
Submission Confirmation

1 message

Veterinary World <noreply@ejmanager.com>
Reply-To: Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Tue, Oct 19, 2021 at 8:53 PM

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

Your submission entitled **Experimental and Evidence of Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Pets, Wildlife, and Farm Animals: A Review** (Manuscript Number: VETWORLD-2021-10-575) has been received by **Veterinary World**.

You could follow status of your manuscript by login to your author account at www.ejmanager.com.

Thank you for submitting your work to our journal.

Best regards,

Editor
Veterinary World
<http://www.veterinaryworld.org>

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

IMPORTANT: USE JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL for your messages. Do not answer to this email. It is not checked for messages

<http://www.ejmanager.com>

Your article waiting for Revision

1 message

noreply@ejmanager.com <noreply@ejmanager.com>

Mon, Jan 3, 2022 at 5:25 PM

Reply-To: noreply@ejmanager.com

To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

This is an automatic email. DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL
Send your emails to to journal editor (editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com).

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

You have article(s) waiting for revision in <http://my.ejmanager.com/vetworld/>

Please login to your account by using your username and password in order to COMPLETE your article(s) waiting for your revision.

If you want to withdraw your article you need to send an email to journal editor (editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com).

Your Username: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Your Password: 46989

Journal: Veterinary World

<http://my.ejmanager.com/vetworld/>

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: noreply@ejmanager.com

IMPORTANT: USE JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL for your messages. Do not answer to this email. It is not checked for messages

<http://www.ejmanager.com>

Request additional time for revision manuscript [Ms.Nr. VETWORLD-2021-10-575]

3 messages

gondo mastutik <gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id>
To: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

Tue, Jan 4, 2022 at 8:54 AM

Dear Editors,

We are very pleased and grateful for your email regarding the results of the reviewer's review and comments from the editor on our manuscript entitled "Experimental and Evidence of Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Pets, Wildlife, and Farm Animals: A Review" (Ms.Nr. VETWORLD-2021-10-575).

However, we are having problems revising the manuscript as we received it almost at the end of the year. We have revised our manuscript and have received a reply from the copy-editing service ENAGO. Currently, we are asking the approval from our co-author from Spain but it looks like will be a little late because there are currently a Christmas and New Year's holiday until January 9, 2022, in Spain.

Based on this, we hereby request additional time to revise our manuscript.

We hope that the Editor understands our condition and is willing to give us a long deadline for reviewing our manuscript.

We hope that the editor will grant our request.

Thank you very much.

Best Regards,
Gondo Mastutik, PhD.

=====

Gondo Mastutik

Department of Anatomic Pathology, Faculty of Medicine

Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

St. Prof. Dr. Moestopo No 47, Surabaya 60132, Indonesia, Phone: +62-31-5020251 ext 151.

Mobile: +6281 231 071 818

E-mail: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id, gondomastutik@gmail.com

Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondo mastutik <gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id>

Tue, Jan 4, 2022 at 10:56 AM

Dear Gondo Muastutik,

The time to submit the revised manuscript is 4 weeks; however, the system sends the reminder periodically to the authors to speed up the process.

We sent the article revision letter to you before 16 days and you have almost 2 weeks time to submit the revised manuscript. So, do not worry about the deadline for the submission of the revised manuscript.

NEWS:

Dr. Anjum Sherasiya, Editor-in-Chief of Veterinary World is appointed as [Crossref Ambassador](#).

Best Regards,

Dr. Anjum Sherasiya
Editor-in-Chief, Veterinary World
Crossref - Ambassador
Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A,
Chandrapur Road, Wankaner - 363621,
Dist. Morbi (Gujarat), India.
Website: www.veterinaryworld.org, onehealthjournal.org
E-mail: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

Article Revision Letter for Authors - (VETWORLD-2021-10-575)

14 messages

Veterinary World <noreply@ejmanager.com>
Reply-To: Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Sun, Dec 19, 2021 at 5:03 AM

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

Your manuscript entitled "Experimental and Evidence of Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Pets, Wildlife, and Farm Animals: A Review" (Ms.Nr. VETWORLD-2021-10-575) was reviewed by reviewers of the Veterinary World. As initial decision, your manuscript was found interesting but some revisions have to be made before it can reach a publishable value. Please refer comments given at bottom.

You should send your revised manuscript via the online system of ScopeMed on <https://ejmanager.com/my/vetworld/>.

Sincerely yours,

Editor-Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner-363621, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat,
India
www.veterinaryworld.org

COMMENTS for Authors:

EDITORIAL COMMENTS:

- Highlight all corrections/additions in red color font in revised manuscript.
- Please answer all the comments below point-by-point in an accompanying response letter to your revised submission and include your responses at appropriate paragraphs in the revised word file.
- Include all authors name, affiliation, ORCID and email address in revised Word file as per format and style of Veterinary World. Please check latest article from www.veterinaryworld.org for format of this section.
- All reference no. in the text must be in continuous no. as per style of Veterinary World and amend the reference section accordingly if you have not done it.
- Please divide the introduction into 3 paragraphs if you have already not done. Introduction must be divided into 3 paragraphs i.e., 1. introduction 2. significance of the study and 3. aim of the study.
- Include authors' contributions (refer just below the conclusion section in latest article from www.veterinaryworld.org for format of this section) if you have not added.
- Include Acknowledgements along with source of fund for this study if you have not included.
- All journal names in references must be as per standard journal abbreviation.
- If you will not revise strictly as per suggestion then there will be chance of rejection. So, revise carefully. If you have any query then please email to Editor-in-Chief.

=> Reviewer # 1

The article was well written, but it was better to explain the transmissions of this pandemic between animals. Citing references is well done. Article classification can be slightly improved. But overall the manuscript is very good

=> Reviewer # 2

Please check the title for the correct English.
Shorten the Conclusion section with inclusion of only important things rather than long description.

Editor's comment:

Get professional copyediting from ENAGO or Editage [keep all corrections in track changes (language as well as editorial and reviewers) and paste the certificate in the revised word file] or ask Veterinary World in answer letter for copyediting service (with extra payment) as your manuscript needs extensive copyediting.

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

A message from Editor (Veterinary World)

1 message

Veterinary World <noreply@ejmanager.com>
Reply-To: Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Mon, Jan 24, 2022 at 8:35 PM

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

Article Title: Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals

Mns Id: VETWORLD-2021-10-575

We have received the payment in our PayPal account. We will issue the signed acceptance letter once the payment will be credited to our bank account. This process may take up to 3-5 days.

Editor-Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner-363621, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat,
India
www.veterinaryworld.org

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

IMPORTANT: USE JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL for your messages. Do not answer to this email. It is not checked for messages

<http://www.ejmanager.com>

Decision Letter to Authors - Acceptance - (VETWORLD-2021-10-575)

1 message

Veterinary World <noreply@ejmanager.com>
Reply-To: Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Tue, Jan 25, 2022 at 6:15 PM

Dear Gondo Mastutik

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript titled as "Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals" (Manuscript Number: VETWORLD-2021-10-575 is accepted for publication in the Veterinary World.

- We have received the revised manuscript as per reviewers suggestions.
- We have received the payment.
- You will receive the signed acceptance letter within 2 days by email. Please check your inbox/spam folder for the same.

Sincerely yours,

Editor-Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner-363621, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat,
India
www.veterinaryworld.org

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

IMPORTANT: USE JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL for your messages. Do not answer to this email. It is not checked for messages

<http://www.ejmanager.com>

Gondo Mastutik and co-authors: Acceptance letter

1 message

Veterinary World - Publisher <veterinaryworldpublisher@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 27, 2022 at 11:33 AM

To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id, alirohman@fst.unair.ac.id, ritishom@fk.unair.ac.id, irarrondo@riojasalud.es, debblas@unizar.es

Cc: Anjum Sherasiya <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>

Dear Authors,

I am attaching herewith the acceptance letter of your article.

Best Regards,

Nazir
Editorial Assistant
Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park,
NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat
India
www.veterinaryworld.org
www.onhealthjournal.org

 **Gondo Mastutik - 40.pdf**
447K

Notification for Status Change of your Article

11 messages

Veterinary World <noreply@ejmanager.com>
Reply-To: Veterinary World <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>
To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Sun, Jan 23, 2022 at 1:18 AM

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

VETWORLD-2021-10-575

As we declared in "Instructions for Authors", you need to contribute to Veterinary World for your provisionally accepted article.

For this purpose you should pay the following amount: \$500. The amount should be paid within 15 days.

In order to make payment, login to your account at <http://www.scopemed.org> or <https://ejmanager.com/my/vetworld/> ---> open Status of my Articles ---> find Articles waiting for Payment and make your payment by your credit/debit card or your PayPal account.

If you want to send the payment by bank then bank details are as follows :
Amount: USD 500 (sender/intermediate bank charges must be bear by the sender, so, inform your bank to select Details of charges as "OUR" among "OUR/BEN/SHA")
Bank Name: AXIS Bank
Account/Beneficiary name: Veterinary World
Account Type: Current/Business
Account No.: 915020046954469
Swift code: AXISINBB662 (Morbi)
Branch Name: Wankaner, Dist. Morbi(Gujarat), India
Purpose for remittance: Subscription to newspaper/scientific journal
Please send us the scan copy of bank slip by an email.

Best Regards
Editor-Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner-363621, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat,
India
www.veterinaryworld.org

JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

IMPORTANT: USE JOURNAL CONTACT EMAIL for your messages. Do not answer to this email. It is not checked for messages

<http://www.ejmanager.com>

gondo mastutik <gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id>
To: Ali Rohman <alirohman@gmail.com>

Sun, Jan 23, 2022 at 6:31 AM

Assalammu alaikum wr wb

Pak..alhamdulillah accepted.
Untuk pembayarannya, menopo saget dipun bantu Pak?
Matur nuwun

Gondo Mastutik and co-authors: Proof for corrections

14 messages

Veterinary World - Publisher <veterinaryworldpublisher@gmail.com>

Sat, Feb 12, 2022 at 2:07 PM

To: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id, alirohman@fst.unair.ac.id, ritishom@fk.unair.ac.id, irarrondo@rojasalud.es, debblas@unizar.es

Cc: Anjum Sherasiya <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>

Dear Authors,

I am attaching herewith copy-edited word file proof for corrections. Please read the instructions given in the attached file "Instructions for proof corrections" and correct the proof accordingly and send it back to me through the corresponding author's email.

Best Regards,

Nazir
Editorial Assistant
Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park,
NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat
India
www.veterinaryworld.org
www.onhealthjournal.org

2 attachments

 **Instructions for proof corrections.docx**
15K

 **Gondo Mastutik.docx**
504K

gondo mastutik <gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 11:04 AM

To: Veterinary World - Publisher <veterinaryworldpublisher@gmail.com>

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for sending me a proof file. I would like to ask the additional time (around 5 days), because I have already been out of the hospital to accompany my son from COVID-19 and now I have COVID-19 too (but I have light symptoms). But I will try to finish it soon as possible.

I hope you will understand my condition.

Thank you very much.

Best Regard,
Gondo Mastutik
[Quoted text hidden]

Veterinary World - Publisher <veterinaryworldpublisher@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 12:37 PM

To: gondo mastutik <gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id>

Cc: Anjum Sherasiya <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>

Dear Gondo Mastutik,

OK. No problem. Wish you all the best for the better health of you and your family.

Best Regards,

Nazir

Veterinary World: Cover page and Index of March 2022

1 message

Veterinary World - Publisher <veterinaryworldpublisher@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 4, 2022 at 7:12 PM

Cc: Anjum Sherasiya <editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com>

Bcc: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Dear Authors,

I am attaching herewith cover page image and Index of March 2022 issue of Veterinary World.

We will send the whole issue to PubMed and PMC in the last week of this month, so you can expect your article in PubMed and PMC up to 15th of next month.

Best Regards,

Nazir
Editorial Assistant
Veterinary World
Star, Gulshan Park,
NH-8A, Chandrapur Road,
Wankaner, Dist. Morbi, Gujarat
India
www.veterinaryworld.org
www.onhealthjournal.org

2 attachments

 **Title-Index-March 2022.pdf**
415K

 **Title-March 2022.pdf**
1655K



VETERINARY WORLD

Open access and peer reviewed journal

Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road, Wankaner - 363621, Dist. Morbi (Gujarat) India,

Website: www.veterinaryworld.org, Email: editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

Editor-in-Chief: Anjum V. Sherasiya, **Publisher:** Veterinary World, **EISSN:** 2231-0916

SCOPUS: Citescore - 2.6, SJR - 0.550, SNIP - 1.387

By E-mail

Ref No. VW/Accept/40/2022

Date: 25-01-2022

To,
Gondo Mastutik
Department of Anatomic Pathology,
Faculty of Medicine,
Universitas Airlangga,
Surabaya 60131,
Indonesia.
E-mail: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

Acceptance of article for publication in Veterinary World

Dear Dr.

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript titled as -

Experimental and natural infections of SARS-CoV-2 in pets and wild and farm animals - Gondo Mastutik, Ali Rohman, Reny I'tishom, Ignacio Ruiz-Arrondo and Ignacio de Blas

is accepted for publication in *Veterinary World*.

We have received the payment for publication (bill no. 353 dated 25-01-2022). So, you will receive the galley proof within 4-5 weeks. You must have to solve the query, if we point out any in galley proof.

After correction of galley proof, your article will be published online at www.veterinaryworld.org in chronological order.

Thanking You.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Anjum V. Sherasiya
Editor-in-Chief
Veterinary World



Indexed and Abstracted in Academic Journals Database, AGORA, AGRICOLA, AGRIS, CABI, CAS, DOAJ, EBSCO, ESCI- Thomson Reuters, Gale, Google Scholar, HINARI, Index Scholar, Indian Animal Science Abstracts, Indian Science Abstracts, JournalSeek, Open J-gate, ProQuest, PubMed, PubMed Central, SCOPUS, TEEAL



CERTIFICATE OF EDITING

This is to certify that the paper titled **Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals** commissioned to us by **Gondo Mastutik** has been edited for English language and spelling by Enago, an editing brand of Crimson Interactive Inc.



ISO/IEC 27001:2013 Certified



ISO 9001:2015 Certified

Issued by:
Enago, Crimson Interactive Inc.
1732, 1st Ave #22627
New York, 10128
Phone: +1-877-712-2177



Disclaimer: The author is free to accept or reject our changes in the document after our editing. However, we do not bear responsibility for revisions made to the document after our edit on **December 31, 2021**.

Global www.enago.com, www.voxtab.com, www.ulatus.com
Japan www.enago.jp, www.voxtab.jp, www.ulatus.jp
Brazil www.enago.com.br
Germany www.enago.de
Turkey www.enago.com.tr
China www.enago.cn
Taiwan www.enago.tw

About Crimson:

Crimson Interactive Inc. provides English language editing, transcription, and translation services to individuals and corporate customers worldwide.

Reviewer comments & Jawabannya

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your comment on our manuscript.

Herewith we have corrected our manuscript as follows:

EDITORIAL COMMENTS:

1. Highlight all corrections/additions in red color font in revised manuscript. **Yes, we did. In red font.**
2. Please answer all the comments below point-by-point in an accompanying response letter to your revised submission and include your responses at appropriate paragraphs in the revised word file. **Yes. Thank you.**
3. Include all authors name, affiliation, ORCID and email address in revised Word file as per format and style of Veterinary World. Please check latest article from www.veterinaryworld.org for format of this section. **Yes. Thank you.**
4. All reference no. in the text must be in continuous no. as per style of Veterinary World and amend the reference section accordingly if you have not done it. **Yes, we did.**
5. Please divide the introduction into 3 paragraphs if you have already not done. Introduction must be divided into 3 paragraphs i.e., 1. introduction 2. significance of the study and 3. aim of the study. **Yes, we did. In Line 35-101.**
6. Include authors' contributions (refer just below the conclusion section in latest article from www.veterinaryworld.org for format of this section) if you have not added. **Yes, we did. In line 626-630.**
7. Include Acknowledgements along with source of fund for this study if you have not included. **Yes, we did. In line 639-640.**
8. All journal names in references must be as per standard journal abbreviation. **Yes, we did.**
9. If you will not revise strictly as per suggestion then there will be chance of rejection. So, revise carefully. If you have any query then please email to Editor-in-Chief. **Yes. Thank you.**

REVIEWER # 1

1. The article was well written, but it was better to explain the transmissions of this pandemic between animals. **Yes, we did.**

Explanation:

- We have described the transmission between animals.
- Transmission among cats, we describe in line 135-145, 208-211.
- Transmission among dogs, we describe in line 229-233, 267-271.
- Transmission among big cats, we describe in line 283-286.
- Transmission among deer, we describe in line 340-345.
- Transmission among cattle, sheep, pigs, we do not describe because those animals have low susceptibility, therefore no transmission between animals.
- Transmission among minks, we describe in line 455-457, 503-513, 514-522, 523-530.
- Transmission among poultry, we do not describe because those animals have no susceptibility, therefore no infections and transmission between animals.
- Transmission in other animal, we do not describe because there is no data available.

