

ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id>

Decision on submission to Heliyon

5 messages

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>
To: ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id>

Wed, Aug 17, 2022 at 6:48 PM

Manuscript Number: HELIYON-D-22-04120R3

Title: Reliability and Validity Study of the Indonesian Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (SABAS)

among College Students

Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr nurmala,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon.

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has been accepted for publication.

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check, and you will also be asked to complete a number of online forms required for publication. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you directly.

We appreciate and value your contribution to Heliyon. We regularly invite authors of recently published manuscript to participate in the peer review process. If you were not already part of the journal's reviewer pool, you have now been added to it. We look forward to your continued participation in our journal, and we hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards, Apoorva Singh Editorial Section Manager Heliyon

Embargo

Embargos are not automatically set for papers published in Heliyon. Papers appear online a few days after acceptance. To request a media embargo and/or publication on a specific date to assist an institutional press release, please reach out to the Heliyon team (info@heliyon.com) as soon as possible and we will do our best to accommodate your request.

Editorial Office comments:

Ready to Accept

More information and support

FAQ: When and how will I receive the proofs of my article? https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/6007/p/10592/supporthub/publishing/related/



ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id>

Confirming submission to Heliyon

1 message

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com> Reply-To: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com> To: ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id> Thu, Jul 21, 2022 at 11:05 AM

This is an automated message.

Manuscript Number: HELIYON-D-22-04120R3

Reliability and Validity Study of the Indonesian Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (SABAS) among College Students

Dear Dr nurmala,

We have received the above referenced manuscript you submitted to the Psychology section of Heliyon. To track the status of your manuscript, please log in as an author at https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/, and navigate to the "Revisions Being Processed" folder.

Thank you in advance for your understanding, and best wishes for the holiday season.

Kind regards, Heliyon

More information and support

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: https://www.elsevier.com/authors

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/ publishina/

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.



ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id>

Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-04120 to Heliyon

12 messages

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com> Reply-To: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com> To: ira nurmala <iranurmala@fkm.unair.ac.id> Tue, Apr 5, 2022 at 10:48 PM

Manuscript. Number.: HELIYON-D-22-04120

Title: Reliability and Validity Study of the Indonesian Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (SABAS) among

College Students

Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr nurmala,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon. We have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The reviewers recommend major revisions are required before publication can be considered. If you are able to address all reviewer comments in full, I invite you to resubmit your manuscript. We ask that you respond to each reviewer comment by either outlining how the criticism was addressed in the revised manuscript or by providing a rebuttal to the criticism.

This should be carried out in a point-by-point fashion as illustrated here: https://www.cell.com/heliyon/quide-forauthors#Revisions.

To allow the editors and reviewers to easily assess your revised manuscript, we also ask that you upload a version of your manuscript highlighting any revisions made. You may wish to use Microsoft Word's Track Changes tool or, for LaTeX files, the latexdiff Perl script (https://ctan.org/pkg/latexdiff). To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Your revision due date is May 15, 2022. We understand that the COVID-19 pandemic may well be causing disruption for you and your colleagues. If that is the case for you and it has an impact on your ability to make revisions to address the concerns that came up in the review process, please reach out to us. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Pavica Sheldon

Section Editor

Heliyon

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer 1: Methods:

Correct

Results:

Correct

Interpretation:

There are no "conclusions" and "directions for further research" sections.

Work should be expanded to include these sections.

Other comments:

The approval number of the Ethics Committee should be entered in the methods section as the last sentence in

"Participants and design".

Reviewer 2: Methods:

It seems sound.

Results:

It seems sound.

Interpretation:

The interpretation seems also alright.

Other comments:

I suppose the reason/rationale of the study is not well-justified. I mean the motivation of the study needs justification.

Reviewer 3: Methods:

Why did the author(s) put the participants and the design in one title? It is recommended to be separated.

The concurrent validity with psychological distress is (0.50) seems unsatisfactory.

Results: need to be organized.

Interpretation:

Discussion: This section lacks focus. It is repetitive. It has some data that is reported before in the procedures.

Other comments:

This is an interesting article. However, there are some notes here that make the manuscript in need to be revised.

- 1- The introduction is too long and there is no clear idea about the problem and purpose of the study.
- 2- Where are the questions?
- 3- There is no conclusion. The author(s) have written only one paragraph in page 12 at the end of the discussion.

Reviewer 4: 1-Missing results such as path analysis, chi-square analysis, discriminant validity, factor analysis, construct validity

- 2-Missing information about study design
- 3- No information was provided on the sample size
- 4-Limitation of study is not present
- 5- Poorly written manuscript without theoretical background.
- 6-Inadequate discussion
- 7-Recommendation and future direction is missing

Reviewer 5: The purpose of this research is a cross-sectional study that translated and validated the SABAS into Bahasa Indonesian that was adapted to the Indonesian cultural context by following a systematic translation method. The article is clearly written and easy to read.

This article is well written, but there are two problems that require minor revisions.

1.Although the value was slightly lower than the original version (Szabo et al., 2017), it did not affect the reliability adequacy of the scale. More specifically, the result is consistent with several previous studies (Leung et al., 2020; Vally & Alowais, 2020), which also had a slightly

lower internal consistency value than the original version. Could you add an explanation in this paragraph why the slightly lower internal consistency value than the original version, based on the discussion of previous research.

2. The research participants were all active students at Indonesian tertiary institutions studying at various levels, from bachelor's degrees to master's degrees. The participants in this study were 458 respondents. Could you supplement the report on the gender ratio and the ratio of various schooling levels?

Reviewer	6.	Methods:
	Ο.	Wictiioas.

Results:

Interpretation: