

The Effect of α -Mangostin on TLR-4 and PGE2 in the Dentin-Pulp Complex Exposed to HEMA

Febriastuti Cahyani^{1,2}, Widya Saraswati², Eric Priyo Prasetyo², Meircurius Dwi Condro Surboyo³,
Wibi Riawan⁴, Karina Erda Saninggar², Ninuk Hariyani⁵,
Anisa Kusumawardani⁶, Adioro Soetojo^{2*}, Soetjipto⁷

1. Doctoral Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.
2. Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.
3. Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.
4. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular, Biochemistry Biomolecular Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Surabaya, Indonesia.
5. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.
6. Department of Restorative Dentistry, Kulliyah of Dentistry, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia.
7. Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Abstract

Mangosteen is abundant in Indonesia. Most mangosteen pericarp contains Alpha (α) mangostin, which has numerous biological benefits, especially antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties. The purpose of this study is to analyse the effect of α -mangostin on toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) in vivo. An in vivo study was conducted using 42 male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in randomised post-test control groups. The rats were divided into a control group applied 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) and a treatment group applied HEMA and 12,5 μ g/ml of α -mangostin.

The inflammatory factors on the dentin-pulp complex of the rat's model were examined. TLR-4 was detected via immunohistochemistry and PGE2 was assayed via ELISA after 24, 48 and 72 hours. In vivo results showed a decrease in TLR-4 and PGE2 after α -mangostin treatment. Recent studies have shown that α -mangostin can significantly decrease TLR-4 and PGE2 on a dentin-pulp complex after exposure to HEMA.

Experimental article (J Int Dent Med Res 2022; 15(1): 21-26)

Keywords: TLR-4, PGE2, α -mangostin, HEMA, dentin-pulp complex, human&health.

Received date: 15 January 2022

Accept date: 02 March 2022

Introduction

Tooth and oral diseases are significant problems in Indonesia. Based on the results of a Riset Kesehatan Dasar survey of Indonesia in 2018, the prevalence of dental caries was high, occurring in 56.4% of the Indonesian population. As one of the most common dental filling materials, composite resin has frequently been used in restorative dentistry. Treating dentin with 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) prior to the application of composite resin can increase the bond of composite resin to dentin.¹ However, several studies have shown that HEMA can release residual monomers during deep

polymerisation (24 to 96 hours) after tooth filling.² Clinical studies have also reported that in up to 30% of the population studied, tooth sensitivity increases after restoration with a posterior composite resin.³ The application of HEMA to pulp with a thin or exposed dentine layer can cause cytotoxicity and a chronic inflammatory pulpal response. Incomplete polymerisation can lead to an imbalance of reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in oxidative stress and inflammation of the pulp.

HEMA, which is toxic to the pulp, has been shown to increase the expression of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) through ROS, which can cause damage to cells.⁴ PGE2 is one of the inflammatory mediators that is elevated during pulpal inflammation.⁵ HEMA can cause inflammation via the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) pathway by activating mitogen-activated protein kinases and nuclear factor kappa B (NF κ B) signals that produce inflammatory mediators.⁶

*Corresponding author:

Adioro Soetojo
Dental Medicine Universitas Airlangga.
St. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 47, Surabaya, Indonesia.
E-mail: adioro-s@fkg.unair.ac.id

Recently, various types of medicinal plants have been studied and a great deal of evidence has been gathered to demonstrate their vast potential. Alpha (α) mangostin, a derivative of xanthone in mangosteen pericarp extract,⁷ has been reported to show inhibitory responses to many microorganisms, especially gram-positive bacteria.⁸ It also displays anti-inflammatory behaviour.⁹ α -mangostin is rich in antioxidants and is expected to reduce the toxic effect of HEMA through its role in breaking the chain of ROS.

α -mangostin is commonly used as a treatment for inflammation but few studies have evaluated its direct effect on the dentin-pulp complex. This study aims to evaluate the efficiency of α -mangostin in decreasing TLR-4 and PGE2 on a dentin-pulp complex after exposure to HEMA.