2. Citing references is well done. Thank you.
3. Article classification can be slightly improved. Yes. Thank You.
We revised the title and subtitle.
4. But overall the manuscript is very good. Thank you.

REVIEWER # 2

1. Please check the title for the correct English.
Title before: Experimental and Evidence of Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Pets, Wildlife, and Farm Animals: A Review
To be: Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals
2. Shorten the Conclusion section with inclusion of only important things rather than long description. Yes. Thank You. In line 601-624

EDITOR'S COMMENT:

Get professional copyediting from ENAGO or Editage [keep all corrections in track changes (language as well as editorial and reviewers) and paste the certificate in the revised word file] or ask Veterinary World in answer letter for copyediting service (with extra payment) as your manuscript needs extensive copyediting. YES. I paste the certificate in the last page.

We also revised some parts in manuscript as follows:

1. Abstract and all part as ENAGO suggestions.
2. Figure 1 (change Gibbon to be Gorilla) and explanation of picture.
3. We adjust the number of references in the manuscript, references, and table.

Thank you very much.

I hope you will consider our manuscript to publish in your journal.

Best Regard,

Gondo Mastutik and co-authors

Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals

Journal Name :	Veterinary World
Manuscript ID :	VETWORLD-2021-10-575
Manuscript Type :	Review Article
Submission Date :	19-Oct-2021
Abstract :	<p>The severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread globally and has led to extremely high mortality rates. In addition to infecting humans, this virus has also infected animals. This study aims to review experimental (both replication and transmission) in vitro, ex vivo and in vivo studies of SARS-CoV-2 infections in pets and in wild and farm animals, and to provide details on the mechanism associated with natural infection. Experimental studies and natural infections showed that dogs have a low susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection, whereas domesticated cats and other animals in the family Felidae, such as lions, tigers, snow leopards, and cougars, have high susceptibility to viral infections. In addition, wild white-tailed deer, gorillas, and otters have been found to be infected by SARS-CoV-2. Furry farm animals, such as minks, have a high susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The virus appears to spread among minks and generate several new mutations, resulting in increased viral virulence. Furthermore, livestock animals, such as cattle, sheep, and pigs, were found to have low susceptibility to the virus, whereas chicken, ducks, turkeys, quail, and geese did not show susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. This knowledge can provide insights for the development of SARS-CoV-2 mitigation strategies in animals and humans.</p>
Keywords :	animal disease, COVID-19, infectious disease, pandemic, SARS-CoV-2

For your questions please send message to editorveterinaryworld@gmail.com

Reviewer comments

1 **Experimental and Natural Infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Pets and in Wild and Farm Animals**

2 Gondo Mastutik¹ <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1681-0222>, Ali Rohman² <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8177-5881>, Reny I'tishom³
3 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9971-7786>, Ignacio Ruiz-Arondo⁴ <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8198-8118> and Ignacio de Blas⁵
4 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1204-4356>

6 1. Department of Anatomic Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60131,
7 Indonesia; 2. Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga,
8 Surabaya 60115, Indonesia; 3. Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
9 Airlangga, Surabaya 60131, Indonesia; 4. Center for Rickettsioses and Arthropod-Borne Diseases,
10 Hospital Universitario San Pedro–CIBIR, Logroño, Spain; 5. Department of Animal Pathology,
11 Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Instituto Universitario de Investigación Mixto Agroalimentario de
12 Aragón (IA2), Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

13

14 **Corresponding author:** Gondo Mastutik, e-mail: gondomastutik@fk.unair.ac.id

15 **Co-authors:** AL: alirohman@fst.unair.ac.id, RI: ritishom@fk.unair.ac.id, IRA:

16 irarrondo@riojasalud.es, IdB: deblas@unizar.es

17

18 **Abstract**

19 The severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) **has spread**
20 **globally** and has led to extremely high mortality rates. **In addition to infecting humans**, this virus has
21 **also infected animals. This study aims to review experimental (both replication and transmission) in**
22 **vitro, ex vivo and in vivo studies of SARS-CoV-2 infections in pets and in wild and farm animals, and**
23 **to provide details on the mechanism associated with natural infection.** Experimental studies and
24 **natural infections showed that dogs have a low susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection, whereas**
25 **domesticated cats and other animals in the family Felidae, such as lions, tigers, snow leopards, and**
26 **cougars, have high susceptibility to viral infections. In addition, wild white-tailed deer, gorillas, and**
27 **otters have been found to be infected by SARS-CoV-2. Furry farm animals, such as minks, have a**
28 **high susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The virus appears to spread among minks and generate**
29 **several new mutations, resulting in increased viral virulence. Furthermore, livestock animals, such as**
30 **cattle, sheep, and pigs, were found to have low susceptibility to the virus, whereas chicken, ducks,**
31 **turkeys, quail, and geese did not show susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. This knowledge can**
32 **provide insights for the development of SARS-CoV-2 mitigation strategies in animals and humans.**

33

34 **Keywords:** animal disease, COVID-19, infectious disease, pandemic, SARS-CoV-2

35 **Introduction**

36 In December 2019, an outbreak of a new human infectious respiratory disease was documented
37in Wuhan, Hubei province, China [1]. The disease spread rapidly through human transmission and
38became a global pandemic. The disease had a high health impact, amounting to 241,456,031 cases and
394,913,664 deaths by 18 October 2021 [2]. **The causative agent of the disease was identified** as a new
40coronavirus strain [1]. As such, the disease was designated by the World Health Organization as the
41coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and the virus was named as the severe acute respiratory
42syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by the International Committee on Taxonomy of
43Viruses [3]. The SARS-CoV-2 genome was 96.2% identical to the bat coronavirus RaTG13,
44*Rhinolophus affinis*, which was isolated at the Yunnan Province in China [4]. The increased genomic
45similarity and close phylogenetic tree prove that bats were the origin of SARS-CoV-2 [4]. The
46intermediate host appeared to be the Malayan pangolin (*Manis javanica*), whose genome Pangolin
47CoV is 91% identical to that of the SARS-CoV-2 and is 90.55% identical to that of the BatCoV
48RaTG13 [5]. **Snakes and turtles can be considered as intermediate hosts**, but this is still controversial
49and requires further investigation [6]. **SARS-CoV-2 was then transmitted** to humans in Wuhan, China
50[1], and spread worldwide. The first cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections were identified in Australia on
5119 January 2020 [7], in Europe on 24 January 2020 [8], in the Americas on 29 February 2020 [9] and
52in the African continent on 5 March 2020 [10].

53 SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the subgenus *Sarbecovirus* (genus *Betacoronavirus*) in the family
54*Coronaviridae*. It is an enveloped virus, with a single-stranded, positive-sense ribonucleic acid (RNA)
55genome with a nucleotide size of ~30 kb [1, 11]. **The SARS-CoV-2 genome encodes four structural**
56**proteins:** the nucleocapsid protein (N), membrane protein (M), envelope protein (E) and surface spike
57protein (S) [1, 11]. The S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 is a glycosylated **transmembrane** protein that forms
58a homotrimer structure. It protrudes from the viral surface and mediates viral entry into host cells
59[12]. The S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 **uses** the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor as its
60binding receptor [13]. The sequence **of the** receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2, **which**
61**includes the** receptor-binding motif (RBM) of the S-protein, directly contacts the ACE2 receptor [14-
6216]. **Human ACE2** is highly expressed in the lungs, heart, kidney, bladder, and gastrointestinal system
63[14, 17]. **ACE2 may also be present** in mammalian cells. Analyses of the phylogenetic tree of animals

64that come into close contact with humans, such as pets and livestock, and ACE2 homology with the
65human ACE2 in various mammalian cells, showed a high degree of homology similarity [18-21]. *In*
66*silico* studies showed that ACE2 receptors from various domesticated animals, such as *Felis catus*
67(cat) and *Canis lupus familiaris* (dog), are highly homologous. *Felis catus* and *C. lupus familiaris*
68have high degrees of similarities to human ACE2 of the orders of 85.2% and 83.4%, respectively [21].
69Likewise, livestock, such as *Bos taurus* (cow), *Ovis aries* (sheep) and *Sus scrofa domesticus* (pig),
70exhibit high similarity [18-21]. The interactions between the ACE2 amino acids of the cat, dog, cow,
71sheep, and pig and the RBD and RBM of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein were predicted to allow the
72binding of SARS-CoV-2 [18, 19]. Analyses of changes in the binding energy ($\Delta\Delta G$) of the SARS-
73CoV-2 S-protein and the ACE2 complexes from cats, dogs, cows, sheep, and pigs showed that these
74animals belong to the risk category of SARS-CoV-2 infections, as indicated by $\Delta\Delta G$ values ≤ 3.72
75[22]. Consequently, these findings support the susceptibility of domesticated and livestock animals to
76SARS-CoV-2 infections.

77 In addition to infecting humans, SARS-CoV-2 has been reported to infect animals.
78Experimental infections of SARS-CoV-2 in animals have been reported in cats, dogs, ferrets, and
79poultry (March 2020) [23]. SARS-CoV-2 RNA has also been detected by the reverse transcription
80polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in pets from owners with confirmed COVID-19 infections. The
81first case was reported in dogs in Hong Kong (February 2020) [24], in cats in Hong Kong [25] and
82Belgium in March 2020 [26] and in France in April 2020 [27]. The serological surveys found
83antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in cats from Wuhan, China (during January–March 2020) [28] and in
84cats and dogs in Italy (May 2020) [29]. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 was detected in wild animals, such
85as lions, and tigers, at the Bronx Zoo in New York City, United States of America (USA) in March
862020 [30, 31]. Recently, antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 were also detected in wild white-tailed deer
87(*Odocoileus virginianus*) during January–March 2021 in four states in the USA [32]. SARS-CoV-2
88RNA was detected in wastewater in Australia (published online on 18 April 2020) [33] and in the
89USA in January 2021 [34]. Both the SARS-CoV-2 RNA virus and antibodies against SARS-CoV-2
90were also detected in farmed minks. The first case was also detected in the Netherlands during April
91and May 2020 [35]. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 was reported to be transmitted from humans to

92minks, which led to the development of zoonotic diseases that have been proved to be transmitted
93back to humans [36]. Many animals, including those with experimentally induced or natural
94infections, are not yet known for their susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infections and many cases of
95natural infection have not been reported. Therefore, this review focuses on experimental studies of
96SARS-CoV-2 infections, including *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* studies on viral replication and
97transmission capabilities, in pets and in wild and farmed animals. This explains the evidence of
98natural cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections in domesticated animals, including cats, dogs, and minks, as
99well as in wild animals, such as big cats and wild deer in all continents until October 2021. This
100knowledge can be used to determine policy strategies adopted to mitigate the spread of infectious
101diseases in both animals and humans.

102

103SARS-CoV-2 infections in pets

104SARS-CoV-2 infections in cats

105 Some animals have been known to be experimentally infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
106Additionally, there has been evidence of natural infections in various animals from several countries,
107including China, which was the first country in which human infections were found, and in other
108countries in Asia, Europe, Australia, Africa, and the Americas. Some studies conducted to challenge
109animals against SARS-CoV-2 infection are presented in Table 1, whereas natural infections in
110animals, including domestic animals, farm animals and wild animals, are listed in Table 2, and natural
111infections in the United States are listed in Table 3. Experimental infections and natural cases with the
112presumed sources of infection and their transmission are summarised in Figure 1.

113 Experimental studies on SARS-CoV-2 replication and transmission have been observed in cats
114[23, 37-40]. The viral replication was investigated in juvenile [23], sub-adult [23, 38-40] and adult
115cats [37]. In juvenile cats, SARS-CoV-2 was efficiently replicated in the upper and lower respiratory
116tracts [23]. In young cats, viral RNA replicated and was detected in nasal or oropharyngeal swabs
117during the first week post infection and peak viral shedding at 4–5 days post infection [38-40]. In sub-
118adult cats, the virus replicated efficiently in the upper respiratory tract in the beginning of infection,

119but some replicated in the lower respiratory tract and in the small intestine [23]. Viral replication and
120shed viruses were also found both orally and nasally up to days 5 post infection in adult cats [37].

121 All young and sub-adult cats **did not show** clinical signs and symptoms **of the disease** [38-40].
122However, the histopathological features of the respiratory tract showed lymphocytic inflammation
123during early infection in combination with mixed inflammation during the peak infection period and
124decreased during the recovery period [39]. Moderate lesions were found in the lungs in the early
125infection stage [39-40] but tended to persist during the clearance of the virus, during which the lesions
126progressed to chronic histopathological features [39]. Adult cats exhibited no clinical signs of
127diseases, but histopathological features indicated subclinical pathological changes in the upper
128respiratory tract [37]. **Juvenile cats exhibited** massive lesions in the upper and lower respiratory tracts,
129suggesting that young cats are more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infections than adult cats [23]. Viral
130RNA obtained from nasal swabs was not detectable in re-infected animals. Microscopically, **the lungs**
131**appeared with** peribronchial fibrosis and thickening of the alveolar septa [39]. All these experiments
132revealed that cats were highly susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection, in which the virus can replicate
133efficiently in the respiratory tract, and can then shed nasally and orally, even though the cats did not
134exhibit any clinical symptoms [23, 37-40].

135 **The transmission** of SARS-CoV-2 from inoculated **cats to naive-contact** cats was observed in
136juvenile, sub-adult, and adult cats [23, 37-40]. In naive co-housed cats, viral RNA was detected in
137rectal swabs and in the upper respiratory tract tissues at days 1–3 post exposure, persisted at 5–9 days
138post exposure, and the shed virus reached the peak at days 4–5 post exposure [23, 37, 38, 40]. Viral
139RNA **in the naive co-housed cats** was detected in the upper respiratory tract and oesophagus, **but not**
140**in the lung** or other organs on day 5 post exposure [37]. The **virus was optimally replicated** and longer
141in the upper respiratory tract [37-40] compared to that in the lower respiratory tract [39].
142Subsequently, **the virus was excreted and spread** from the oral or nasal cavity [37, 38, 40] **with**
143respiratory droplets to the naive co-housed cats via **the** airborne route [23]. **This suggested that cats**
144**allowed viral replication** and the virus was then transmitted by direct contact (co-housed) to naive
145cats. It is proved the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from infected cats to other cats [23, 37, 40].

146 In addition, re-challenges of SARS-CoV-2 infections in cats were observed at 21 days [40] and
14728 days after the first infection [39]. A re-challenge at 21 days showed that the animals were
148asymptomatic, but viral RNA was found high in the upper respiratory tract and gastrointestinal tissue,
149and low in the lower respiratory tract, lymphatic tissues, heart, and olfactory bulb [40]. On the
150contrary, re-infection at 28 days showed no viral RNA detection in nasal, oral and rectal swabs, or in
151the respiratory tract, brain, liver, spleen, kidney, small and large intestines, heart, and eyelid tissues on
152day 3 after re-infection [39]. This may be related to the immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Immunoglobulin
153M bound to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 was detected on day 7 and reached the peak on day 14, and
154decreased up to day 28, whereas IgG was detected on day 7 post infection and continued to increase
155up to day 28; it then reached a plateau on day 42 post infection [37]. Immunity on day 28 after the
156first infection may have reached its peak to provide the protection effect on the second challenge
157infection [37].

158 In addition to the proof on experimentally induced SARS-CoV-2 infections, some studies
159reported natural infections in several animals, as summarised in Table 2. In Hong Kong, the natural
160infection with SARS-CoV-2 has been observed in 6 of 50 (12%) quarantined animals from
161households, or from animals that had close contacts with patients with COVID-19 [25]. A serological
162study in cats collected from animal shelters, pet hospitals and households with COVID-19 in Wuhan,
163China, from January to March 2020 showed that 15 of 102 (14.7%) cats were positive to antibodies
164against SARS-CoV-2, but all nasopharyngeal and anal swabs were negative for SARS-CoV-2 viral
165RNA [28]. In Thailand, a serological survey was conducted on cats from April to December 2020 and
166showed that 4 of 1,112 serum antibodies were positive to antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 [41].

167 Natural SARS-CoV-2 infection was reported in Europe, including Belgium, Spain, France and
168Italy. In Belgium, a cat from the owner with COVID-19 in March 2020 was positive for the SARS-
169CoV-2 viral RNA and developed neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 [26]. In La Rioja,
170Northern Spain, a study on 23 asymptomatic animals in quarantine from 8 April to 4 May 2020,
171including eight cats from an owner with COVID-19, found that one of eight cats was positive for
172SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA based on RT-PCR [42]. One of the two cats of the owners who died from
173COVID-19 on 18 March 2020, in Spain, were reported seroconverted to SARS-CoV-2; however, viral

174RNA was detected in the first cat but not in the second cat [43]. In France, a cohort study conducted
175on 22 cats from owners who were infected, or suspected to be infected, showed that a cat was positive
176for viral RNA and with antibodies. This cat had the mild respiratory and digestive signs. Furthermore,
177the genomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 from this cat revealed a genome resembling the SARS-CoV-2
178genome in most French humans [27]. In addition, another study in France reported that seroprevalent
179antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were increased in cats and dogs from the confirmed COVID-19
180household cases by 21.3%, and by 2.6% in no confirmed COVID-19 households [44]. In Italy, an
181epidemiological study involving 277 cats living in SARS-CoV-2-positive households, or in the
182geographic areas severely affected by COVID-19, found that several animals developed neutralising
183antibodies, whereas viral RNA was negative in all swab samples [29].

