ISSN: 1412-033X E-ISSN: 2085-4722

BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity Volume 20 - Number 11 - November 2019



ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

EDITORIAL BOARD (COMMUNICATING EDITORS):

Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (Palestine), Agnieszka B. Najda (Poland), Alan J. Lymbery (Australia), Alireza Ghanadi (Iran), Ankur Patwardhan (India), Bambang H. Saharjo (Indonesia), Daiane H. Nunes (Brazil), Darlina Md. Naim (Malaysia), Ghulam Hassan Dar (India), Faiza Abbasi (India), Hassan Pourbabaei (Iran), I Made Sudiana (Indonesia), Ivan Zambrana-Flores (United Kingdom), Joko R. Witono (Indonesia), Katsuhiko Kondo (Japan), Krishna Raj (India), Livia Wanntorp (Sweden), M. Jayakara Bhandary (India), Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram (Iran), Mahendra K. Rai (India), Mahesh K. Adhikari (Nepal), Maria Panitsa (Greece), Muhammad Akram (Pakistan), Mochamad A. Soendjoto (Indonesia), Mohib Shah (Pakistan), Mohamed M.M. Najim (Srilanka), Morteza Eighani (Iran), Pawan K. Bharti (India), Paul K. Mbugua (Kenya), Rasool B. Tareen (Pakistan), Seweta Srivastava (India), Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati (Iran), Shahabuddin (Indonesia), Shahir Shamsir (Malaysia), Shri Kant Tripathi (India), Stavros Lalas (Greece), Subhash Santra (India), Sugiyarto (Indonesia), T.N. Prakash Kammardi (India)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Sutarno

EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: **Graham Eagleton** (grahameagleton@gmail.com), **Suranto** (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: **Solichatun** (solichatun_s@yahoo.com), **Artini Pangastuti** (pangastuti_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: **Rita Rakhmawati** (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: **Ari Pitoyo** (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

MANAGING EDITORS:

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan (unsjournals@gmail.com)

PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, Email: unsjournals@yahoo.com

ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id; smujo.id/biodiv

EXPERTISE AND CORRESPONDING EMAIL OF THE COMMUNICATING EDITORS:

GENETIC DIVERSITY: Agnieszka B. Najda (agnieszka.najda@up.lublin.pl), Alan J. Lymbery (a.lymbery@murdoch.edu.au), Darlina Md. Naim (darlinamdn@usm.my), Mahendra K. Rai (pmkrai@hotmail.com).

SPECIES DIVERSITY: Joko R. Witono (jrwitono@yahoo.com), Katsuhiko Kondo (k3kondo@nodai.ac.jp), Livia Wanntorp (livia.wanntorp@nrm.se), Mahesh K. Adhikari (mkg_adh@wlink.com.np), Maria Panitsa (mpanitsa@upatras.gr), Mohib Shah (mohibshah@awkum.edu.pk), Paul K. Mbugua (paulkmbugua@gmail.com), Rasool B. Tareen (rbtareen@yahoo.com).
ECOSYSTEM DIVERSITY: Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (arabou@iugaza.edu), Alireza Ghanadi (aghannadi@yahoo.com), Ankur Patwardhan (ankurpatwardhan@gmail.com), Bambang H. Saharjo (bhsaharjo@gmail.com), Daiane H. Nunes (nunesdaiane@gmail.com), Faiza Abbasi (faeza.abbasi@gmail.com), Ghulam Hassan Dar (profdar99@gmail.com), Hassan Pourbabaei
(hassan_pourbabaei@yahoo.co.uk), Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram (phdmrk@gmail.com), Mochamad A. Soendjoto (masoendjoto@gmail.com),

Mohamed M.M. Najim (mnajim@kln.ac.lk), Morteza Eighani (morteza_eighani@yahoo.com), Pawan K. Bharti (gurupawanbharti@rediffmail.com), Seweta Srivastava (seweta.21896@lpu.co.in), Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati (Hedayati@gau.ac.ir), Shahabuddin (shahabsaleh@gmail.com), Shahir Shamsir (shahirshamsir@gmail.com), Shri Kant Tripathi (sk_tripathi@rediffmail.com), Stavros Lalas (slalas@teilar.gr), Subhash Santra (scsantra@yahoo.com), Sugiyarto (sugiyarto_ys@yahoo.com), T.N.Prakash Kammardi (prakashtnk@yahoo.com).

ETHNOBIOLOGY: M. Jayakara Bhandary (mbjaikar@gmail.com), Muhammad Akram (makram_0451@hotmail.com).



Society for Indonesia Biodiversity



Sebelas Maret University Surakarta Aims and Scope *Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

Article types The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

Submission The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions) or email to the editors at unsjournals@gmail.com. Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

Ethics Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

Copyright If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

Open access The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

Acceptance The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers(double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. Uncorrected proofs will be sent to the corresponding author by email as *.doc* or *.docx* files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

A charge Starting on January 1, 2019, publishing costs waiver is granted to authors of graduate students from Least Developed Countries, who first publish the manuscript in this journal. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

Reprints The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

Manuscript preparation Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm²) paper size, in a single column, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (.doc or .rtf; not .docx). Scientific names of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, Rhizopus oryzae L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as R. oryzae UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. Biochemical and chemical nomenclature should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. Metric measurement use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m⁻², L⁻¹, h⁻¹) suggested to be used, except in things like "perplant" or "per-plot". Equation of mathematics does not always can be written down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

Title of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

Abstract should not be more than 200 words. Keywords is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important abbreviations must be defined at their first mention. Running title is about five words. Introduction is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. Materials and Methods should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. Results and Discussion should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. Concluding sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. Acknowledgments are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

Figures and Tables of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

References Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "cit" should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations (www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php). The following examples are for guidance. Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. Biodiversitas 7: 154-158.

Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) Tropical Forest Community Ecology. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

Abstract:

Assaeed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50th annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, You L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. Mol Syst Biol 4: 187. www.molecularsystemsbiology.com


Front cover: Tacca leontopetaloides (L.) Kuntze (Рното: WONG SIN YENG)

PRINTED IN INDONESIA



Published monthly

Home (https://smujo.id/biodiv/index) / Archives (https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/archive) / Vol. 20 No. 11 (2019)



(https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/view/244)

Vol. 20 No. 11 (2019)

Full Issue

Front Cover (https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/view/244/101)

Articles

Genetic variation and characterization of the sucrose synthase 2 gene (Sus2) in sugarcane based molecular markers (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3952) RINA SRI KASIAMDARI, DEA FEBIANSI, HERI PRABOWO, GANIES RIZA ARISTYA, ARNI MUSTHOFA

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3952/3472)

Phylogeny of Tacca (Taccaceae) and traits in reproductive structures, with description of a new Bornean species (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4382) WONG SIN YENG, CHUA KEK SHEN

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4382/3473)

Characteristics of olive ridley sea turtle (Lepidochelys olivacea) nesting beaches

and hatcheries in Bantul, Yogyakarta, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4213)

AGUNG BUDIANTORO, CATUR RETNANINGDYAH, LUCHMAN HAKIM, AMIN SETYO LEKSONO

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4213/3474)

The potential of Mesangat Lake, East Kutai, Indonesia as an essential ecological area for habitat conservation of critically endangered Crocodylus siamensis (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4475)

SUDRAJAT, HIKMA AMALIA SALEH

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4475/3475)

Cellulolytic enzyme-producing thermophilic Actinobacteria isolated from the soil of Cisolok Geysers, West Java, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4289)

PUTRI PRATIWI SETYANINGSIH, FITRIA NINGSIH, MAZYTHA KINANTI RACHMANIA, WINDA AYU SYAFITRI, DHIAN CHITRA AYU FITRIA SARI, SHUHEI YABE, AKIRA YOKOTA, ARIYANTI OETARI, WELLYZAR SJAMSURIDZAL

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4289/3476)

Prospects for developing an early maturing variety of Winged Bean (Psophocarpus tetragonolobus) in Bogor, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4393) GRAHAM E. EAGLETON

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4393/3477)

Poverty distribution of different types of forest-related communities: Case study in Wan Abdul Rachman Forest Park and mangrove forest in Sidodadi Village, Lampung Province, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4507)

ROMMY QURNIATI, ARIEF DARMAWAN, RIZKI BAHAGIA UTAMA, MAKOTO INOUE

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4507/3478)

Marine sponge-associated bacteria as biocontrol agents of vibriosis on whiteleg shrimp caused by Vibrio parahaemolyticus (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4370)

NEPTU ISLAMY RAHARJA, WIDANARNI, ARIS TRI WAHYUDI

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4370/3479)

Chemotypes and antibacterial activity of Matricaria pubescens of Algeria (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4541)

NOUR ELHOUDA MEKHADMI, MESSAOUD RAMDANI, TAKIA LOGRADA, PIERRE CHALARD, GILLES FIGUEREDO

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4541/3483)

First record of the seagrass-boring shipworm Zachsia sp. (Bivalve: Teredinidae) in natural and transplanted Enhalus acoroides (Hydrocharitaceae) rhizomes in tropical Southwest Pacific (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4241)

NENNI ASRIANI, ROHANI AMBO-RAPPE, MAHATMA LANURU

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4241/3480)

Woody plant communities in the Philippine teak forest landscape along Verde Island Passage, Batangas, Luzon, Philippines (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3300)

ANACLETO M. CARINGAL, INOCENCIO E. BUOT JR., ELAINE LOREEN C. VILLANUEVA

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3300/3481)

Morphology and anatomy of the fruit and seed of Cananga odorata (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4439)

FERAWATI OKTIA NURHAYANI, ARUM SEKAR WULANDARI, TATIEK KARTIKA SUHARSI

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4439/3482)

Short Communication: Polymorphism of myostatin gene and its association with body weight traits in a hybrid of GAMA chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus Linn. 1758) (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4146)

ARDO TANJUNG, H.T.S.S.G. SARAGIH, TRIJOKO, H.P. SOENARWAN, S. WIDIANTO, I.W.S. MAHARDHIKA, B.S. DARYONO

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4146/3484)

Ethnoornithology: Identification of bird names mentioned in Kakawin R?m?yana, a 9th-century Javanese poem (Java, Indonesia) (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4459)

DEDE MULYANTO, JOHAN ISKANDAR, RIMBO GUNAWAN, RUHYAT PARTASASMITA

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4459/3485)

The inventory and spore morphology of ferns from Bengkalis Island, Riau Province, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4416)

NERY SOFIYANTI, MAYTA NOVALIZA ISDA, ERWINA JULIANTARI, RISSAN SURIATNO, SYAFRONI PRANATA

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4416/3486)

Diversity and genetic potential of various morphological traits among common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris, Fabaceae) landraces

(https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4206) TURA BAREKE

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4206/3487)

Record of shallow-water sponges in Simeulue Island, Aceh Province, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3496)

EDWIN SETIAWAN, FARID KAMAL MUZAKI, AWIK P.D. NURHAYATI, NOVA MAULIDINA

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3496/3488)