Materials and methods

Preparation of α -mangostin

α -mangostin (purity >98%) was obtained from the Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (product number M2793). α -mangostin was combined with polyethylene glycol (PEG) to achieve a concentration of 12.5 μ g/ml. This process was conducted at the Faculty of Pharmacy at Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya.

Animals

42 male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) were placed in a randomised post-test only control group design. Each rat was anaesthetised intramuscularly, and cavity preparation was performed on the occlusal first molar of the rat's upper left jaw. Then, a pure HEMA solution (Sigma) 95% was applied to the cavity.¹⁰ α -mangostin with a concentration of 12.5 μ g/ml was applied to the treatment group. Before each rat was terminated, blood was drawn from its heart (intracardiac) with a syringe to analyse the serum PGE2 level. The animals were anaesthetised with ether and decapitated. The teeth and the surrounding bone were resected, fixed in Bouin's fixative for periods of 24, 48 and 72 hours, then decalcified with 10% formalin buffer (pH 7.4).

TLR-4 expressions

The dental tissue removed from the rats' teeth was kept in a sample pot with 10% formalin buffer (pH 7.4) for 24 hours. Then, the tissue was decalcified using EDTA 10% at 37°C, which was replaced every day until the soft tissue broke

down (taking approximately two months). After the teeth were soft enough to be pricked with a needle, they were embedded in a paraffin block and underwent the processes of dehydration, clearing, impregnation and embedding. Dehydration was achieved by immersing the samples in various percentages of ethanol (70%, 80%, 95% and 96%) for two hours. Following dehydration, the samples were placed in n-xylol for four hours to clear any remaining ethanol. The next step was impregnation, in which the samples were placed in solid paraffin for four hours and subsequently embedded into a mould base on a cold plate. The paraffin block was then ready to be cut into ultrathin slices (0.5 cm) using a microtome. An antibody (Novusbio; NB100-56566) was applied to the tissue sections and used to check expressions of TLR-4. The expressions were observed under a light binocular microscope (Olympus) at 400 \times magnification.

PGE2 levels

The blood from the rats' hearts (intracardiac) was stored in a tube and put in a centrifuge (3000 rpm) for 10 minutes to obtain a blood serum for analysing the PGE2 levels (Rat Prostaglandin E2 ELISA Kit, Cat. No. E0504Ra, Bioassay Technology Laboratory, China). The analysis of PGE2 levels in the serum was read using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 450 nm.

Statistical methods

Normality testing was performed using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and a homogeneity test was performed using a Levene's test. One-way ANOVA was used to assess the differences between groups, followed by post-hoc least significant difference tests to check the homogeneous data and a Kruskal-Wallis test to check the non-homogeneous data. A P value of >0.05 was considered to be significant.¹¹

Result

TLR-4 expression in dentin-pulp complex

To visualise the expression of TLR-4 in dentin-pulp complexes exposed to HEMA, an immunohistochemical staining was performed and observed at 400 \times magnification. The TLR-4 positive cells were observed in the dentin-pulp complexes of the control group at 24, 48 and 72 hours (Figure 1). The TLR-4 expressed cells in

the control group showed a gradual increase at 24, 48 and 72 hours, while the group treated with α -mangostin showed a significant decrease in the number of TLR-4 expressed cells (Table 1).




Figure 1. The expression of TLR-4 in a dentin-pulp complex exposed to HEMA for 24, 48 and 72 hours with an immunohistochemical examination at 400 × magnification. The squares indicate TLR-4 positive cells; the cytoplasm is brown, and the nucleus is blue. A. Control at 24 hours, B. Control at 48 hours, C. Control at 72 hours, D. Treatment at 24 hours, E. Treatment at 48 hours, F. Treatment at 72 hours.

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are type I transmembrane proteins that are activated by a variety of pathogen-associated molecular patterns, thus initiating an innate immune response and inflammation in higher animals.¹² Like other TLRs, TLR-4 has a modular structure composed by a domain which is constituted by leucine-rich repeats.¹³ TLR-4 is one of the receptors related to innate immunity and several inflammatory reactions.¹⁴

Group	TLR4 expression (cell/large field of view)		
	24hr	48hr	72hr
Control	11,57±1,40	13,57 ±3,10	16,29 ±2,06
α -mangostin	6,86 ±1,95	8,57 ±1,72	6,86 ±2,55
P value	0.000*	0,002*	0.000*

Table 1. The difference in TLR-4 expressions at various time periods for each group.

