

International Journal of Research Publication

Volume 60, Number 01, September 2020



IJRP.ORG

International Journal of Research Publications

ISSN: 2708-3578 (Online)



New Global Publications

About Us

IJRP is an international journal that provides a platform for research paper publishing. **IJRP** is having **ISSN (2708-3578)** (online), and DOI: **10.47119/27083578**, and publishing since the year 2017. IJRP is an active member of **Crossref** and all published papers are issued DOI number.

Publisher Information: International Journal of Research Publications (IJRP) is having **ISSN (2708-3578)** (online), bimonthly international journal, being published since the year 2017.

GENERAL INFORMATION:

IJRP publishes online versions only.

ONLINE PRINT VERSION: Browse - <http://ijrp.org/archive>

IJRP is joint collaboration between researchers from UK, USA, Malaysia, Sweden, Sri Lanka, and INDIA. In UK.

For any further information, send email to editor at: editor@ijrp.org or editor.ijrp@gmail.com or ijrp.cfp@gmail.com

This is to inform you- **IJRP** is entirely not-for-profit and depends solely on contributions. Your registration fee will encourage us to run this journal smoothly and faster in our publication process.

Send paper registration fees or any amount of donation to any of our Paypal account: editor.ijrp@gmail.com

After fund transfer, scan the receipt & email to editor.ijrp@gmail.com (If you have no Paypal account, anyone can pay on behalf of you).

Also, You can get the Lifetime membership of IJRP. Choose your plan here.

N.B: Remember, We only accept **Paypal Payment**.

All Editor

DR. SAMIR GIRISHKUMAR PANDYA

Prof Rudki Damon

Md. Amir Hossain

Deepankar Ashish

Dr.P.Sukumar

Rifky A.L.M

Dr. Luke Chinaru Nwosu

Sankaragomathi B

Dr. Jagruti Rathod

Atul Bansal

Dr.Abubkr Ahmed Elhadi Abdelraheem

Kun Jiang

Dr P Malyadri

DR AUBID HUSSAIN PARREY

Ajay raj rajan

Dr.D.VENKADESH

Praveen Kumar Sharma

Dr. G. B. Dharma Rao

Dr. Ashish Kumar

Dr Chetan Dudhagara

Dr. Abhishek Das

Dr.A.Sasi Kumar

Bachu SRinivas

R. Poorvadevi

Dr Abhishek Shukla

Elsanosy M. Elamin

Dr Khalaf S Gaeid

Pooja Nagpal

Dr. Kshitij Shinghal

J Ashok

Dr KVNR Sai Krishna

DR DINESH CHANDRA JAIN

Dr.SUDHIR PARASKAR

SHARADA PN

Vinod Shakya

Dr. Angie Parker

Janardan Paudel

Jeffrey Manuel, Jr.