184 SARS-CoV-2 infections in cats were reported in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Data were collected
185from June to August 2020 from cats living in a household with owners with confirmed COVID-19
186and stray animals. Interestingly, serum from a stray cat tested positive for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2,
187even though the tests were negative for viral RNA [45]. Another study in the same city showed that
188cats from households with owners positive for COVID-19 showed positive results for viral RNA (3 of
18910 household cats) and developed a neutralising antibody to SARS-CoV-2 (two of four cats) [46].

190 In the USA, the first infection with SARS-CoV-2 in cats was reported in April 2020 [47, 48].
191The other cases were reported by the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) in the follow-up
192reports, with numbers of 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 23 [47-62], as listed in
193Table 3. SARS-CoV-2 infections were confirmed by RT-PCR in a total of 44 suspected cats and 21
194cats [47-62]. In the first case, two cats had clinical signs of respiratory illness from owners with
195COVID-19. Both cats were positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA and developed antibodies against SARS-
196CoV-2 [47, 63]. Recently, in Texas, USA, infection with SARS-CoV-2 was reported in cats of the
197COVID-19 household, which showed 17.6% of the cats were positive for SARS-CoV-2, and 43.8% of
198the cats were found to have neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 [64].

199 The susceptibility of animals to SARS-CoV-2 infection was predicted by comparing ACE2
200animal and human [18, 65, 66]. ACE2 is the receptor that interacts with the spike protein of SARS-
201CoV-2 that allows viral entry to host cells [18, 65, 66]. Cats ACE2 presented four amino acid changes

202related to Gln24Leu, Asp30Glu, Asp38Glu and Met82Thr [65]. **The residue Asp30 in ACE2 was**
203negatively charged and forms a salt bridge with Lys417 (positively charged) **in the S-protein of**
204SARS-CoV-2. This is a stable bridge located in the middle of the surface interaction [65]. **The Asp30**
205to Glu **mutation residue** formed more stable bridges **than Asp30 residue** [65]. His34, located in the
206centre of surface interaction, and the N-glycosylation site at residue Asn90 were still the same as those
207of human ACE2 [18, 65, 66]. This predicted that cat ACE2 was suitable as the attachment site of the
208S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 [18, 65, 66]. **The findings of these *in silico* studies were consistent with**
209**experimental studies** [23, 37-40] **and with naturally infected cases of SARS-CoV-2 in cats** [25, 26, 42,
21043, 64]. **This may also explain the susceptibility of cats to SARS-CoV-2 infection** [25, 26, 42, 43, 64],
211**and the ability of the virus to replicate and transmit between cats** [23, 37, 48].

212 SARS-CoV-2 infections in studies *in vivo* [37-40], and mainly in naturally infected cases, did
213not result in clinical symptoms [67]. **Although asymptomatic, thickening of the alveolar septa was**
214**found histopathologically, which indicated chronic lung inflammation** [39]. Recently, **an unusual**
215**clinical manifestation has been documented**, which included severe myocarditis and impaired general
216health in cats infected by the B.1.1.7 variant of SARS-CoV-2 [68]. **It was also reported previously in**
217**human patients that** symptoms of acute myocarditis developed in more than 25% of critical cases
218because of SARS-CoV-2 infections [14]. Several studies reported **that cats developed variable mild to**
219**severe respiratory signs, with predominant presentations of sneezing and coughing, gastroenteritis**
220**(vomit and diarrhoea), diminishing general health status (fever, lethargy, lack of appetite),**
221**cardiovascular signs (cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure, ventricular arrhythmia) and**
222**neurological signs** [67]. The unusual signs may relate to the accumulation of mutations in the SARS-
223CoV-2 genome, which lead to changes in the virulence of the virus and resulted in unusual outcomes
224[68]. Therefore, **further** research is needed on SARS-CoV-2 mutations in humans and cats and to
225increase awareness and suspicion in natural cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection, especially in
226asymptomatic cats.

227

228**SARS-CoV-2 infections in dogs**

229 Experimental studies in dogs found that SARS-CoV-2 replicated in the respiratory tract of dogs,
230but animals may not transmit the virus to other dogs [23, 37]. Several inoculated dogs were positive
231for viral RNA, thus indicating the presence of viral replication, but dogs did not shed the infectious
232virus [23, 37]. In addition, antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were detected in inoculated dogs but were
233undetectable in naive co-housed dogs [23, 37].

234 The natural infection of SARS-CoV-2 in dogs was reported in Hong Kong for the first time
235from a household infected with COVID-19. The dogs were found to be positive for viral RNA and
236seroconverted to SARS-CoV-2 [34]. Interestingly, the SARS-CoV-2 genomes from both dogs were
237identical to the viral genome from a related human case [34]. In addition, a serological study in dogs
238during the Wuhan outbreak showed that 1.69% of the dogs' serum were positive for SARS-CoV-2
239antibodies. The positive sera were collected from the owner, a pet hospital and stray animal [69]. The
240same result in Thailand showed that 1.66% of the serum collected from dogs during the outbreak were
241positive to SARS-CoV-2 antibodies [41].

242 In Italy, an epidemiological survey on SARS-CoV-2 infection in dogs reported that viral RNA
243was not detected, but several dogs with COVID-19 positive or negative owner found positive for
244SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies [29]. In France and Croatia, the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2
245in dogs with COVID-19 positive owners was 15.4% [48] and 43.9% [70], respectively, whereas in the
246United Kingdom from the unknown owner status was 1.4% [71].

247 Several cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in dogs were also reported in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil,
248from a household with a confirmed COVID-19 infection [46] and from a stray dog [45]. As many as
24931% of dogs from households with patients with positive COVID-19 were positively infected with
250SARS-CoV-2, and some showed positive outcomes for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 [46].

251 The first confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 in a dog in the USA was announced on 2 June 2020.
252A German shepherd dog, which lived with another dog and the owner who was COVID-19 positive,
253developed the symptoms of respiratory illness and tested positive for viral RNA and neutralising
254antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 [72, 73]. In addition, several SARS-CoV-2 infection cases were reported
255by the OIE in follow-up reports with the numbers of 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20 and 23
256[52-60, 62, 73-86]. In Texas was found 1.7% of dogs from infected COVID-19 households were

257positive for the viral RNA, and 11.9% were positive for neutralising antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 [64].
258A serological study in Minnesota during April to June 2020 showed that 0.98% of dogs were
259seropositive for the N-protein SARS-CoV-2 [77].

260 The S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 interacted with the ACE2 of dogs. The analysis of canine ACE2
261compared with human ACE2 contained five amino acid changes. These same amino acid changes also
262occurred in pig ACE2. These included the residues Gln24Leu, Asp30Glu, His34Tyr, Met82Thr and
263Asp38Glu [65]. Changes in Gln24Leu and His34Tyr resulted in failure of hydrogen bond formation
264and in the weakening of the stability of the interaction between ACE2 and the S-protein of SARS-
265CoV-2 [78], whereas the replacement of Asn90 residues with Asp resulted in a lack of N-glycosylation
266at position 90 [18, 65, 66]. *In silico* studies found the low susceptibility of dogs to SARS-CoV-2
267infections [18, 65, 66]. In addition, no viral transmission was documented from inoculated animals to
268naive, close contact animals [23, 37]. In the cases of natural infections, there was no confirmed
269evidence of COVID-19 transmission among dogs [24]. This suggests that dogs may be infected with
270SARS-CoV-2, but they have low susceptibility and have not transmitted the virus to other dogs [23,
27124].

272

273SARS-CoV-2 infections in wild animals

274SARS-CoV-2 infections in big cats

275 Natural infections of SARS-CoV-2 in big cats have been reported in the tiger (*Panthera tigris*)
276[30, 31, 79-82], lion (*Panthera leo*) [30, 31, 79, 80], snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*) [81, 82] and
277cougar (*Puma concolor*) [83]. The first confirmed SARS-CoV-2 case was reported in the Bronx Zoo,
278New York City, USA, in tigers on 4 April 2020, and in lions on 15 April 2020 [79, 80]. Tigers and
279lions showed clinical signs, such as dry cough and some wheezing, but no respiratory distress. All
280animals with clinical signs improved and recovered. The sources of infection were assumed to be
281transmissions from the zookeepers who had no clinical signs (asymptomatic) [79, 80]. Epidemiologic
282and genomic data from the tiger and lion showed a different genotype of SARS-CoV-2, which
283indicated human-to-animal transmission from two different sources [30, 31]. Furthermore, the viral
284RNA shedding was found in faeces and respiratory secretions of infected animals and persisted in the

285 faeces for >4 weeks [30, 31]. Based on the infection timeline, it was assumed that the virus was
286 transmitted from zookeepers to animals, and subsequently to other animals in the same cage [30, 31].

287 Another case in Tennessee, USA, found that 3 Malayan tigers (*P. tigris tigris*) exhibited clinical
288 signs, including mild coughing, lethargy, and inappetence; all tigers were confirmed positive for
289 SARS-CoV-2. It seems that the tigers were infected by the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from an
290 infected human. All tigers recovered [81, 82]. In addition, other natural infection cases of SARS-CoV-
291 2 in big cats and in the snow leopard at the Louisville Zoo, USA, were detected in December 2020
292 [83] and at the San Diego Zoo, USA, in July 2021 [84]; additionally, there was a cougar case in Texas,
293 USA, in February 2021 [85]. In mid-September 2021, three tigers and six lions at the Smithsonian
294 National Zoo, USA, were presumed positive for SARS-CoV-2 after they presented mild respiratory
295 symptoms, such as coughing and sneezing, lethargy, and decreased appetite [86].

296 Natural cases of SARS-CoV-2 in Katanga lions (*P. leo bleyenberghi*) were reported in the
297 Barcelona Zoo (Catalonia, Spain) from November–December 2020 [87]. These four lions had
298 respiratory symptoms, such as sneezing, coughing and nasal discharge, and developed antibodies
299 against SARS-CoV-2 [87].

300 Recently, in Indonesia, two Sumatran tigers (*P. tigris sumatrae*) at Ragunan Zoo Jakarta were
301 confirmed positive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR, on 15 July 2021. These big cats presented with mild
302 respiratory symptoms, such as lethargy, sneezing, shortness of breath, mucus secretion from the nose
303 and decreased appetite [88, 89]. In India, nine lions [90] and three [91] Asiatic lions (*P. leo persica*)
304 were reported to be positive to SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant in the B.1.617.2 lineage during May–June
305 2021 [90, 91].

306 The susceptibility of the tiger, lion, leopard, and puma were analysed by *in silico* studies by
307 comparing the ACE2 of these animals with the human ACE2. ACE2 receptors from the tiger, cougar,
308 and leopard (*Panthera pardus*) identified four amino acids changes, which were Gln24Leu,
309 Asp30Glu, Asp38Glu and Met82Thr and had His34 and N-glycosylated Asp90, the same as those for
310 humans and cats [65, 78, 92]. By contrast, in lions, apart from having the same four amino differences
311 as cats, there was a mutation of Asn90 to Asp that resulted in the loss of N-glycosylation at site 90
312 [69]. Furthermore, a mutation was reported in His34 to Ser was also reported [65]. The His34 residue

313 was considered a critical residue associated with the susceptibility of lions and tigers to SARS-CoV-2
314 infections [78]. The His34 to Ser mutation was predicted to decrease the binding stability between
315 ACE2 and the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein [78]. This suggested that animals with His34Ser mutations **had**
316 **lower** susceptibility than animals with His34 [78].

317 **Almost all animals had** respiratory tract symptoms, with or without general symptoms of
318 disease, such as lethargy or loss of appetite [30, 31, 79-85, 88, 89]. In addition, up to 96.5% of
319 animals **had a cough and 79% of animals had sneezing symptoms** [67]. The appearance of the clinical
320 signs may be explained by **the ACE2 expressions** in the ciliated bronchial epithelium cells **from** tigers
321 and lions, and in the endothelial blood vessels within the alveolar septa in tigers [93]. In view of the
322 expressions of ACE2 in the respiratory tracts of big cats [93], the increasing number of natural
323 infections of SARS-CoV-2 in these animals and the transmission of the virus from asymptomatic
324 carriers [30, 31, 79-86, 88, 89], a SARS-CoV-2 vaccination programme should be implemented in
325 these big cats, and there should be more concern about SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in wild animals to
326 minimise the spread of SARS-CoV-2 within the animal population.

327

328 **SARS-CoV-2 infections in deer**

329 **The susceptibility** of deer to the virus was investigated **in studies** *in vitro* and *in vivo*, as well as
330 *in silico*. An *in vitro* study was performed in deer lung **cells** infected with SARS-CoV-2 isolate
331 TGR/NY/20 [94] and human/USA/WA1/2020 [99]. It was found that SARS-CoV-2 replicated in
332 white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) lung cells [94, 95],
333 whereas the virus did not replicate in elk (*Cervus canadensis*) lungs cells [91].

334 Furthermore, in an *in vivo* study, SARS-CoV-2 replicated in white-tailed deer fawns [94] and
335 adult deer [95] and **both groups of animals** experienced subclinical viral infections [94, 95]. Viral
336 RNA was detected in nasal secretions and faeces in fawns for periods longer than those in adult deer
337 [94, 95], in fawns during days 1–21 post infection [94] and in adults during days 1–10 post infection
338 [95]. The virus replicated in the upper respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts and was shed from nasal,
339 oral and rectal swabs [95].

340 Viral transmission occurred from inoculated animals to indirect contact animals [94, 95]. Viral
341RNA was detected in nasal, oral or rectal swabs of co-housed animals [95]. Infectious viruses were
342detected in nasal secretions and in the faeces from indirect contact animals at days 2–7 post infection
343[94]. Both inoculated and non-inoculated deer developed neutralising antibodies [94]. Furthermore,
344despite the horizontal transmission between inoculated animals and indirect contact animals, the
345vertical transmission from the adult female deer to the foetus was also reported [95].

346 *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies showed a high susceptibility of deer to SARS-CoV-2 infections [94].
347Recently, a serological survey during January–March 2021 in the USA (Michigan, Pennsylvania,
348Illinois and New York states) has found SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in 40% of the wild white-tailed deer
349population [26]. In addition, antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were detected in one and three serum
350samples in 2019 and 2020, respectively; however, these samples show low percent inhibition values
351[32]. Currently, the first confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 in the wild white-tailed deer was announced in
352Ohio, USA, on 27 August 2021 [96].

353 White-tailed deer, reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*) and Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*)
354were predicted to have a high susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infections [92]. Homology analyses of
355deer ACE2 revealed high similarities to humans ACE2 [92]. It showed four different amino acid
356residues (Asp30Glu, Leu79Met, Met82Thr and Asn322His) and a Lys31Asn residue for Père David's
357deer [92]. In addition, analyses of the interaction between ACE2 of these three species of deer and
358RBD of SARS-CoV-2 exhibited a high-binding score and indicated high susceptibility to viral
359infection [92]. Considering these *in silico* studies [92], the high susceptibility and transmissibility to
360SARS-CoV-2 infection [94, 95], the high seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the wild white-tailed deer
361population [32] and the first confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection case in wild deer in the world, it is
362necessary to monitor the deer, its predators and other wildlife populations [32].

363

364SARS-CoV-2 infections in farm animals

365SARS-CoV-2 infections in cattle and sheep

366 In cattle (*Bos taurus*), an *in vitro* study was performed in the bovine cell line, including
367turbinate, trachea normal, pulmonary artery, foetal bovine lung and foetal bovine kidney cells. Cell

368lines were infected with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20. This indicated that SARS-CoV-2 did not
369replicate [97]. However, another *ex vivo* study in organ cultures of respiratory tract cells demonstrated
370that SARS-CoV-2 replicated in lung and trachea cells. The respiratory tract **was also shown to be**
371immunoreactive to the polyclonal antibody of ACE2 [98].

372 An *in vivo* study of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cattle showed that the virus replicated but was
373not transmitted [97, 98]. Six-week-old calves exhibited mild symptoms, such as a high temperature
374and mild cough. The virus replicated, but viral shedding was not found. The calves developed
375neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, but this antibody titre did not persist for more than 21
376days [97]. Another study in older calves **revealed that** the virus replicated, but the calves did not shed
377the virus and there were no clinical signs [99].

378 **Homogenetic analyses** of ACE2 of the **family** Bovidae, including cattle (*Bos taurus*), water
379buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*), wild goat (*Capra aegagrus*), **goat** (*Capra hircus*) and sheep (*Ovis aries*),
380with human ACE2 exhibited high similarity. This analysis identified four amino acid residues
381different from those of human ACE2: Asp30Glu, Leu79Met, Met82Thr and Asn322Tyr. Furthermore,
382**the evaluation of the binding contact** between **ACE2 of those animals with RBD in the S-protein of**
383**SARS-CoV-2** predicted medium susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection, **at the same level as that**
384**documented in the cat** [92]. In addition, ACE2 receptors **were expressed** in the bronchiole epithelia of
385cattle and sheep, but not in the nasal mucosa and alveoli [93]. By contrast, **ACE2 receptors in cats**
386**were expressed** in alveoli and type I **pneumocytes** [93]. However, an *in vivo* study found that the
387infectious virus was not detected in cattle. **This may indicate that cattle** had low susceptibility to
388SARS-CoV-2 infections [97, 99].