Genetic heterogeneity of proteolytic bacteria isolated from sediments mangrove areas based on repetitive sequence-based polymerase chain reaction and 16S-rRNA gene sequences (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4227)

WILIS ARI SETYATI, ERNI MARTANI, TRIYANTO, MUHAMMAD ZAINUDDIN, MAYA PUSPITA, CHRISNA ADI SURYONO, SUBAGYO, DELIANIS PRINGGENIES

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4227/3489)

Prevalence and intensity of Trypanosoma sp. in wild swamp eels (Synbranchus bengalensis) marketed in Surabaya, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4129)

GUNANTI MAHASRI, SETIAWAN KOESDARTO, KISMIYATI, DESI P.W. SARI, MUHAMMAD B. SANTAMURTI, IQYU W. KANDI, SELVI D.S. FITRI, MUHAMAD AMIN

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4129/3490)

Short communication Phenol contaminant of Bengawan Solo River and characteristics of Portulaca using flavonoid, saponin, and tannin for phytoremediation purposes (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3985) SITI KHOIRIYAH, EVI GRAVITIANI, PRABANG SETYONO, SURANTO

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/3985/3491)

The abundance and diversity of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) in Talaud Islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4418)

RONI KONERI, MEIS J. NANGOY, PARLUHUTAN SIAHAAN

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4418/3492)

Genetic distance and diversity of breadfruit (Artocarpus altilis) based on morphological characters in Bawean Island, Indonesia (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4352)

WARDATUR RAHMAH, BUDI WALUYO

PDF (https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/4352/3494)

BIODIVERSITAS Volume 20, Number 11, November 2019 Pages: 3262-3268

Prevalence and intensity of *Trypanosoma* sp. in wild swamp eels (*Synbranchus bengalensis*) marketed in Surabaya, Indonesia

GUNANTI MAHASRI^{1,•}, SETIAWAN KOESDARTO², KISMIYATI¹, DESI P.W. SARI¹, MUHAMMAD B. SANTAMURTI¹, IQYU W. KANDI³, SELVI D.S. FITRI³, MUHAMAD AMIN¹

¹Department of Fish Health Management and Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga. Kampus C Unair, Jl. Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-31-5992785, Fax.: +62-31-5993015, *email: gunanti.mahasri@fpk.unair.ac.id

²Department of Parasitology Veterinary, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga. Kampus C Unair, Jl. Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia

³Program of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga. Kampus C Unair, Jl. Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 5 July 2019. Revision accepted: 21 October 2019.

Abstract. Mahasri G, Koesdarto S, Kismiyati, Sari DPW, Santamurti MB, Kandi IW, Fitri SDS, Amin M. 2019. Prevalence and intensity of Trypanosoma sp. in wild swamp eels (Synbranchus bengalensis) marketed in Surabaya, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 20: 3262-3268. Trypanosoma sp. is parasitic protozoa, which can infect not only aquatic organisms but also humans. As the parasite considered a zoonosis disease, there has been a lot of concern about the presence of this parasite in aquaculture commodities. This research aimed to detect and determine the prevalence and intensity of Trypanosoma sp. infection in wild-caught swamp eels (Synbranchus bengalensis) marketed in Surabaya. A total of sixty swamp eels with 47.30±4.69 cm in length were collected from two different locations, Ambengan and Karah, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia. The swamp eels were transported alive in two aerated plastic bags to the laboratory. The observed parameters were prevalence and intensity of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the eels' blood, total erythrocyte counts and total differential leucocyte count (monocytes, lymphocytes, basophils, eosinophil, and neutrophil). The result showed that 7 of 30 (23%) wild-caught swam eels obtained from Ambengan and 9 of 30 eels (30%) collected from Karah were infected by Trypanosoma sp. The intensity of the parasite in eels collected from both locations was considered as moderate, 12.6 parasites/eel (Ambengan) and 5.9 parasites/eel (Karah). Additionally, hematology analysis indicated total erythrocytes count of blood in the infected eels from both locations were significantly lower than total erythrocytes of blood in the non-infected eels, p<0.05. Furthermore, hematology analysis indicated that the numbers of monocyte, lymphocyte, and neutrophil were significantly different than that of non-infected eels, P<0.05. Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in cell counts of basophils and eosinophil in the blood of infected and non-infected eels, p>0,05. These results demonstrate that swamp eels marketed in Surabaya were infected by Trypanosoma sp.

Keywords: Hematology, intensity, prevalence, swamp eels, Trypanosoma

INTRODUCTION

Swamp eel (*Synbranchus bengalensis*) is one of the economically important aquatic commodities in Indonesia. According to Astiana et al. (2015), eel contains 75.32% protein, 0.58% fat, and 22.54% carbohydrates, various minerals, vitamin A, iron, and omega 3. Due to the rich nutritional content, demand for the aquatic commodity in both domestic and abroad are pretty high. In 2012, the amount of eel exported to several countries, including Asia, Europe, Australia, and the United States reached 6.081 tons (BPS 2012; Manurung et al. 2015). Meanwhile, in the domestic market, the demand for eels is also high, 20 tons/year (Jakarta), 30 tons/year (Yogyakarta) (Syarif et al. 2017).

