



*social sciences*



Watching over or Working with?  
Understanding Social Work Innovation  
in Response to Extra-Familial Harm

Volume 9 · Issue 4 | April 2020



[mdpi.com/journal/socsci](https://mdpi.com/journal/socsci)  
ISSN 2076-0760

# Aims and scope

## Aims

*Social Sciences* (ISSN 2076-0760) is an international, peer-reviewed, quick-refereeing open access journal published online monthly by MDPI. The journal seeks to appeal to an interdisciplinary audience and authorship which focuses upon real world research. It attracts papers from a wide range of fields, including anthropology, criminology, geography, history, political science, psychology, social policy, social work, sociology, and more. With its efficient and qualified double-blind peer review process, *Social Sciences* aims to present the newest relevant and emerging scholarship in the field to both academia and the broader public alike, thereby maintaining its place as a dynamic platform for engaging in social sciences research and academic debate.

## Subject Areas

- Anthropology
- Criminology
- Economics
- Education
- Geography
- History
- Law
- Linguistics
- Political science
- Psychology
- Social policy
- Social work
- Sociology
- Other related areas

# Editorial Board

**Emeritus Prof. Nigel Parton** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editor-in-Chief*

School of Human and Health Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield HD1 3DH, UK

**Interests:** social problems and social welfare; child protection and child welfare; social work; social policy

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Barbara Fawcett** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Editor-in-Chief*

School of Social Work and Social Policy, University of Strathclyde, 141 St James Road, Glasgow G4 0LT, UK

**Interests:** feminism; older age; mental health; disability

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Margaret Alston** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Humanities and Social Science, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia

**Interests:** gender; climate and environmental disasters; rural women and social work



**Prof. Dr. Georgios Antonopoulos** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Social Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle NE1 8ST, UK

**Interests:** criminology; organised crime; illegal markets



**Prof. Dr. Ilkka Arminen** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Helsinki Institute of Urban and Regional Studies (Urbaria), University of Helsinki, 00100 Helsinki, Finland

**Interests:** media and technologies in interaction; relations and the distribution of interactional expertise in the neighborhoods

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



[Back to Top](#)

**Prof. Dr. Alan Bairner** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, UK

**Interests:** political ideologies; sport and politics; sport; nationalism and national identities; sport celebrity; sport and place; sport and literature; sport and society in China



**Prof. Dr. David Barker** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Center for Congressional and Presidential Studies, American University, Washington, DC 20016, USA

**Interests:** American politics; public opinion; electoral politics; political behavior; political representation; political communication



**Prof. Dr. Denis Bernardeau-Moreau** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of Lille, 59000 Lille, France

**Interests:** sociology of sport; sociology of organization; involvement and volunteering; corporate sport and wellness

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Sylvia Beyer** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha, WI 53141, USA

**Interests:** women in science (STEM); gender stereotypes; gender and confidence (self-efficacy); psychology of gender; gender pay gap

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Richard E. Bilsborrow** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Carolina Population Center and Department of Biostatistics, Adjunct Professor of Geography, Economics, Planning and Environment, University of North Carolina, 206 W. Franklin St., Chapel Hill, NC 27516, USA

**Interests:** demography (especially migration; fertility); land use and environment (including deforestation); economic development; surveys/sampling and data collection



**Prof. Dr. Morten Blekesaune** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology and Social Work, University of Agder, 4630 Kristiansand, Norway

**Interests:** longitudinal and comparative data on life-course transition and how people adapt to life course transitions

**Prof. Dr. Susan D. Boon** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Psychology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada

**Interests:** interpersonal relationships; the dark side of relationships; revenge; forgiveness; unforgiveness; infidelity



**Prof. Dr. Julia Brannen** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

UCL Institute of Education, University College London, London WC1H 0AL, UK

**Interests:** research methodology (qualitative methods; mixed methods; biographical methods; comparative case analysis); sociology of families; generations; food in families; migration; poverty



**Prof. Dr. Louis Brennan** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Trinity Business School, Trinity College Dublin, College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland

**Interests:** global strategy; supply chain management; international business; technology management; culture & operations strategy



[Back to Top](#)

**Prof. Dr. Belinda Brooks-Gordon** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Psychological Sciences, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK

**Interests:** forensic psychology; gender; sexualities; evidence-based policy



**Prof. Dr. Nik Brown** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Science and Technology Studies Unit (SATSU), University of York, York YO10 4DJ, UK

**Interests:** biopolitics; sociology and politics of biology and medicine; futures and the sociology of expectation; risk and biotechnology; biopolitics of immunity, immune systems and immunological technologies



**Prof. Dr. Maria Bucur** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Gender Studies, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

**Interests:** history; Romania; gender



**Prof. Dr. Andreu Casero-Ripollés** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Communication Sciences, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Universitat Jaume I de Castelló, Av. Vicent Sos Baynat, s/n, 12071 Castellón de la Plana, Spain

**Interests:** journalism; political communication; social media; digital journalism; social influence; digital media  
**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Kevin Cullinane** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Business, Economics and Law, University of Gothenburg, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

**Interests:** logistics; transport economics; international trade; supply chain management; distribution; networks



[Back to Top](#)

**Prof. Dr. Pierre Desrochers** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Geography, University of Toronto Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Road, Mississauga, ON L5L 1C6, Canada

**Interests:** energy policy; food policy; economic development; technological innovation; business and technology history

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Javier Díaz-Noci** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Communication, Pompeu Fabra University, 08018 Barcelona, Spain

**Interests:** internet; online journalism; digitization; journalism history; intellectual property; media history; inequality and public opinion

**Prof. Dr. Lisa Dikomitis** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Kent and Medway Medical School, University of Kent and Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury CT2 7FS, UK

**Interests:** medical anthropology; global health; neglected diseases; conflict; migration; refugees; mental health; non-communicable diseases

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Andrea Doucet** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, Center for Women's and Gender Studies, Brock University, St. Catharines, ON L2S3A1, Canada

**Interests:** gender; work; care; fathering; parental leave policies; visual methods; ethnography; feminist epistemologies; ecological thinking; genealogies; narrative analysis; historical sociology of concept formation; relational sociology



**Dr. Kara Fletcher** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Social Work, University of Regina, Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3, Canada

**Interests:** mental wellness; substance use and misuse; medical assistance in dying and human rights; couple and family therapy

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. André Freire** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Political Science and Public Policy, Iscte – Instituto Universitário de Lisboa, Avenida das Forças Armadas, 1649-026 Lisboa, Portugal

**Interests:** left-right ideology; political representation; political institutions; electoral systems; comparative politics



**Prof. Dr. Steve Fuller** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

**Interests:** history, philosophy and sociology of the sciences: transhumanism; science and religion; the future of the university; intellectual property

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Marie Gillespie** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

**Interests:** diaspora; culture; media; identities; citizenship



**Prof. Dr. Steven Gold** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1111, USA

**Interests:** international migration; ethnic economies; ethnic conflict; qualitative methods; visual sociology



[Back to Top](#)

**Prof. Dr. Guang Guo** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Carolina Population Center, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

**Interests:** social science genomics; social stratification; health inequality; bio-ancestry; social construction of racial and ethnic identity



**Prof. Dr. Ludger Helms** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Political Science, University of Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

**Interests:** political leadership; executive politics; political opposition; comparative political institutions; constitutional engineering; West European politics



**Prof. Dr. Peter Hopkins** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE17RU, UK

**Interests:** social, cultural and political geography; urban studies; migration and refugee studies; youth studies; gender; intersectionality; racism and islamophobia



**Prof. Dr. Karen Jacobsen** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

The Fletcher School, Tufts University, 160 Packard Ave, Medford, MA 02155, USA

**Interests:** refugee and migration issues; field methods; Africa; humanitarian assistance; livelihoods in complex emergencies; developing countries

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Patrick James** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

International Relations, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0044, USA

**Interests:** international relations; methods; Canadian politics

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Dr. Xi Ji** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Resources, Environmental and Industrial Economics, School of Economics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

**Interests:** welfare economics; environmental economics; ecological economics; energy and climate change economics; transformation of economics under the transformation of civilization; ecological civilization and ecological institutions in China



**Prof. Dr. Gayle Kaufman** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, Davidson College, Davidson, NC 28035, USA

**Interests:** gender and family attitudes; LGBTQ+ families; marriage; parenthood; parental leave; work and family

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Steve Kroll-Smith** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, USA

**Interests:** disaster and the problem of recovery; environmental sociology; historical sociology



**Prof. Dr. Helen F. Ladd** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Sanford School of Public Policy, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

**Interests:** education policy; teacher labor markets; school finance; school choice; early childhood interventions; racial segregation in the U.S. and other countries



**Prof. Dr. Timo Juhani Lajunen** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Psychology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway

**Interests:** antibiotic use in community; cultural differences; behavioural change; personality; attitudes; risk

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**





**Dr. Amy Langenkamp** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of Notre Dame, Jenkins, IN 46556, USA

**Interests:** education; race/ethnicity; immigration; life course transitions; adolescent health and development

---

**Prof. Dr. Donald W. Light** Website

*Editorial Board Member*

1. Professor of Psychiatry and Comparative Health Policy, Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine, Stratford, NJ 08084, USA

2. Guest Researcher, Center for Migration and Development, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

3. Associate Faculty, NYU Division of Medical Ethics, New York City, NY 10016, USA

**Interests:** sociology; economic sociology; medical sociology; historical sociology; immigration policy; social justice; global justice; inequality; comparative social welfare

---



**Prof. Dr. Sida Liu** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

1. Faculty of Law, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

2. Department of Sociology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 2C5, Canada

**Interests:** sociology of law; professions; social theory; Chinese law; criminal justice; globalization

---



**Prof. Dr. John Loughlin** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Politics and International Studies, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0BN, UK

**Interests:** federalism; regionalism; local government; the changing nature of the nation-state; globalisation; religion and politics

---



**Prof. Dr. Monica Magadi** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Criminology and Sociology, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK

**Interests:** social determinants of health and well-being

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

---



**Prof. Dr. Nick Mai** Website

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Criminology, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

**Interests:** migrants working in the global sex industry; humanitarian representations of the relationship between migration and sex work

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

---

**Prof. Dr. Daniel McCarthy** Website

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University Of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

**Interests:** areas of policing; inter-agency working; prison/family effects and incarceration

---



**Prof. Dr. Katrina Skewes McFerran** Website

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Fine Arts and Music, University of Melbourne, 234 St Kilda Rd, Southbank VIC 3006, Australia

**Interests:** music; adolescents; music therapy; disability; young people

---



**Prof. Dr. Caroline McGregor** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

Director of Social Work, School of Political Science and Sociology and Senior Researcher, UNESCO Child and Family Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, H91 TK33 Galway, Ireland

**Interests:** child protection; welfare; and family support; practice research in social work; history of social work; history of the present methodologies; social work education and practice; critical social work theory and practice.