389 The susceptibility of sheep to SARS-CoV-2 infection was investigated in *ex vivo* organ cultures
390**of** respiratory tract cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 with D614 and SARS-CoV-2 with D614G. The
391results demonstrated that **sheep lung and trachea cells exhibited ACE2 receptors**, and **thus supported**
392**the replication of both SARS-CoV-2 variants** [98]. This indicates that SARS-CoV-2 can infect sheep,
393but further *in vivo* studies are needed to confirm the susceptibility of sheep to SARS-CoV-2 infection.
394Likewise, research on the susceptibility of other ruminant groups to SARS-CoV-2 infections still
395requires further *in vitro* and *in vivo* research studies.

397 SARS-CoV-2 infections in pigs

398 The susceptibility of pigs to SARS-CoV-2 infections was investigated *in vitro* using swine cell
399 lines. Swine testicular cells and swine kidney cells (SK-6 and PK-15) [100, 101] supported SARS-
400 CoV-2 replication. In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 did not replicate in *ex vivo* respiratory organ cultures
401 from pigs [98].

402 *In vivo* studies in domesticated pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*) found no viral replication and
403 transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from inoculated animals to contact-naive animals [23, 100-102]. Viral
404 RNA was not detectable in oropharyngeal and rectal swabs from pigs inoculated with 10^5 PFU of
405 CTan-H or naive animals at all time points, and there were no antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 [23]. Pigs
406 infected with 10^5 TCID₅₀ of 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1 yielded the same results [100]. Inoculated and
407 naive-contact animals had no clinical signs. **Viral RNA, antibodies and organ lesions after necropsy**
408 **were also not detected** [100]. Both those studies challenged pigs intra-nasally [23, 100]. Another study
409 that **carried out** the challenge via the intranasal, oral and intratracheal routes **simultaneously** obtained
410 the same results, **despite the fact that the dose was higher** (dose 10^6 TCID₅₀ of SARS-CoV-2) [101].
411 Meanwhile, pigs inoculated with $10^{5.8}$ TCID₅₀ of SARS-CoV-2 intravenously and intramuscularly
412 were shown to have low levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, despite the fact that they did not show
413 clinical signs, and viral RNA was not detected in nasal or rectal swabs [102].

414 Although previous studies that challenged pigs with SARS-CoV-2 via intranasal, intratracheal,
415 oral, intramuscular, and intravenous routes showed that pigs were not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2
416 infections [23, 100-102], **but there were two research groups reported different results** [103, 104].
417 First, pigs aged 8 weeks were challenged with 10^6 PFU/animal of SARS-CoV-2 isolate hCoV-
418 19/Canada/ON-VIDO-01/2020 via the nasal and pharynx routes. It was the first study that detected
419 low-level viral RNA in nasal washing and oral fluids after inoculation, but it was not detectable in
420 other swab samples (oral, nasal, and rectal swabs). The study **also found** neutralising antibodies
421 against SARS-CoV-2 at low levels in two pigs. One pig presented cough and mild depression
422 symptoms from day 1 to 4 post infection, and the infectious virus was detected in this pig in the
423 submandibular lymph node at day 13 post infection [103]. A second study on pigs involved infections

424with 6.8×10^6 TCID₅₀ of the SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 via the intratracheal, intranasal, and
425intravenous routes. Viral RNA in nasal/oral and rectal swabs, and neutralising antibodies against
426SARS-CoV-2 from all groups of administration routes were detectable, but transient. Furthermore,
427some tissues (tonsils, mandibular lymph node, tracheobronchial lymph node) from inoculated animals
428showed weak positivity for viral RNA, but the infectious viruses were not isolated successfully. That
429study proved that inoculation of the virus through these routes could not produce the infectious virus,
430and there were no viral transmissions from inoculated animals to naive-contact animals [104].

431 Several studies predicted the susceptibility of pigs to SARS-CoV-2 infections based on
432comparisons of pig ACE2 with human ACE2. These studies found five amino acid changes in pig
433ACE2 and an Asn90Thr mutation that prevented N-glycosylation. There were mutations of Asn30 to
434Glu, Leu79 to Ile and Met89 to Thr [92]. In addition, mutations of Gln24 to Leu and His34 to Leu led
435to the failure of hydrogen bond formation between the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and porcine ACE2
436receptors [92]. Based on these *in silico* studies, pigs and dogs exhibited low susceptibility to SARS-
437CoV-2 infections together with dogs [92], but dogs were naturally infected with SARS-CoV-2 [24, 44,
43845, 46, 72, 73].

439 *Ex vivo* [98] and *in vivo* studies [23, 100-102] in swine respiratory tract cells found no SARS-
440CoV-2 replication. On the contrary, infection with higher doses showed weak positive viral RNA in
441swabs [103-104], and SARS-CoV-2 RNA and protein of inoculated animals were undetectable in
442respiratory tract cells [98, 101, 103]. The distribution of ACE2 protein on the tissues showed no
443expression in the upper and lower respiratory tract cells [93, 98], but the mRNA type was found to be
444weakly expressed [104]. However, it was overexpressed in the small intestine [93] and kidney [98,
445104]. This may explain the fact that SARS-CoV-2 replicated in kidney cells [100, 101] but not in the
446respiratory tract cells of pigs [98, 100, 101, 104]. Those experimental studies were consistent with *in*
447*silico* predictions and indicated that pigs have a low susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infections [92].

448

449SARS-CoV-2 infections in minks

450 The first case of natural infection of SARS-CoV-2 in minks (*Neovison vison*) was reported in
451two farms in the Netherlands in April 2020 [35]. These animals revealed severe respiratory diseases

452and increased mortality. The clinical signs included breathing difficulties and nasal exudate. SARS-
453CoV-2 viral RNA and viral antigen were detected in the upper and lower respiratory tracts [35].
454Histopathological features included the thickening and degeneration of alveolar septa, which indicated
455acute severe interstitial pneumonia or diffuse alveolar damage [35, 105]. Before the SARS-CoV-2
456outbreak occurred in the mink farm, a worker in the farm tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 indicating
457the probable transmission from the human to mink [35].

458 In addition, SARS-CoV-2 infected minks were reported in Denmark around June 2020 [106].
459Similar findings were reported in several countries in Europe, which included Spain in July 2020
460[107, 108], Italy in August 2020 [107, 108], Sweden in October 2020, Greece, France, Poland and
461Lithuania in November 2020, a second infection in a mink farm in Poland on 30 January 2021, and in
462Latvia in April 2021 [107, 108, 109]. In the Netherlands and Denmark, the virus spread rapidly among
463minks and resulted in respiratory diseases and increased mortality [36, 106].

464 In the USA, the first case was reported in August 2020 in two commercial mink farms. The
465clinical findings included respiratory signs and sudden death. It was assumed that a mink was infected
466from SARS-CoV-2 infected people who contacted the mink and the virus spread it among minks in
467these farms [110]. A total of 177,357 suspected minks and the deaths of 16,130 minks due to SARS-
468CoV-2 infections were reported in mink farms in Utah, Michigan, Wisconsin and Oregon, from June
469to October 2020, as OIE reported in the follow-up reports No. 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26 [56, 59-
47061, 82, 110-112].

471 The SARS-CoV-2 genome in the mink farm in the Netherlands had a high diversity [36]. There
472were five clusters, among which three clusters (A, C, E) contained the mutation of aspartate 614 to
473glycine (D614G) that was found in general human populations and in cases related to minks [36]. In
474Denmark, mutations that occurred in the ORF 1b gene were mutations of threonine 730 to isoleucine
475(T730I) and proline 314 to leucine (P314L), whereas in the ORF3a gene, there was a mutation of
476histidine 182 to tyrosine (H182Y). Finally, in the nucleoprotein gene, there were mutations of arginine
477203 to lysine (R203K) and glycine 204 to arginine (G204R) [106]. In addition, D614G and Y453F
478mutations occurred in the spike gene [106]. The SARS-CoV-2 variant T730I was found in humans
479and in the mink population in Jutland, Denmark, and in one sequence from New Zealand [106]. A

480H182Y mutation within ORF3a appeared in all minks in Denmark and in human cases related to the
481mink. Even if it was a rare mutation, it was also found in a mink farm in the Netherlands [106].
482Recently, the new variant of SARS-CoV-2 that contained the deletions of histidine 69 (H69) and
483valine 70 (V70) has been reported. Some mutations developed in mink farms and in 12 humans with
484COVID-19 who lived around the mink farms in Jutland included Y453F, D614G, isoleucine 692 to
485valine (I692V), and methionine 1229 to isoleucine (M1229I) [113]. The deletion of H69 and V70
486within the spike gene occurred in mink farms probably as an adaptation of the virus to increase its
487binding ability to the receptor [114]. The same finding was revealed in Poland [115]. Mutations
488occurred in the spike gene, which resulted in alterations of the amino acids glycine 75 to valine
489(G75V), methionine 177 to threonine (M177T), cysteine 1247 to phenylalanine (C1247F), and
490contained the amino acid mutation Y453F [115], as previously reported in the mink farm in Denmark
491[106, 113].

492 D614G and Y453F are two interesting mutations in the S-protein of SARS-CoV-2. These are
493specific mutations found in the mink and are related to the mutations found in humans on the mink
494farm [36, 106]. Mutations of D614G in S-protein was found predominantly in the human population,
495in the mink farm in Denmark and in the Netherlands [36, 106]. Furthermore, Y453F mutation was
496found in mink farms in the Netherlands and was related to human cases in a mink farms in Denmark
497[106]. The change of aspartate residue at position site 614 to glycine, and the change of tyrosine
498residue at position site 453 to phenylalanine were a form of virus adaptation to allow the virus to entry
499into host cells; this efficiently increased ACE2 binding in minks and humans [116]. In addition, the
500mutation of Y453F reduced the efficiency of antibody therapy and convalescent serum/plasma therapy
501from patients with COVID-19, and thus reduced the success of therapy and increased the risk of death
502in patients [116].

503 The SARS-CoV-2 genome obtained from the mink samples was found to have high similarity
504with humans associated with mink farms in the Netherlands and Denmark [36, 106], indicating viral
505transmissions from the mink workers to the animals [36]. Subsequently, spreading of the virus among
506minks in the farms occurred by inhalation of spray droplets from sneezing and coughing or inhalation
507of aerosol microparticles (<5 µm) that contained infectious viruses [117, 118]. This has been proven

508by finding viral RNA in dust samples collected using stationary air sampling (over 5-6-h periods) in
509the mink farm during the outbreak [35]. Furthermore, based on genomic and epidemiological studies,
510it appeared that SARS-CoV-2 was transmitted from humans to minks and spread among minks
511following the appearance of several new mutations; it was then transmitted back to humans, as was
512also observed in the Netherlands and Denmark [36, 106], making it possible to transfer the virus to
513other sites [107].

514 The spread of SARS-CoV-2 from the mink to the surrounding environment or to other animals
515that live at the farms is also possible [107, 119]. This is based on the finding of viral RNA in airborne
516dust collected at locations 2–3 m from farms, in fur and straw from infected farms, and in the feet of
517seagulls that often forage on mink farms in Denmark, thus making it possible to transfer the virus to
518other sites [107]. The dogs and cats on the farm were also positive for viral RNA, and some dogs and
519cats had antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 [107]. A study from the Netherlands [119] reported that viral
520RNA was identified in stray cats that lived near farm sites, as well as in cats and dogs that lived on the
521farm [119]. The authors presumed that the stray cats were infected by the minks, but the source of
522viral infections in dogs has not been determined [119].

523 SARS-CoV-2 transmission from humans to minks, minks to minks, and minks to humans or
524other animals was found [36, 106, 107, 119]. In addition, indirect transmission through dust or objects
525around the mink farm that contain the active virus [109, 119]. There was evidence of the possibility of
526emergence of new strains because of new mutations or accumulations of mutations in the viral
527genome in the mink group, which were faster and more virulent [106, 113, 115, 116]. Hence, it is
528necessary to consider mitigation strategies to manage outbreaks in animals and humans globally,
529especially those related to transmission cases among animals and from animals to humans, and vice
530versa. It is also crucial to protect stray animals and wild animals around mink farms.

531

532SARS-CoV-2 infections in poultries

533 To evaluate poultries susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection, several experimental studies
534have been conducted, including in chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*), turkeys (*Meleagris*
535*gallopavo*), pekin ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos domesticus*), Japanese quails (*Coturnix japonica*) and in

536white Chinese geese (*Anser cygnoides*) [23, 100, 120]. These domesticated fowl were infected intra-
537nasally or oculo-oronasally and later introduced to naive animals. All studies reported that viral RNA
538was not detected in any oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs collected from inoculated animals or naive
539animals. In addition, all these birds were seronegative for SARS-CoV-2 [23, 100, 120]. All animals
540also showed no clinical signs during the study, and any lesion was detected at necropsy [100, 120].
541Similarly, embryonated chicken eggs (ECEs) were usually used for isolation, and the laboratory host
542system in the vaccine production exhibited no viral replication in ECEs [100, 120]. All these studies
543on poultry and ECEs showed that the viral RNA cannot be replicated and transmitted among birds
544[23, 100, 120].

545 Despite experimental studies, it was found that chicken that had indirect contact with the mink
546farm outbreak were negative for SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA [107, 119]. It was also reported that wild
547birds trapped in the mink farms affected, including hundreds of seagulls with other birds, including
548one hooded crow (*Corvus cornix*), a jackdaw (*Corvus monedula*) and a common kestrel (*Falco*
549*tinnunculus*), were found negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA [107]. This was in accordance with the
550predictions of *in silico* studies [65]. The class Aves, including chickens and ducks, had ACE2
551receptors that did not match the S-protein of SARV-CoV-2 [65]. Analyses conducted to compare the
552chicken and duck ACE2 receptors with human ACE2 receptors showed that the receptors of these
553avian species contained ten amino acids changes and lacked the N-glycosylation at position site 90
554[65]. These changes affected the amino acid residue involved in the binding of ACE2 to the SARS-
555CoV-2 S-protein, in chicken including Gln24Glu, His34Val, Leu79Asn and Met82Arg, and
556Gly354Asn, and in ducks was His34Val, Leu79Asn, Met82Asn, and Gly354Asn [65]. This change
557also occurred in Tyr83Phe, which resulted in the failure of hydrogen bond formation, and in
558Asp30Ala, which resulted in the lack of salt bridge formation [65]. Therefore, these findings may
559explain the inability of ACE2 receptors in the bird group to bind to the S-protein of the SARS-CoV-2.
560These findings suggest that poultry are not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infections [23, 100, 120].

561

562SARS-CoV-2 infections in other animals

563 SARS-CoV-2 infection has been reported in several animals. Gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla*) at the
564 San Diego Zoo, USA, were found positive for SARS-CoV-2 on 11 January 2021. Despite appearing to
565 have a mild cough, stuffy nose and lethargy symptoms, they recovered [121]. Confirmation of
566 COVID-19 was reported in Asian small-clawed otters (*Aonyx cinereus*) in Georgia, USA, in April
567 2021 [122]. These otters which includes in the family Mustelidae that the same family with minks.
568 showed clinical signs, such as sneezing, runny noses, mild lethargy, and coughing [122]. Recently,
569 several animals have been reported to be infected with SARS-CoV-2, including animals at a zoo in
570 Illinois, USA, that was a binturong (*Arctictis binturong*) and a fishing cat (*Prionailurus viverrinus*) on
571 15 October 2021 [123] and a coati (*Coati mundii*) on 14 October 2021 [124]. Furthermore, two hyenas at
572 Denver Zoo in Colorado, USA [125] were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 with other animals in the
573 zoo, including lions and tigers on 5 November 2021 [125]. Then, there were two hippos at a zoo in
574 Antwerp, Belgium that were positive for SARS-CoV-2 infections on 6 December 2021 [126].

575 Animals from infected mink farms, such as chicken, rabbits, and horses, tested negative for
576 SARS-CoV-2 [107]. PCR-negative outcomes for SARS-CoV-2 were also found in a group of wild
577 animals collected in the areas around the infected mink farms from October to November 2020 in
578 Denmark, including red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), badgers (*Meles meles*), least weasel (*Mustela nivalis*),
579 polecats (*Mustela putorius*), otter (*Lutra lutra*), beech martens (*Martes foina*) and raccoon dogs
580 (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*), as well as in feral mink (*N. vison*) [107]. SARS-CoV-2 infections has not
581 been reported in other wild animals, pets and farm animals that have close contact with humans, such
582 as horses, goats, camels, and buffaloes, have not been reported. This requires further investigation in
583 terms of both the detection of viral RNA and serological surveys.