The swamp eels are generally inhabiting the muddy area with a lot of organic materials, pH of about 3,6-6,5 (Welcomme, 1979). According to Prasetyo et al. (2004), environment with such acidic pH is generally inhabited by many opportunistic diseases, including parasites that infect aquatic organisms. One of the most common diseases found in the aquatic environment is parasitic protozoa, called *Trypanosoma* sp. The parasite has been previously

reported to infect many species of aquatic organisms including catfish, goldfish, and wild swamp eels (Sriraj et al. 2019; Telipot and Darullman 2000). In addition, a study by Khati et al. (2014) reported that wild eels caught from swamps or rice fields in Riau District were infected by the parasitic protozoa. Shahi et al. (2013) reported that *Trypanosoma* mukasai infected freshwater fish (Trilophysa marmorata) in the Jhelum river, India. Ruszczyk et al. (2008) found Trypanosoma carassii causing 60-100% mortality in goldfish. Similarly, Leremenko et al. (2014) documented Trypanosoma sp. infected Carassius carassius and Esox lucius.

Trypanosoma-infected animals can cause death if the intensity and prevalence are high. Several studies reported that mortality of fish caused by the parasitic protozoa reached 50-65% (Chong 2005). According to Chong, life cycle of trypanosome started (2005),as sphaeromastigotes in the digestive tract of leech and produce epimastigote that migrated to proboscis of the the espistigote transformed leech. Then, into metatrypanosoma, which was later inoculated into fish when the leech feeds on the fish. The infected fish then became lethargic, anemic, and emaciation. The main clinical symptoms of *Trypanosoma* sp. infected fish animals are decreasing in the number of erythrocyte cells, and an increase in the number of leucocyte cells as part of the animal's immune systems. *Trypanosoma* sp. enters the host's body, and the body's defense system will recognize parasites and stimulate lymphocytes to form antibodies to combat the pathogen (Gupta 2006).

Acknowledging its widely distributed in many important aquatic organisms, research to study the prevalence and intensity of the pathogen in wild swamp eels was very important. Thus, this research aimed to study the prevalence, intensity, and blood profiling of swamp eels (*Synbranchus bengalensis*) infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. This research result could be additional information about the current condition of swamp eels and status of the deathly disease in Surabaya region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

A total of 60 swamp eels (*Synbranchus bengalensis*) with 47.30 ± 4.69 cm in length were collected from two markets namely Ambengan, and Karah, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia. The swamp eels were transported alive in two aerated plastic bags to the Laboratory of Fish Diseases, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Airlangga University, Surabaya. Arriving at the laboratory, the eels were reared in two different aquaria with the same water as where they were taken, and also equipped with aeration stones for supplying oxygen.

Sample examination

Blood was collected from the caudal vein of each eel using a syringe previously filled with ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) for an anticoagulant. Thereafter, the blood was immediately processed for blood smear on a glass slide and fixed with methanol for 3 minutes for drying. Then the blood smear was stained with Giemsa for 30 minutes, followed by rinsing with tap water (Prabowo 2009). The presence of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the blood smear was examined using a light microscope (Olympus CX23 LED) with 1,000x magnification and documented using a photomicroscope OptiLab. *Trypanosoma* sp. in blood was counted and identified based on its morphological features as well as characteristics of the hemiparasite according to Molina et al. (2016).

Observed parameters

Prevalence and intensity

Prevalence was defined as the percentage of eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp., while the intensity was determined by looking at the total of parasite found in the eel's blood (Anshary 2008). The prevalence of a *Trypanosoma* sp. infecting the eels was calculated using this following formula:

$$Prevalence (\%) = \frac{Total infected swamp eels}{Total examined swamp eels} x 100\%$$

While the intensity was calculated using a formula:

$$Intensity (indv/eel) = \frac{Total \ parasites}{Total \ infected \ swamp \ eels}$$

Total erythrocytes count (TEC)

Total erythrocyte was counted according to a protocol developed by Blaxhall and Daisley (1973) with a slight modification. In brief, eel blood was collected from a vein using a 1 ml syringe containing EDTA and placed into a microtube. Then 0.5ml of the blood mix was pipetted out with an RCB pipette and mixed with ~100.5 Hayem's fluid for diluting the blood. After being homogenized, the blood mix was pipetted out onto a Neubauer hemocytometer and covered with a coverslip. Then, the number of erythrocyte cells was counted using a microscope with 400 magnifications in 5 small block areas of the Neubauer hemocytometer. Afterward, the real total erythrocytes count was calculated using this formula:

Total Erythrocyte Counts = $n \ge 10^4 \text{ cell/mm}^3$.

Where, "n" in the total number of Erythrocyte cells counted on the 5-block areas of the hemocytometer slide.

Differential leucocyte counts

The number of erythrocytes in the blood samples was calculated according to a protocol of Svobodova and Vykusova (1991). Briefly, eel blood was derived out from the caudal vein using a 1 ml syringe containing EDTA as anticoagulant and stored in a microtube. Then, the blood was homogenized and dropped onto a glass object tip and spread until 2/3 of the slide area was covered. After drying, the blood-thinning slide was washed with 5% methanol for 3 min and followed by staining with 20% Giemsa for 20 minutes. Then, the slide was rinsed with water and airdried. The slide was then observed under a light microscope with a 1000x magnification. Furthermore, the differential leucocyte counts were calculated with a crosssectioned method, in which lymphocytes, monocytes, basophils, neutrophils, and eosinophils were counted and expressed as a percentage (Dalimunthe 2006).

Data analysis

The prevalence and intensity of *Trypanosoma* sp. were analyzed using a descriptive method. Meanwhile, total erythrocytes count and leucocytes between *Trypanosoma* sp. infected eels and non-infected eels were compared using independent-sample T-test, p<0.05 according to Amin (2018).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prevalence and intensity

The result showed that seven out of 30 swamp eels collected from location 1 (Ambengan) and 9 of 30 swamp eels from location 2 (Karah) were infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. (Table 1). Morphologically, the parasite appears to have a flagellum, nucleus, and undulating

membrane (Figure 1). Based on the observation result, the prevalence of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the swamp eels from Karah was 30%, which was slightly higher than the prevalence in the swamp eels from Ambengan (23%).