---



**Dr. Catherine E. McLoughlin** Website SciProfiles

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Education and Arts, Australian Catholic University, Banyo QLD 4014, Australia

**Interests:** educational psychology; inclusive learning environments; teacher education; threshold concepts in curriculum and teaching; innovative teaching and assessment; teacher professional learning; cross cultural education; designing 21st century classrooms; sense of belonging at school

**Dr. Thomas McNulty** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

**Interests:** criminology; urban sociology; race and ethnicity; inequality

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Paul Milbourne** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Geography and Planning, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3WA, UK

**Interests:** social geography; rural geography; environmental geography



**Prof. Dr. Gabe Mythen** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, Social Policy and Criminology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZR, UK

**Interests:** impacts of risk on everyday life across a range of domains, including national security, crime, politics, welfare, work, the environment and consumption; relationship between risk, security and control



**Prof. Dr. Larry Nackerud** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Social Work, University of Georgia, 279 Williams Street, Athens, GA 30602, USA

**Interests:** U.S. immigration and refugee policy; research methodologies

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Patricia O'Brien** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Sydney School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Camperdown, NSW 2006, Australia

**Interests:** community participation; transition; inclusive research and education

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

[Back to Top](#)

**Prof. Dr. Pilar Orero** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Translation and Interpreting, Autonomous University of Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

**Interests:** translation studies; language; broadcasting; theatre

**Prof. Dr. Jay P. Corrin** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Division of the Social Sciences, College of General Studies, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

**Interests:** religion and politics, especially the influence of Catholicism on political thought and actions

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

**Prof. Dr. Karen F. Parker** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

**Interests:** racial inequality; urban violence; homicide trends; labor markets and work; racial threat; police use of force; racial stratification; quantitative research; macro-level research



**Dr. Tina G. Patel** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Health and Society, University of Salford, Salford M6 6UP, UK

**Interests:** 'race' and racism; exclusion; victimization; policing and social control; race/ethnic-based hate; violent behaviour

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Jenny Pearce** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Applied Social Studies, University of Bedfordshire, University Square, Luton Lu1 3JU, UK

**Interests:** child protection; child sexual abuse; child exploitation; children's rights; child participation





**Prof. Dr. Andreas Pickel** [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Politics, Trent University, Peterborough, ON K9L 0G2, Canada

**Interests:** global and comparative political economy; nationalism and ethnicity; political sociology; philosophy of social science; European Union; Cuba; United States



**Dr. Jaroslaw Richard Romaniuk** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Applied Social Sciences, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

**Interests:** international social work; addiction, trauma; neuroscience in mental health



**Prof. Dr. Richard Ronald** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences, University of Amsterdam, 1012 WX Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Interests:** urban studies; housing policy; Japan; South Korea; welfare regimes



**Dr. Wendy Rote** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Psychology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

**Interests:** parenting; parent-child relationships; adolescent autonomy development; family decision-making; overparenting; information management; inductive discipline and parental psychological control



**Prof. Dr. Tapio Salonen** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Social Work Advanced Level and Research, Malmö University, 211 19 Malmö, Sweden

**Interests:** Social work and social policy in the areas of poverty, housing, marginality, democracy and participatory strategies



**Dr. Baliyar Sanghera** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NZ, UK

**Interests:** political economy; social class; ethics; social inequalities; critical realism; moral economy; post-Soviet economies; resistance; social movements; the voluntary sector; regional focus: Central Asia



**Prof. Dr. Saskia Sassen** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

**Interests:** globalization; inequality; urban sociology



**Dr. Paula Sheppard** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Institute of Social and Cultural Anthropology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 4BH, UK

**Interests:** reproductive decision-making; fathers; grandparents; life history flexibility; family demography

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Xiaoling Shu** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616-8701, USA

**Interests:** social stratification; gender; quality of life; quantitative methods; life course; social demography; comparative studies; knowledge discovery & data mining



**Prof. Dr. Barry Smart** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Education and Sociology, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO12HY, UK

**Interests:** critical social and philosophical thought; late capitalism and consumerism; species being, ecology, and ethics; sustainability and environment; political economy and cultural analysis of sport



---

**Dr. Kristin Snopkowski** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Anthropology, Boise State University, Boise, ID 83725, USA

**Interests:** family cooperation and conflict; reproductive decision-making; fertility transition; sexual conflict, education, mental health

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



---

**Dr. Daniel Stockemer** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Political Studies, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada

**Interests:** political participation; elections; social movements; right-wing extremism; democracy and democratization; women's representation; quantitative and qualitative methods



---

**Prof. Dr. Yusung Su** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Political Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100190, China

**Interests:** political science; comparative politics; international relations; political methodology; causal inference

---

**Prof. Dr. Carlos Teixeira** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Community, Culture and Global Studies, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

**Interests:** urban and social geography with an emphasis on migration processes; community formation, housing, and neighborhood change; ethnic entrepreneurship and the social structure of Canadian cities; gentrification; racialization in the city; class segregation; urban form



---

**Prof. Dr. France Winddance Twine** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociology, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9430, USA

**Interests:** gender inequality; interracial family formation; assisted reproductive technologies; technology industry; critical race theory; sociology of work; gendered organization theory



Back to Top

---

**Prof. Dr. Bridgette Wessels** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

School of Social & Political Sciences, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

**Interests:** The use of digital technology and data in social contexts including telehealth, e-policing, e-services, open data, social media data, and big data

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



---

**Prof. Dr. Stephen M. Wheeler** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Landscape Architecture Program, Department of Environmental Design, University of California at Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA

**Interests:** sustainable development; city and regional planning; climate change planning; urban design; urban morphology; social ecology; social change



---

**Prof. Dr. Sue White** [Website](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Department of Sociological Studies, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TU, UK

**Interests:** sociological analysis of professional judgement and decision-making with an emphasis on understanding how science, formal knowledge, rhetoric, moral judgement, emotion and subjectivity interact in professional practice, particularly in child health and welfare



---

**Prof. Dr. Belinda Winder** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Centre of Crime, Offending, Prevention and Engagement (COPE), Sexual Offences, Crime and Misconduct Research Unit, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham NG1 4FQ, UK

**Interests:** sexual crime; online sexual offending, including sextortion; sexual preoccupation and addiction; antibidinal medication/medication to manage problematic sexual arousal; circles of support and accountability; young people demonstrating sexually harmful behaviour; prevention of sexual offending; non-offending partners and impact on families of people committing a sexual offence; desistance, reintegration and resettlement; mandatory life sentences (release, reintegration and support for individuals) religion and spirituality

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Frank Witlox** [★ Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Editorial Board Member*

Social and Economic Geography Research group, Department of Geography, Ghent University, S8 9000 Gent, Belgium

**Interests:** travel behavior analysis and modeling; travel and land use; sustainable mobility issues; business travel; cross-border mobility



**Prof. Dr. Jeb Barnes** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Political Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0044, USA

**Interests:** law; public policy; injury compensation policy; disability rights; mixed-methods

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. J. Edwin Benton** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

School of Interdisciplinary Global Studies, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

**Interests:** state, local, and urban government and politics; local government services, revenues, and expenditures; American county governments; federalism; intergovernmental relations (IGR); intergovernmental finances and fiscal behavior; and political behavior, public opinion, and elections



**Prof. Dr. Adam Crawford** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

York Law School, University of York, York YO10 4DJ, UK

**Interests:** criminology; policing; urban safety; public space; restorative justice & socio-legal studies



**Prof. Dr. Dennis P. Culhane** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

School of Social Policy & Practice, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

**Interests:** homelessness; affordable housing; social welfare policy; policy analysis research methods; linkage of administrative data for population research



**Dr. Barbara Da Roit** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Philosophy and Cultural Heritage, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, 30123 Venice, Italy

**Interests:** sociology; social policy



**Prof. Em. Dr. Adalbert Evers** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Center for Social Investment and Innovation (CSI), Heidelberg University, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

**Interests:** civil society; social policy; civic engagement; governance; social services



**Prof. Dr. Neil Gilbert** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

School of Social Welfare, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

**Interests:** family policy; comparative welfare state; child welfare; program evaluation and policy metrics



**Prof. Robert Gilligan** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Social Work and Social Policy, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

**Interests:** children and young people in state care; adult care leavers from child welfare systems; youth transitions in challenging circumstances; social work with children, young people and families; social support for children and young people



