity

1 | INTRODUCTION

A new viral pneumonia was first detected in Wuhan, China, and was found to be caused by a novel coronavirus, later identified as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), that has since then caused a pandemic. Previous reports have shown that certain laboratory parameters correlated with disease severity and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection.\textsuperscript{1} The levels of D-dimer, an important prognostic factor, were found to be higher in patients with a clinically severe case of SARS-CoV-2 than in nonsevere cases.\textsuperscript{2} A better understanding of this prognostic factor can help physicians predict the disease severity and need for intensive care unit (ICU) care in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. This meta-analysis aimed to determine the relationship...
of D-dimer with disease severity and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 patients.

2 METHODS

We conducted this study following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. We selected all observational studies and trials involving adult patients with SARS-CoV-2 that had any data on D-dimer values for comparing different groups: severe vs. nonsevere; ICU vs. non-ICU; survivor vs. nonsurvivor. We excluded any study that did not have the required data, collect D-dimer data on admission, and report D-dimer data in numerical values.

A systematic literature search was carried out after receiving approval from the Institutional Review Board. Five different databases (PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, ProQuest, and MedRxiv) were used to perform a systematic search of all the literature using the keywords "intensive" and "laboratory" and "COVID-19" or "coronavirus 2019" or "2019-nCoV" or "SARS-CoV-2," in the title, abstract, and medical subject heading (MeSH). We used "laboratory" as a search term instead of D-dimer because earlier studies did not consider D-dimer as an important factor, and hence, this factor was reported as data related to the laboratory report on admission. D-dimer levels were neither mentioned nor discussed separately in these reports. The reference lists of the studies included were screened to identify additional studies relevant to D-dimer.

Three investigators independently screened and assessed titles and abstracts before full-text retrieval. The other two authors reviewed the papers for final inclusion and extracted data including authors, year of publication, location, study design, peer-reviewed publication status, disease severity measurement, and D-dimer levels in each comparison group.

The primary outcome in our meta-analysis was the D-dimer levels on admission based on the severity of the case. We used all definitions of severity. If the study categorized disease severity into three or four groups, we combined all the data found in the mild and moderate group into one group as nonsevere; severe and critical groups were combined into one group as severe. The average of their mean and standard deviation was calculated using the formula in Table 7.7a of the Cochrane Handbook. The secondary outcomes were D-dimer levels on admission based on mortality and intensive care need.

Two authors independently assessed the methodological quality assessment using the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies. We conducted the meta-analysis using the mean difference (MD) for D-dimer levels. Mean and standard deviation values were extrapolated from the sample size, median, and interquartile range (IQR), according to Wan et al. We employed a fixed-effects and inverse-variance weighting using Review Manager (RevMan v5.3 2014). We carried out a subgroup analysis based on study design. We performed a sensitivity analysis based on peer review and age difference status. We evaluated inter- and intra-study heterogeneity using the I² statistic. We applied a random-effects meta-analysis if the heterogeneity is significant.

3 RESULT

We identified a total of 111 records from the PubMed database, 488 records from the ScienceDirect database, 20 records from the ProQuest database, 42 records from the Scopus database, 846 records from the MedRxiv database, and 127 records from other sources as shown in Figure 1. One-hundred and fifty-one studies were excluded because of incorrect population (4 studies), irrelevant exposure (83 studies), irrelevant outcome (42 studies), study not reported in English (1 study), D-dimer values not collected on admission (9 studies), and irrelevant severity criteria (12 studies). We excluded the study of Levy et al from our analysis because D-dimer measurements were missing in 78% of the patients and there was no information regarding the proportion of those missing in each group. Twenty-nine studies (4,328 patients) were included in the analysis.

The baseline characteristics of the included studies are presented in Supplementary file 1. Twenty-six studies were retrospective, and three studies were prospective observational. Nineteen studies have already undergone peer review. One study provided a comparison between groups for disease severity and mortality. Most of the studies classified the disease severity according to the National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China. Only three studies considered subjects of similar age in both groups.

Among the 29 studies included in this meta-analysis, most did not identify whether D-dimer values were reported as D-dimer units (DDU) or fibrinogen equivalent units (FEU). Only 3 studies clearly stated using FEU. Nine studies did not report the normal cutoff value of D-dimer (Supplementary file 1).

We assessed all studies wherein all outcomes were obtained using a good and fair methodology (Supplementary file 1). None of the studies that were considered had any flaws in the analyses. The analyses were rigorous, and the conclusions drawn by the studies were credible. However, most studies did not assess exposure prior to outcome measurement and may have lacked sufficient timeframe for the outcomes to occur because of their cross-sectional design.

