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Abstract — Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) is caused by an abnormal blood clot condition in the network of blood vessels. Several risk factors that often cause DVT are advanced age, post-surgery, hospitalization, pregnant women, and obesity. In general, diagnosis of DVT uses ultrasound images. However, diagnosis using ultrasound manually takes a long time, and the accuracy of image reading depends on medical personnel. It requires a system that can detect DVT automatically. Also, it can be obtained quickly and has good accuracy. This study proposes a segmentation model for ultrasound images of deep vein thrombosis using U-Net CNN based on a denoising filter. Furthermore, calculating the suspected area to be DVT predicted using U-Net CNN. The denoising filter consisted of eight filters. That model system was tested with an ultrasound image dataset. The dataset was obtained from four volunteers. The volunteers have been identified as having symptoms of deep vein thrombosis. The dataset was captured and recorded using an ultrasound device carried out by medical experts. Each DVT recorded dataset is extracted into frames. The full frames obtained are 317 frames. Then the ultrasound image data is manually labeled by medical personnel. The experimental results show that the Gaussian filter has the best results, with 99% of accuracy and 0.04525 scores of an average loss parameter. Meanwhile, the DVT prediction test using U-Net CNN segmentation based on the calculation of the mean IoU is 84.9% accurate. The measure of the mean Hausdorff distance is 4.17 of the score. We want to investigate the detection and classification of DVT for further research.
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I. INTRODUCTION (Heading 1)

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) is caused by an abnormal blood clot condition in the network of blood vessels. Several risk factors that often cause DVT are advanced age, post-surgery, hospitalization, pregnant women and obesity [1]. This is still a health problem that continues to increase in the community. Based on data in the United States, it is estimated that there are 300,000 - 600,000 people experiencing symptoms of DVT / pulmonary embolism (PE). Research estimates that 10% - 30% of patients with DVT die within 30 days, while it is estimated that 20% - 25% of patients with PE experience sudden death [1].

Ultrasoundography (USG) is still the standard for diagnostic imaging for patients with suspected DVT. Some use grayscale and use Doppler frequency. The currently recommended protocol is a full examination from the thigh to the ankle, applying Doppler ultrasound to specific areas. With Doppler, ultrasound can show the movement of material in the body, such as the movement of blood flow, so if there are abnormalities in the blood flow, DVT is suspected. The symptom area should be evaluated whether there is thrombosis in the deep vein or not [2]. However, manual ultrasound still takes a long time to diagnose, the accuracy of the diagnosis is limited due to wrong readings, and the experience of medical personnel influences subjective results. Thus, this requires a system that can detect the presence of DVT automatically, quickly and has good accuracy, and can also provide information on the estimated area of blood clots through ultrasound images. Implementing deep learning for autonomously detecting DVT will offer more benefits for medical personnel.

Previous research has been conducted by Chen Huang, et al. This study aims to segment DVT using a convolutional neural network. Dataset used in this research is Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI). However, using MRI images requires great effort because it relatively more expensive than ultrasound images [3]. Another related research has been carried out by Bernhard Kainz et al. This study diagnoses DVT using the U-Net convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture. U-Net CNN has been used for automatic segmentation of DVT areas. Dataset used is an ultrasound image. In general, ultrasound images do have not visually clear characteristics and contain noise speckle.
However, this study did not explain the enhancement of the denoising filter [4].

This research implements deep learning for segmentation autonomously using U-Net convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture. Firstly, the ultrasound image dataset is acquired and divided into three parts: learning data, evaluation data, and test data. Secondly, image enhancement process is done by using eight denoising filters. Third, Segmentation that uses the U-Net CNN architecture is processed. Finally, segmentation performance is tested by comparing the ground truth image with the predicted masking image.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

Ultrasound image segmentation using U-Net CNN for deep vein thrombosis using denoising filter in this research uses several steps: data acquisition, preprocessing model, segmentation method using U-Net CNN architecture, and segmentation performance metrics. The design of this system can be seen in Figure 1.