**Prof. Dr. Markus Hertwig** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

Institute for Work Science, Ruhr University Bochum, 44801 Bochum, Germany

**Interests:** sociology of work and organizations; digital transformation of work and employment; sharing economy; labor relations; (European and German) industrial relations; company networks; precarious employment



**Prof. Dr. Elizabeth C. Hirschman** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Business Administration and Economics, University of Virginia-Wise, Wise, VA, USA

**Interests:** consumer behavior; marketing; advertising; motion pictures; communications; DNA genealogy; colonial North America; American Jewish history; semiotics; social psychology

---

**Prof. Dr. Leslie Jeffrey** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of History & Politics, University of New Brunswick, Saint John, NB E2L 4L5, Canada

**Interests:** sex-work policy; trafficking policy

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Timo Kivimaki** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

1. Department of Politics, Languages and International Studies, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

2. Senior Non-Resident Fellow, Sejong Institute, Seongnam 06579, Korea

**Interests:** conflicts; conflict resolution; conflict escalation; war; peace research; military interventions; state fragility and its effect on conflict, organised violence

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

---

**Prof. Dr. Nancy Luke** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Sociology and Criminology, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA 16801, USA

**Interests:** social demography; gender and family; health; Africa; Asia

---

**Dr. Barbara Merrill** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Centre for Lifelong Learning, The University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

**Interests:** child poverty; social policy; economics; early childhood interventions

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Dr. Carla A. Pfeffer** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Sexual and Gender Minority Health, School of Social Work, College of Social Science, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

**Interests:** qualitative methods; feminist theory and methods; sex and gender; sexualities; body and embodiment; fat studies; health and health equity; LGBTQIA+ communities; sociology; social work; critical theory

---



**Prof. Dr. Leah C. Schmalzbauer** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Anthropology & Sociology, Department of American Studies, Amherst College, Amherst, MA 01002, USA

**Interests:** immigrant families; undocumented migration; transnationalism; gender; youth and childhood; rural sociology

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**

---



**Dr. Steven B. Sheldon** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

School of Education, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

**Interests:** education; families; school leadership; family and community engagement

**Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals**



**Prof. Dr. Eric M. Uslaner** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Government and Politics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

**Interests:** trust; corruption; congress

---



**Prof. Dr. Stephen Webb** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Social Work, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow G4 0BA, Scotland, UK

**Interests:** critical social theory; social work ethics; biopolitics and governmentality; social innovation; community social work; public engagement; evidence-based practice; material semiotics; politics of social work; post anthropocentrism

---



**Prof. Dr. Christopher Wlezien** [Website](#) [SciProfiles](#)

*Section Board Member*

Department of Government, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712-1704, USA

**Interests:** public opinion; public policy; political institutions; elections; research methods

---



**Prof. Dr. Reimut Zohlnhöfer** [Website](#)

*Section Board Member*

Institute of Political Science, Heidelberg University, 69115 Heidelberg, Germany

**Interests:** public policy; policy process theories; political economy; welfare state; economic intervention; policy knowledge





# Daftar Isi

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Going by an English Name: The Adoption and Use of English Names by Young Taiwanese Adults

by Ivona Baresova and Marcel Pikhart

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 60; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040060> - 24 Apr 2020

Cited by 2 | Viewed by 3535

**Abstract** It is easy to understand why Taiwanese students play the part of the name assigned to them in English class, but why do so many of them continue to use this name long after their school years? A survey of young Taiwanese adults, [...] [Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue **Reshaping the World: Rethinking Borders**)

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Space Matters? Exploring Gender Differentials in the Age at Marriage, Greece (1980–2017)

by Kostas Rontos and Luca Salvati

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 59; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040059> - 23 Apr 2020

Cited by 4 | Viewed by 2544

**Abstract** Although local context is considered a key factor shaping differences in the age at marriage between spouses, spatially explicit investigations of the gender gap in marriage timing were scarce in Europe, especially in more traditional societies. The present study analyses the spatial distribution [...] [Read more.](#)

► [Show Figures](#)

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Some Voices from Italian Youth on Well-Being: How to Cope with Job Insecurity?

by Rosy Musumeci and Chiara Ghislieri

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 58; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040058> - 23 Apr 2020

Cited by 5 | Viewed by 3734

**Abstract** 'Insecure' jobs and alternating between periods of unemployment and periods of employment under fixed-term contracts are increasingly widespread among the youth in Europe. This phenomenon is an important risk factor for young people's well-being. Despite the growing number of studies, some issues have [...] [Read more.](#)

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Kenya's Over-Reliance on Institutionalization as a Child Care and Child Protection Model: A Root-Cause Approach

by Njeri Chege and Stephen Ucembe

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 57; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040057> - 22 Apr 2020

Cited by 8 | Viewed by 6576

**Abstract** Institutionalization of children who are deprived of parental care is a thriving phenomenon in the global South, and has generated considerable concern both nationally and internationally, in the last two decades. In Kenya, the number of children growing up in live-in care institutions [...] [Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue **Critical Debates and Developments in Child Protection**)

Open Access Article



## Structural Equation Models on the Satisfaction and Motivation for Retirement of Spanish University Professors

by Rosalía Romero Tena, Cristina Mayor Ruiz, Carmen Yot Domínguez and Manuel Chaves Maza

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 56; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040056> - 21 Apr 2020

Viewed by 2854

**Abstract** Research on the motives behind a university professor's decision to retire is scarce. In the present work, we have tried to define and delimit the aspects of the university professor's satisfaction that have an influence on their motivation to retire. Nine hundred and [...] [Read more](#).

► [Show Figures](#)

Open Access Article



## 'Sis Science' and Fitness Doping: Ethnopharmacology, Gender and Risk

by Ellen Sverkersson, Jesper Andreasson and Thomas Johansson

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 55; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040055> - 21 Apr 2020

Cited by 12 | Viewed by 4398

**Abstract** This article is part of a larger investigation looking into recent changes in the demographics of fitness doping and the possible consequences of such changes. Contesting the historical alliance between masculinity and fitness doping, the article focuses on women's narratives and experiences of [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Extreme Sports, Extreme Bodies](#))

Open Access Article



## 'Warm Eyes', 'Warm Breath', 'Heart Warmth': Using Aroha (Love) and Warmth to Reconceptualise and Work towards *Best Interests* in Child Protection

by Susan Young, Margaret McKenzie, Cecilie Omre, Liv Schjelderup and Shayne Walker

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 54; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040054> - 17 Apr 2020

Cited by 1 | Viewed by 3401

**Abstract** The attributes 'warm eyes', 'breathe warm air', 'heart warmth' and aroha (love) guide our work in child protection. These quotes are from a young person from the Change Factory 2020, a MFAMILY student in 2020 and Jan Erik Henriksen Key Note at the [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Critical Debates and Developments in Child Protection](#))

Open Access Article



## Big Data in Education: Perception of Training Advisors on Its Use in the Educational System

by Julio Ruiz-Palmero, Ernesto Colomo-Magaña, José Manuel Ríos-Ariza and Melchor Gómez-García

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 53; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040053> - 15 Apr 2020

Cited by 13 | Viewed by 3985

**Abstract** Big Data has revolutionized decision making in many fields, including education. The incorporation of information and communication technologies into education enables us to gather information about the teaching and learning process. As Big Data can help us improve it, it is paramount to [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Big Data and Social Sciences](#))

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Predicting Loneliness from Where and What People Do

by Kristi J. MacDonald, Gonneke Willemsen, Dorret I. Boomsma and Julie Aitken Schermer

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 51; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040051> - 14 Apr 2020

Cited by 11 | Viewed by 3847

**Abstract** The many devastating mental health outcomes associated with chronic loneliness is the motivation behind research into examining personal and demographic characteristics of the lonely. The present study sought to examine the connection of where people live (degree of urbanization) and what people do [...] [Read more](#).

► [Show Figures](#)

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## “White Diversity”: Paradoxes of Deracializing Antidiscrimination

by Milena Doytcheva

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 50; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040050> - 13 Apr 2020

Cited by 8 | Viewed by 3483

**Abstract** This article questions, at its starting point, the theoretical and epistemic assumptions around the emergence of the concept of (super)diversity, hailed in a growing body of academic literature as marking a “diversity turn”. In the second part, it highlights the issues raised by [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Reshaping the World: Rethinking Borders](#))

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Michael Walzer’s Humanitarian Intervention Theory Applied to Multisided Conflicts: A Discussion of Intervention and Self-Determination in the Syrian Civil War

by Miguel Paradelo-López and Alexandra Jima-González

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 41; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040041> - 03 Apr 2020

Viewed by 5165

**Abstract** Humanitarian interventions have often been employed to promote the intervener’s political and economic interests. Given the issues around intervention’s morality, this article explores Michael Walzer’s humanitarian intervention theory in order to unravel the practical difficulties of legitimating humanitarian interventions in multisided conflicts. After [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Section [Contemporary Politics and Society](#))

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## The Shift from Consumers to Prosumers: Susceptibility of Young Adults to Radicalization

by Rahma Sugihartati, Bagong Suyanto and Mun’im Sirry

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 40; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040040> - 03 Apr 2020

Cited by 5 | Viewed by 4146

**Abstract** This article examines the radicalization of young adults in relation to internet access and the social media content produced and managed by radical groups in Indonesia. Some of the research problems that become the major concern of this article were how young people [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Section [Social Policy and Welfare](#))

[Open Access](#) [Article](#)



## Implications of Educational Policy-Making Which Encourages Schools to Collaborate with the Community, External Agencies, Private Companies, Employers and Voluntary Organisations

by Julia Everitt

*Soc. Sci.* 2020, 9(4), 39; <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040039> - 02 Apr 2020

Cited by 2 | Viewed by 2897

**Abstract** Despite the move to state education, policy-makers since the early 1900s have encouraged the community, external agencies, private companies, employers and voluntary organisations to become involved in schools. The rationales for these collaborations are to address issues (e.g., delinquency, neglect, underachievement and low [...] [Read more](#).