584 Recently, there have been many reported cases of COVID-19 in animals. To prevent SARS-
585 CoV-2 infections in various animals, both pets and wild and farm animals, vaccines have been
586 developed, including a vaccine from Zoetis company, Carnivac-Cov, and the LinearDNA™ COVID-
587 19 vaccine [127, 128]. Zoetis has developed a subunit recombinant vaccine for the SARS-CoV-2 S-
588 protein for wild animals. It has been used to vaccinate some species of wild animals in several zoos and
589 sanctuaries in the USA and Canada, including orangutans, bonobos, hyenas, chimpanzees, and lions
590 [127, 129]. Thus, Russia have developed Carnivac-Cov, an inactivated vaccine, and have been on

591 clinical trials in dogs, cats, foxes, and minks [[127]. The Linear DNA™ COVID-19 vaccine has been
592 developed by Applied DNA Sciences (United States) and EvviVax (Italy) for use in domestic felines
593 [128]. The safety and immunogenicity of this vaccine in cats showed to be well tolerated and induced
594 high titers of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies [130], while the safety and immunogenicity in
595 minks are currently in progress of research [131]. Furthermore, successful immunization of animals
596 could protect animals from SARS-CoV-2 infections and prevent virus transmission among animals
597 and cross-species. Therefore, it leads to reducing the risk of the emergence of new mutations of
598 SARS-CoV-2 [127, 128].

599

600 **Conclusions**

601 The susceptibility of animals to SARS-CoV-2 is very different depending on the family. Felines
602 including both domestic cats and big cats are susceptible species where transmission of the virus
603 between animals has also been detected. Other wild animals that were found to be infected as natural
604 infections in the zoos were gorillas, otters, a binturong, a fishing cat, a coatimundi, hyenas, and
605 hippos. Livestock, such as cattle, sheep, and pigs, have a low susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2
606 infections, whereas poultries have been shown to be less susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

607 Most cases infection of SARS-CoV-2 in animals are through close contact with humans,
608 including in domesticated animals, big cats, and other wild animals in zoos. This also occurred in
609 white-tailed deer and minks. In white-tailed deer, the virus can transmit to other deer that are in close
610 contact, or to its foetus experimentally. Furthermore, it is suspected that SARS-CoV-2 may have
611 spread to the white-tailed deer population naturally with the finding that the seroprevalence of SARS-
612 CoV-2 in the deer population was quite high. In minks, the virus infections were be transmitted
613 from humans and be spread among minks and then undergone adaptation and spreads back to
614 humans. Presumably, the virus in minks and white-tailed deer were also possible to be transmitted to
615 other animals because of the large number of infected animals and the high seroprevalence rate in
616 these two animal species.

617 When infecting humans or animals, viruses generate several mutations and accumulate; then
618 the mutation will be transmitted to other humans or animals. Some mutations increase the level of
619 viral virulence, and some cause resistance to antibodies or convalescent plasma therapy. Therefore, it
620 is necessary to increase the awareness of rapidly mutating viruses and prepare various forms of
621 appropriate therapies and treatments. Not only do vaccines need to be developed, but research related
622 to the development of antivirals and therapeutic management, as well as comprehensive strategies for
623 mitigating infectious and dangerous diseases are also necessary. This knowledge may contribute to the
624 management of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in humans and animals.

625

626 **Authors' Contributions**

627 GM: concepting ideas, drafting, submitting, and editing the manuscript. GM, AR, and RI:
628 references collecting. AR and RI: the partial editing of the manuscript. IRA and IdB: the concepting
629 ideas, references sources, reviewing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
630 manuscript.

631

632 **Competing interest**

633 The authors declare no competing of interest.

634

635 **Funding Statement**

636

637 This research did not receive financial support.

638

639 **Acknowledgment**

640 We thank Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia for the language editing support.

641

642 **References**

6431. Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng,
644 Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao H., Guo L.,
645 Xie J., Wang G., Jiang R., Gao Z., Jin Q., Wang J., and Cao, B. (2020) Clinical features of
646 patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*, 395(10223): 497–506.
6472. Worldometer. Coronavirus. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> Accessed October 18,
648 2021, 01:23 GMT.
6493. Gorbalenya, A.E., Baker, S.C., Baric, R.S., de Groot, R.J., Drosten, C., Gulyaeva, A.A.,
650 Haagmans, B.L., Lauber, C., Leontovich, A.M., Neuman, B.W., Penzar, D., Perlman S., Poon

- 651 L.L.M., Samborskiy D.V., Sidorov I.A., Sola I., and Ziebuhr J., (2020) The species Severe acute
652 respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2.
653 *Nat. Microbiol.*, 5(4): 536–544.
6544. Zhou, P., Yang, X. L., Wang, X. G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Si, H. R., Zhu, Y., Li, B.,
655 Huang, C. L., Chen, H. D., Chen, J., Luo, Y., Guo, H., Jiang, R. D., Liu, M. Q., Chen, Y., Shen,
656 X. R., Wang, X., Zheng, X. S., Zhao, K., Chen, Q. J., Deng, F., Liu, L. L., Yan, B., Zhan, F. X.,
657 Wang, Y. Y., Xiao, G. F., and Shi, Z. L. (2020) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new
658 coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*, 579(7798): 270–273.
6595. Zhang, T., Wu, Q., and Zhang, Z. (2020) Probable Pangolin Origin of SARS-CoV-2 Associated
660 with the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Curr. Biol.*, 30(8): 1578.
6616. do Vale, B., Lopes, A. P., Fontes, M., Silvestre, M., Cardoso, L., and Coelho, A. C. (2021) Bats,
662 pangolins, minks and other animals - villains or victims of SARS-CoV-2?. *Vet. Res. Commun.*,
663 45(1): 1–19.
6647. Caly, L., Druce, J., Roberts, J., Bond, K., Tran, T., Kostecki, R., Yoga, Y., Naughton, W., Tairaoa,
665 G., Seemann, T., Schultz, M. B., Howden, B. P., Korman, T. M., Lewin, S. R., Williamson, D. A.,
666 and Catton, M. G. (2020). Isolation and rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-
667 CoV-2) from the first patient diagnosed with COVID-19 in Australia. *Med. J. Aust.*, 212(10):
668 459–462.
6698. Spiteri, G., Fielding, J., Diercke, M., Campese, C., Enouf, V., Gaymard, A., Bella, A.,
670 Sognamiglio, P., Sierra Moros, M. J., Riutort, A. N., Demina, Y. V., Mahieu, R., Broas, M.,
671 Bengnér, M., Buda, S., Schilling, J., Filleul, L., Lepoutre, A., Saura, C., Mailles, A., Levy-Bruhl,
672 D., Coignard, B., Bernard-Stoecklin, S., Behillil, S., van der Werf, S., Valette, M., Lina, B.,
673 Riccardo, F., Nicastri, E., Casas, I., Larrauri, A., Salom-Castell, M., Pozo, F., Maksyutov, R. A.,
674 Martin, C., Van Ranst, M., Bossuyt, N., Siira, L., Sane, J., Tegmark-Wisell, K., Palmérus, M.,
675 Broberg, E. K., Beauté, J., Jorgensen, P., Bundle, N., Pereyaslov, D., Adlhoch, C., Pukkila, J.,
676 Pebody, R., Olsen, S., and Ciancio, B. C. (2020) First cases of coronavirus disease 2019
677 (COVID-19) in the WHO European Region, 24 January to 21 February 2020. *Euro. Surveill.*,
678 25(9): 2000178.
6799. Gonzalez-Reiche, A. S., Hernandez, M. M., Sullivan, M. J., Ciferri, B., Alshammari, H., Obla,
680 A., Fabre, S., Kleiner, G., Polanco, J., Khan, Z., Albuquerque, B., van de Guchte, A., Dutta, J.,
681 Francoeur, N., Melo, B. S., Oussenko, I., Deikus, G., Soto, J., Sridhar, S. H., Wang, Y. C.,
682 Twyman, K., Kasarskis, A., Altman, D. R., Smith, M., Sebra, R., Aberg, J., Krammer, F., García-
683 Sastre, A., Luksza, M., Patel, G., Paniz-Mondolfi, A., Gitman, M., Sordillo, e. M., Simon, V., and
684 van Bakel, H. (2020) Introductions and early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the New York City area.
685 *Science*, 369(6501):297–301.
68610. Giandhari, J., Pillay, S., Wilkinson, E., Tegally, H., Sinayskiy, I., Schuld, M., Lourenço, J.,
687 Chimukangara, B., Lessells, R., Moosa, Y., Gazy, I., Fish, M., Singh, L., Sedwell Khanyile, K.,
688 Fonseca, V., Giovanetti, M., Carlos Junior Alcantara, L., Petruccione, F., and de Oliveira, T.
689 (2021) Early transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in South Africa: An epidemiological and phylogenetic
690 report. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, 103: 234–241.
69111. Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y. M., Wang, W., Song, Z. G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z. W., Tian, J. H., Pei,
692 Y. Y., Yuan, M. L., Zhang, Y. L., Dai, F. H., Liu, Y., Wang, Q. M., Zheng, J. J., Xu, L., Holmes, E.
693 C., and Zhang, Y. Z. (2020) A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in
694 China. *Nature*, 579 (7798): 265–269.
69512. Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K. S., Goldsmith, J. A., Hsieh, C. L., Abiona, O., Graham, B. S.,
696 and McLellan, J. S. (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion
697 conformation. *Science*, 367(6483): 1260–1263.

69813. Wan, Y., Shang, J., Graham, R., Baric, R. S., and Li, F. (2020) Receptor Recognition by the
699 Novel Coronavirus from Wuhan: an Analysis Based on Decade-Long Structural Studies of SARS
700 Coronavirus. *J. Virol.*, 94(7): e00127-20.
70114. Clerkin, K. J., Fried, J. A., Raikhelkar, J., Sayer, G., Griffin, J. M., Masoumi, A., Jain, S. S.,
702 Burkhoff, D., Kumaraiah, D., Rabbani, L., Schwartz, A., and Uriel, N. (2020) COVID-19 and
703 Cardiovascular Disease. *Circulation*, 141(20): 1648–1655.
70415. Wan, Y., Shang, J., Graham, R., Baric, R. S., and Li, F. (2020) Receptor recognition by the novel
705 coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS
706 coronavirus. *J. Virol.*, 94: e00127-20.
70716. Lan, J., Ge, J., Yu, J., Shan, S., Zhou, H., Fan, S., Zhang, Q., Shi, X., Wang, Q., Zhang, L., and
708 Wang, X. (2020) Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the
709 ACE2 receptor. *Nature*, 581(7807): 215–220.
71017. Zou, X., Chen, K., Zou, J., Han, P., Hao, J., and Han, Z. (2020) Single-cell RNA-seq data
711 analysis on the receptor ACE2 expression reveals the potential risk of different human organs
712 vulnerable to 2019-nCoV infection. *Front. Med.*, 14(2): 185-192.
71318. Qiu, Y., Zhao, Y. B., Wang, Q., Li, J. Y., Zhou, Z. J., Liao, C. H., and Ge, X. Y. (2020) Predicting
714 the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) utilizing capability as the receptor of SARS-CoV-2.
715 *Microbes Infect.*, 22(4-5): 221–225.
71619. Luan, J., Lu, Y., Jin, X., and Zhang, L. (2020). Spike protein recognition of mammalian ACE2
717 predicts the host range and an optimized ACE2 for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Biochem. Biophys.*
718 *Res. Commun.*, 526(1): 165–169.
71920. Cao, Y., Sun, Y., Tian, X., Bai, Z., Gong, Y., Qi, J., Liu, D., Liu, W., and Li, J. (2020) Analysis of
720 ACE2 Gene-Encoded Proteins Across Mammalian Species. *Front. Vet. Sci.*, 7: 457.
72121. Stout, A. E., André, N. M., Jaimes, J. A., Millet, J. K., and Whittaker, G. R. (2020) Coronaviruses
722 in cats and other companion animals: Where does SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 fit?. *Vet. Microbiol.*,
723 247: 108777.
72422. Lam, S. D., Bordin, N., Waman, V. P., Scholes, H. M., Ashford, P., Sen, N., van Dorp, L., Rauer,
725 C., Dawson, N. L., Pang, C., Abbasian, M., Sillitoe, I., Edwards, S., Fraternali, F., Lees, J. G.,
726 Santini, J. M., and Orengo, C. A. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 spike protein predicted to form complexes
727 with host receptor protein orthologues from a broad range of mammals. *Sci. Rep.*, 10(1): 16471.
72823. Shi, J., Wen, Z., Zhong, G., Yang, H., Wang, C., Huang, B., Liu, R., He, X., Shuai, L., Sun, Z.,
729 Zhao, Y., Liu, P., Liang, L., Cui, P., Wang, J., Zhang, X., Guan, Y., Tan, W., Wu, G., Chen, H.,
730 and Bu, Z. (2020) Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-
731 coronavirus 2. *Science*, 368(6494): 1016–1020.
73224. Sit, T., Brackman, C. J., Ip, S. M., Tam, K., Law, P., To, E., Yu, V., Sims, L. D., Tsang, D., Chu,
733 D., Perera, R., Poon, L., and Peiris, M. (2020). Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*,
734 586(7831): 776–778.
73525. Barrs, V.R., Peiris, M., Tam, K.W.S., Law, P.Y.T., Brackman, C.J., To, E.M.W., Yu, V., Chu, D.,
736 Perera, R., and Sit, T. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in quarantined domestic cats from COVID-19
737 households or close contacts, Hong Kong, China. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 26(12): 3071–3074.
73826. Garigliany, M., Van Laere, A. S., Clercx, C., Giet, D., Escriou, N., Huon, C., van der Werf, S.,
739 Eloit, M., and Desmecht, D. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 Natural Transmission from Human to Cat,
740 Belgium, March 2020. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 26(12): 3069–3071.

74127. Sailleau, C., Dumarest, M., Vanhomwegen, J., Delaplace, M., Caro, V., Kwasiborski, A.,
742 Hourdel, V., Chevaillier, P., Barbarino, A., Comtet, L., Pourquier, P., Klonjowski, B.,
743 Manuguerra, J. C., Zientara, S., and Le Poder, S. (2020) First detection and genome sequencing
744 of SARS-CoV-2 in an infected cat in France. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 67(6): 2324–2328.
74528. Zhang, Q., Zhang, H., Gao, J., Huang, K., Yang, Y., Hui, X., He, X., Li, C., Gong, W., Zhang, Y.,
746 Zhao, Y., Peng, C., Gao, X., Chen, H., Zou, Z., Shi, Z. L., and Jin, M. (2020) A serological
747 survey of SARS-CoV-2 in cat in Wuhan. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, 9(1): 2013–2019.
74829. Patterson, E. I., Elia, G., Grassi, A., Giordano, A., Desario, C., Medardo, M., Smith, S. L.,
749 Anderson, E. R., Prince, T., Patterson, G. T., Lorusso, E., Lucente, M. S., Lanave, G., Lauzi, S.,
750 Bonfanti, U., Stranieri, A., Martella, V., Solari-Basano, F., Barrs, V. R., Radford, A. D., Agrimi
751 U., Hughes G.L., Paltrinieri S., and Decaro, N. (2020) Evidence of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in
752 cats and dogs from households in Italy. *Nat. Commun.*, 11(1): 6231.
75330. McAloose, D., Laverack, M., Wang, L., Killian, M. L., Caserta, L. C., Yuan, F., Mitchell, P. K.,
754 Queen, K., Mauldin, M. R., Cronk, B. D., Bartlett, S. L., Sykes, J. M., Zec, S., Stokol, T.,
755 Ingerman, K., Delaney, M. A., Fredrickson, R., Ivančić, M., Jenkins-Moore, M., Mazingo, K.,
756 Franzen K., Bergeson N.H., Goodman L., Wang H., Fang Y., Olmstead C., McCann C., Thomas
757 P., Goodrich E., Elvinger F., Smith D.C., Tong S., Slavinski S., Calle P. P., Terio K., Torchetti M.
758 K., and Diel, D. G. (2020) From People to *Panthera*: Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Tigers
759 and Lions at the Bronx Zoo. *mBio.*, 11(5): e02220-20.
76031. Bartlett, S. L., Diel, D. G., Wang, L., Zec, S., Laverack, M., Martins, M., Caserta, L. C., Killian,
761 M. L., Terio, K., Olmstead, C., Delaney, M. A., Stokol, T., Ivančić, M., Jenkins-Moore, M.,
762 Ingerman, K., Teegan, T., McCann, C., Thomas, P., McAloose, D., Sykes, J. M., Calle, P. P.
763 (2021). SARS-COV-2 infection and longitudinal fecal screening in malayan tigers (*panthera*
764 *tigris jacksoni*), amur tigers (*panthera tigris altaica*), and african lions (*panthera leo krugeri*) at
765 the bronx zoo, new york, usa. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.*, 51(4): 733–744.
76632. Chandler, J. C., Bevins, S. N., Ellis, J. W., Linder, T. J., Tell, R. M., Jenkins-Moore, M., Root, J.
767 J., Lenocho, J. B., Robbe-Austerman, S., DeLiberto, T. J., Gidlewski, T., Torchetti, M. K., and
768 Shriner, S. A. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 exposure in wild white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*).
769 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 118(47): e2114828118.
77033. Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J. W., Choi, P. M., Kitajima, M.,
771 Simpson, S. L., Li, J., Tschärke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W., Zaugg, J., Dierens, L., Hugenholtz,
772 P., Thomas, K. V., and Mueller, J. F. (2020) First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in
773 untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-
774 19 in the community. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 728: 138764.
77534. Smyth, D. S., Trujillo, M., Gregory, D. A., Cheung, K., Gao, A., Graham, M., Guan, Y.,
776 Guldenpfennig, C., Hoxie, I., Kannoly, S., Kubota, N., Lyddon, T. D., Markman, M., Rushford,
777 C., San, K. M., Sompanya, G., Spagnolo, F., Suarez, R., Teixeira, E., Daniels, M., Johnson, M.C.,
778 and Dennehy, J. J. (2021) Tracking Cryptic SARS-CoV-2 Lineages Detected in NYC Wastewater.
779 *medRxiv*, 2021.07.26.21261142
78035. Oreshkova, N., Molenaar, R. J., Vreman, S., Harders, F., Oude Munnink, B. B., Hakze-van der
781 Honing, R. W., Gerhards, N., Tolsma, P., Bouwstra, R., Sikkema, R. S., Tacke, M. G., de Rooij,
782 M. M., Weesendorp, E., Engelsma, M. Y., Brusckhe, C. J., Smit, L. A., Koopmans, M., van der
783 Poel, W. H., and Stegeman, A. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the Netherlands,
784 April and May 2020. *Euro. Surveill.*, 25(23): 2001005.
78536. Munnink, B. B., Sikkema, R. S., Nieuwenhuijse, D. F., Molenaar, R. J., Munger, E., Molenkamp,
786 R., van der Spek, A., Tolsma, P., Rietveld, A., Brouwer, M., Bouwmeester-Vincken, N., Harders,
787 F., Hakze-van der Honing, R., Wegdam-Blans, M., Bouwstra, R. J., GeurtsvanKessel, C., van der
788 Eijk, A. A., Velkers, F. C., Smit, L., Stegeman, A., van der Poel W.H.M., and Koopmans, M.
789 (2021) Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to
790 humans. *Science*, 371(6525): 172–177.