Based on the number, the calculated intensity of the parasite in the swamp eels from Ambengan was slightly higher compared to the swamp eels from Karah, Table 1.

Total erythrocyte count

Statistically, the TEC in the blood of *Trypanosoma* sp. infected eels was significantly lower than TCE in the blood of non-infected eels, (t=19.95; df 58; p<0.01). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the TEC of *Trypanosoma* sp.infected eels between the 2 locations (t=0.99, df 12, p=0.34). However, the TEC of blood from non-infected eels was significantly higher in the swamp eels collected from Ambengan than the swamp eels collected from Karah (t=3.25, df 42, p=0.002).

Differential leucocyte counts

Total differential leucocyte counts or white blood cells (WBC) in the blood of *Trypanosoma* sp. - infected eels had the same pattern in both locations, in which the number of

three WBC (monocyte, lymphocyte, and neutrophil) were significantly different than cell counts of the three cells in non-infected eels, p<0.05. Meanwhile, the number of the other two WBC cells (basophil and eosinophil) was not significantly different than those of non-infected eels, p \geq 0.05. Figure 2 presents the form of five white blood cells viewed under a light microscope.

The monocyte counts of the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. were significantly higher than monocyte counts in non-infected weels, (t=5.66, df 19, p<0.01). Additionally, the number of lymphocyte in the blood of the infected eel was significantly lower than lymphocyte counts in non-infected eels, (t=3.5 df 18, t=0002). Also, the neutrophil count of the infected eels was significantly higher than that of non-infected eels (t=2.8 df 20, p=0.01). Table 3 presented the average number of monocyte, basophil, and eosinophil in the blood of *Trypanosoma*-infected eels and non-infected eels.

Meanwhile, basophil and eosinophil of blood-derived from the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. in both locations was not significantly different from that of noninfected eels (t=1.12, df 37 p=0.28) and (t=0.67 df 37, p=0.51) for basophil and eosinophil respectively, Table 3.




Figure 1. *Trypanosoma* sp. in blood smear of swamp eels collected from two markets (Ambengan dan Karah), Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia viewed under a light microscope with 1,000x magnification.

Table 1. The prevalence, intensity of Trypanosoma sp. in the swamp ells marketed in the Surabaya, Indonesia

Location	The number of samples (swamp eels)	The total number of swamp eels infected <i>Trypanosoma</i> sp.	Total <i>Trypanosoma</i> sp.	Prevalence (%)	Intensity (individuals/eel)
I (Ambengan)	30	7	88	23.3	12.57
II (Karah)	30	9	53	30	5.88

Table 2. Total erythrocyte counts of the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. and non-infected swamp eels. Values are the averages of TEC with standard deviations

Sampling location	The Average of erythrocyte count in the blood of <i>Trypanosoma</i> sp. infected eels (cells/mm ³)	The Average of erythrocyte count in the blood of noninfected eels (cells/mm ³)				
I (Ambengan)	$7.7 \text{ x} 10^5 \pm 4.8 \text{ x} 10^4 \text{ a}$	$1.34 \ge 10^6 \pm 9.5 \ge 10^{4} \text{ c}$				
II (Karah)	$8.0 \text{ x} 10^5 \pm 9.2 \text{ x} 10^4 \text{ a}$	$1.26 \ge 10^6 \pm 6.7 \ge 10^4 = 10^{-10} \ge 10^{$				
Note: Different manuscript (a, b, c) indicates that there was significant difference in the average values, $n < 0.05$						

Note: Different manuscript (a,b,c) indicates that there was significant difference in the average values, p < 0.05.

Sample status	Monocyte	Lymphocyte	Basophil	Eosinophil	Neutrophil

Table 3. Differential leucocyte counts (monocyte, basophil, and eosinophil, lymphocyte, and neutrophil) of swamp eels infected by

Location	Sample status	Monocyte	Lymphocyte	Basophil	Eosinophil	Neutrophil
Location	(infection/non-infection)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
I (Ambengan)	Swamp eel infected by	6.88±2.35	83.63±5.48	2.29 ± 0.81	1.21±0.59	6.00±2.5
II (Karah)	Trypanosoma sp.	8.56 ± 2.01	79.89 ± 4.54	2.33 ± 0.87	1.33 ± 0.50	7.78 ± 2.28
I (Ambengan)	Swamp eels	5.17±0.98	85.74±2.28	2.61±0.72	1.26 ± 0.45	5.22 ± 1.41
II (Karah)	with no infection	6.80 ± 0.81	83.50±1.69	2.10±0.79	1.50 ± 0.68	5.90±1.07




Figure 2. Differential leucocyte cells viewed under a light microscope at 1.000x magnification. Note: A. Monocyte, B. Lymphocyte, C. Basophil, D. Eosinophil, E. Neutrophil




Figure 3. A. *Trypanosoma* sp., B. Morfologi of *Trypanosoma* and its organs (a. *undulating membrane*; b. kinetoplast; c. nucleus; d. Flagella) taken from (Gupta 2006).

Discussion

Trypanosoma sp. is a parasitic protozoan that can cause high mortality (50-65%) in aquatic organisms Chong, (2005). This present study reported the detection of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the blood of swamp eels marketed in

Surabaya. The presence of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the eel's blood was observed under a LED microscope with 1000x magnification Whole-body part of *Trypanosoma* sp. were presented in Figure 3.