(This article belongs to the Section [Social Policy and Welfare](#))

Article

# The Shift from Consumers to Prosumers: Susceptibility of Young Adults to Radicalization

Rahma Sugihartati <sup>1,\*</sup>, Bagong Suyanto <sup>1</sup> and Mun'im Sirry <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Airlangga University, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia; bagong.suyanto@fisip.unair.ac.id

<sup>2</sup> Department of Theology, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46656, USA; msirry@nd.edu

\* Correspondence: rahma.sugihartati@fisip.unair.ac.id

Received: 4 March 2020; Accepted: 31 March 2020; Published: 3 April 2020



**Abstract:** This article examines the radicalization of young adults in relation to internet access and the social media content produced and managed by radical groups in Indonesia. Some of the research problems that become the major concern of this article were how young people respond to the internet and social media that provide radical content, how they find out about and access the content, what their purposes are for accessing radical content, and what they do with the radical content. The data discussed in this article were obtained from surveys and interviews with 700 students from seven state universities in Indonesia who were allegedly exposed to radicalism, according to the National Agency for Combating Terrorism (BNPT). The state universities that became research locations were the University of Indonesia (UI), Bandung Institute of Technology (ITB), Bogor Agriculture University (IPB), Diponegoro University (Undip), the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology (ITS), Universitas Airlangga (UNAIR), and the University of Brawijaya (UB). This study revealed that in addition to accessing and consuming various radical content, some students also acted as prosumers. That is, they did not only read, but also produced information related to radicalization, and then recirculated it via social media.

**Keywords:** young adults; radicalism; consumers; prosumer; social media; susceptibility

## 1. Introduction

Young adults, including university students, are often targeted by radical groups to be recruited as cadres for radical movements around the world (Aiello et al. 2018; Alamsyah and Hadiz 2017; Doosje et al. 2013; Kortam 2017; Wong et al. 2019). They are recruited in many ways, especially through the utilization of cyberspace. In the digital age, the methods developed by these fundamentalists to spread radicalism are no longer via face-to-face interactions in the corporeal world. Instead, they take advantage of information technology and the internet. The developments of massive use of the internet, social media, and social networking applications are often exploited by these radical groups to spread radical ideologies, propagate doctrines, explore and recruit potential cadres, and even as a call for *jihad* against other groups which are considered to have caused much misery to Muslims (Bräuchler 2004; Aly et al. 2017; Rudner 2017).

A number of previous studies have examined the important role of social media and information technology as a primary means of spreading radical-religious ideologies and the impact of these ideologies on society, including the risks faced by young adults who are the largest users of information technology and the internet (Iqbal et al. 2016; Greenberg 2016; Klausen 2015; O'Hara and Stevens 2015; Conway 2017; Weng 2018), for example, states that terrorist groups often use social media to spread their ideology. The targets are mostly teenagers who actively use social media. These groups can manipulate teenagers to have radical thoughts and influence them to do radical things through

the internet. When teenagers and young adults come home from schools or colleges, they no longer socialize with other people, and seclude themselves in their rooms instead. Unfortunately, this does not mean that they are completely safe from the influence of radicalization. Actually, in many cases, when young adults spend time in their rooms in front of smartphones or laptops, that is when they are exposed to temptations and offers, including radicalization. In the era of digital society, radicalization spread via social media and cyberspace is one of the threats faced by young people (Aiello et al. 2018).

Terrorism and the act of terror itself can be seen as a political phenomenon, and what makes it attractive is its allure as a life-mode or way of being (Cottee and Hayward 2011). In the United States, for example, the number of local extremists who call themselves The Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) continues to grow, because recruitment via the internet is getting more intense. ISIL uses the internet as a propaganda tool. They spread horrendous videos, books, and messages on Twitter. The target of radicalization is the Muslim youth in western countries. The use of the internet as a propaganda tool can cause hatred between religious communities, cause internet users to become racist and Islamophobic, and spread various conspiracies (Levin 2015). The success of Salafist extremists is proof that the internet can become a tool to radicalize and recruit youth to commit crime. An article written by Bhui and Ibrahim (2013) discusses the techniques of persuasion used by jihadist websites. They usually use various models of rhetoric, images, and symbols in text, video, and interactive formats. The media can be used as an information source, but can also be used as a technology to launch radical persuasions. By using the media, propaganda is used to attract both groups of pop culture fans and religious groups. Bhui and Ibrahim (2013) stated that the internet has failed to ward off the emergence of radicalization. On the contrary, the internet is often used as an instrument to spread the influence of radicalization.

This article focuses on the discussion about the access to radical content on online media held by students studying at public higher education institutions. The study was conducted in seven universities that were allegedly exposed to radicalization. The universities turned out to be public universities, in the sense that they were not religiously affiliated universities. This article intends to explain not only how young people in Indonesia are exposed to radicalism offered via various sites in cyberspace, but also the risks faced by young people when they are exposed to radicalism. Although the number is not too large, students who are exposed to radicalism in cyberspace are not just passive consumers, but they also have the potential to become prosumers, or active cadres, who disseminate radicalism as part of their mistaken beliefs.

## 2. Literature Review

Young adults in the 21st century are basically part of the virtual generation or also called the Net Generation. On the one hand, they enjoy conveniences of information technology and the internet, but on the other hand, they are also vulnerable to the contamination of negative content from cyberspace. Alch (2000), who specifically studies the Net Generation, states that this generation needs to obtain information as quickly and as easily as possible, to spend a lot of time to them selves, and not to be restrained in their lives. Tapscott (2009) mentioned some of the characteristics of the Net Generation, which are: (1) freedom; (2) customization; (3) scrutiny; (4) integrity; (5) collaboration; (6) entertainment; (7) speed; and (8) innovation. That is, behind the convenience felt by young adults in accessing information on the internet, there is a hidden risk. Young adults who are increasingly accustomed to surfing in cyberspace turn out to be exposed to a variety of harmful information content, such as radicalism.

The problem is that radical groups, including terrorist groups, realize that the internet is a powerful tool that can be used to achieve their strategic goals. Modern terrorists became aware of the new opportunities not only to exert mass psychological impact, but also to transmit messages more easily and freely to huge audiences (Weimann 2005). Various radical groups increasingly use the internet and social media as a means to spread their influence. Benigni et al. (2017) argue that ISIS often used social media as a medium to spread their ideology and seek support. On Twitter, 'retweet'



propaganda is used by ISIS as a primary way of gaining support and carrying out independent terrorist cell attacks. Young adults, who are the largest users of social media, are targets that are part of the online extremist community (OEC). Martin Rudner (2017) used the term “electronic jihad” when he analyzed the way Al-Qaeda used the internet as an influential catalyst for the actual recruitment of jihadist operatives. Furthermore, Rudner contends that the internet and social media have emerged to become increasingly important mechanisms for Al-Qaeda’s fund-raising and financial transfers to support terrorist activities.

Indeed, scholars are still debating how much of an influence the internet is in the process of radicalization. On one spectrum, a number of scholars are sceptical that the internet brings as much real impact as a face-to-face interaction does. Laqueur (1993) is sceptical about the transferability of virtual power into real power. Burke (2011) similarly, argues that social media does not have a significant effect on the real world. Those sceptical experts argue that the role of the internet in facilitating terrorist training is overestimated. Hegghammer (2012) also argues that it is unlikely that a recruiter would be able to successfully complete the screening process using only remote online communication. At the heart of the sceptics’ argument is the view that the influence of online media cannot be equated with “grassroots activism”, which is activism in the real world.

Meanwhile, on another spectrum, some scholars emphasize that the influence of the internet is now more powerful than is generally assumed. The “electronic jihad” referred to by Rudner (2017) has an impact that is not only real, but also broad. Even though it remains unclear how the Internet contributes to the radicalization process, a study by King and Taylor (2011) on theoretical models of homegrown Jihadists proposes that the Internet facilitates interpersonal relationships and group interactions that somehow replace established organizations in terms of direct contact with their followers. They also add that the Internet acts as a ‘virtual incubator’ for radicalization. Through online web forums, the Internet mirrors several functions otherwise fulfilled by established organizations. Firstly, it provides ideological support for people who seek it. People do not only consume the narrative, but they can also contribute to the discourse (Drennan and Black 2007; King and Taylor 2011). Secondly, the Internet acts as a platform that enables like-minded individuals to interact with each other, for instance to carry out an actual attack (Choudhury 2007; Kirby 2007) Thirdly, the Internet supplies information and educational materials that promote radical ideologies, with an example of the Al-Qaeda organization that published an article on a self-made bomb, titled “Make a Bomb in the Kitchen of Your Mom” on the first volume of their magazine, *Inspire* (The AQ Chef 2010). Those who are sceptical about the real influence of the internet are considered unaware that social media also has a social aspect, as implied by Maura Conway (2017):

Apart from the debate, it cannot be denied that in reality, terrorist groups such as Al-Qaeda and ISIS have used the internet and social media to spread their extreme ideology and carry out recruitment process and fundraising. Many studies have shown that thousands of websites were created and controlled by radical jihadist group (Denning 2001; Conway 2006; Lieberman and Collins 2008; MacDonald and Mair 2015) ISIS was known to develop and manage the internet and social media in a sophisticated way. They were particularly active and successful in recruiting foreign fighters, especially from Europe and the United States, by using Twitter, YouTube, Diaspora, and other online social networks. Of course, there are variations in the purpose of using online media. In his research on Al-Shabaab in Kenya, Mair (2016) revealed that this terrorist group used Twitter with the primary purpose of creating a narrative that sided with their movement.