79137. Bosco-Lauth, A. M., Hartwig, A. E., Porter, S. M., Gordy, P. W., Nehring, M., Byas, A. D.,
792 Vande-Woude, S., Ragan, I. K., Maison, R. M., and Bowen, R. A. (2020) Experimental infection
793 of domestic dogs and cats with SARS-CoV-2: Pathogenesis, transmission, and response to
794 reexposure in cats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 117(42): 26382–26388.
79538. Halfmann, P. J., Hatta, M., Chiba, S., Maemura, T., Fan, S., Takeda, M., Kinoshita, N., Hattori, S.
796 I., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Imai, M., and Kawaoka, Y. (2020) Transmission of
797 SARS-CoV-2 in Domestic Cats. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 383(6): 592–594.
79839. Chiba, S., Halfmann, P. J., Hatta, M., Maemura, T., Fan, S., Armbrust, T., Swartley, O.M.,
799 Crawford, L.K., and Kawaoka, Y. (2021) Protective Immunity and Persistent Lung Sequelae in
800 Domestic Cats after SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 27(2): 660-663.
80140. Gaudreault, N. N., Trujillo, J. D., Carossino, M., Meekins, D. A., Morozov, I., Madden, D. W.,
802 Indran, S. V., Bold, D., Balaraman, V., Kwon, T., Artiaga, B. L., Cool, K., García-Sastre, A., Ma,
803 W., Wilson, W. C., Henningson, J., Balasuriya, U., and Richt, J. A. (2020) SARS-CoV-2
804 infection, disease and transmission in domestic cats. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, 9(1): 2322–2332.
80541. Udom, K., Jairak, W., Chamsai, E., Charoenkul, K., Boonyapisitsopa, S., Bunpapong, N.,
806 Techakriengkrai, N., and Amonsin, A. (2021) Serological survey of antibodies against SARS-
807 CoV-2 in dogs and cats, Thailand. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, 10.1111/tbed.14208.
80842. Ruiz-Arrondo, I., Portillo, A., Palomar, A. M., Santibáñez, S., Santibáñez, P., Cervera, C., and
809 Oteo, J. A. (2021) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in pets living with COVID-19 owners diagnosed
810 during the COVID-19 lockdown in Spain: A case of an asymptomatic cat with SARS-CoV-2 in
811 Europe. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, 68(2): 973–976.
81243. Segalés, J., Puig, M., Rodon, J., Avila-Nieto, C., Carrillo, J., Cantero, G., Terrón, M. T., Cruz, S.,
813 Parera, M., Noguera-Julián, M., Izquierdo-Useros, N., Guallar, V., Vidal, E., Valencia, A.,
814 Blanco, I., Blanco, J., Clotet, B., and Vergara-Alert, J. (2020) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in a cat
815 owned by a COVID-19-affected patient in Spain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 117(40): 24790–24793.
81644. Fritz, M., Rosolen, B., Krafft, E., Becquart, P., Elguero, E., Vratskikh, O., Denolly, S., Boson, B.,
817 Vanhomwegen, J., Gouilh, M. A., Kodjo, A., Chirouze, C., Rosolen, S. G., Legros, V., and Leroy,
818 E. M. (2021). High prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pets from COVID-19+ households.
819 *One health*, 11: 100192.
82045. Dias, H. G., Resck, M., Caldas, G. C., Resck, A. F., da Silva, N. V., Dos Santos, A., Sousa, T.,
821 Ogrzewalska, M. H., Siqueira, M. M., Pauvolid-Corrêa, A., and Dos Santos, F. B. (2021)
822 Neutralizing antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 in stray animals from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *PLoS one*,
823 16(3): e0248578.
82446. Calvet, G. A., Pereira, S. A., Ogrzewalska, M., Pauvolid-Corrêa, A., Resende, P. C., Tassinari, W.
825 S., Costa, A. P., Keidel, L. O., da Rocha, A., da Silva, M., Dos Santos, S. A., Lima, A., de
826 Moraes, I., Mendes Junior, A., Souza, T., Martins, E. B., Ornellas, R. O., Corrêa, M. L., Antonio,
827 I., Guaraldo, L., Motta F.D.C., Brasil P., Siqueira M. M., Gremião I. D. F., and Menezes, R. C.
828 (2021) Investigation of SARS-CoV-2 infection in dogs and cats of humans diagnosed with
829 COVID-19 in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *PLoS one*, 16(4): e0250853.
83047. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 2. Accessed 13/10/2020.
831 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34086
83248. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 3. Accessed 13/10/2020.
833 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34169
83449. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 5. Accessed 13/10/2020.
835 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34548
83650. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 6. Accessed 13/10/2020.
837 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34590
83851. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 7. Accessed 13/10/2020.
839 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34824

84052. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 9. Accessed 13/10/2020.
841 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34991
84253. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 11. Accessed 13/10/2020.
843 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35140
84454. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 12. Accessed 13/10/2020.
845 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35236
84655. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 14. Accessed 13/10/2020.
847 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35408
84856. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 16. Accessed 13/10/2020.
849 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35525
85057. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 17. Accessed 13/10/2020.
851 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35605
85258. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 18. Accessed 13/10/2020.
853 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35691
85459. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 19. Accessed 13/10/2020.
855 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35857
85660. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 20. Accessed 13/10/2020.
857 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35946
85861. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 21. Accessed 13/10/2020.
859 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35973
86062. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 23. Accessed 13/10/2020.
861 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=36309
86263. Newman, A., Smith, D., Ghai, R. R., Wallace, R. M., Torchetti, M. K., Loiacono, C., Murrell, L.
863 S., Carpenter, A., Moroff, S., Rooney, J. A., and Behravesh, C. B. (2020) First Reported Cases of
864 SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Companion Animals - New York, March-April 2020. *MMWR Morb.*
865 *Mortal. Wkly. Rep.*, 69(23): 710-713.
86664. Hamer, S. A., Pauvolid-Corrêa, A., Zecca, I. B., Davila, E., Auckland, L. D., Roundy, C. M.,
867 Tang, W., Torchetti, M. K., Killian, M. L., Jenkins-Moore, M., Mozingo, K., Akpalu, Y., Ghai, R.
868 R., Spengler, J. R., Barton Behravesh, C., Fischer, R., and Hamer, G. L. (2021) SARS-CoV-2
869 Infections and Viral Isolations among Serially Tested Cats and Dogs in Households with Infected
870 Owners in Texas, USA. *Viruses*, 13(5): 938.
87165. Zhai, X., Sun, J., Yan, Z., Zhang, J., Zhao, J., Zhao, Z., Gao, Q., He, W. T., Veit, M., and Su, S.
872 (2020) Comparison of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Spike Protein Binding
873 to ACE2 Receptors from Human, Pets, Farm Animals, and Putative Intermediate Hosts. *J. Virol.*,
874 94(15): e00831-20.
87566. Luan, J., Lu, Y., Jin, X., and Zhang, L. (2020) Spike protein recognition of mammalian ACE2
876 predicts the host range and an optimized ACE2 for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Biochem. Biophys.*
877 *Res. Commun.*, 526(1): 165–169.
87867. Giraldo-Ramirez, S., Rendon-Marin, S., Jaimes, J.A., Martinez-Gutierrez, M., and Ruiz-Saenz, J.
879 (2021) SARS-CoV-2 Clinical Outcome in Domestic and Wild Cats: A Systematic Review.
880 *Animals*, 11(7): 2056.
88168. Ferasin, L., Fritz, M., Ferasin, H., Becquart, P., Corbet, S., Ar Gouilh, M., Legros, V., and Leroy,
882 E.M. (2021). Infection with SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 detected in a group of dogs and cats
883 with suspected myocarditis. *Vet. Rec.*, 189(9): e944.
88469. Zhao, Y., Yang, Y., Gao, J., Huang, K., Hu, C., Hui, X., He, X., Li, C., Gong, W., Lv, C., Zhang,
885 Y., Chen, H., Zou, Z., Zhang, Q., and Jin, M. (2021) A serological survey of severe acute
886 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in dogs in Wuhan. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*,
887 7:10.1111/tbed.14024.
88870. Stevanovic, V., Tabain, I., Vilibic-Cavlek, T., Mauric Maljkovic, M., Benvin, I., Hruskar, Z.,
889 Kovac, S., Smit, I., Miletic, G., Hadina, S., Staesina, V., Radin, L., Plichta, V., Skrlin, B.,
890 Vrbanac, Z., Brkljacic, M., Cvetnic, M., Habus, J., Martinkovic, K., Zecevic, I., Jurkic, G.,
891 Ferencak, I., Stritof, Z., Perharic, M., Bucic, L., and Barbic, L. (2021) The Emergence of SARS-
892 CoV-2 within the Dog Population in Croatia: Host Factors and Clinical Outcome. *Viruses*, 13(8),
893 1430.

89471. Smith, S. L., Anderson, E. R., Cansado-Utrilla, C., Prince, T., Farrell, S., Brant, B., Smyth, S.,
895 Noble, P. M., Pinchbeck, G. L., Marshall, N., Roberts, L., Hughes, G. L., Radford, A. D., and
896 Patterson, E. I. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in Dogs and Cats in the United
897 Kingdom. *Curr. Res. Virol. Sci.*, 2: 100011
89872. APHIS USDA. (2020) Confirmation of COVID-19 in Pet Dog in New York. Animal and Plant
899 Health Inspection Service (APHIS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Accessed on
900 05/11/2020 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2020/sa-06/sars-cov-2-dog)
901 [2020/sa-06/sars-cov-2-dog](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2020/sa-06/sars-cov-2-dog)
90273. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 4. Accessed 13/10/2020.
903 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34525
90474. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 8. Accessed 13/10/2020.
905 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34937
90675. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 10. Accessed 13/10/2020.
907 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35051
90876. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 13. Accessed 13/10/2020.
909 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35306
91077. Dileepan, M., Di, D., Huang, Q., Ahmed, S., Heinrich, D., Ly, H., and Liang, Y. (2021)
911 Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) exposure in pet cats and dogs in Minnesota, USA.
912 *Virulence*, 12(1): 1597–1609.
91378. Mathavarajah, S., and Dellaire, G. (2020) Lions, tigers and kittens too: ACE2 and susceptibility
914 to COVID-19. *Evol. Med. Public. Health*, 2020(1): 109–113.
91579. OIE. (2020) immediate case. Accessed 13/10/2020.
916 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=33885
91780. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 1. Accessed 13/10/2020.
918 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=34054
91981. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 24. Accessed 15/12/2020.
920 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=36433
92182. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 25. Accessed 15/12/2020.
922 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=36580
92383. Andrew, S. (2020) Three snow leopards test positive for coronavirus, making it the sixth
924 confirmed animal species. Updated 2112 GMT (0512 HKT) December 11, 2020
925 [https://edition.cnn.com/2020/12/11/us/snow-leopard-positive-coronavirus-kentucky-zoo-](https://edition.cnn.com/2020/12/11/us/snow-leopard-positive-coronavirus-kentucky-zoo-trnd/index.html)
926 [trnd/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2020/12/11/us/snow-leopard-positive-coronavirus-kentucky-zoo-trnd/index.html) Accessed 21 September 2021.
92784. Trent, R. (2021) A rare snow leopard at the San Diego Zoo has tested positive for coronavirus.
928 Updated 0300 GMT (1100 HKT) July 26, 2021 [https://edition.cnn.com/2021/07/25/us/snow-](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/07/25/us/snow-leopard-coronavirus-san-diego-trnd/index.html)
929 [leopard-coronavirus-san-diego-trnd/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/07/25/us/snow-leopard-coronavirus-san-diego-trnd/index.html) Accessed 21 September 2021.
93085. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in a Cougar at a Wild Animal Exhibitor in
931 Texas. Published: Feb 10, 2021 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-02/sars-cov-2-texas-cougar)
932 [info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-02/sars-cov-2-texas-cougar](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-02/sars-cov-2-texas-cougar) Accessed 21 September 2021.
93386. Smithsonian's National Zoo & Conservation Biology Institute (2021) Great Cats Tested
934 Presumptive Positive For COVID-19 at the Smithsonian's National Zoo. Sep. 17, 2021.
935 [https://nationalzoo.si.edu/news/great-cats-tested-presumptive-positive-for-covid-19-](https://nationalzoo.si.edu/news/great-cats-tested-presumptive-positive-for-covid-19-smithsonians-national-zoo)
936 [smithsonians-national-zoo](https://nationalzoo.si.edu/news/great-cats-tested-presumptive-positive-for-covid-19-smithsonians-national-zoo) Accessed on 25 September 2021.
93787. Fernández-Bellon, H., Rodon, J., Fernández-Bastit, L., Almagro, V., Padilla-Solé, P., Lorca-Oró,
938 C., Valle, R., Roca, N., Grazioli, S., Trogu, T., Bensaid, A., Carrillo, J., Izquierdo-Useros, N.,
939 Blanco, J., Parera, M., Noguera-Julián, M., Clotet, B., Moreno, A., Segalés, J., and Vergara-Alert,
940 J. (2021) Monitoring Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Lions (*Panthera leo*) at the Barcelona
941 Zoo: Viral Dynamics and Host Responses. *Viruses*, 13(9): 1683.
94288. CNN Indonesia (2021a) 2 Harimau Sumatra di Ragunan Positif Covid-19. CNN Indonesia.
943 Minggu, 01/08/2021 09:17 WIB [https://www.cnnindonesia.com/nasional/20210801090321-20-](https://www.cnnindonesia.com/nasional/20210801090321-20-674809-2-harimau-sumatra-di-ragunan-positif-covid-19)
944 [674809-2-harimau-sumatra-di-ragunan-positif-covid-19](https://www.cnnindonesia.com/nasional/20210801090321-20-674809-2-harimau-sumatra-di-ragunan-positif-covid-19). accessed at August 30, 2021.
94589. CNN Indonesia (2021b) Kronologi Harimau Ragunan 'Tino dan Hari' Terinfeksi Covid-19.
946 Minggu, 01/08/2021 12:39 WIB <https://www.cnnindonesia.com/nasional/20210801123251-20->