Dr. A. Sathiyaraj

Ankit Garg

Vikrant Sharma

Dr. Balwinder Raj

Prof.Hameed miyan

Laith Ahmed Najam

Dr Kailash Chandra Sati

Dr. Boralagala Gamage Sampath Aruna Pradeep

Ujwal Vishnupant Ramekar

Laith

Sadetur Rahman

Hamid Ali Abed AL-Asadi

Behzad

Arun Saksena

Shugan Chand Jain PhD

TERESA MAY B. BANDIOLA

Professor Rohini Chandrica Widyalandara

Dr Norizan Mohd Yasin

Prof Vivek DIXIT
Prof. Dr. Amer A. Taqa
Dr SONALI CHATURVEDI
Dr. Estari Mamidala, Ph.D, PDF (USA)
Mahavir Singh
Dr. Mohamed N. Morsy
PROF. ORPHA K. ONGITI
Dr. Simon Obwatho
Jiban Shrestha
Umut Özkaya
M SURESH BABU
Packeer Thamby Mohamed Niyas
Prof. Arup Barman
SHADAB AHMAD
T.Muthu Pandian
Aitor Garcés-Manzanera
Simanchal Panda
P.JAYA PRAKASH
Richmond U Ideozu PhD
Dr. A. Sita Madhavi
Dr. RAJ KUMAR BOORA
Phyo Wai Thaw
SIMANCHAL PANDA
Dr. N Dinesh Kumar
R.H.M Abu Hasnat Chowdhury
Punnaiah Veeraboina
Zahid Naeem Qaisrani
Dr GURUDUTT SAHNI
Mayuri Srivastava
Dr. Nilesh K. Patel
Dr. JASMEET KAUR TANDON
Dr. Manoranjan Tripathy
Dr. Okrikata Emmanuel
SARA YESMIN
NAPOLEON.D
Dr.Hlaing Htake Khaung Tin
Dr. Jaya Bishnu Pradhan
Nihad Khalawe Tektook
Dr. Bisweswari Sahu
ABIMBOLA IBRAHIM BABATUNDE
J Banu Priya
Mohd Israil
KAVYACHAND YALAMUDI
Dr. Esra Sipahi
Mervin William Mahaendran
Anam Bhatti
Dr. Md. Mamun Mia
OLUWOYO JOHNSON TEMIDAYO
Dr. Rupinder Singh
Dr. Ganesh Pundlikrao Khandare
Dr.S.RAJA
Dr.J.SENTHIL
Dr.G.DINESH KUMAR
Mr. S. Azhagu Madhavan
Dr Ganesan Sivamani
Prof. Mark Gabriel Wagan Aguilar
Dr.M.GAYATHRI
MURUGESAN R
MURUGESAN R
Prof C.Muruganandam
Prof N RUBA
Dr Rajendiran Muthusamy

Archive Volume 60, Issue 1, September 2020

Effects of maternal psychosocial and family socioeconomic factors on cancer treatment compliance in children (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1414>)

Published Online: 08 September 2020 Pages: 1-7

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201413 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201413>) , Views: 466 , Download: 307

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/7b788edad877a7a96d597ed5879398c8/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/7b788edad877a7a96d597ed5879398c8/2)

360 DEGREE FEEDBACK: FACULTY PERSPECTIVE (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1422>)

Published Online: 13 September 2020 Pages: 8-13

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201421 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201421>) , Views: 566 , Download: 863

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/d7b1e16d92250f22935dbee4a01ac6dc/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/d7b1e16d92250f22935dbee4a01ac6dc/2)

Apparent Diffusion Coefficient in MRI Scan and Cervix Squamous Cell Carcinoma Histopathologic Grade: A Correlational Study (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1410>)

Published Online: 16 September 2020 Pages: 14-20

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201409 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201409>) , Views: 448 , Download: 292

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/fcd7d64503ae7f2d0c0dd170ddcd3175/3\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/fcd7d64503ae7f2d0c0dd170ddcd3175/3)

CLOUD ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITIES OF SMES IN NIGERIA: AN OVERVIEW (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1412>)

Published Online: 16 September 2020 Pages: 21-28

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201411 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201411>) , Views: 1049 , Download: 927

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/ab0e0ab854426b668370da0b67642abf/4\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/ab0e0ab854426b668370da0b67642abf/4)

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEGREES OF ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION ACCORDING TO IIEF-5 AND COLOR DOPPLER ULTRASOUND IMAGE OF PENIS EVALUATED IN THE FLACCID PHASE (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1421>)

Published Online: 16 September 2020 Pages: 29-35

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201420 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201420>) , Views: 449 , Download: 323

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/56658e2508ae1b9bc4ac19e73053f02d/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/56658e2508ae1b9bc4ac19e73053f02d/2)

THE DETECTION OF ELEVATED CHOLINE METABOLITE IN MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN BENIGN AND MALIGNANT BONE TUMOR (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1411>)

Published Online: 21 September 2020 Pages: 36-44

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201410 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201410>) , Views: 460 , Download: 305

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/7c282f0a19cb956f7081df5e3b9a7449/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/7c282f0a19cb956f7081df5e3b9a7449/2)