The Internet is a truly revolutionary contribution that has abled to transform the propaganda dimension of terrorist groups—turning their “age-old dream of direct, intermediary-free communication with their potential “public” into a reality” (Smith et al. 2016, p. 6). A number of scholars further elaborate on the fact that the Internet can be used for data mining, networking, recruitment, planning, mobilization, and fund-raising (Cetina 2005; Michael 2013; Weimann 2005). The utilization of the internet and social media is not only monopolized by the congregation of terrorists. Many radical

preachers also use online media to spread hatred that influences young adults. In her article, "The Call to Jihad: Charismatic Preachers and the Internet", [Gendron \(2017\)](#) highlighted the role of online media in spreading radical ideas by a number of Muslim figures. Gendron pointed out that the most vulnerable parties to the charisma of religious leaders are the younger generation. She wrote:

It seems that charismatic preachers have succeeded in exploiting the problems of young adults and making them the main target of their online sermons. Between the confusion of searching for identity among the younger generation and the charisma and popularity of the preachers, there is a connector named online media. ([Gendron 2017](#))

Then, how do young adults respond to the charismatic preachers' online sermons and other radical content on social media? How do they find out and access the sermons and radical content? What did they do with the radical content? So far, not too many studies have addressed these questions, because more attention has been paid to the utilization of the internet among radical individuals and groups, not to the reaction of the targeted audience. Regarding the radicalization of young adults, a number of studies have focused more on answering the question of why they are vulnerable to being recruited by terrorists and radical groups via online networks. Several studies have identified a number of problems that cause the youth's vulnerability to radicalization, including education issues, identity crisis, and questions of belonging or fitting in or loyalty in secular western societies. A study by [Silke \(2008\)](#) found that psychological processes and group dynamics have a large role in understanding the development of radicalization among some Muslim youth. According to [Farrington \(2003\)](#), there are several factors that lead young people under 20 years to join terrorism, namely individual, family, socio-economic, and school factors. Meanwhile, a study conducted by Delia [Deckard and Jacobson \(2015\)](#), which studied the Muslim community in Western Europe, found that radicalization was more precisely associated with alienation than with poverty.

This study will portray a different aspect, namely how young adults learn of media with radical content, why they access the media, for what purpose they access the media, and what they do after that. To answer these questions, field research was conducted at seven well-known state universities in Indonesia, namely the University of Indonesia (UI), Bandung Institute of Technology (ITB), Bogor Agriculture University (IPB), Diponegoro University (Undip), the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology (ITS), Universitas Airlangga (UNAIR), and the University of Brawijaya (UB). The significance of this research lies, for one thing, in cases that did not occur in western countries, so this research is expected to enrich that perspective. Indonesia is the world's most populous Muslim-majority country that has made it through the democratic transition. The country known as "Muslim smiling faces" is experiencing what some scholars call "conservative turn" ([Bruinessen 2013](#); [Fealy 2007](#)) and religious intolerance. This phenomenon also has an impact on the younger generation, one of which is a result of the influence of the use of social media on a massive scale.

### 3. Methods

All research data were obtained from surveys and interviews with 700 students from 7 prominent state universities in Indonesia (see [Table 1](#)). These seven state universities were selected as research locations because these universities were allegedly infiltrated by a radicalization, according to the National Agency for Combating Terrorism. Therefore, those academic institutions have also been actively involved in organizing programs and developing new approaches to combat radicalization. In each university, 100 students were interviewed, bringing the total number of students interviewed to 700.

**Table 1.** Respondents and Informants of the Research.

University	City	Number of Respondents	Number of Informants of In-Depth Interview
University of Indonesia (UI)	Depok, West Java	100 students	10 students
Bogor Agriculture University (IPB)	Bogor, West Java	100 students	10 students
Bandung Institute of Technology (ITB)	Bandung, West Java	100 students	10 students
Diponegoro University (Undip)	Semarang, Central Java	100 students	10 students
Universitas Airlangga (Unair)	Surabaya, East Java	100 students	10 students
Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology (ITS)	Surabaya, East Java	100 students	10 students
University of Brawijaya (UB)	Malang, East Java	100 students	10 students
Total		700 students	70 students

In the process of selecting respondents, this study conducted interviews by selecting students who met the following criteria:

- (1) Active students at state universities.
- (2) Actively involved as an administrator and member of religious activities on campus in the past year.
- (3) Accessing sites that are classified as radical in the past year.
- (4) Part of the Net Generation, owning gadgets that can be used to access radical sites.

In addition to conducting structured interviews based on questionnaire, this study also conducted in-depth interviews. In-depth interviews were conducted with 70 students who had interesting experiences in accessing radicalism sites.

All data from 700 respondents were processed using SPSS. The data is displayed in the form of a frequency table, to see patterns of consumption and production activities of radicalism content among students of state universities in Indonesia.

#### 4. Results

Young people, especially students, are no longer just passive audiences that merely consume radical content asymmetrically, but they often also actively participate in producing radical content to be recirculated to other groups. Unlike terrorist groups who deliberately use the internet to carry out psychological warfare and develop their radical ideology (Amble 2012; Conway 2017), some state university students are also known to be involved in the process of production and dissemination of radical content. The “spreadable” nature of convergent media enables students to become producers and consumers of appraisers, as well as distributors of radical content that they believe in.

Spreadability here is an alternative terminology in cultural studies that is now widely used to describe how audiences are involved with and become active agents in spreading media content in the process of content circulation. Spreadability emphasizes technical affordances that make it easier to circulate some types of media content, social networks, or links, where people get together through meaningful exchange of bytes and with various motives that encourage them to share content or information to fellow members of the cyberspace community (Green and Jenkins 2011). Spreadability allows the placement of an almost unlimited and multiplying amount of information via network and process of circulation of media content. Spreadable media encourage the cyberspace community to be deeply involved with media messages by encouraging them to engage in online media message circulation (Green and Jenkins 2011).

The study found that more than half of students of state universities tended to not only consume radical content for themselves (41.3%), but to varying degrees of intensity, they also often circulated

the radical content they received. This means that strategies developed by radical groups that use social media and the internet to disseminate their radical ideology have achieved the results expected (Aly et al. 2017). As many as 35.2% of respondents claimed to infrequently circulate radical content to other groups, 21.6% of respondents claimed to frequently circulate radical content to other groups, while the remaining 1.9% of respondents claimed to always circulate the radical content they received to other people (see Table 2). This is what is meant by the involvement of young adults as a “prosumer” of radical content. They generally do not produce the content by themselves, but actively participate in spreading radical content they found on online media networks. The practice of radical streaming done by students is also due to their ignorance and sometimes naivety, as they do not fully understand its seriousness.

**Table 2.** Involvement in Circulating Radical Content.

Do respondents frequently circulate/recirculate radical content to others?	Always	1.9%
	Frequently	21.6%
	Infrequently	35.2%
	Never. Only consuming the content.	41.3%
When accessing radical content, do respondents further seek out the authenticity of the information?	Believing without confirming	9.00%
	Unsure about the authenticity of the information	28.9%
	Not believing	62.1%

A number of students during the in-depth interviews claimed that they did not care about other people’s opinions of content that was classified as radical, because according to those students, that was what they believed.

“I often read information and news about the suffering of Muslims who are victims of Israeli and American atrocities. Trump’s phobia to Muslims has also been reported everywhere. Such Information [is the kind of information] that I often share with other friends. Let us be fair in dealing with cases like this. In my opinion, fighting the aggression of countries that are hostile to Muslims, one of which is through a war on social media. It’s a matter of how to prevent American propaganda from developing. [American propaganda] must be countered ... ” Rudi (20 years old, University of Indonesia)

“If people don’t like us, surely we are said to be radical. Even though it’s our belief. Our religion is actually full of love. Let people not continue to prosecute us, so we must be tactical too. I send news to groups or to other friends without any purpose. [When] I read it [and I think] the content is good, I share it with friends ... ” Asti (19 years old, Universitas Airlangga)

Those students have actually been in touch with radicalism only for a short time. According to the confessions of students studied, 33.4% claimed to have known radicalism less than 6 months. However, quite a lot of students have known radicalism for more than 24 months or 2 years (22.2%). As many as 23.3% of respondents claimed to have known radicalism for around 19–24 months, and 19.3% of respondents said they only knew radicalism for about 7–12 months (see Table 3). Theoretically, the more intense the contact of students with radicalism via the internet is, the greater the possibility to grow into radical young adults becomes. For radical groups like Al-Qaeda, the virtual world is like a kind of an effective virtual training camp (Stenersen 2008). It is not impossible for the Net Generation to be influenced and grow into radical individuals as they become more intensely in touch with radical content in cyberspace. A study conducted by Aly (2012), for example, found that the internet plays a role in individual radicalism towards extremism, which justifies violence.