- 947 674876/kronologi-harimau-ragunan-tino-dan-hari-terinfeksi-covid-19. accessed at August 30,
948 2021.
94990. Mishra, A., Kumar, N., Bhatia, S., Aasdev, A., Kanniappan, S., Thayasekhar, A., Gopinadhan, A.,
950 Silambarasan, R., Sreekumar, C., Dubey, C.K., Tripathi, M., Raut, A. A., and Singh, V. P. (2021)
951 SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant among Asiatic Lions, India. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 27(10): 2723-2725.
95291. Karikalan, M., Chander, V., Mahajan, S., Deol, P., Agrawal, R. K., Nandi, S., Rai, S. K., Mathur,
953 A., Pawde, A., Singh, K. P., and Sharma, G. K. (2021) Natural infection of Delta mutant of
954 SARS-CoV-2 in Asiatic lions of India. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, [10.1111/tbed.14290](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.14290).
95592. Damas, J., Hughes, G. M., Keough, K. C., Painter, C. A., Persky, N. S., Corbo, M., Hiller, M.,
956 Koepfli, K. P., Pfenning, A. R., Zhao, H., Genereux, D. P., Swofford, R., Pollard, K. S., Ryder, O.
957 A., Nweeia, M. T., Lindblad-Toh, K., Teeling, E. C., Karlsson, E. K., and Lewin, H. A. (2020)
958 Broad host range of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by comparative and structural analysis of ACE2 in
959 vertebrates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 117(36): 22311–22322.
96093. Lean, F., Núñez, A., Spiro, S., Priestnall, S. L., Vreman, S., Bailey, D., James, J., Wrigglesworth,
961 E., Suarez-Bonnet, A., Conceicao, C., Thakur, N., Byrne, A., Ackroyd, S., Delahay, R. J., van der
962 Poel, W., Brown, I. H., Fooks, A. R., and Brookes, S. M. (2021) Differential susceptibility of
963 SARS-CoV-2 in animals: Evidence of ACE2 host receptor distribution in companion animals,
964 livestock and wildlife by immunohistochemical characterisation. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*,
965 [10.1111/tbed.14232](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.14232).
96694. Palmer, M. V., Martins, M., Falkenberg, S., Buckley, A., Caserta, L. C., Mitchell, P. K.,
967 Cassmann, E. D., Rollins, A., Zylich, N. C., Renshaw, R. W., Guarino, C., Wagner, B., Lager, K.,
968 and Diel, D. G. (2021) Susceptibility of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) to SARS-
969 CoV-2. *J. Virol.*, 95(11): e00083-21.
97095. Cool, K., Gaudreault, N. N., Morozov, I., Trujillo, J. D., Meekins, D. A., McDowell, C.,
971 Carossino, M., Bold, D., Kwon, T., Balaraman, V., Madden, D. W., Artiaga, B. L.,
972 Pogranichniy, R. M., Sosa, G. R., Henningson, J., Wilson, W. C., Balasuriya, U., García-
973 Sastre, A., and Richt, J. A. (2021) Infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and its
974 alpha variant in pregnant white-tailed deer. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, 11:1, 95-112
97596. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in Deer in Ohio. Published: Aug 27, 2021
976 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-08/covid-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-08/covid-deer)
977 [deer](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-08/covid-deer) Accessed on 25 September 2021.
97897. Falkenberg, S., Buckley, A., Laverack, M., Martins, M., Palmer, M. V., Lager, K., and Diel, D. G.
979 (2021) Experimental Inoculation of Young Calves with SARS-CoV-2. *Viruses*, 13(3): 441.
98098. Di Teodoro, G., Valleriani, F., Puglia, I., Monaco, F., Di Pancrazio, C., Luciani, M., Krasteva, I.,
981 Petrini, A., Marcacci, M., D'Alterio, N., Curini, V., Iorio, M., Migliorati, G., Di Domenico, M.,
982 Morelli, D., Calistri, P., Savini, G., Decaro, N., Holmes, E. C., and Lorusso, A. (2021) SARS-
983 CoV-2 replicates in respiratory ex vivo organ cultures of domestic ruminant species. *Vet.*
984 *Microbiol.*, 252: 108933.
98599. Ulrich, L., Wernike, K., Hoffmann, D., Mettenleiter, T. C., and Beer, M. (2020) Experimental
986 Infection of Cattle with SARS-CoV-2. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 26(12): 2979–2981.
987100. Schlottau, K., Rissmann, M., Graaf, A., Schön, J., Sehl, J., Wylezich, C., Höper, D., Mettenleiter,
988 T. C., Balkema-Buschmann, A., Harder, T., Grund, C., Hoffmann, D., Breithaupt, A., and Beer,
989 M. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in fruit bats, ferrets, pigs, and chickens: an experimental transmission
990 study. *Lancet Microbe*, 1(5): e218–e225.
991101. Meekins, D. A., Morozov, I., Trujillo, J. D., Gaudreault, N. N., Bold, D., Carossino, M., Artiaga,
992 B. L., Indran, S. V., Kwon, T., Balaraman, V., Madden, D. W., Feldmann, H., Henningson, J., Ma,
993 W., Balasuriya, U., and Richt, J. A. (2020) Susceptibility of swine cells and domestic pigs to
994 SARS-CoV-2. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, 9(1): 2278–2288.
995102. Vergara-Alert, J., Rodon, J., Carrillo, J., Te, N., Izquierdo-Useros, N., Rodríguez de la
996 Concepción, M. L., Ávila-Nieto, C., Guallar, V., Valencia, A., Cantero, G., Blanco, J., Clotet, B.,
997 Bensaid, A., and Segalés, J. (2021) Pigs are not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection but are a
998 model for viral immunogenicity studies. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, 68(4): 1721–1725.

999103. Pickering, B. S., Smith, G., Pinette, M. M., Embury-Hyatt, C., Moffat, E., Marszal, P. and Lewis, C. E. (2021) Susceptibility of Domestic Swine to Experimental Infection with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 27(1): 104-112.
1002104. Buckley, A., Falkenberg, S., Martins, M., Laverack, M., Palmer, M. V., Lager, K., and Diel, D. G. (2021) Intravenous, Intratracheal, and Intranasal Inoculation of Swine with SARS-CoV-2. *Viruses*, 13(8): 1506.
1005105. Molenaar, R. J., Vreman, S., Hakze-van der Honing, R. W., Zwart, R., de Rond, J., Weesendorp, E., Smit, L., Koopmans, M., Bouwstra, R., Stegeman, A., van der Poel, W. (2020). Clinical and Pathological Findings in SARS-CoV-2 Disease Outbreaks in Farmed Mink (*Neovison vison*). *Vet. Pathol.*, 57(5): 653–657
1009106. Hammer, A. S., Quaade, M. L., Rasmussen, T. B., Fonager, J., Rasmussen, M., Mundbjerg, K., Lohse, L., Strandbygaard, B., Jørgensen, C. S., Alfaro-Núñez, A., Rosenstjerne, M. W., Boklund, A., Halasa, T., Fomsgaard, A., Belsham, G. J., and Bøtner, A. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 Transmission between Mink (*Neovison vison*) and Humans, Denmark. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 27(2): 547–551.
1013107. Boklund, A., Hammer, A. S., Quaade, M. L., Rasmussen, T. B., Lohse, L., Strandbygaard, B., Jørgensen, C. S., Olesen, A. S., Hjerpe, F. B., Petersen, H. H., Jensen, T. K., Mortensen, S., Calvo-Artavia, F. F., Lefèvre, S. K., Nielsen, S. S., Halasa, T., Belsham, G. J., and Bøtner, A. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 in Danish Mink Farms: Course of the Epidemic and a Descriptive Analysis of the Outbreaks in 2020. *Animals*, 11(1): 164.
1018108. Rabalski, L., Kosinski, M., Smura, T., Aaltonen, K., Kant, R., Sironen, T., Szewczyk, B., and Grzybek, M. (2021) Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Farmed Mink (*Neovison vison*), Poland. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 27(9): 2333–2339.
1021109. Domańska-Blicharz, K., Orłowska, A., Smreczak, M., Niemczuk, K., Iwan, E., Bomba, A., Lisowska, A., Opolska, J., Trębas, P., Potyrało, P., Kawiak-Sadurska, M., and Rola, J. (2021). Mink SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Poland - Short Communication. *J. Vet. Res.*, 65(1): 1-5.
1024110. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 15. Accessed 13/10/2020.
1025 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=35412
1026111. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 22. Accessed 13/10/2020.
1027 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=36151
1028112. OIE. (2020) Follow-up report No. 26. Accessed 15/12/2020.
1029 https://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Reviewreport/Review?reportid=36731
1030113. Larsen, H. D., Fonager, J., Lomholt, F. K., Dalby, T., Benedetti, G., Kristensen, B., Urth, T. R., Rasmussen, M., Lassaunière, R., Rasmussen, T. B., Strandbygaard, B., Lohse, L., Chaine, M., Møller, K. L., Berthelsen, A. N., Nørgaard, S. K., Sønksen, U. W., Boklund, A. E., Hammer, A. S., Belsham, G. J., Krause, T. G., Mortensen, S., Bøtner, A., Fomsgaard, A., and Mølbak, K. (2021). Preliminary report of an outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 in mink and mink farmers associated with community spread, Denmark, June to November 2020. *Euro. Surveill.*, 26(5): 2100009.
1036114. Rasmussen, T.B., Fonager, J., Jørgensen, C.S., Lassaunière, R., Hammer, A.S., Quaade, M.L., Boklund, A., Lohse, Strandbygaard, B., Rasmussen, M., Michaelsen, T.Y., Mortensen, S., Fomsgaard, A., Belsham, G.J., and Bøtner A. (2021) Infection, recovery and re-infection of farmed mink with SARS-CoV-2. *PLoS Pathog.*, 17(11): e1010068.
1040115. Rabalski, L., Kosinski, M., Mazur-Panasiuk, N., Szewczyk, B., Bienkowska-Szewczyk, K., Kant, R., Sironen, T., Pyrc, K., and Grzybek, M. (2021) Zoonotic spillover of SARS-CoV-2: mink-adapted virus in humans. *Clin. Microbiol. Infec.*, 10.1016/j.cmi.2021.12.001.
1043116. Hoffmann, M., Zhang, L., Krüger, N., Graichen, L., Kleine-Weber, H., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Kempf, A., Nessler, S., Riggert, J., Winkler, M. S., Schulz, S., Jäck, H. M., and Pöhlmann, S. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 mutations acquired in mink reduce antibody-mediated neutralization. *Cell Rep.*, 35(3): 109017.
1047117. Asadi, S., Bouvier, N., Wexler, A. S., and Ristenpart, W. D. (2020) The coronavirus pandemic and aerosols: Does COVID-19 transmit via expiratory particles?. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 54(6): 635-638.
1050118. Zhao, J., Cui, W., and Tian, B. P. (2020) The Potential Intermediate Hosts for SARS-CoV-2. *Front. Microbiol.*, 11: 580137.

1052119. van Aart, A. E., Velkers, F. C., Fischer, E., Broens, E. M., Egberink, H., Zhao, S., Engelsma, M.,
1053 Hakze-van der Honing, R. W., Harders, F., de Rooij, M., Radstake, C., Meijer, P. A., Oude
1054 Munnink, B. B., de Rond, J., Sikkema, R. S., van der Spek, A. N., Spiereburg, M., Wolters, W.
1055 J., Molenaar, R. J., Koopmans, M., van der Poel W.H. M., Stegeman A., and Smit, L. (2021)
1056 SARS-CoV-2 infection in cats and dogs in infected mink farms. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*,
1057 10.1111/tbed.14173.
1058120. Suarez, D. L., Pantin-Jackwood, M. J., Swayne, D. E., Lee, S. A., DeBlois, S. M., and Spackman,
1059 E. (2020) Lack of Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV in Poultry. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*,
1060 26(12): 3074–3076.
1061121. Holcombe M., Mossburg C. (2021) San Diego Zoo gorillas make full recovery from Covid-19.
1062 Updated 1336 GMT (2136 HKT) February 16, 2021. [https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/16/us/san-](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/16/us/san-diego-zoo-covid-19-gorilla-recovery-trnd/index.html)
1063 [diego-zoo-covid-19-gorilla-recovery-trnd/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/16/us/san-diego-zoo-covid-19-gorilla-recovery-trnd/index.html) Accessed 21 September 2021.
1064122. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in Otters at an Aquarium in Georgia.
1065 Published: Apr 22, 2021 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-04/covid-georgia-otters)
1066 [info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-04/covid-georgia-otters](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-04/covid-georgia-otters) Accessed 21 September 2021.
1067123. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in a Binturong and a Fishing Cat at an Illinois
1068 Zoo. Published: Oct 6, 2021 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-binturong-fishing-cat)
1069 [info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-binturong-fishing-cat](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-binturong-fishing-cat) Accessed 09 January 2022.
1070124. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in a Coatimundi at an Illinois Zoo.
1071 Published: Oct 14, 2021 [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-coatimundi)
1072 [info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-coatimundi](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-10/covid-coatimundi) Accessed 09 January 2022.
1073125. APHIS USDA (2021) Confirmation of COVID-19 in Hyenas at a Colorado Zoo.
1074 Published: Nov 5, 2021. [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-11/covid-hyenas)
1075 [info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-11/covid-hyenas](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2021/sa-11/covid-hyenas) Accessed 09 January 2022.
1076126. Mawad D., Hu C. (2021) Runny-nosed hippos test positive for Covid-19 in Belgium. Updated
1077 0231 GMT (1031 HKT) December 6, 2021. [https://edition.cnn.com/2021/12/05/americas/covid-](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/12/05/americas/covid-19-hippos-belgium-intl/index.html)
1078 [19-hippos-belgium-intl/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/12/05/americas/covid-19-hippos-belgium-intl/index.html) Accessed 09 January 2022.
1079127. Chavda, V. P., Feehan, J., and Apostolopoulos, V. (2021). A Veterinary Vaccine for SARS-CoV-2:
1080 The First COVID-19 Vaccine for Animals. *Vaccines*, 9(6): 631.
1081128. Sharun, K., Tiwari, R., Saied, A. A., and Dhama, K. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 vaccine for domestic
1082 and captive animals: An effort to counter COVID-19 pandemic at the human-animal interface.
1083 *Vaccine*, 39(49): 7119–7122.
1084129. Braniuk, C. (2021). The rise of COVID-19 vaccines for animals. [https://www.the-](https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/the-rise-of-covid-19-vaccines-for-animals-69503)
1085 [scientist.com/news-opinion/the-rise-of-covid-19-vaccines-for-animals-69503](https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/the-rise-of-covid-19-vaccines-for-animals-69503) Accessed 15
1086 January 2022.
1087130. Applied DNA Sciences. (2021). Applied DNA and Evvixax Announce Positive Preliminary
1088 Results of Phase I Clinical Trial for LinearDNA™ COVID-19 Vaccine Candidate in Felines.
1089 <https://adnas.com/feline-clinical-trial-preliminary-results/> Accessed 15 January 2022.
1090131. Cornell University, colleges of veterinary medicine. (2021). Safety and Immunogenicity of
1091 Linear COVID-19 DNA Vaccine, An Anti-SARS-Co-V-2 Linear DNA Vaccine in Mink.
1092 [https://www.vet.cornell.edu/research/awards/202108/safety-and-immunogenicity-linear-covid-](https://www.vet.cornell.edu/research/awards/202108/safety-and-immunogenicity-linear-covid-19-dna-vaccine-anti-sars-co-v-2-linear-dna-vaccine-mink)
1093 [19-dna-vaccine-anti-sars-co-v-2-linear-dna-vaccine-mink](https://www.vet.cornell.edu/research/awards/202108/safety-and-immunogenicity-linear-covid-19-dna-vaccine-anti-sars-co-v-2-linear-dna-vaccine-mink) Accessed 15 January 2022.
1094
- 1095

1096**Figure 1:** Experimental and natural infections of the severe acute respiratory syndrome-related
1097coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in pets and wild and farm animals. SARS-CoV-2 **was assumed to**
1098**originate in the bat species**, and **the virus was then transmitted from them to humans via an**
1099**intermediate animal host, that is, pangolins**. Indeed, the spread of this virus among humans and many
1100animals has been reported widely. These animals include domestic cats, dogs, wild Felidae families,
1101such as tigers, lions, snow leopards and cougars, as well as gorilla. It was confirmed that the animals
1102**acquired** viral infection from humans infected with SARS-CoV-2. The virus spread among these

1103group animals in the same cage. Another wild animal susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection is the
1104white-tailed deer. Experimentally, SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to replicate *in vitro* and transmit *in*
1105*vivo* among these animals and vertically to the foetus. In natural infections, white-tailed deer were
1106found positive for the SARS-CoV-2 infection and had high seroprevalence, although the source of
1107transmission from human or nature is still unclear. Minks were naturally infected with SARS-CoV-2
1108from humans, and subsequently spread the virus among them, and the virus was transmitted back to
1109humans. It is not clear whether minks can transmit the virus to other animals, such as dogs, cats,
1110seagulls, chickens, horses, and rabbits in farms. Experimentally, SARS-CoV-2 cannot infect poultries,
1111such as chickens, ducks, geese, turkeys, and quails. The virus was reported to infect several livestock
1112animals experimentally, including cattle, sheep, and pigs, but natural infections have not been
1113reported.