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FORECASTING OF THE ACTIVITY OF SMALL BUSINESS THROUGH APPLIED STATISTICS (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1425>)

Published Online: 21 September 2020 Pages: 45-50

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201424 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201424>) , Views: 526 , Download: 304

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/d13f33d01e09aa6af0ee2cb39b62a00d/7\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownload/4/d13f33d01e09aa6af0ee2cb39b62a00d/7)

STUDY ON THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF HYDRAULIC CEMENT MORTARS ABOUT SAND DEPOSITS IN ETHIOPIA

(<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1432>)

Published Online: 21 September 2020 Pages: 51-61

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201431 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201431>) , Views: 638 , Download: 306

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/004827973b705466481c2ae65cc43797/3\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/004827973b705466481c2ae65cc43797/3)

Characteristic of Posterior Tibialis Tendon Dysfunction and Adult Acquired Flatfoot Deformity in Professional Athletes

(<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1433>)

Published Online: 21 September 2020 Pages: 62-67

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201432 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201432>) , Views: 614 , Download: 359

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/1f0870f25593c69149ef0d2d83bcf1d9/3\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/1f0870f25593c69149ef0d2d83bcf1d9/3)

CERAMIC CLAY-ARC-DECOR: A NEW TECHNOLOGY FOR INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR COLUMN DESIGNS (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1408>)

Published Online: 28 September 2020 Pages: 68-79

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201406 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201406>) , Views: 576 , Download: 357

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/1b512075ca9dce64821cac3db963e357/3\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/1b512075ca9dce64821cac3db963e357/3)

Relationship Between Competitive Strategies and Organization Performance in Manufacturing Sector in Kenya (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1435>)

Published Online: 28 September 2020 Pages: 80-93

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201434 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201434>) , Views: 475 , Download: 356

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/f2059658195629e9b70a9bb0d037ef9c/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/f2059658195629e9b70a9bb0d037ef9c/2)

An Investigation of Relationship between Self-Efficacy and Academic Achievement among Second Year Robe College Students

(<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1431>)

Published Online: 04 October 2020 Pages: 94-108

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201428 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201428>) , Views: 566 , Download: 472

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/536036aa91bd8b10416bb50aef84ce11/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/536036aa91bd8b10416bb50aef84ce11/2)

Factors associated with Assisted Partner Notification Services in Seme and Kisumu west sub counties, Kenya. (<https://ijrp.org/paper-detail/1416>)

Published Online: 08 October 2020 Pages: 109-119

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP100601920201415 (<https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP100601920201415>) , Views: 412 , Download: 346

 [Download PDF \(https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/ecf43f59bd51f0c322c9cca6391a7816/2\)](https://ijrp.org/filePermission/fileDownlaod/4/ecf43f59bd51f0c322c9cca6391a7816/2)

Apparent Diffusion Coefficient in MRI Scan and Cervix Squamous Cell Carcinoma Histopathologic Grade: A Correlational Study

ACN Nalley^a, L Mardiyana^b, B Soeprijanto^b, AS Rahaju^c

^aannanalley@yahoo.com

^aResident of Radiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo No 6-8, Surabaya, Indonesia

^bStaff of Radiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo No 6-8, Surabaya, Indonesia

^cStaff of Anatomical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo No 6-8, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Currently, MRI examination is the main radiological diagnostic examination option for determining cervical cancer staging. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) predicts changes in cell density due to water quantity and diffusion. This study aims to determine the correlation between ADC value and cervix SCC. **Methods:** This is a correlation study with retrospective design that was conducted at Radiology Installation of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia for 2 years. Sample of this study was all cervix cancer patients at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya who met inclusion criteria. Histopathologic grades were collected from histopathologic archives and ADC values were collected through DWI sequence in MRI. Descriptive analysis was carried out to obtain sample characteristics then continued with ROC analysis to obtain ADC cut off value and non-parametric Spearman's rho correlation test was conducted to determine the correlation between ADC values in cervix cancer which was categorized according to their histopathologic grade. **Results:** Sample distribution based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade from 48 samples showed that 27 patients (56.3%) had grade I SCC, eight patients (16.7%) had grade II, and 13 patients (27.1%) had grade III. Apparent diffusion coefficient cut-off value for grade I cervix cancer was $0.90 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, grade II was $0.83 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, and grade III was $0.82 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Spearman's rho correlation test result was -0.169 and $p = 0.250$ ($p > 0.05$). **Conclusion:** There was a very weak negative and non-significant correlation between ADC value and cervix squamous cell carcinoma histopathologic grade.

