
Fwd: Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada - Decision on Manuscript ID PBOCI-2022-0087

1 message

Anand Marya <amarya@puthisastra.edu.kh>
To: "Arofi Kurniawan, drg., Ph.D" <arofi.kurniawan@fkg.unair.ac.id>

3 April 2023 at 12:27

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alessandro Cavalcanti** <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 17, 2022 at 6:38 PM
Subject: Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada - Decision on Manuscript ID PBOCI-2022-0087
To: <amarya@puthisastra.edu.kh>

17-Jun-2022

Dear Dr. Marya:

Manuscript ID PBOCI-2022-0087 entitled "3D bitemark analysis in forensic odontology utilizing a smartphone camera and open-source monoscopic photogrammetry surface scanning" which you submitted to the Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended consideration for publication, but also suggested some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript, in order for it to be able to be considered for acceptance.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/pboci-scielo> and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/pboci-scielo?URL_MASK=adf2942ae11d424bb633077ed3933f8e

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada, your revised manuscript should be submitted by 18-Jul-2022. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision by this date, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Prof. Alessandro Cavalcanti
Editor-in-Chief, Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada
alessandrouepb@gmail.com

Associate Editor
Comments to the Author:
(There are no comments.)

Entire Scoresheet:
Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:
(There are no comments.)

Additional Questions:

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?: Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?: Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?: Yes

Are the methods described comprehensively?: Not applicable

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?: Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?: Yes

Length of article is: Adequate

Number of tables is: Too few

Number of figures is: Adequate

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).:
None

Rating:

Interest: 3. Average

Quality: 3. Average

Originality: 3. Average

Overall: 3. Average

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:
(There are no comments.)

Additional Questions:

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?: Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?: Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?: Yes

Are the methods described comprehensively?: Yes

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?: Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?: Yes

Length of article is: Adequate

Number of tables is: Adequate

Number of figures is: Adequate

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).:
Work well done, of considerable interest for the dental world

Rating:

Interest: 2. Good

Quality: 2. Good

Originality: 2. Good

Overall: 2. Good

 **PBOCI review.pdf**
34K

A review report of the manuscript titled “3D bitemark analysis in forensic odontology utilizing a smartphone camera and open-source monoscopic photogrammetry surface scanning”. In current review authors aimed to discuss the potential of a smartphone camera as an alternative method for 3D bitemark analysis. They concluded that smartphone cameras and monoscopic photogrammetry methodology could lead to a novel method of 3D bitemark analysis with an efficient cost and readily available equipment.

There are concerns that should be addressed:

1. In the Abstract it is not clear why authors wrote the aim of the study under Methods subsection. In my view, for this short communication, it is not necessary to write structured Abstract.

2. Introduction should be very specific. Authors should write the introduction, always keeping in mind the title of their article. In my view most of the Introduction is not specific and not relevant. Authors should present the background of the study, after that what is unknown (the gap in the science or what is not very much investigated). Based on that authors should present the aim of their study.

3. Page 3, line 3: The word Discussion should be removed.

4. In the text authors should add more recent publications: I recommend to add Rokaya, D. et al. (2022). 3D-Printed Biomaterials in Biomedical Application. In: Jana, S., Jana, S. (eds) Functional Biomaterials. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7152-4_12

5. All abbreviations should be opened when appeared in the text for the first time.