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119The ENAGO certificate:



1120

Table 1. Experimental SARS-CoV-2 infection in animals

Species	Method	Age	Route and Dose	Virus Isolation	Clinical Sign	Replication virus	Antibody to SARS-CoV-2	Transmission	Susceptibility	References
Cat (<i>Felis catus</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	70-100 days.	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[23]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5–18-week-old	Intranasal, oral, intratracheal, ocular by 5.2 x 10 ⁵ PFU	UT-NCGM02/Human/2020/Tokyo	No	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[38]
	<i>In vivo</i>	6-9 months	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[23]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5-8 years	Nares (500 µL/nare) for a total volume of 1 mL (3.0 x 10 ⁵ PFU)	SARS-CoV-2 virus strainWA1/2020WY96	No	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[37]
	<i>In vivo</i>	15–18-week-old	Intranasal, oral, intratracheal, ocular by 5.2 x 10 ⁵ PFU	UT-NCGM02/Human/2020/Tokyo	No	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[39]
	<i>In vivo</i>	4.5 – 5 months	Intranasal and oral with 1 × 10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 strain	No	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[40]
Dog (<i>Canis lupus</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	3 months	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes	No	Low	[23]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5-6 years	Nares (500 µL/nare) for a total volume of 1 mL (1.4 x 10 ⁵ PFU)	SARS-CoV-2 virus strainWA1/2020WY96	No	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes	N/A	Low	[37]
Cattle (<i>Bos taurus</i>)	<i>In vitro</i> : bovine turbinate (BT), <i>Bos taurus</i> trachea normal (EBTr (NBL-4)), cow pulmonary artery epithelial (CPAE), primary	N/A	Multiplicity of infection of 1 or 0.1 (MOI = 1 or 0.1)	SARSCoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20	N/A	Not replicate	N/A	N/A	N/A	[97]

	fetal bovine lung (FBL), and fetal bovine kidney (FBK) cells									
	<i>Ex vivo</i> : Respiratory <i>ex vivo</i> organ cultures	18 months	Infected with 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2/INMI1-Isolate/2020/Italy (D614G); SARS-CoV-2/IZSAM/46419 (D614G)	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	[98]
	<i>In vivo</i>	6 weeks	Intratracheal or intravenous, 5 ml each respective route	SARSCoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20	High temp & mild caught	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes	N/A	Low	[97]
	<i>In vivo</i>	<1 year	Intranasal with 1 x 10 ⁵ 50% tissue culture infectious dose of SARS-CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 Strain 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1	N/A	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes	No	Low	[99]
Sheep (<i>Ovis aries</i>)	<i>Ex vivo</i> : Respiratory <i>ex vivo</i> organ cultures	10 months	Infected with 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2/INMI1-Isolate/2020/Italy (D614G); SARS-CoV-2/IZSAM/46419 (D614G)	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Low	[98]
White tail deer (<i>Odocoileus virginianus</i>)	<i>In vitro</i> : Deer lung (DL) cells	N/A	Inoculated multiplicities of infection (MOI) of 0.1 and 1	SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	[94]
	<i>In vitro</i> : lung cells isolated from white-tailed deer, mule deer and elk	N/A	Infected at approximately 0.1 MOI	SARS-CoV-2 lineage A WA1 strain	N/A	Yes, in white-tailed deer, mule deer lung cells	N/A	N/A	N/A	[95]
	<i>In vivo</i>	6 weeks	Intranasal with 5 ml (2.5 ml per nostril) of a virus suspension containing 10 ^{6.3} TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20	Subclinical viral infection	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes	High	[94]
	<i>In vivo</i>	2 years	Intranasal and oral with 2 ml dose of 1×10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ per animal	1:1 titer ratio of lineage A WA1 and the alpha VOC B.1.1.7 strain	Subclinical viral infection	Yes, and shed virus	Yes	Yes, and vertical	High	[95]
Pig (<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>)	<i>In vitro</i> : Porcine kidney (PK-15), swine kidney (SK-6),	N/A	Inoculated with 10 ⁵ TCID ₅₀ SARS-CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1	N/A	Yes, in SK-6 and ST	N/A	N/A	N/A	[100]

	and swine testicle (ST)									
	<i>In vitro</i> : ST and PK-15 cell lines	N/A	0.05 MOI of passage 3 of the VeroE6-passaged SARS-CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 isolate	N/A	Yes, in ST and PK-15	N/A	N/A	N/A	[101]
	<i>Ex vivo</i> : Respiratory <i>ex vivo</i> organ cultures	12 months	Infected with 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2/INMI1-Isolate/2020/Italy (D614); SARS-CoV-2/IZSAM/46419 (D614G)	N/A	Not detected	N/A	N/A	N/A	[98]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5 weeks	Oral, intranasal, intratracheal with 1 x10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ of SARSCoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 isolate	No	Not detected	Not detected	No	No	[101]
	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Not detected	Not detected	No	No	[23]
	<i>In vivo</i>	9 weeks	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ TCID ₅₀ SARS-CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1	No	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[100]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5 – 6 weeks	Intranasal, intratracheal, intramuscular and intravenous 10 ^{5.8} TCID ₅₀	SARS-CoV-2 isolate (GISAID ID EPI_ISL_510689)	No	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes, at IM, IV route	N/A	No	[102]
	<i>In vivo</i>	8 weeks	Intranasal and pharynx routes of 10 ⁶ PFU/animal	SARS-CoV-2 isolate hCoV-19/Canada/ON-VIDO-01/2020	No, but an animal yes)	Yes, but not shed virus		No	Low	[103]
	<i>In vivo</i>	3 weeks	Intravenous, intratracheal, and intranasal. 6.8 x 10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ /mL	SARS-CoV-2 isolate used in our study (TGR1/NY/20)	No	Yes, but not shed virus	Yes, but not sustained	No	Low	[104]
Chickens (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	<i>In vivo</i> : Embryonating chicken eggs (ECE)	N/A	Yolk sac, chorio-allantoic sac, and chorio-allantoic membrane	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)	N/A	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[120]
	<i>In vivo</i> : ECE	N/A	Inoculated SARS-CoV-2 in ECE	SARS-CoV-2 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1	N/A	Not detected	N/A	N/A	No	[100]
	<i>In vivo</i>	5 weeks	Oculo-oral with 10 ⁵ TCID ₅₀ SARS-CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 2019_nCoV Muc-IMB-1	No	Not detected	Not detected	Not	No	[100]
	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Challenged with SARS-	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of	No	Not	Not	N/A	No	[120]

			CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)		detected	detected			
	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Not detected	Not detected	No	No	[23]
Turkeys (<i>Meleagris gallopavo</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Challenged with SARS-CoV-2	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)	No	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[120]
Ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos domesticus</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Intranasal with 10 ⁵ PFU of CTan-H	SARS-CoV-2/CTan/human/2020/Wuhan (CTan-H)	N/A	Not detected	Not detected	No	No	[23]
	<i>In vivo</i>		Challenged with SARS-CoV-2	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)	No	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[120]
Quail (<i>Coturnix japonica</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Challenged with SARS-CoV-2	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)	No	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[120]
Geese (<i>Anser cygnoides</i>)	<i>In vivo</i>	N/A	Challenged with SARS-CoV-2	USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221)	No	Not detected	Not detected	N/A	No	[120]

PFU: plaque-forming units

Table 2. Natural infections of SARS-CoV-2 in pet, wild and farm animals

Species	Location	Sample Sources	Total sample	Total Positive	Clinical Sign	RNA Virus Detected	Antibody to SARS-CoV-2	References
Cat (<i>Felis catus</i>)	Wuhan (China)	Animal shelters, pet hospital, and Households confirmed COVID-19	102	15	N/A	Negative	Positive	[28]
	Hong Kong (China)	Households confirmed COVID-19	7	0	Asymptomatic	Negative	Negative	[24]
	Hong Kong (China)	Households confirmed COVID-19	50	6	Asymptomatic	Positive	Positive	[25]
	Spain	Households confirmed COVID-19	8	1	Asymptomatic	Positive	N/A	[42]
	Spain	Households confirmed COVID-19	1	1	Feline hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, but the animal was also infected by SARS-CoV-2	Positive	Positive	[43]
	Belgium	Households confirmed COVID-19	1	1	Mild gastrointestinal and respiratory signs	Positive	Positive	[26]
	France	Households confirmed COVID-19	22	1	Mild respiratory and digestive signs.	Positive	Positive	[27]
	Italy	Households confirmed COVID-19 or living in geographic areas that were severely affected by COVID-19	191	11	Not clearly explained	Negative	Positive	[29]
	Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	Households confirmed or not confirmed COVID-19 and stray animals	49	1	N/A	Negative	Positive	[45]
	Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	Households confirmed COVID-19	10	4	Unspecified, mild, reversible signs, respiratory or gastrointestinal signs	Positive	Positive	[46]
New York (USA)	Households confirmed COVID-19	2	2	Sneezing, clear ocular discharge, and mild lethargy	Positive	N/A	[63]	
Tiger (<i>Panthera tigris</i>)	New York (USA)	Bronx Zoo	5	4	Mild respiratory signs	Positive	Positive (tiger 1) & N/A	[30]
	Jakarta	Ragunan Jakarta Zoo	2	2	Mild respiratory signs and	Positive	N/A	[88, 89]

	(Indonesia)				general symptoms			
Lion (<i>Panthera leo</i>)	New York (USA)	Animals Zoo	3	3	Mild respiratory signs	Positive	N/A	[30]
	Catalonia (Spain)	Barcelona Zoo	12	3	Mild respiratory signs	Positive	Positive	[87]
	Tamil Nadu (India)	Arignar Anna Zoological Park in Chennai	11	9	Mild respiratory signs and general symptoms	Positive	N/A	[90]
	Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan (India)	Lion Safari Park, Etawah and Nahargarh Biological Park	3	12	Mild respiratory signs and general symptoms	Positive	Positive	[91]
Snow leopard (<i>Panthera uncia</i>)	Louisville (USA)	Louisville Zoo	3	3	Mild respiratory signs	Positive	N/A	[83]
	San Diego (USA)	San Diego Zoo	1	1	N/A	Positive	N/A	[84]
Cougar (<i>Puma concolor</i>)	Texas (USA)	Texas animals	1	1	Mild respiratory signs	Positive	N/A	[85]
Dog (<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>)	Hong Kong (China)	Quarantine animal from households with confirmed COVID-19	15	2	Asymptomatic	Positive	Positive	[24]
	Spain	Households confirmed COVID-19	12	0	Asymptomatic	Negative	N/A	[42]
	France	Households confirmed COVID-19	11	0	Mild respiratory and digestive signs	Negative	Negative	[27]
	Italy	Households confirmed COVID-19 or living in geographic areas that were severely affected by COVID-19	451	15	Not clearly explained	Negative	Positive	[29]
	Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	Households confirmed or not confirmed COVID-19 and stray animals	47	1	N/A	Negative	Positive	[45]
	Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	Households confirmed COVID-19	29	9	Unspecified, mild, reversible signs, respiratory or gastrointestinal signs	Positive	Positive	[46]
White tail deer (<i>Odocoileus virginianus</i>)	Michigan, Pennsylvania, Illinois, New	Wild white-tailed deer population	385	152	N/A	N/A	Positive	[32]

	York (USA)							
Mink (<i>Neovison vison</i>)	The Netherlands	Mink farm	16 mink farms	N/A	Mild to severe respiratory distress	Positive	N/A	[35, 36, 105]
	Denmark	Mink farm	1147 mink farms	290 mink farms	N/A	Positive	N/A	[113]
	Poland	Mink farm	28 mink farms	1 mink farm	N/A	Positive (70% sample)	Positive (30% sample)	[109]
Guinea pig (<i>Cavia porcellus</i>)	Spain	Households confirmed COVID-19	1	1	Asymptomatic	Negative	N/A	[42]
Rabbit (<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>)	Spain	Households confirmed COVID-19	1	2	Asymptomatic	Negative	N/A	[42]

Table 3. Natural infection of SARS-CoV-2 in USA reported by OIE

Species	No. Follow-up report	Location	Date of outbreak	Suspect	Case	Death	Clinical signs	References
Domestic cat (<i>Felis catus</i>)	No. 2 & 3	Nassau County, Nassau, New York,	01/04/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[47, 48]
	No. 2 & 3	Orange County, Orange, New York	06/04/2020	2	1	-	Respiratory signs	[47, 48]
	No. 5	Carver County, Carver, Minnesota	20/05/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[49]
	No. 6 & 7	Cook County, Cook, Illinois	19/05/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[50, 51]
	No. 9	Orange County, Orange, California	26/06/2020	1	1	1	Respiratory & cardiac signs	[52]
	No. 9	Orange County, Orange, California	27/06/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[52]
	No. 11	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	28/06/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[53]
	No. 11	Maricopa County, Maricopa, Arizona	10/07/2020	1	-	-	N/A	[53]
	No. 12	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	17/07/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[54]
	No. 14	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	29/07/2020	3	1	-	Asymptomatic	[55]
	No. 16	Coweta County, Coweta, Georgia	14/07/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[56]
	No. 16	Hartford County, Hartford, Maryland	10/08/2020	5	1	-	Respiratory signs	[56]
	No. 16	Contra Costa County, Contra Costa, California	13/08/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[56]
	No. 17	Rapides Parish, Rapides, Louisiana	17/08/2020	4	1	-	Respiratory signs	[57]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	11/08/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[58]
	No. 18	Somervell County, Somervell, Texas	12/08/2020	9	1	-	Asymptomatic	[58]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	21/08/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[58]
	No. 19	Fayette County, Fayette, Kentucky	06/09/2020	3	1	-	Respiratory signs	[59]
	No. 20	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	11/09/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[60]
No. 21	Lee County, Lee, Alabama	25/09/2020	4	2	1	Respiratory signs	[61]	
No. 23	Cumberland County, Cumberland, Pennsylvania	02/10/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[62]	
Total				44	21	2		
Domestic dogs (<i>Canis lupus</i>)	No. 4	Richmond County, Richmond, New York	15/04/2020	2	1	-	Respiratory signs	[72]
	No. 8	Berrien County, Berrien, Georgia	22/06/2020	3	1	-	Neurological signs	[73]
	No. 9	Orange County, Orange, California	28/06/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[52]

<i>familiaris</i>)	No. 10	Charleston County, Charleston, South Carolina	26/06/2020	3	1	-	Respiratory signs	[75]
	No. 11	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	28/06/2020	2	-	-	Asymptomatic	[53]
	No. 11	Maricopa County, Maricopa, Arizona	10/07/2020	3	1	-	Respiratory signs	[53]
	No. 12	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	17/07/2020	2	-	-	N/A	[54]
	No. 13	Livingston Parish, Livingston, Louisiana	22/07/2020	2	1	-	N/A	[76]
	No. 14	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	28/07/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[55]
	No. 14	Moore County, Moore, North Carolina	04/08/2020	2	1	1	Respiratory signs & cardiac arrest	[55]
	No. 16	Hartford County, Hartford, Maryland	10/08/2020	1	-	-	N/A	[56]
	No. 17	Rapides Parish, Rapides, Louisiana	17/08/2020	1	-	-	N/A	[57]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	11/08/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[58]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	12/08/2020	2	1	-	Respiratory signs	[58]
	No. 18	Somervell County, Somervell, Texas	12/08/2020	2	-	-	Asymptomatic	[58]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	21/08/2020	1	-	-	N/A	[59]
	No. 18	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	21/08/2020	1	1	-	Asymptomatic	[58]
	No. 20	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	14/09/2020	1	1	-	Respiratory signs	[60]
No. 23	Brazos County, Brazos, Texas	01/10/2020	2	1	-	Respiratory signs	[62]	
Total				33	13	1		
Domestic American Mink (<i>Neovison vison</i>)	No. 15	Utah, Utah	26/06/2020	20,000	N/A	3,524	Respiratory signs & death	[110]
	No. 15	Utah, Utah	02/08/2020	8,983	N/A	1,451	Respiratory signs & death	[110]
	No. 16	Utah, Utah	03/08/2020	6,326	N/A	1,554	Respiratory signs & death	[56]
	No. 16	Utah, Utah	05/08/2020	3,643	N/A	1,119	Respiratory signs & death	[56]
	No. 16	Utah, Utah	05/08/2020	1,705	N/A	205	Respiratory signs & death	[56]
	No. 19	Utah, Utah	08/09/2020	1,500	N/A	59	Respiratory signs & death	[59]
	No. 20	Utah, Utah	07/09/2020	600	N/A	146	Respiratory signs & death	[60]
	No. 20	Utah, Utah	20/09/2020	14,000	N/A	247	Respiratory signs & death	[60]
	No. 21	Michigan, Michigan	27/09/2020	17,000	N/A	2,000	Respiratory signs & death	[61]
	No. 21	Wisconsin, Wisconsin	30/09/2020	14,600	N/A	1,800	Respiratory signs & death	[61]
No. 22	Utah, Utah	29/09/2020	300	N/A	126	Respiratory signs & death	[62]	

	No. 25	Utah, Utah	08/10/2020	3,000	N/A	373	Respiratory signs & death	[80]
	No. 25	Wisconsin, Wisconsin	19/10/2020	22,500	N/A	2,200	Respiratory signs & death	[80]
	No. 25	Utah, Utah	22/10/2020	13,200	N/A	585	Respiratory signs & death	[80]
	No. 25	Utah, Utah	25/10/2020	38,000	N/A	739	Respiratory signs & death	[80]
	No. 26	Oregon, Oregon	22/10/2020	12,000	N/A	2	Respiratory signs & death	[110]
Total				177,357		16,130		