Prevalence and intensity

The prevalence of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the swamp eels collected from Karah was 30%, which was slightly higher than the prevalence in the swamp eels from Ambengan (23%). The prevalence value of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the swamp eels from Karah was considered as "common" in Karah since its value at a range of 30-49% (Williams and Bunkley-Williams 1996). Meanwhile, the prevalence of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the eels from Ambengan was considered as "often" since its value at a range of 10-29% (Williams and Bunkley-Williams 1996).

While the intensity of *Trypanosoma* sp. was considered as "often" in the swamp ells from Ambengan since its value was at a range of 10-19 individuals/eels and "occasionally" for the swamp eels collected from Karah since its value ranges from 1-9 individuals/eel (Williams and Bunkley-Williams 1996).

Total erythrocytes count (TEC)

Total erythrocytes count (TEC) of the swamp eel infected by Trypanosoma sp. in both sampling groups was $7.7~x10^5 \pm 4.8~x~10^4~cells/mm^3$ from Ambengan and 8.0 $x10^5 \pm 9.2 \ x \ 10^4 \ cells/mm^3$ for Karah. These numbers are considered below normal conditions for healthy aquatic species $(1.05 \times 10^6 - 3.0 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/mm}^3)$ (Roberts 2012) (Table 5). This result indicates that the swamp eel was suffering from anemia. According to Wedemeyer and Yatsuke (1977), TEC of an aquatic organism, which is below normal count, is a symptom of anemia. Another study by Islam and Woo (1991) explained that the low number of erythrocytes or red blood cells (RC) is caused by two mechanisms, (1) hemolytic and (2) hemodilution factors. In terms of hemolytic factor, Trypanosoma sp. produced toxins that later attached erythrocyte cells to cause hemolysis (Islam and Woo 1991). Similarly, Mbaya et al. (2012) documented that Trypanosoma sp. in the blood produced enzymes such as protease and neuraminidase which caused damage erythrocyte cell membranes and lead to lysis. In terms of hemodilution factor, Trypanosoma sp. increases blood volume during the peak phase of the parasite (Islam and Woo, 1991). Besides, Mbaya et al. (2012) said that Trypanosoma sp. can damage erythrocyte membranes by whipping flagella into the erythrocyte membrane.

Differential leucocyte counts

Leucocytes or white blood cells are cells of the immune system involving in protecting the body against infectious diseases. Leucocytes or white blood cells consist of five types which are monocyte, lymphocyte, basophil, eosinophil, and neutrophil. The change in the number of differential leukocyte count represents the health status of aquatic organisms (Sabatine et al. 2002). This present study also showed that three of leucocyte cells (monocyte, lymphocyte, and neutrophil) from the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. were significantly different from that of the non-infected eels. While the other two cells were not significantly different, this result confirms that blood compositions are changing as the organisms infected by a certain disease, the changing is part of the host immune system.

Monocytes

The monocyte counts of the swamp eels infected *Trypanosoma* sp. from both locations were generally higher than that of non-infected eels. According to Campbell and Ellis (2013), the monocyte counts of blood-derived from both samples were all above normal levels in which a normal leucocyte count should be at <5%. The increase in the percentage of monocytes might indicate that the immune system is working against the parasitic infection through phagocytosis since monocyte function is to attack and break down pathogen that enters the body (Affandi and Tang 2002).

Lymphocytes

Lymphocytes are a type of WBC which produce antibody, a specific immune system against invading pathogens (Fujaya 2002). According to Salasia et al. (2001), lymphocyte counts for healthy aquatic organisms are between 65 to 86%. Since the lymphocytes counts of the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. in both locations were still in the range of that value, it can be considered to be normal. The normal number of lymphocyte could be due to the degree of infection of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the eels collected from both locations wee in moderate.

Table 4. The prevalence, intensity of *Trypanosoma* sp. in the swamp ells marketed in the Surabaya, Indonesia

Sampling Location	Prevalence (%)	Intensity (individuals/eel)
I (Ambengan)	23.3	12.57
II (Karah)	30	5.88

Table 5. Total erythrocyte counts of the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. and total erythrocyte counts of ells being considered as normal.

Sampling Location	Total erythrocytes (cells/mm ³)	Degree of infection	Normal erythrocytes (Roberts 2012)
I (Ambengan)	$7.7 \ x10^5 \pm 4.8 \ x \ 10^4 \ a$	Moderate	1.05-3.0x10 ⁶ cells/mm ³
II (Karah)	$8.0 \text{ x} 10^5 \pm 9.2 \text{ x} 10^4 \text{ a}$	Moderate	

Location	Total of swamp eel infected by <i>Trypanosoma</i> sp.	Degree of Infection	Monocyte (%)	Lymphocyte (%)	Basophil (%)	Eosinophil (%)	Neutrophil (%)
I (Ambengan)	7	Moderate	6.88±2.35	83.63±5.48	2.29 ± 0.81	1.21±0.59	6.00±2.5
II (Karah)	9	Moderate	8.56±2.01	79.89±4.54	2.33 ± 0.87	1.33 ± 0.50	7.78 ± 2.28
Differential leue	cocyte counts for healthy	eels	<5	65.20-86	0.17-0.19	0-1	6-8
			Campbell and	Salasia et al.	Affandi and	Svobodova	Roberts
			Ellis (2013)	(2001)	Tang (2002)	and Vykusova	(2012)
						(1991)	

Table 6. The results of differential leucocytes swamp eel infected Trypanosoma marketed in the Surabaya, Indonesia

Basophils

Basophil is functioning as cells which give alert to the body for any infection, and also produce chemical into the bloodstream to combat pathogen (Scobodova and Vykusova 1991). According to Tang (2002), the number of basophils in the blood of healthy eel was from 0.17 to 0.19%. Another study described that basophil of healthy fell in a range of 0-0.5% (Scobodova and Vykusova 1991). The present study showed that the average basophils of blood from the swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. in both locations was 2.2% (Ambengan), and 2.3% (Karah). These numbers were considered to be higher than that of normal conditions, and the higher number of basophils might relate to the presence of infections caused by the parasite (Santoso et al. 2013).