Discussion

The possible mechanisms by which HEMA monomer and ROS as a result of incomplete polymerisation of HEMA stimulated inflammation via TLR-4 were investigated. TLR-4 recognises exogenous and endogenous ligands and its signalling pathway is activated upon the invasion of cells by these ligands.¹⁵ This triggers a cascade of reactions to promote the production and release of inflammatory cytokines.¹⁶ An in-silico study conducted by Goenharto et al. (2020) mentioned that methyl methacrylate (MMA) ligands activate TLR-4 receptors through His 228.¹⁷

Oxidative stress has also been thought to play a general role in the activation of the TLR-4 signalling pathway.¹⁸ Exposure to MMA acting as a free radical or ROS produces a free radical product, causing changes in cell membrane potential that, in turn, cause membrane rupture and cell death.¹⁹ Oxidative stress in cell membranes increases malondialdehyde, a lipid membrane peroxidation product that can cause cell damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). DAMPs are recognised and bound to macrophages through the surface receptor system.

The possible mechanism by which HEMA monomer stimulates inflammation begins with the interaction of HEMA monomer and TLR-4. Consequently, in the cytosol, the signalling process begins with the activation of myeloid differentiation factor 88. Next, inhibitory- κ B kinase (IKK) activates a phosphorylase. IKK inhibits I κ B, which subsequently releases and activates NF κ B to form active NF- κ B.²⁰ NF- κ B is then translocated to the nucleus to induce DNA recombination.^{21,22} As a result of gene transcription, translation and engagement of the COX2 promoter in the nucleus occurs. Once COX2 is transcribed and translated, it uses arachidonic acid to generate PGE2.²³ Other pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL1, IL1 β , IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α , are also produced.²⁴

In addition, TLR-4 can trigger the transcription of the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene, which promotes nitric oxide (NO) production. Furthermore, iNOS produces peroxide and oxygen radicals. The significant increase in the expression of iNOS and NO accelerates the inflammatory response.¹⁶

The expressions of TLR-4 in the dentin-

pulp complexes exposed to HEMA treated with α -mangostin at 24 hours, 48 and 72 hours were significantly downregulated. This study proposes that antioxidants from α -mangostin may provide a possible mechanism by which the exposure of cells to ROS and cytokine-induced activation of NFkB can be prevented.²⁵ However, the assumption that oxidants play a general role in the activation of NFkB has not yet been elucidated.

PGE2 levels

ELISA was performed to evaluate the effect of α -mangostin on the treatment group at 24, 48 and 72 hours, and the results showed decreased PGE2 levels when compared to the control group (Table 2). In the control group, there was a significant increase in PGE2 levels at 24, 48 and 72 hours ($p < 0.05$). According to Di Nisio et al. (2013), ROS production and COX2 significantly increase in human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) treated with a relatively low HEMA with a time of 24 to 96. As explained, monomers are toxic substances that can cause cell damage, an undesirable side effect of restorative procedures. Although HEMA is not the most toxic monomer,²⁶ it is used because of its low molecular weight, which allows the compound to diffuse through the dentine tubules, reaching the pulp tissue.²⁷

Group	Concentration PGE2 (μ g/ml) after exposure		
	24hr	48hr	72hr
Control	3.636 \pm 0.038	3.990 \pm 0.006	3.864 \pm 0.010
α -mangostin	3.212 \pm 0.007	2.784 \pm 0.012	2.717 \pm 0.047
P value	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*

Table 2. The serum PGE2 level after 24, 48 and 72 hours. *significant different with independent t-test ($p < 0.05$).