Published by IJRP.ORG. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of International Journal of Research Publications (IJRP.ORG)

Keywords: Apparent diffusion coefficient, MRI, cervix cancer, squamous cell carcinoma, histopathologic grade

1. Introduction

Cervix cancer is the second most common cancer in women. In 2018, there were 32,469 new cases which compromised 17.2% of all cancers in Indonesian women, with an annual mortality rate of 13.9 per 100 000 women per year (The Global Cancer Observatory: Indonesia, 2020). Diagnosis of cervical cancer is determined through history taking, physical, radiology and histopathologic examinations. Accurate diagnosis is very important to achieve optimal management (Bourgioti C et al., 2016).

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is a subtype of cervical cervix that is commonly found (two thirds of all cervical cancer cases). Squamous histology might provide prognosis for cervical cancer. Keratin is part of SCC classification that is associated with success rate of treatment. Keratin is an important element in SCC grading. Tumor characteristics are determined through tumor grade. Women with grade I tumor were more likely to have stage I tumor than those with grade II and III tumor (58.7% vs. 36.2%–43.3%). In contrast, grade III patients have a higher chance of stage IV tumor than grade I and II patients (15.2% vs 7.0%–10.1%), indicating that high grade tumors have worse prognosis (Matsuo K et al., 2018).

Currently, MRI examination is the main radiological diagnostic examination option for determining cervical cancer staging before and after therapy because of its high-level resolution in assessing soft tissue and its multiplanar technique. Final diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) is often used as cervical cancer staging protocol (Kundu S et al., 2012). Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) predicts changes in cell density due to water quantity and diffusion. It is a quantitative assessment from DWI (Keriakos NN et al., 2018). Apparent diffusion coefficient value in malignant tumors is often lower compared to ADC values in benign tumors or normal tissue due to increased cellularity, therefore ADCs are systematically evaluated in cervical cancer (Kundu S et al., 2012). There is an increase of cellularity in tumor tissues without restriction of intercellular water transfer, providing an increased DWI signal that is correlated with low ADC value (Atram S et al., 2016 and Demirbas T et al., 2014).

Several studies reported ADC correlation with tumor grade. Liu et al found that there were significant differences in each grade with mean grade I ADC value of $1.09 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, grade II= $0.86 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, and grade III= $0.71 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. However, in studies conducted by Demirbas et al and Dashottar et al found no significant difference in the ADC value compared to each grade.

In present day, there is still no correlation study between ADC value in cervix SCC and histopathologic grading results at our hospital. This study aims to determine the correlation between ADC value and cervix SCC. This data will be important in the future for radiologists to evaluate cervix cancer through MRI examination, therefore giving more accurate cervix cancer diagnosis for the benefit of treatment and treatment evaluation.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design and setting

This is a correlation study with retrospective design. This study was conducted at Radiology Installation of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia from January 1 2017 to December 31 2019.

2.2 Study population and sampling strategy

Population of this study was all cervix cancer patients at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya. We used consecutive sampling method by including all patients who met inclusion criteria as followed: cervical uteri cancer patients with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in their biopsy, patients who were conducted histopathologic grading, and had not received any treatment (surgical, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy), had undergone pelvic MRI with DWI examinations and their ADC value obtained.