A. Data acquisition

The DVT ultrasound dataset was obtained from four volunteers. The patient had been identified as having symptoms of deep vein thrombosis. Dataset was captured and recorded using ultrasound equipment performed by professional medical experts. Each DVT recorded video data was extracted into frames. The full frames obtained were 316 frames. Then, the ultrasound image data was manually labeled by a medical professional. The label data was used to develop a segmentation model using U-Net CNN. The dataset was divided into two parts, including training data and testing data. The number of datasets for training data was 80%, and evaluation data was 20%. It was determined randomly.

B. Preprocessing model

Preprocessing model aims to improve image quality, reduce noise, and preserve edges [5]. This process is required for B-mode ultrasound images because these images have characteristics that are not visually clear and contain a lot of noise speckle [6].

A denoising filter is a method for reducing noise in ultrasound images. The noise that appears on the ultrasound image is a speckle noise type. Many researchers have implemented a denoising filter in the preprocessing stage of medical image processing. In this study, several filters were implemented, including the wiener filter, Lee filter, non-local mean filter, median filter, Total variation filter, anisotropic diffusion filter, Gaussian filter, and wavelet filter. These filters were used for denoising the noise speckle in the ultrasound image. In this study, the performance of the filter model was tested using deep vein thrombosis data. The detail of the denoising filter will be explained as follows.

1. Wiener Filtering

The Wiener filter reduces noise in ultrasound images based on the mean square error. Thus, this filter is the least mean square filter [7]. The Wiener filter is classical filtering that is included in multiscale filtering [8]. The equation of the Wiener filter is as-

\[
F(u, v) = \frac{H(u, v)}{(H(u, v)^2 + S_0(u, v))} G(u, v)
\]

where, \( H(u, v) \) is the blurring filter or degradation function, \( S_0(u, v) \) is the noise additive spectrum, \( S_f(u, v) \) is the power spectra in the original image, and \( G(u, v) \) represents image that is degraded by noise.

2. Lee Filtering

Lee filter equation for denoising ultrasound image can be seen in the following formula [7].

\[
Y(i, j) = I_m + W(C_p - I_m)
\]

where, \( Y(i, j) \) is the denoising speckle image, \( I_m \) denotes the mean of the kernel or window, \( C_p \) is the center element of pixel in the kernel, and \( W \) is a window. Meanwhile, \( W \) can be obtained by the following equation.

\[
W = \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma^2 + \rho^2)}
\]

where, \( \sigma^2 \) is a variance of a pixel in the reference image, \( \rho^2 \) is variance of pixel in the noisy speckle image. Meanwhile, to find \( \sigma^2 \) and \( \rho^2 \) through the following equation.

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i)^2
\]

\[
\rho^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2
\]

where \( n \) and \( X_i \) is size of filter kernel and pixel value within the filter kernel. \( m \) is size of an image. \( Y_i \) is a pixel value of image.

3. Non-local means Filtering

Non-local filter is non-liner filter. This filter has been implemented to reduce speckle noise in carotid artery ultrasound images [9]. In addition to image filters, it can preserve the edges and details of the original images [10]. The non-local mean filter equation is as follows.

\[
NL(u)(x_i) = \sum_{j \in \Omega} w(x_i, x_j)u(x_j)
\]

where, \( NL(u)(x_i) \) is the restored intensity of pixel \( x_i \), \( w(x_i, x_j) \) is the weight assigned for restoring \( u(x_j) \). Equation to find \( w(x_i, x_j) \) is as follows.
where, \( Z \) is the normalization constant and ensuring that 
\[ \sum_{j \in \Omega} w(x, y) = 1 \], while \( h \) acts as a smoothing parameter.

4. Median Filtering

The median filter is effective non-linear filtering. The median filtering method is simple. The median filter operation replaces the center pixel value with the median value of its neighbors [7].

5. Total Variation Filter

Total variation filter is useful for or recovering piecewise constant signals [11]. This filter can reduce noise and able to keep the image from being distorted. The equation for the total variation filter is as follows [12].

\[
\min \left\{ E[u] = \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u \right|^2 \, dx \, dy \right\} \quad \text{(8)}
\]

where, \( \left| \nabla u \right| \) is the point distance between two pixels which can be obtained using the Euclidean distance, \( \Omega \) represents the processed area. With the help of the Euler-Lagrange equation, the following equation is obtained.

\[
\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda} = \lambda \left( u - u_{\lambda} \right) \quad \text{(9)}
\]

parameter \( \lambda \) states the coefficient level of the initial input data (noised image), which can be formulated by the following equation.