The increase of PGE2 levels could have been caused by HEMA induction. Incomplete polymerisation can lead to an imbalance of ROS, resulting in oxidative stress and pulpitis. ROS serve as intracellular and extracellular signalling messengers and regulate numerous downstream signal transductions and gene expressions.²⁸ PGE2 can be synthesised due to the breakdown of the phospholipid bilayer of macrophages caused by oxidative stress. Production of PGE2 will subsequently increase the production of proteolytic enzymes, thus damaging cartilage.²⁹ PGE2 also plays a role in stimulating pain through its receptors on peripheral nerves and the spinal cord.³⁰ In the superficial dentin layer, the sensory potential of the pulp remains in the

presence of a pain response.³¹ In pathological conditions, PGE2 is primarily regulated by COX2 as a pro-inflammatory mediator, and PGE2 overexpression can cause the development of many inflammatory diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis.⁵

The effect of α -mangostin on PGE2 levels was evaluated after 24, 48 and 72 hours. PGE2 levels were significantly downregulated after α -mangostin administration when compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$). α -mangostin, a xanthone derivative of mangosteen, is a compound known for its analgesic and anti-inflammation properties.⁹ These results demonstrate that an α -mangostin concentration of 12.5 μ g/ml could decrease PGE2 levels during pulp inflammation in the dentin-pulp complex after exposure to HEMA at 24, 48 and 72 hours. Several studies have reported that α -mangostin suppresses the secretion of iNOS and COX2, while it also inhibits TNF- α and IL4,³² through TLR-4 mediated NFkB signalling pathways¹⁷. Other studies have provided information about the use of α -mangostin as an anti-inflammatory using in silico, in vitro and in vivo models.^{9,33} The anti-inflammatory effect of α -mangostin in LPS-stimulated BV-2 cells involves the inhibition of the NFkB (but not the MAPK) signalling pathway.³⁴ Xanthenes produce an anti-inflammatory effect by inhibiting COX2 and prostaglandin synthesis of glioma cells in rats without affecting the constitutive CO.^{35,36} Secretion of IL-1 β , COX2, and IL-6 in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 macrophages can be inhibited by α -mangostin.³⁷

Conclusions

In summary, the results shed light on the mechanism of ROS and its involvement in the activation of TLR-4 and PGE2, which is responsible for inflammation in the dentin-pulp complex. A treatment of α -mangostin can significantly downregulate TLR-4 and PGE2 in the dentin-pulp complex after exposure to HEMA.

Declaration of Interest

All author declares no conflict of interest

Ethical policy and institutional review board statement: Ethical clearance had been obtained from the Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga,

Surabaya (No. 607/HRECC.FODM /IX/2019).