2.3 Data collection

Histopathologic grades were collected from histopathologic archives. The grades were determined through tissue evaluation using four modified multifactorial grading system (MGS) parameters: structure, differentiation of cell type, nuclear pleomorphism, and mitosis. Afterwards, the total scores were divided into four grades: grade I (score = 4-6), grade II (score = 7-9), and grade III (10-12). This evaluation was conducted by a blinded pathologist.

Apparent Diffusion Coefficient value was obtained through DWI sequence in MRI. It is defined as water molecule diffusion magnitude in tissues. This value was determined by using 10-50 mm^2 round/oval-shaped region of interests (ROI) that was placed above three solid areas that had signal intensity changes on DWI. This was conducted by a blinded radiologist from female imaging division. Type of MRI machine that was used was 3 Tesla Siemens Magnetom Skyra and 1.5 Tesla GE MR360, with b value 50, ≥ 500 and $\leq 1000 \text{ s}/\text{mm}^2$. Apparent Diffusion Coefficient value was expressed in $\text{mm}^2/\text{second}$.

Parameter	Points		
	1	2	3
Tumour-cell population			
1. Structure	Exophytic, papillary and solid	Small cords and groups of cells	Marked dissociation
2. Differentiation in to cell type	Large cell no keratin	Large cell keratinisation	Small cell
3. Nuclear pleomorphism	>75% mature nuclei, few enlarged nuclei	75-25% mature nuclei moderate number of enlarged nuclei	<25% mature nuclei numerous irregular or anaplastic enlarged nuclei.
4. Mitosis	Single (0-1)	Moderate number (2-5)	Numerous (>5)
Tumour-host relationship			
5. Mode of invasion	Well-defined borderline	Cords, less marked borderline	Groups of cells or diffuse growth
6. Stage of invasion	Minimal stroma invasion or microcarcinoma	Nodular into submucosa and connective tissue	Massive, amongst muscle and vessels
7. Vascular invasion	None	Possible	Well-established within lumina of lymph or blood vessels
8. Cellular response (lymphoplasmocytic)	Marked (continuous rim)	Moderate (several large patches)	Slight or none (few small patches or no cells)

Figure 1. Parameters in multifactorial grading point (MGS)

2.4 Data analysis

MRI examination data for cervix cancer which consists of DWI sequence and ADC value as well as histopathologic results were arranged in tabular form. Descriptive analysis was carried out to obtain sample characteristics then continued with ROC analysis to obtain ADC cut off value and non-parametric Spearman's rho correlation test was conducted to determine the correlation between ADC values in cervix cancer which was categorized according to their histopathologic grade.

3. Results

Sample characteristics distribution based on this study results from 48 samples, according to Table 1, showed that the youngest patient was 28 years and the oldest patient was 68 years. Mean age of patients was 52.23 ± 9.54 years. Sample distributions when grouped based on age group were as followed: 20—40 years, 40—60 years, and > 60 years. The results showed that 10.4% (five patients) were in 20-40 years group, 29.3% (14 patients) in 40-60 years group, and 60.4% (29 people) were in >60 years.

Table 1. Sample distribution of cervix cancer based on age group

Age group	Patients (n)	Percentage (%)
20—40 years	5	10.4
40—60 years	14	29.2
>60 years	29	60.4
Total	48	100.0

Sample distribution based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade from 48 samples, according to Table 2, showed that 27 patients (56.3%) had grade I result, eight patients (16.7%) had grade II results, and 13 patients (27.1%) had grade III results.

Table 2. Sample distribution of cervix cancer based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade

Histopathologic grade	Patients (n)	Percentage (%)
Grade I	27	56.3
Grade II	8	16.7
Grade III	13	27.1
Total	48	100.0

According to Table 3, mean age of patients based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade was 54.18 ± 9.11 years in grade I group, the youngest was 28 years and the oldest was 68 years. Mean age of patients with grade II results was 51.75 ± 9.44 years, the youngest patient was 40 years and the oldest was 65 years. Furthermore, mean age of patients with grade III results was 48.46 ± 10.05 years, the youngest was 28 years and the oldest was 68 years.