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{a \in \Omega} \sum_{b \in \partial a} W_{ab}(u_b - u_a)(u_a - u_b) \quad \text{(10)}
\]

where, parameter \( \sigma \) is the value of variance.

6. Anisotropic Diffusion Filtering

This filter method is a non-linear filtering. This diffusion equation is a partial differential equation (PDE). Anisotropic diffusion filter is obtained by the following equation [13].

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \text{div}(c \nabla u) = c \cdot \text{div}(\nabla u) + \nabla c \cdot \nabla u \quad \text{(11)}
\]

where, \( c \) is the intensity of the image at positions \( x, y \) and on the \( r \)-th iteration, so it can be denoted as \( f(x, y; r) \). While \( J \) is the ultrasound image. The parameter \( c \) is the diffusion coefficient, which is defined in the following equation.

\[
c(\|\nabla u\|) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|}{\kappa}\right)^2} \quad \text{(12)}
\]

where, \( \kappa \) is the threshold gradient parameter, and \( \|\nabla u\| \) ultrasound image gradient value.

7. Gaussian Filter

Gaussian filter is used to blur images and also to remove noise. This filter uses convolution operations and kernel matrices to reduce image noise. Gaussian kernel coefficients from the 2D Gaussian function is [14]:

\[
G(x, y) = \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{2\pi\sigma^2} \quad \text{(13)}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the distribution.

8. Wavelet Filter

Wavelet is a family of functions \( f(x) \) that generated by wavelet transformation basis \( \psi(x) \). This is commonly known as the mother wavelet. The wavelet equation can be formulated as follows [13].

\[
R_w(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \psi\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) \, dx \quad \text{(14)}
\]

where, \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}; a \neq 0 \) and \( R \) is real number, \( a \) is the scaling or dilation parameter, \( b \) is the shift in position or translation on the x-axis.

C. Segmentation using unet-cnn model

Deep vein thrombosis segmentation using Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) with U-Net architecture is effective [3], [4], [15]. This is even effective with limited image data sets. This architectural presentation is realized through biomedical image analysis [16]. The architecture diagram of U-Net CNN can be seen in Figure 2.

Fig. 2. Architecture U-net-CNN

D. Segmentation Performance Metrics

In this study, performance measurement for ultrasound image segmentation used two approaches: Intersection over Union (IoU) and Hausdorff distance. These evaluation metrics are commonly used to measure the accuracy of image-based detection models.

1. Intersection over Union

Intersection over union is used to measure the similarity between two 2D objects in an image [17]. IoU is used to measure the overlapping area between the mask predicted image \( I \) and the ground-truth image \( X \). Then, it is divided by the area of union between them [18], that is:

\[
\text{IoU} = \frac{\text{area of overlap}}{\text{area of union}} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} \quad \text{(15)}
\]

\[
\text{IoU}(X, Y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(X_i, Y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(X_i, Y_i)} \quad \text{(16)}
\]

where: \( X_i, Y_i \in [0,1], \forall i \in \{1, n\} \)
IoU results can be in the range of values from 0 to 1. This can also be converted into percentage form. Prediction results were obtained with an IoU score close to 1, then the results of the segmentation model have the predictive ability with results closer to ground-truth.

2. Hausdorff Distance

Hausdorff distance measures segmentation performance by calculating the distance between two point set images. In this study, the Hausdorff distance was used to compare the ground-truth image with the predicted image based on the results of ultrasound image segmentation. Hausdorff distance will be 0, if there is a similarity between two image objects. On the other hand, the Hausdorff distance will be of high value if the similarity between the two-point sets is getting further away. [19]. Moreover, measurement of segmentation performance using Hausdorff distance is often used in medical image segmentation [20]. Hausdorff distance calculates the difference in length from each edge of the image, using the following formula:

\[ h_d(A, B) = \max_{i,j} \{d(A_i, B_j), d(B_j, A_i)\} \]  
(18)

where,

\[ d(A_i, B) = \min_k \{d(A_i, B_k)\} \]  
(19)

\[ d(B_j, A) = \min_k \{d(B_j, A_k)\} \]  
(20)

Distance \(d(A_i, B)\) is a metric obtained by calculating the distance, i.e. the Euclidean distance, at pixel \(A_i\) to the nearest edge pixel of \(B\). This applies vice versa for distance measurements on \(d(B_j, A)\) [21].

III. EXPERIMENT RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this research, three main processes were proposed, and the first process was the denoising filter. These filters are often used in medical image processing. The denoising filters used in this research are Wiener filter, Lee filter, Non-local mean filter, Median filter, Total variation filter, Anisotropic diffusion filter, Gaussian filter, and Wavelet filter. This process serves to reduce the speckle noise presented in the ultrasound image. Thus, this will result in better segmentation performance. The second process was ultrasound image segmentation. This process aims to segment the symptoms of deep vein thrombosis on ultrasound images. This process uses a deep learning method with the U-Net CNN architecture. The third process was measuring the performance of deep vein thrombosis segmentation. This process aims to determine the accuracy of segmentation using the U-Net CNN architecture. The testing system in this study uses a deep vein thrombosis dataset that has been given a mask. Labeling of images was done manually by a professional medical team.

In the training process, the U-Net CNN model uses python 3 with the Spyder version 5 and runs on a personal computer with specifications: Intel Xeon E3-1240 v5 (3.5 GHz), 16 GB DDR4 2133MHz, Nvidia Quadro 2 GB, and NVMe SSD 250GB.

A. Denoising Filters

There are eight denoising filter algorithms used in this study. Raw image data used one sample of ultrasound images with suspected symptoms of deep vein thrombosis. The results of the filter process can be seen in Figure 3.

B. Segmentation DWT ultrasound image

The segmentation process used U-Net CNN. The input data was a filtered ultrasound image, which used a denoising filter. As for the U-Net CNN configuration using parameters including:

- Optimizer=Adam with learning rate (lr), that has a value of 1e-3;
- loss = binary_crossentropy;
- metrics = MeanIoU
- epochs = 50
- early stopping with patience = 2, and monitor = val_loss

The training and validation process using U-Net CNN based on a denoising filter was run several times to get the average performance result. Each filter was tested for 10 times. The dataset used in this study was divided into two parts. The first part was the training data with the amount of data 80% of the entire dataset. Meanwhile, for data testing, the data was 20% of the dataset. The training and validation data selection was obtained randomly from the dataset. The results of the average performance of the proposed model in this study can be seen in Table 1.

Fig. 3 Denoising filter example results. (a) Original image, (b) Wiener filtering, (c) Lee Filtering, (d) Non-local means filtering, (e) Median filtering, (f) Total variation filter, (g) Anisotropic diffusion, (h) Gaussian filtering, and (i) Wavelet filter.

The performance of U-Net CNN based on a denoising filter can be seen in Table 1. The accuracy and loss parameters indicate the version of the segmentation model using U-Net CNN. Meanwhile, the mean IoU and mean Hausdorff distance parameters indicate how similar the segmentation results are to the expected object. U-Net CNN segmentation using the Wiener
filter has an accuracy value of 99.02%. This filter has the best deal based on the segmentation model than other filters. Meanwhile, based on the loss parameter for the U-Net CNN model, the median filter has the best value, with a value of 0.025.

**TABLE 1. SEGMENTATION USING DENOSING FILTERS PERFORMANCE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U-Net Segmentation using Denosing Filter</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Loss</th>
<th>Mean IoU</th>
<th>Mean Hausdorff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-filter</td>
<td>98.70%</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
<td>80.52%</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wasser</td>
<td>99.02%</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>82.86%</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lee</td>
<td>98.37%</td>
<td>0.0474</td>
<td>73.54%</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-local means</td>
<td>98.85%</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>83.04%</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>99.97%</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
<td>83.12%</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total variation</td>
<td>98.60%</td>
<td>0.0361</td>
<td>80.58%</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisotropic diffusion</td>
<td>98.29%</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
<td>78.39%</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>99.00%</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
<td>84.90%</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelet</td>
<td>98.88%</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>83.86%</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, the performance measurement of segmentation results uses two measurement models. The measurement models are the Intersection over Union (IoU) model and the Hausdorff distance model. Based on the results of the running test as shown in Table 1, the gaussian filter has the best results based on the IoU and Hausdorff distance measurements. This means that the prediction results of DVT image segmentation using U-Net CNN with gaussian filter get an accuracy of 84.90% based on IoU measurements. Meanwhile, the gaussian filter got the best performance in the performance test using the Hausdorff distance, which was 4.17. Measures based on the Hausdorff distance will result in 0 if two objects have perfect similarities. Thus, the smaller the Hausdorff value, the closer the difference between the predicted and expected results will be. Therefore, the Gaussian filter is the best filter for denoising filter in segmentation using U-Net CNN for DVT ultrasound image.

Then, segmentation was performed using a Gaussian denoising filter on ultrasound images of deep vein thrombosis to determine the area of DVT symptoms. The training and validation process at U-Net CNN using a gaussian filter can be seen in Figure 4. The training and validation process stops at the 23rd epoch with a validation loss value of 0.018, while the validation accuracy is at a value of 99.22%.

U-Net CNN is used to segment areas with symptoms of deep vein thrombosis. The result of segmentation using U-Net CNN is the image prediction of the symptomatic area. This is to evaluate risk factors by looking at the area of deep vein thrombosis. So this is important for the accuracy of predictions. If the prediction performance is less accurate, it will produce less precise predictions. This will lead to overestimate or underestimate the symptoms of DVT. DVT Segmentation using U-Net CNN model based on gaussian filter can be seen in Figure 5.

In this study, the measurement of the performance area of the prediction area uses the object difference between the prediction masking image and the ground-truth image. Prediction masking image can be denoted by \( Y \) variable, while the ground-truth image can be indicated by \( X \) variable. Thus, the difference between predicted object and Ground-Truth image can be denoted by \( Y - X = \{ x | x \in Y, \ x \notin X \} \). Image variables \( X \) and \( Y \) in the binary case. This measurement will produce a value of 0. There is no difference in the prediction masking image and the ground-truth image. If a ground truth object had no associated predicted object, then this indicates that the segmentation prediction results underestimate the DVT symptom. This equation can be defined in equation (21). Meanwhile, a predicted object had no associated ground truth object, which shows that the picture of DVT symptoms appeared beyond expectations. So, this is called an overestimate. This equation can be defined in equation (22).

\[
\text{UnderEstimate}(X, Y) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} d(x, Y)}{\sum_{x \in X}} \tag{21}
\]

\[
\text{OverEstimate}(X, Y) = \frac{\sum_{x \in Y} d(x, X)}{\sum_{x \in Y}} \tag{22}
\]

where \( X, Y \in (0,1), wi \in (1,n) \)

Based on the calculation of the segmentation prediction error using equation 21, the result is 0.6%. This shows that, the area
of a ground truth is almost entirely covered by the masking image prediction results. While the calculation of the area masking image prediction error area that is not expected is 52.98%. Thus, this prediction results in an overestimation of the suspicion of deep vein thrombosis.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study proposes a model for segmenting ultrasound images of deep vein thrombosis using U-Net CNN based on a denoising filter and then calculating the area suspected to be a symptom of deep vein thrombosis predicted using U-Net CNN. The denoising filters used in this research are Wiener filters, lee filters, non-local means filters, median filters, total variation filters, anisotropic diffusion filters, Gaussian filters, and Wavelet filters. The dataset was captured and recorded using an ultrasound device carried out by medical experts. The dataset was obtained from 4 patients who had symptoms of DVT. Each DVT recorded data was extracted into frames. The full frames obtained were 317 frames. Then the ultrasound image data was manually labeled by medical personnel. The experimental results show that the Gaussian filter has the best results: an average accuracy of 99%, an average loss parameter of 0.0252 score, a mean IoU of 84.9% accuracy, and a mean Hausdorff distance of 4.17 score. Prediction of the symptom area of deep vein thrombosis using U-Net CNN with a gaussian filter resulted in the number of predicted areas that matched the mask area of 99.4%, while the number of areas that were in the underestimated category was 0.6% and overestimated was 52.98%. We would like to investigate detection and classification of DVT models for further research.
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