Random-effects meta-analysis revealed a higher mean of D-dimer levels on admission in severe patients than in nonsevere patients as shown in Table 1 (14 studies, MD = 0.95, [95% CI: 0.61-1.28], P < .05; I² = 90%). Subgroup analysis based on the study design showed a similar result in both subgroups. Sensitivity analysis based on the peer-reviewed status from 6 studies showed an MD of 0.68 with 95% CI 0.26-1.10 and I² = 86% (Supplementary file 2).

Nonsurvivor group had a pooled higher mean difference of D-dimer values on admission as shown in Table 1 (9 studies, MD = 5.54 [95% CI: 3.40-7.67], P < .05; I² = 90%) than survivor groups. Sensitivity analysis showed similar result (5 studies,
MD = 5.78, [95% CI: 2.94-8.63], P < .05; I² = 84%) when we excluded non-peer-reviewed studies. We did not perform a subgroup analysis based on study design because all included studies were retrospective observational.

Patients with need for ICU care had higher D-dimer values on admission than patients who did not need ICU care (seven studies, MD = 0.29, [95% CI: -0.05 to 0.63], P = .10; I² = 71%) as shown in Table 1. Sensitivity analysis showed a similar result when we excluded studies that had significant age differences pertaining to participants estimates (3 studies, MD = 4.35, [95% CI: -2.31 to 11.01], P = .20; I² = 81%). However, the effect estimates changed and were found to be significant without improvement in heterogeneity (4 studies, MD = 0.48, [95% CI: 0.21-0.76], P < .05; I² = 66%) when we excluded non-peer-reviewed studies.

4 | DISCUSSION

This meta-analysis showed that increasing D-dimer levels on admission were significantly associated with increased disease severity and mortality. The results obtained were similar to the results reported previously in two other systematic reviews.25,26
TABLE 1  Summary of findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Effect Estimates</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>0.95 [0.61, 1.28]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1557</td>
<td>1.30 [0.78, 1.82]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>0.54 [0.05, 1.04]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>0.29 [–0.05, 0.63]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>5.54 [3.40, 7.67]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity analysis a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>5.78 [2.94, 8.63]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs of ICU</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>0.29 [–0.05, 0.63]</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity analysis a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>0.48 [0.21, 0.76]</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity analysis b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4.35 [–2.31, 11.01]</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity analysis based on peer-reviewed status.

aSensitivity analysis based on age difference status.

analysis by Shah et al demonstrated that patients who had D-dimer levels more than 0.5 mg/L had a twofold higher risk of developing a severe case of the disease and fourfold higher risk of mortality than those who had D-dimer levels less than 0.5 mg/L.36 Higher cut-off value of D-dimer (>2 mg/L) was considered to be even better in predicting in-hospital mortality in SARS-CoV-2 with a sensitivity of 92.3% and a specificity of 83.3% after adjusting for age, gender, and comorbidities.37

Our study also showed that patients with a need for ICU care had nonsignificant higher D-dimer values on admission than patients who did not need ICU care. An earlier study demonstrated that there was an increased incidence of thrombotic complications in patients treated in the ICU.38 Hypercoagulability state was also found in patients admitted to ICU where D-dimer levels were drastically increased.39 At the late stages of SARS-CoV-2, levels of fibrin-related markers (D-dimer and fibrin degradation product) were either moderately or markedly elevated in all cases of death suggesting a common coagulation activation and secondary hyperfibrinolysis condition in these patients.24

Histopathology studies on the lung biopsy of critical patients with SARS-CoV-2 revealed the presence of occlusion and microthrombosis formation in pulmonary small vessels.40 The exact mechanism responsible for coagulopathy in SARS-CoV-2 patients is not yet identified. Whether SARS-CoV-2 can directly attack vascular endothelial cells expressing high levels of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) leading to abnormal coagulation and sepsis is an aspect that still needs to be explored.

Our meta-analysis suggests that elevated D-dimer levels can be a marker of poor prognosis in patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19). During a pandemic, risk stratification in triage is necessary, and D-dimer can be one of the potential indicators in the case of high-risk patients. However, only the presence of elevated D-dimer only is not a reason enough to start the administration of therapeutic anticoagulants.

To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis conducted using 29 different studies is the largest that evaluates the prognostic role of D-dimer on admission in SARS-CoV-2 patients. However, several limitations should be noted in our study. First, there was substantial heterogeneity across studies. Most of the studies included were retrospective with relatively small sample size. Second, the variation in reporting the unit of D-dimer inevitably might affect our interpretation and analysis of the D-dimer data. Third, the analysis in this study was performed during the pandemic; researchers conducting studies in many areas affected by SARS-CoV-2 have not published their data as yet. Most of the studies included were from mainland China, while the remaining three studies were from the USA. Ethnic and geographical differences could distort the results of the analysis.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Our meta-analysis demonstrated that elevated D-dimer levels on admission were associated with an increased risk of disease severity and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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