Table 3. Age distribution based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade

Histopathologic grade	Mean age (years)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Grade I	54.18	9.11
Grade II	51.75	9.44
Grade III	48.46	10.05
All patients	52.23	9.54

Distributions of ADC value are shown in Table 4. The lowest ADC value was $0.63 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and the highest was $1.42 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Mean ADC value was $0.87 \pm 0.163 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Cut-off value for each histopathologic grade was determined using mean ADC value for each cervix cancer histopathologic grade. Apparent diffusion coefficient value cut-off for grade I was $0.90 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ with minimum ADC value of $0.73 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and maximum value of $1.42 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Apparent diffusion coefficient value cut-off for grade II was $0.83 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ with minimum value of $0.65 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and maximum value of $1.03 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Apparent diffusion coefficient value cut-off for grade III was $0.82 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ with minimum value of $0.63 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and maximum value of $1.42 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$.

Table 4. Distributions of ADC value based on cervix cancer histopathologic grade

Histopathologic grade	ADC value ($\times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Grade I	0.90	0.18
Grade II	0.83	0.14
Grade III	0.82	0.12
All patients	0.87	0.16

Correlation between ADC value and Cervix Cancer Histopathologic Grade

The result for non-parametric Spearman's rho correlation test revealed spearman's rho value of -0.169, which means a very weak negative correlation, and $p = 0.250$ means that there was no significant correlation between ADC value and cervix cancer.




Figure 2. A 46 years woman with grade I cervix squamous cell carcinoma and mean ADC value of $0.984 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$




Figure 3. A 46 years woman with grade II cervix squamous cell carcinoma and mean ADC value of $0.801 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$




Figure 4. A 54 years woman with grade III cervix squamous cell carcinoma and mean ADC value of $0.809 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$

4. Discussion

Our study found that the lowest ADC value was $0.63 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and the highest was $1.42 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Mean ADC value in our study was $0.87 \pm 0.163 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. These results were similar to previous studies by Liu et al, Atram et al, Dermibas et al, and Dashottar et al, where mean cut-off value for benign lesion in cervix was $>1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and for malignant lesion was $<1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. This is in accordance to previous theory where ADC value is lower in cervix cancer compared to normal cervix because cervix cancer has denser cell and larger cell diameter, therefore water diffusion becomes limited (Dashottar S et al., 2019).

Based on histopathologic grading in our study, 27 (56.3%) samples were grade I, 8 (16.7%) samples were grade II, and 13 (27.1%) were grade III. Apparent diffusion coefficient cut-off value for grade I cervix cancer was $0.90 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, cut-off for grade II was $0.83 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$, and cut-off value for grade III was $0.82 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$. Cut-off values for grade II/III were lower than cut-off value for grade I. These results were similar to previous studies by Liu et al, Payne et al, and Kuang et al, where higher cervix cancer grades had lower ADC values. This is in accordance to previous theory where higher cervix cancer grades have denser cells, therefore their ADC values become lower (Liu Y et al., 2009).

However, there was no significant difference found in ADC values between cervix squamous cell carcinomas grade II and III. This result is in accordance to previous studies by Dermibas et al and Dashottar et al. These inconsistencies might be caused by few patients in these study groups.

5. Conclusion

There was a very weak negative correlation ($\rho = -0.169$) and non-significant correlation ($p = 0.250$, with $\alpha = 0.05$) between ADC value and cervix squamous cell carcinoma histopathologic grade. Further studies with larger population and longer period are needed to determine further the correlation between ADC value and cervix squamous cell carcinoma histopathologic grade. Furthermore, future studies are required to investigate modified MGS.