**Table 3.** Intensity and Motives of State University Students to Access Radical Sites.

How long has the respondent started accessing sites that provide radical content?	Less than 6 months	33.4%
	7–12 months	19.3%
	13–18 months	1.8%
	19–24 months	23.3%
	More than 24 months	22.2%
The intensity of respondents in accessing radical sites	Always (every day)	15.1%
	Frequently (at least once in a week)	24%
	Infrequently (at least once in a month)	25.9%
The motive of respondents in accessing sites that provide radical content	Very infrequently (Often not accessing at all for a month)	35%
	Curiosity	51%
	Being interested in learning more deeply	31%
	To learn/understand the purpose of radical movements	10.3%
How do respondents know about radical sites?	To find materials to circulate	7.7%
	Searching by themselves	21.7%
	From friend	36.4%
	From seniors recruiting them	15.6%
	Finding it accidentally	26.3%

The intensity of students accessing sites providing radicalism content was mostly classified as “very infrequently” (35%). However, this study found as many as 15.1% of respondents claimed that they always spent time accessing radical sites every day, and as many as 24% claimed to access radical sites at least once a week. As many as 25.9% accessed radical content about once a month. For the students, the chances of coming in contact with radical content are huge, because every day they generally always access the internet, and it is not impossible that some of the information accessed is from a site that contains radical content (Afrianty 2012). Weng (2018) found that one of the sites that were popular among young adults was owned by Felix Siau, who was said to be radical but had millions of followers who enjoyed his sermons.

“Learning Islam can be via any media. Islam is broad, so I start from reading on social media or *dakwah* accounts. Now there are many [*dawah* accounts]. I read [contents] that are relevant to my life first, from the light issues first, for example, human relations in Islam, men-women relations. So, I just found out that there must be a separation in relationships between men and women, except for three things, in terms of buying and selling in the market, health, and education. Then, dating is not allowed, even though there is the so-called Islamic dating, it is still not allowed in Islam. And from there, I understand how a Muslim interacts with the opposite sex. I just understood, it turns out that Islam teaches about all things, not only prayer, fasting, alms, but also every scope of human life. There are rules, for example, the rules of relation between men and women. Finally, I was interested to study deeper. I also followed the sermons of Ustād Felix, because I thought he was cool. He was a non-believer then converted to Islam. Even though he has just become a convert, his knowledge about Islam can educate Muslims who were born Muslims. [He is] just great and the books that Mr. Felix wrote are cool. I sometimes like to post his posts on my timeline. There are also other preachers I keep up with, such as Ustād Abdul Somad and Mr. Zulkifli, but I only watch their sermons from YouTube.” Dennis (21 years old, Universitas Airlangga)



The motives of students to access radical sites were large because of curiosity (51%). Various platforms in cyberspace and the presence of social media open up many opportunities for students to search and find the information they want, including information about radical movements. Platforms like Twitter and Facebook often become media that are used by radical groups to attract cadres. Boko Haram, for example, is one of the radical groups that have a Twitter account to offer radical discourse and violence, which are part of their ideology (Chiluwa 2015). Although in various countries there has been a de-radicalization, it is not an easy problem (Aistrope 2016). As many as 31% of respondents claimed to access radical sites because they were interested in exploring the radical ideology they knew, and 10.3% claimed they were interested in exploring radical sites to study the aims of radical movements. What is interesting about this study was that 7.7% of respondents accessed radical sites to find material to be circulated or recirculated further. A number of informants claimed that they really enjoyed reading and spending their free time tracking religious information. Even though the information they were searching for was said to be radical, they stated that it was no problem because, according to them, the problem was the perspective of others who were judgmental and not objective.

“Islam is often said to be radical. Those who attack the whole community of Muslims are not labeled with ‘radical’. So, in my opinion, [the term] ‘radical’ depends on the interests. If [they want to] call me ‘radical’, let them be. The important thing [for me] is to be objective, not radical. Islam clearly teaches respect to others. If people don’t know Islam, it is easy for them to promote baseless accusations. If they already know [Islam], they will definitely change their attitude...” Najwa (18 years old, University of Brawijaya)

The source of information about radical sites, according to most respondents, was from friends (36.4%). As many as 26.3% of respondents claimed to know radical sites by accident. When they were browsing, it turned out they actually found sites that provided radical content. For many radical groups, the internet has long been used as a medium to spread the radical ideas they believe in. YouTube, for example, is a platform that is often used to spread neojihadism and especially to create groups that will militantly fight for the religion (Andre 2012). As many as 15.6% of respondents claimed to know the radical sites from their seniors, who recruited them to be actively involved in activities classified as radical. As many as 21.7% of respondents claimed to know radical sites by searching on their own on various sites in the unlimited virtual world.

Radical content that had often been accessed by most students (32.1%) was news about the conditions of Muslim communities in many countries. Generally, those Muslim communities are victims of war. As many as 22.1% of respondents said they often accessed radical content that discussed *khilafah* movement, and 22.3% of respondents claimed to often access news related to the treatment of western countries to Middle Eastern countries (see Table 4). Even though Indonesia is not governed by Islamic law, the *Khilafat* (Caliphate) movement is still prevalent in the country (Bruinessen 2013). Some argue that in today’s context, the movement is associated with radical links to the Middle East. Historically speaking, the radical Islamic movements in Indonesia are not a new phenomenon, but have been present since the colonial era (Reid 1967). However, in Soekarno’s secular movement, there was no room for an Islamic State. Nowadays, the radical religious groups that stayed in Indonesia are kept underground, and are mostly focused around university campuses.

**Table 4.** Radical Content Accessed by State University Students.

Accessed Radical Contents	Frequently	Infrequently	Never
Information about ISIS	6%	20%	74%
Information related to bomb-making	2.30%	14%	83.70%
The conditions of Muslim communities in many countries	32.10%	36.90%	31%
<i>Khilafat</i> movement	22.10%	57.60%	20.30%
The way western countries treat middle east countries	22.30%	36.30%	41.40%

Not many respondents often accessed sites to find information about ISIS (6%). Although the number was insignificant, this study found that 2.3% of respondents claimed to frequently access information about bomb-making instructions. The fact that these young adults often accessed sites related to bomb-making instructions is certainly alarming, no matter how many the number was. It is not impossible that the information will mislead them to acts of violence. The results of the study conducted by [Hwang \(2017\)](#) showed that among jihadist groups, carrying out jihadi actions are generally seen as part of the struggle relating to their beliefs in order to take the path of God.

The types of radical content that were often accessed by students were generally in the form of articles (34.7%) and videos (32.7%). As many as 24.5% of respondents claimed to often access radical content in the form of news on various sites. Meanwhile, as many as 18.3% claimed to frequently access information in the form of memes, and 18.1% in the form of pictures or photographs (see [Table 5](#)). Reading articles and then interpreting them based on ideological preferences often leaves young adults trapped in the ideology of radicalism without them knowing it ([Mietzner and Muhtadi 2018](#)). According to an analysis by [Weimann \(2005\)](#), contents posted by radical or terrorist organizations have so far attempted to target not only potential supporters or enemies but especially 'bystander' public and surfers who are not involved in the conflict. [Conway \(2017\)](#) stated that in Syria, the Islamic State (IS) has proven to be successful in reaching young women and bringing up the flow of 'jihadi brides' online. Similar online calls for families to migrate to the caliphate are also increasing. A series of unusual types of terrorist attacks, such as running over people by car and knife stabbing, appear to be influenced by online calls.

**Table 5.** Radical Contents that Have Been Accessed by Students.

Type of Radical Content Accessed	Frequently	Infrequently	Never
News	24.5%	35.5%	40%
Memes	18.3%	35.4%	46.3%
Picture/photo	18.1%	43.8%	38.1%
Article	34.7%	32.1%	33.2%
Video	32.7%	36.5%	30.8%

Besides accessing and consuming various radical contents, this study found that some students also acted as prosumers. That is, these students did not only read information containing radical content, but they also produced radical information and then recirculated it via social media. Although most students claimed to have never produced radical content, this study found that 8.5% of respondents claimed to often produce radical content in the form of posters. According to [Table 6](#), many as 5.3% of respondents produced radical content in the form of exhortation or invitation, and 3.4% in the form of information or news whose content was radical. The contents were then circulated to others. The group of students in state universities that do not only consume but also produce radical content is a group of young people who would possibly engage in jihad if they had chance and there was a trigger factor.

**Table 6.** Radical Content Created by Students.

Radical Contents That Have Been Created	Frequently	Infrequently	Never
Poster	8.5%	11%	80.5%
Memes	0.9%	2.4%	96.7%
Information/new	3.4%	3.2%	93.4%
Video	1.4%	2%	96.6%
Invitation/exhortation	5.3%	2.4%	92.3%
Figure profile	1.7%	3.9%	94.4%
Sermon	1.7%	3.9%	94.4%
Story of struggle	2.4%	4%	93.6%

Some of the students in this study were known to have the ability to operate technological devices, and they were also accustomed to creating various content to be distributed in cyberspace. Making posters or editing existing posters that they obtained from the sites, and adding additional information so that the display becomes more interesting, is what some informants do. When they were interviewed, a number of students openly showed their work in the form of posters or invitations to fight for the freedom of Muslims, which they said to be victims of Israeli atrocities. The freedom of the Palestinian people was one of the issues that were often discussed and fought for by some students when they produced and disseminated content to social media.

“Every Thursday night, we usually make a tweet storm. We bombard Twitter with #Khilafah. One of our goals is for the media to know that we still exist. Even though [we were] disbanded, we are still here.” Imam (21 years old, Diponegoro University)

This study found that a number of students were known to often use social media to share Islamic content that they created themselves or obtained from other sources. They were also actively involved in the WhatsApp groups to coordinate and share information related to sermons and content they have created. In adding prospective new members, for example, a number of informants along with other members often created small groups on social media such as WhatsApp. These groups generally began with a study group that discusses general issues and *fiqh*, which were considered relevant to the daily life of prospective members. If prospective members were deemed ready, they would be invited to attend a “heavier” level of sermon.