Eosinophil

An eosinophil is a type of white blood cell that is responsible for parasite infection. According to Svobodova and Vykusova (1991), an average percentage of eosinophils in heathy fish fell between 0 to 1%. The average eosinophil obtained in the present study from swamp eels infected by *Trypanosoma* sp. in both locations was 1.4% (eels from Ambengan), and 1.3% in eels from Karah, which was higher than eosinophil in healthy aquatic animal (0-1%) (Table 6). The higher number of eosinophils indicates the presence of infection caused by the parasitic protozoa, *Trypanosoma* sp. because of eosinophil attacks and breaks down parasite by producing lysozyme enzymes in phagolysosomes (Effendi 2003; Rahma et al. 2015).

Both numbers of basophil and eosinophils were not significantly different in the blood of those infected eels and those of non-infected eels. Theoretically, the number of basophil and eosinophils in the blood of infected eels should be higher than those in non-infected eels. However, the number of basophils and eosinophils in both animal groups were higher than the normal (Affandi and Tang 2002; Svobodova and Vykusova, 1991). This could be due to the non-infected eels were infected by other types of pathogens such as bacteria or other parasites. Nevertheless, this speculative reason should be further studied.

Neutrophils

A neutrophil is part of the immune system, which specifically combats pathogen infection from bacteria or fungi (Saad et al. 2017). According to Roberts (2012), total neutrophils in the blood of the healthy eels range in 6-8%. Percentage of eosinophil obtained in the blood of eel infected by the parasitic protozoa was 6.8% (sample from Ambengan), and 7.7% in the samples from Karah. These values could be considered in normal, as the percentages were still in 6-8%. These number was caused by the working mechanism of neutrophil which is combat pathogen infections from bacteria or fungi (Palmer *et al.* 2016).

In summary, the wild-caught swam eels obtained from Ambengan and Karah were infected by Trypanosoma sp. The intensity of the parasite in eels collected from both locations was considered as moderate, 12.6 parasites/eel (Ambengan) and 5.9 parasites/eel (Karah). Additionally, hematology analysis indicated total erythrocytes count of blood in the infected eels from both locations were significantly lower than total erythrocytes of blood in the non-infected eels, p<0.05. Furthermore, hematology analysis indicated that the numbers of monocyte, lymphocyte, and neutrophil were significantly different than that of non-infected eels, P<0.05. Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in cell counts of basophils and eosinophil in the blood of infected and non-infected eels, p>0,05. These results demonstrate that swamp eels marketed in Surabaya were infected by Trypanosoma sp.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all colleges who had helped this research especially from the laboratory of fish diseases, the Department of Fish Health Management and Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Affandi R, Tang UM. 2002. Animal Physiology Aquatic. Universitas Riau Press, Pekanbaru, Riau. [Indonesian]
- Amin M. 2018. Marine protease-producing bacterium and its potential use as an abalone probiont. Aquacul Rep 12: 30-35
- Anshary H. 2008. Learning Module Based on Student Center Learning (SCL) Courses in Fish Parasitology. Lembaga Kajian dan Pengembangan Pendidikan (LKPP). Universitas Hasanuddin. Makassar. [Indonesian]
- Astiana I, Nurjanah, Suwandi R, Suryani AA, Hidayat T. 2015. Effect of rice field eel frying (*Monopterus albus*) on the composition of amino acids, fatty acids, cholesterol, and minerals. Depik Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Perairan, Pesisir dan Perikanan 4 (1): 49-57. [Indonesian]