References

- The Global Cancer Observatory: Indonesia [homepage on the Internet]. c2018 [updated 2019 May; cited 2020 Aug 19]. Available from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/360-indonesia-fact-sheets.pdf>
- Bourgioti C, Chatoupis K, Mouloupoulos LA, et al. Current imaging strategies for the evaluation of uterine cervical cancer. *World Journal of Radiology*. 2016;8(4):342-354.
- Matsuo K, Mandelbaum RS, Machida H, et al. Association of tumor differentiation grade and survival of women with squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Journal of Gynecology Oncology*. 2018;9(6):91.
- Kundu S, Chopra S, Verma A, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging in cervical cancer: current evidence and future directions. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*. 2012;8(1):11-18.
- Keriakos NN, Darwish E. Diffusion weighted imaging in suspicious uterine tumors; how efficient is it? *The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*. 2018;49(3):838-845.
- Atram S, Vijayvargiya R, Naveen C, et al. Role of diffusion weighted-magnetic resonance imaging in pre-treatment evaluation of cervical cancer. *National Journal of Medical and Dental Research*. 2016;4(4):307-314.
- Demirbas T, Cimilli T, Bayramoglu S, et al. Contribution of diffusion-weighted imaging to diagnosis and staging of cervical cancer. *Balkan Medical Journal*. 2014;31:154-157.
- Lindahl B., Ranstam J., Willen R. 2007. Prospective malignancy grading of invasive squamous carcinoma of the uterine cervix. Prognostic significance in a long-term follow-up. *Anticancer Research*, 27, 2829-2832.
- Liu Y, Bai R, Sun H, et al. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of uterine cervical cancer. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2009;33:858-862.
- Dashottar S, Pany TP, Lohia N. Role of apparent diffusion coefficient as a biomarker in the evaluation of cervical cancer. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging*. 2019;29:25-32.
- Payne GS, Schmidt M, Morgan VA, et al. Evaluation of magnetic resonance diffusion and spectroscopy measurements as predictive biomarkers in stage 1 cervical cancer. *Gynecologic oncology*. 2010;116(2):246-252.
- Kuang F, Ren J, Zhong Q, Liyuan F, Huan Y, Chen Z. The value of apparent diffusion coefficient



**KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN
RSUD Dr. SOETOMO SURABAYA**

**KETERANGAN KELAIKAN ETIK
(“ ETHICAL CLEARANCE ”)**

1880/KEPK/III/2020

**KOMITE ETIK RSUD Dr. SOETOMO SURABAYA TELAH MEMPELAJARI
SECARA SEKSAMA RANCANGAN PENELITIAN YANG DIUSULKAN, MAKA
DENGAN INI MENYATAKAN BAHWA PENELITIAN DENGAN JUDUL :**

**“ KORELASI ANTARA NILAI APPARENT DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT PADA
PEMERIKSAAN MRI DENGAN GRADE HISTOPATOLOGI PADA KANKER
SERVIKS TIPE SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA DI RSUD Dr. SOETOMO
SURABAYA TAHUN 2017-2019 ”**

PENELITI UTAMA : Lies Mardiyana, dr., Sp.Rad (K)

PENELITI LAIN :

- 1. Prof. Dr. Bambang Soeprijanto, dr., Sp.Rad (K)**
- 2. Budi Laraswati, dr., Sp.Rad (K)**
- 3. Hartono Yudi Sarastika, dr., Sp.Rad (K)**
- 4. Widiana ferriastuti, dr., Sp.Rad (K)**
- 5. Anita Widyoningroem, dr., Sp.Rad (K)**
- 6. Fierly Hayati, dr., Sp.Rad**
- 7. Dyah Erawati, dr., Sp.Rad (K) Onk Rad**
- 8. Anna C Nastasia Nalley, dr**

UNIT / LEMBAGA / TEMPAT PENELITIAN : RSUD Dr. Soetomo

DINYATAKAN LAIK ETIK

Berlaku dari : 12/03/2020 s.d 12/03/2021

Surabaya, 12 March 2020

KETUA



[Dr. Elizeus Hanindito, dr., Sp.An, KIC, KAP]

NIP. 19511007 197903 1 002

****) Sertifikat ini dinyatakan sah apabila telah mendapatkan stempel asli dari Komite Etik Penelitian Kesehatan***