As content producers, students who had visual communication design skills often created videos, posters, and memes in order to promote their sermon activities or other activities. It was also as an ongoing and scheduled internalization effort via their social media. Image content was scheduled to be posted once every two days, and video content was posted at least once a month. Content material that was shared also varied, ranging from the lightest discussion about the anti-dating movement, to discussion of the caliphate-state system. Students took advantage of share culture among social media users. Lately, social media users are more active in sharing information via groups because of the increasing share culture. From interviews, it is known that when a number of students shared religious content, they usually added the call “Spread this information” or other bombastic words. Some used the phrase “Share with others, don’t stop at you”. All of this was done by the informants, so that the content they produced did not stop at only one or two people, but could be shared further with many other people in order to garner sympathy and support.

At Diponegoro University, for example, a number of WhatsApp and Line groups participated in by students involved in radical-indicated activities were exclusive. After the universities limited radical movements of students, radical student groups were more focused on retaining existing members, rather than recruiting new ones. This was because they were trying to strengthen the ideology and solidarity of the members. Nevertheless, each member still shared information, content, or opinions via their personal social media accounts in more subtle words, so as not to provoke stricter regulatory actions from the university.

## 5. Discussion

The results of this study have shown that the radicalization of young adults was not only the impact of their commitment to radical ideology as a consequence of face-to-face indoctrination carried out by certain religious leaders or radical groups in certain locations, but also as a consequence of indoctrination via electronic media that can be accessed openly and disseminated. In other words, acts of violence and militancy among young adults are not merely a consequence of their psychological condition and personality background, but also a result of the susceptibility of young adults to radicalism from social media and cyberspace. Terrorism in modern times is aware that social media can be used to carry out acts of terror. Some terrorist organizations use social media to carry out terror attacks and target many victims (Weimann 2005). Students, who are in fact part of the Net Generation

are generally vulnerable to radicalism, which is widely disseminated via cyberspace by radical groups from other countries.

At the present time, social networking sites deliberately designed by certain individuals and groups are widely circulated to educate, indoctrinate, and persuade those who wish to explore various options and to search for alternatives to their beliefs all this time. The potential threat from the dissemination of radical content sites has been recognized by policymakers. Raffaello Pantucci was right when he wrote:

“The increasing prevalence of the internet and the easy availability of extremist material online have fostered the growth of the autodidactic extremist.” (Pantucci 2011)

Indeed, not all students who are exposed to radicalism via cyberspace will be contaminated and turned into militants and radicals. However, starting from trial and error, and driven by curiosity, some young people sometimes unwittingly become more radical. More than just passive consumers of content from social media and internet accounts promoting radicalism, some students turned out to be active consumers and even producers of radical content, which they then recirculated on social networks. This study found that, as part of the Net Generation, young adults are not only susceptible to radicalism, but often they also become an extension of the voice and participate in recirculating the ideology of radicalism in various ways. In the digital age, young adults are prosumers of radicalism who have the potential to spread their beliefs on an extraordinary scale.

A study conducted by Pedersen et al. (2018) of 8627 teenagers in Oslo, Norway, found that Muslim youth in Oslo were more likely to support the use of violence to obtain social change compared to other adolescents. However, after controlling for several other variables, Islam did not have a significant relationship with providing support for political violence. The complex background of immigrants and the emergence of teenage outsiders, which is a result of underachievement in schools, has sparked various problems of violence in certain Muslim teenagers. Political activity on social media also has an important role in influencing teenagers' behavior to commit violence and jihadism. In this case, an emerging 'outsider position', rather than being Muslim, becomes the underlying cause. Further efforts are needed to prevent the radicalization of teenagers or young adults who are at risk of becoming teenage outsiders.

It would not be easy to prevent or eliminate the possibility of young adults being exposed to radicalism and becoming militant. As Al-Zewairi and Naymat (2017) have said, in recent years, terrorist and separatist groups have begun to actively use new technologies as media to widely spread their ideology. Meanwhile, Stenersen (2008) stated that in the digital age, the internet has functioned as a substitute for real-life training, especially in the case of homegrown terrorists whose operations do not require networks, contacts, or trips to train abroad, because those methods are perceived to be too risky. It is this online recruitment of terrorist cadres that makes prevention efforts difficult.

This research also identified students of public universities, not students of religiously affiliated universities, as targets of radical group recruitment. This finding was in line with Gendron (2017) who stated:

“Recruiters seek out high school and college students, those living in isolated areas away from the big cities, and prefer the non-religious over the religious because they consider those ignorant of the tenets of Islam to be more susceptible to guidance and indoctrination.”

However, differing from Gendron's findings, this research revealed that students who were exposed to radicalism actually came from leading universities located in cosmopolitan cities. That is understandable because, in urban areas, the internet and social media can be very easily accessed. The emphasis of the results of this study is the activity of young adults who are quite active as prosumers of social networks by circulating radical content. We also found a kind of paradox in their attitudes towards radical content. Even though more than half of respondents spread radical content on different levels, where some were more active than others, the number of respondents who did not

believe in the validity of radical content was quite high, namely 62.1%, and only 9% of respondents who admitted to believing the validity of radical content. When they were further asked whether they clarified to ensure that certain radical content was not a hoax, respondents who answered affirmatively amounted to 84.2%. That means that even though the radical content is actually a hoax, young adults will tend to share it anyway.

Another interesting thing about the “power” of internet-based propaganda is the virtual space that allows young adults to admire certain figures that challenge the traditional authority. In Indonesia, authoritative *da'i* figures, especially those from traditional Islamic education (*pesantren*), are not active in cyberspace. Conversely, *da'i* who have strong Islamic educational backgrounds appear to dominate virtual space. When the respondents were asked whose social media accounts they followed, 35.1% of respondents mentioned Felix Siau, a Chinese Muslim convert who joined the Indonesian Hizbut-Tahrir (HTI). Because of its ideology that wants to replace *Pancasila* (five principles) with the khilafat ideology, HTI has been officially disbanded by the Indonesian government. It is interesting to note that Siau has no background in religious education at the moment, but his sermons, which emphasize the aspects of tyranny that represses Muslims and call for the system of *khilafat* as a solution, seem to be quite appealing to the younger generation. The second figure loved by young adults exposed to radicalism is Zakir Naik (18.1%), an Indian preacher who now lives in Malaysia. Like Siau, Naik did not have an education background from traditional Islamic Madrasah in his home country, Pakistan. He is actually a medical doctor but actively uses social media to do *dakwah*. Thus, young people become distant from their religious roots, grappling with issues of identity, social deprivation, and status, and reject both the authority of their parents and these mainstream *Imams* (Gendron 2017).

The problem now is how to develop counter-radicalization via the internet to eliminate the influence of radicalism among young adults. As it is known, the internet is a massive communication medium used today. Today, terrorist groups can easily spread their teachings via the internet. Therefore, difficult questions need to be raised:

1. In the era of freedom of information, can radical propaganda be limited or monitored? If it can be limited or monitored, how?
2. What rules are available, both at the nation-state level or to the server owner, which allow us to control and ban radical content?
3. Is it time for the fight against technologically advanced terrorism to be concentrated at its informational source?

For radical terrorist organizations, the Internet provides a global, yet also highly individualist, way for terrorist groups to communicate with their target audiences, current and potential supporters, as well as their enemies (Smith et al. 2016). Greenberg (2016) argued that in order to guarantee security on the internet, three things must be considered in combating radicalization. Those three things are disruption, diversion, and counter-messaging. It is based on the belief that the internet is like a double-edged knife. It can be used to spread radical and extreme propaganda, but at the same time, it can also be used to cease it.

## 6. Conclusions

As part of the Net Generation, university students generally encounter dilemmatic situations. On the one hand, they enjoy the convenience of accessing information from the limitless virtual world, but on the other hand, they are also prone to be contaminated with radicalism spread by hardliners from around the world. Besides accessing and consuming various radical content, this study found that some students also acted as prosumers. That is, do did not only read but also produce radical information, and then recirculate it via social media. Students exposed to radicalism via the internet are at risk of becoming more radical and militant and becoming supporters or cadres of radical movements. The findings of this study are in line with the conclusions of Rachel Briggs and Sebastien Feve, who stated that the internet is an important part of the radicalization process, in



most cases intensifying and accelerating radicalization (Briggs and Feve 2014). Intensification and acceleration are possible because of the nature of online platforms that know no boundaries with high levels of connectivity. Of course, it is not fair to point fingers toward the Internet as a lone culprit for radicalization, but serious efforts are needed to protect young people from the risk of falling under the control of radical groups and terrorists.