- Blaxhall, P. C. and K. W. Daisley. 1973. Routine haematological methods for use with fish blood. J Fish Biol 5 (6): 771-781.
- Campbell TW, Ellis CK. 2013. Avian and Exotic Animal Hematology, Blackwell Publishing. Iowa. pp. 53.
- Chong R (2005) Trypanosomiasis in cultured Epinephelus areolatus Bull Eur Ass Fish Pathol 25: 32
- Dalimunthe, S. 2006. Practical Guide to Parasites and Laboratory Fish Diseases Parasites and Fish Diseases. Fakultas Perikanan. Universitas Brawijaya. Malang. [Indonesian]
- Fujaya Y. 2002. Fish Physiology: Basic Development of Fisheries Technology. Direktorat Jendral Pendidikan Tinggi Depdiknas. Jakarta. [Indonesian]
- Gupta N. 2006. Historical Review of Piscine Trypanosomiasis and Survey of Indian *Trypanosoma*. Parasit Dis 30 (2): 101-115.
- Islam AKMN, Woo PTK. 1991. Anemia And Its Mechanism In Goldfish Carassius auratus Infected With Trypanosoma danilewskyi. Dis Aquat Org 11: 37-43.
- Khati SA, Mahatma R, Windarti. 2014. Parasites in the rice eel (Monopterus albus Zuiew, 1793) in Sawah Village, North Kampar District. Jurnal Online Mahasiswa Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Universitas Riau 1 (2): 1-13. [Indonesian]
- Leremenko AG, Losev A, Kostygov AY, Lukes J, Yurchenko V. 2014. High Prevalence of Trypanosome Co-Infections in Freshwater Fishes. Folia Parasit 61(6): 495-504.
- Manurung FR, Yusni E, Lesmana I. 2015. Effect of different feed on the growth of swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*) culture in plastic drum. Aquacoastmarine 6 (1): 13. [Indonesian]
- Mbaya A, Kumshe H, Nwosu CO. 2012. The mechanisms of anemia in trypanosomosis: A review. Intech, Rijeka, Croatia.
- Molina JP, Madi RR, Solferini VV, Ceccarelli PS, Pinheiro HP, Ueta MT. 2016. Trypanosomatids (Protozoa: Kinetoplastida) in three species of armored catfish from Mogi–Guaçu River, Pirassununga, Sao Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian J Vet Parasitol 25 (2): 131–141.
- Palmer LJ, Damgaard C, Holmstrup P, Nielsen CH. 2016. Influence of complement on neutrophil extracellular trap release induced by bacteria. J Periodontal Res 51 (1): 70-76.
- Prabowo MA. 2009. Overview of Goldfish Blood Leukocytes (*Carassius auratus*) after Infestation of *Argulus japonicus*. [Thesis]. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine. Airlangga University, Surabaya. [Indonesian]
- Prasetyo BH, Adiningsih JS, Kusbagyono K, Simanungkalit. 2004. Mineralogi, Kimia, Fisika, dan Biologi Lahan Sawah. Tanah Sawah dan Teknologi Pengelolaannya. Balai Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian Kementerian Pertanian, Jakarta. [Indonesian]
- Rahma FW, Mahasri G, Surmartiwi L. 2015. Effect of Sargassum sp. extract on erythrocyte amount and leukocytes differential in catfish (Clarias gariepinus). Jurnal Ilmiah Perikanan dan Kelautan 7 (2): 213-217. [Indonesian]
- Roberts RJ. 2012. Fish Pathology. Wiley-Blackwell, Iowa.
- Ruszczyk A, Joerink M, Guldenaar C, Hermsen, Savelkoul HF, Wiegertjes GF. 2008. cDNA expression library screening and identification of two novel antigens: Ubiquitin and receptor for

Activated C kinase (RACK) homologue, of the fish parasite *Trypanosoma carassii*. Fish Shellfish Immun 25: 84-90.

- Saad M, Mahasri G, Satyantini WH. 2017. Production of carp Immunoglobulin-M exposed with whole protein from *Myxobolus* koi spore through feed as an immunostimulant. 1st International Conference Postgraduate School Universitas Airlangga: "Implementation of Climate Change Agreement to Meet Sustainable Development Goals" (ICPSUAS 2017). Atlantis Press. Surabaya
- Sabatine MS, Morrow DA, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Demopoulos LA, DiBattiste PM, McCabe CH, Braunwald E, Gibson CM. 2002. Relationship between baseline white blood cell count and degree of coronary artery disease and mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a TACTICS-TIMI 18 (Treat angina with aggrastat and determine cost of therapy with an invasive or conservative strategythrombolysis in myocardial infarction 18 trial) substudy. J Am Coll Cardiol 40: 1761-1768.
- Salasia SIO, Sulanjari D, Ratnawati A. 2001. Hematology study of freshwater fish. Biologi 2 (12): 710-723. [Indonesian]
- Santoso BB, Basuki F, Hastuti S. 2013. Analysis of body resistance hybrid Nila Larasati (Oreochromis niloticus) generation 5 (F5) infected by Streptococcus agalactiae bacteria with different concentrations. J Aquacult Manag Technol 2 (3): 64-75. [Indonesian]
- Shahi N, Yousuf AR, Rather MI, Ahmad F, Yaseen T. 2013. First report of blood parasites in fishes from Kashmir and their effect on the haematological profile. Open Vet J 3 (2): 89-95.
- Sriraj P, Pumhirunroj B, Wai A P, Sripan P, Boonmars T, Aukkanimart R, Songsri J, Boueroy P, Boonjaraspinyo S and Khueangchaingkhwang S. 2019) Seasonal variation of parasitic infections in Asian swamp eels from local markets in Yangon, Myanmar. Vet Integr Sci 17: 181-193.
- Svobodova Z, Vykusova B. 1991. Diagnostic and Prevention and Therapy of Fish Disease and Intoxication. Research Institute of Fish Culture and Hydrobiology Vodnany, Czechoslovakia.
- Syarif AF, Soelistyowati DT, Affandi R. 2017. The phenotype diversity of three populations of Asian swamp eel *Monopterus albus* (Zuiew 1793) from West Java and biometric response on salinity. Jurnal Iktiologi Indonesia 16 (2): 133-143. [Indonesian]
- Telipot M, Darullman T. 2000. In-vitro Cultivation of *Trypanosoma* sp. of eel, *Monopterus albus*, Institute of Oceanography College University Science and Technology Malaysia (KUSTEM), Malaysia.
- Wedemeyer GA, Yatsuke WT. 1977. Clinical Methods For Assessment of The Effects of Environmental Stress or Fish Health. Technical Paper of The US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington DC.
- Welcomme RL. 1979. Fisheries Ecology of Floodplain Rivers. Longman Inc. New York.
- Williams JEH, Williams LB. 1996. Parasites of Offshore Big Game Fishes of Puerto Rico and the Western Atlantic. Sportfish Disease Project Department of Marine Sciences and Department of Biology, University of Puerto Rico.
- Yuningsih HD, Soedarsono P, Anggoro S. 2014. Relationship between organic material and aquatic productivity in hyacinth cover areas, open ater and floating net cages in Rawa Pening Semarang District, Central Java. Manag Aquat Resour J 3(1): 37-43. [Indonesian]