References

1. Szczepanska J, Poplawski T, Synowiec E, et al. 2-Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), a tooth restoration component, exerts its genotoxic effects in human gingival fibroblasts through methacrylic acid, an immediate product of its degradation. *Mol Biol Rep* [Internet]. 2012;39:1561–74. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11033-011-0895-y>
2. Di Nisio C, Zara S, Cataldi A, di Giacomo V. 2-Hydroxyethyl methacrylate inflammatory effects in human gingival fibroblasts. *Int Endod J* [Internet]. 2013;46:466–76. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/iej.12012>
3. Modena KC da S, Casas-Apayco LC, Atta MT, et al. Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of direct and indirect pulp capping materials. *J Appl Oral Sci* [Internet]. 2009;17:544–54. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1678-775200900600002&lng=en&tlng=en
4. Massaro H, Zambelli L, Britto A, et al. Solvent and HEMA Increase Adhesive Toxicity and Cytokine Release from Dental Pulp Cells. *Materials (Basel)* [Internet]. 2019;12:2750. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/12/17/2750>
5. Lin PS, Cheng RH, Chang MC, et al. TGF- β 1 stimulates cyclooxygenase-2 expression and PGE2 production of human dental pulp cells: Role of ALK5/Smad2 and MEK/ERK signal transduction pathways. *J Formos Med Assoc* [Internet]. 2017;116:748–54. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2017.07.008>
6. Jones CL, Weiss DS. TLR2 Signaling Contributes to Rapid Inflammation Activation during *F. novicida* Infection. Moreno E, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2011;6:e20609. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020609>
7. Vien LC, Chinnappan S, R M. Antioxidant activity of Garcinia mangostana L and alpha mangostin: A Review. *Res J Pharm Technol* [Internet]. 2021;4466–70. Available from: <https://rjptonline.org/AbstractView.aspx?PID=2021-14-8-82>
8. Narasimhan S, Maheshwaran S, Abu-Yousef I, et al. Anti-Bacterial and Anti-Fungal Activity of Xanthonones Obtained via Semi-Synthetic Modification of α -Mangostin from Garcinia mangostana. *Molecules* [Internet]. 2017;22:275. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/22/2/275>
9. Mohan S, Syam S, Abdelwahab SI, Thangavel N. An anti-inflammatory molecular mechanism of action of α -mangostin, the major xanthone from the pericarp of Garcinia mangostana: an in silico, in vitro and in vivo approach. *Food Funct* [Internet]. 2018;9:3860–71. Available from: <http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=C8FO00439K>
10. Saraswati W, Widjastuti I, Rukmo M, Agustin D. The expression of HMGB1 in Dentin Pulp Complex Induced by Resin Monomer HEMA. *Int Med Device Technol Conf*. 2017;135–7.
11. Farges J-C, Alliot-Licht B, Renard E, et al. Dental Pulp Defence and Repair Mechanisms in Dental Caries. *Mediators Inflamm* [Internet]. 2015;2015:1–16. Available from: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mi/2015/230251/>
12. Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on Toll-like receptors. *Nat Immunol* [Internet]. 2010;11:373–84. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.1863>
13. Kobe B, Deisenhofer J. The leucine-rich repeat: a versatile binding motif. *Trends Biochem Sci* [Internet]. 1994;19:415–21. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0968000494900906>
14. Zou W, Yin P, Shi Y, et al. A Novel Biological Role of α -Mangostin via TAK1-NF- κ B Pathway against Inflammatory Inflammation [Internet]. 2019;42:103–12. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10753-018-0876-6>
15. Huhta H, Helminen O, Lehenkari PP, Saarnio J, Karttunen TJ, Kaupilla JH. Toll-like receptors 1, 2, 4 and 6 in esophageal epithelium, Barrett's esophagus, dysplasia and adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* [Internet]. 2016;7:23658–67. Available from: <https://www.oncotarget.com/lookup/doi/10.18632/oncotarget.8151>
16. Li Y, Deng S-L, Lian Z-X, Yu K. Roles of Toll-Like Receptors in Nitrosative Stress in Mammals. *Cells* [Internet]. 2019;8:576. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/8/6/576>
17. Goenarto S, Sudiana IK, Salim S, Rusdiana E, Wahjuni S. Inflammation in the lungs of mice due to methyl methacrylate exposure. *Vet World* [Internet]. 2020;13:256–60. Available from: <http://www.veterinaryworld.org/Vol.13/February-2020/5.html>
18. Ramesh A, Varma SR, Ramamurthy S, et al. Role of sToll-like receptors 2 and 4 in stage 2 periodontitis patients with and without type 2 diabetes: A randomized clinical control trial. *Res J Pharm Technol* [Internet]. 2021;14:397–404. Available from: <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:rjpt&volume=14&issue=1&article=072>
19. Al-Hourani Zeid A, Bibars Abdel Rahim M, Alfwarees Firas S, Shboul Yasemin A, Awani AS. Increase Hsp90 in rats' lungs exposed to self-cure acrylic methyl methacrylate monomer. *Int J Toxicol Pharmacol Res*. 2016;8:471–4.
20. Maheswari C, Alrohimi AM, Tawfik A, Meenashi V, Saad Z. In silico Docking Study of the Compounds Identified from the leaves of Orthosiphon stamineus for Hepatoprotective activity. *Res J Pharm Technol* [Internet]. 2018;11:829. Available from: <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:rjpt&volume=11&issue=3&article=001>
21. Pires BRB, Silva RCMC, Ferreira GM, Abdelhay E. NF-kappaB: Two sides of the same coin. *Genes (Basel)*. 2018;9.
22. Surboyo MDC, Arundina I, Rahayu RP, Mansur D, Bramantoro T. Potential of Distilled Liquid Smoke Derived from Coconut (Cocos nucifera L) Shell for Traumatic Ulcer Healing in Diabetic Rats. *Eur J Dent* [Internet]. 2019;13:271–9. Available from: <http://www.thieme-connect.de/DOI/DOI?10.1055/s-0039-1693527>
23. Fukata M, Chen A, Klepper A, et al. Cox-2 Is Regulated by Toll-Like Receptor-4 (TLR4) Signaling: Role in Proliferation and Apoptosis in the Intestine. *Gastroenterology* [Internet]. 2006;131:862–77. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S001650850601287X>
24. Van De Veerdonk FL, Netea MG. T-cell subsets and antifungal host defenses. *Curr Fungal Infect Rep*. 2010;4:238–43.
25. Janssen-Heininger YMW, Poynter ME, Baeuerle PA. Recent advances towards understanding redox mechanisms in the activation of nuclear factor kb. *Free Radic Biol Med* [Internet]. 2000;28:1317–27. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0891584900002185>
26. Ratanasathien S, Wataha JC, Hanks CT, Dennison JB. Cytotoxic Interactive Effects of Dentin Bonding Components on Mouse Fibroblasts. *J Dent Res* [Internet]. 1995;74:1602–6. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00220345950740091601>
27. Mahdhaoui K, Fournier B, Derbanne MA. Unbound monomers do diffuse through the dentin barrier. *Dent Mater* [Internet]. 2017;33:743–51. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2017.04.007>
28. Hu Y-P, Peng Y-B, Zhang Y-F, et al. Reactive Oxygen Species Mediated Prostaglandin E 2 Contributes to Acute Response of Epithelial Injury. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* [Internet]. 2017;2017:1–8. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/omcl/2017/4123854/>
29. de Hair MJH, Leclerc P, Newsum EC, et al. Expression of Prostaglandin E2 Enzymes in the Synovium of Arthralgia Patients at Risk of Developing Rheumatoid Arthritis and in Early Arthritis Patients. Heymann D, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2015;10:e0133669. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133669>
30. Zeilhofer HU. Prostanoids in nociception and pain. *Biochem Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2007;73:165–74. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006295206004916>