**Author Contributions:** R.S. designed and conceptualized the research and provided the main data theoretical analysis. B.S. analyzed data, co-wrote the article and collected the data. M.S. co-wrote the paper and contributed to additional theoretical analysis to the data. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Tahir Foundation and The APC was funded by Tahir Foundation.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Dato' Sri Tahir, the founder of the Tahir Foundation, for this research was fully supported by the grant from the Tahir Foundation.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Afrianty, Dina. 2012. Islamic education and youth extremism in Indonesia. *Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism* 7: 134–46. [CrossRef]
- Aiello, Emilia, Lídia Puigvert, and Tinka Schubert. 2018. Preventing violent radicalization of youth through dialogic evidence-based policies. *International Sociology* 33: 435–53. [CrossRef]
- Aistrope, Tim. 2016. Social media and counterterrorism strategy. *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 70: 121–38. [CrossRef]
- Al-Zewairi, Malek, and Ghazi Naymat. 2017. Spotting the Islamist Radical within: Religious Extremists Profiling in the United State. *Procedia Computer Science* 113: 162–69. [CrossRef]
- Alamsyah, Andi Rahman, and Vedi R. Hadiz. 2017. Three Islamist generations, one Islamic state: the Darul Islam movement and Indonesian social transformation. *Critical Asian Studies* 49: 54–72. [CrossRef]
- Alch, Mark L. 2000. The Echo-Boom Generation—A Growing Force in American Society. *Futurist* 34: 42–46.
- Aly, Anne. 2012. An audience-focused approach to examining religious extremism online. *Australian Journal of Communication* 39: 1–16.
- Aly, Anne, Stuart Macdonald, Lee Jarvis, and Thomas M. Chen. 2017. Introduction to the special issue: Terrorist online propaganda and radicalization. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 40: 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Amble, John Curtis. 2012. Combating terrorism in the new media environment. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 35: 339–53. [CrossRef]
- Andre, Virginie. 2012. “Neojihadism” 1 and YouTube: Patani militant propaganda dissemination and radicalization. *Asian Security* 8: 27–53. [CrossRef]
- Benigni, Matthew C., Kenneth Joseph, and Kathleen M. Carley. 2017. Online extremism and the communities that sustain it: Detecting the ISIS supporting community on Twitter. *PLoS ONE* 1: 12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bhui, Kamaldeep, and Yasmin Ibrahim. 2013. Marketing the “radical”: symbolic communication and persuasive technologies in jihadist websites. *Transcultural Psychiatry* 50: 216–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bräuchler, Birgit. 2004. Islamic radicalism online: The moluccan mission of the Laskar Jihad in cyberspace. *Australian Journal of Anthropology* 15: 267–85. [CrossRef]
- Briggs, Rachel, and Sebastien Feve. 2014. *Policy Brief: Countering the Appeal of Extremism Online*. London: Institute for Strategic Studies.
- Bruinessen, Martin van, ed. 2013. *Contemporary Developments in Indonesian Islam: Explaining the Conservative Turn*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Burke, Jason. 2011. Al-Shabab's Tweets Won't Boost Its Cause. *The Guardian*, December 19.
- Cetina, Karin Knorr. 2005. Complex global microstructures. The new terrorist societies. *Theory, Culture and Society* 22: 213–34. [CrossRef]
- Chiluwa, Innocent. 2015. Radicalist discourse: a study of the stances of Nigeria's Boko Haram and Somalia's Al Shabaab on Twitter. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses* 10: 214–35. [CrossRef]
- Choudhury, Tufyal. 2007. The Role of Muslim Identity Politics in Radicalisation: A Study in Progress. In *Communities and Local Government*. London: Eland House.

- Conway, Maura. 2006. Terrorism and the Internet: New Media—New Threat? *Parliamentary Affairs* 59: 283–98. [CrossRef]
- Conway, Maura. 2017. Determining the Role of the Internet in Violent Extremism and Terrorism: Six Suggestions for Progressing Research. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 40: 77–98. [CrossRef]
- Cottee, Simon, and Keith Hayward. 2011. Terrorist (e)motives: The existential attractions of terrorism. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 34: 963–86.
- Deckard, Natalie Delia, and David Jacobson. 2015. The prosperous hardliner: Affluence, fundamentalism, and radicalization in Western European Muslim communities. *Social Compass* 62: 412–33. [CrossRef]
- Denning, Dorothy E. 2001. *Activism, Hactivism, and Cyberterrorism: The Internet as a Tool for Influencing Foreign Policy*. Berkeley: The Nautilus Institute.
- Doosje, Bertjan, Annemarie Loseman, and Kees van den Bos. 2013. Determinants of Radicalization of Islamic Youth in the Netherlands: Personal Uncertainty, Perceived Injustice, and Perceived Group Threat. *Journal of Social Issues* 69: 586–604. [CrossRef]
- Drennan, Shane, and Andrew Black. 2007. Jihad Online: The Changing Role of the Internet. *Jane's Intelligence Review* 19: 16–20.
- Farrington, David P. 2003. British Randomized Experiments on Crime and Justice. *The ANNALS of The American Academy* 589: 150–67. [CrossRef]
- Fealy, Greg. 2007. A conservative turn: Liberal Islamic groups have prompted a backlash. *Inside Indonesia* 87: 35–32.
- Gendron, Angela. 2017. The Call to Jihad: Charismatic Preachers and the Internet. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 40: 44–61. [CrossRef]
- Green, Joshua, and Henry Jenkins. 2011. Spreadable Media. How Audiences Create Value and Meaning in a Networked Economy. In *The Handbook of Media Audiences*. Edited by Virginia Nightingale. West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 109–27.
- Greenberg, Karen J. 2016. Counter-Radicalization via the Internet. *The ANNALS of The American Academy* 668: 165–79. [CrossRef]
- Hegghammer, Thomas. 2012. The recruiter's dilemma: Signalling and rebel recruitment tactics. *Journal of Peace Research* 50: 3–16. [CrossRef]
- Hwang, Julie Chernov. 2017. The Disengagement of Indonesian Jihadists: Understanding the Pathways. *Terrorism and Political Violence* 29: 277–95. [CrossRef]
- Iqbal, Muhammad, Kerry O'Brien, Ana-Maria Bliuc, and Matteo Vergani. 2016. Death Reminders Increase Agreement With Extremist Views but Not Violent Extremist Action in Indonesian Muslims. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 47: 891–97. [CrossRef]
- King, Michael, and Donald M. Taylor. 2011. The radicalization of homegrown jihadists: A review of theoretical models and social psychological evidence. *Terrorism and Political Violence* 23: 602–22. [CrossRef]
- Kirby, Aidan. 2007. The London Bombers as "Self-Starters": A Case Study in Indigenous Radicalization and the Emergence of Autonomous Cliques. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 30: 415–28. [CrossRef]
- Klausen, Jytte J. 2015. Tweeting the Jihad: Social media networks of Western foreign fighters in Syria and Iraq. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 38: 1–22. [CrossRef]
- Kortam, Marie. 2017. From Cognitive Environment to French Youth Engagement in Jihad. *Global Policy* 8: 100–5. [CrossRef]
- Laqueur, Walter. 1993. *Europe in Our Time: A History, 1945–92*. London: Penguin Books.
- Levin, Brian. 2015. The Original Web of Hate: Revolution Muslim and American Homegrown Extremists. *American Behavioral Scientist* 59: 1609–30. [CrossRef]
- Lieberman, Joseph, and Susan Collins. 2008. *Violent Islamist Extremism, the Internet, and the Homegrown Terrorist Threat*. Washington: United States Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
- MacDonald, Stuart, and David Mair. 2015. Terrorism Online: A New Strategic Environment. In *Terrorism Online: Politics, Law and Technology*. Edited by Lee Jarvis, Stuart Macdonald and Thomas M. Chen. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 10–34.
- Mair, David. 2016. #Westgate: A Case Study: How al-Shabaab used Twitter during an Ongoing Attack. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 40: 24–43.
- Michael, George. 2013. The new media and the rise of exhortatory terrorism. *Strategic Studies. Quarterly Spring* 2013: 40–68.

- Mietzner, Marcus, and Burhanuddin Muhtadi. 2018. Explaining the 2016 Islamist Mobilisation in Indonesia: Religious Intolerance, Militant Groups and the Politics of Accommodation. *Asian Studies Review* 42: 479–97. [CrossRef]
- O'Hara, Kieron, and David Stevens. 2015. Echo Chambers and Online Radicalism: Assessing the Internet's Complicity in Violent Extremism. *Policy and Internet* 7: 401–22. [CrossRef]
- Pantucci, Raffaello. 2011. *Typology of Lone Wolves: Preliminary Analysis Lone Islamist Terrorists*. London: The International Centre for the Study of Radicalisation and Political Violence.
- Pedersen, Willy, Viggo Vestel, and Anders Bakken. 2018. At risk for radicalization and jihadism? A population-based study of Norwegian adolescents. *Cooperation and Conflict* 53: 61–83. [CrossRef]
- Reid, Anthony. 1967. Nineteenth Century Pan-Islam in Indonesia and Malaysia. *The Journal of Asian Studies* 26: 267–83. [CrossRef]
- Rudner, Martin. 2017. "Electronic jihad": The internet as Al Qaeda's catalyst for global terror. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 40: 10–23. [CrossRef]
- Smith, Claire, Heather Burke, Cherrie de Leiu, and Gary Jackson. 2016. The Islamic State's symbolic war: Daesh's socially mediated terrorism as a threat to cultural heritage. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 16: 164–88. [CrossRef]
- Silke, Andrew. 2008. Exploring the Psychological Processes of Jihadi Radicalization. *European Journal of Criminology* 5: 99–123. [CrossRef]
- Stenersen, Anne. 2008. The Internet: A Virtual Training Camp? *Terrorism and Political Violence* 20: 215–33. [CrossRef]
- Tapscott, Don. 2009. *Grown Up Digital*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- The AQ Chef. 2010. Make a Bomb in the Kitchen of Your Mom. *Inspire* 1: 33–40.
- Weimann, Gabriel. 2005. The Theater of Terror. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma* 9: 379–90.
- Weng, Hew Wai. 2018. The Art of Dakwah: social media, visual persuasion and the Islamist propagation of Felix Siau. *Indonesia and the Malay World* 46: 61–79. [CrossRef]
- Wong, Mathew Y.H., Paul Vinod Khatani, and Wing Hong Chui. 2019. Understanding youth activism and radicalism: Chinese values and socialization. *The Social Science Journal* 56: 255–67. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).