31. Celerino I. Post-operative sensitivity in direct resin composite restorations: Clinical practice guidelines. *Int J Res Discov* [Internet]. 2012;1–12. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235977564%0APost-operative>
32. Tewtrakul S, Wattanapiromsakul C, Mahabusarakam W. Effects of compounds from *Garcinia mangostana* on inflammatory mediators in RAW264.7 macrophage cells. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2009;121:379–82.
33. Arundina I, Suardita K, Diyatri I, Meircurius Dwi CS. Mangosteen skin (*Garcinia mangostana* L) as stem cell growth factor. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2018;11:765–9.
34. Guan H, Li J, Tan X, et al. Natural Xanthone α -Mangostin Inhibits LPS-Induced Microglial Inflammatory Responses and Memory Impairment by Blocking the TAK1/NF- κ B Signaling Pathway. *Mol Nutr Food Res*. 2020;64:1–41.
35. Nakatani K, Nakahata N, Arakawa T, Yasuda H, Ohizumi Y. Inhibition of cyclooxygenase and prostaglandin E2 synthesis by γ -mangostin, a xanthone derivative in mangosteen, in C6 rat glioma cells. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2002;63:73–9.
36. Miladiyah I, Jumina J, Haryana SM, Mustofa M. IN SILICO MOLECULAR DOCKING OF XANTHONE DERIVATIVES AS CYCLOOXYGENASE-2 INHIBITOR AGENTS. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci* [Internet]. 2017;9:98. Available from: <http://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijpps/article/view/15382>
37. Widowati W, Darsono L, Suherman J, Fauziah N, Maesaroh M, Erawijantari P. Anti-inflammatory Effect of Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana* L.) Peel Extract and its Compounds in LPS-induced RAW264.7 Cells. *Nat Prod Sci* [Internet]. 2016;22:147–53. Available from: <https://synapse.koreamed.org/DOIx.php?id=10.20307/nps.2016.22.3.147>.