

Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and Sumatran Pine (*Pinus merkusii*) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

by Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum

Submission date: 18-Nov-2021 12:51PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1706299801

File name: 18-D21_1472_Dian_Agustin_Wahjuningrum_Indonesia.pdf (624.16K)

Word count: 2620

Character count: 14268

Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and Sumatran Pine (*Pinus merkusii*) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

Dewa Made Wedagama¹, Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum^{2*}, Ari Subiyanto², Fami Widya Pangestika³,
Kirana Guspiari³, Setyabudi Goenharto², Velayutham Gopikrishna⁴

Abstract

The aim of the experiment was to find out the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts. Dental and oral diseases are potentially caused by some oral pathogens. Various antimicrobial agents have been developed to prevent dental diseases because they have more minimal side effects than synthetic ones. Red pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and Sumatran pine (*Pinus merkusii*) have active compounds such as Triterpenoid, Limonene, Benzoic acid, Cinnamic acid, Tannin, Flavonoid, and Saponin that potentially develop natural antibacterial agents. *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts were tested for their antibacterial activity against *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus mutans*, and *Phorymonas gingivalis* which grew in BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) Broth. The MIC and MBC values were determined by calculating the growth of bacterial colonies on NA media in CFU/ml. The data were analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey with SPSS for windows. The result showed a very significant different ($P < 0.05$) with MIC of *P. merkusii* was at 0.39%, 0.39%, and 0.78%, and MBCs of 0.78%, 0.78%, and 1.56%. While *P. merkusii* were at the MICs of 1.56%, 1.56%, and 3.125%, and at the MBCs of 3.125%, 3.125%, and 6.25% against *E. Faecalis*, *S. mutans*, and *P. gingivalis*. The result indicates that *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* had the antibacterial activity against *E. faecalis*, *S. mutans*, and *P. gingivalis*.

Experimental article (J Int Dent Med Res 2021; 14(2): 559-562)

Keywords: Antimicrobial activity, *Pinus densiflora*, *Pinus merkusii*, MIC, MBC.

Received date: 22 March 2021.

Accept date: 21 April 2021

Introduction

Dental caries and periodontitis are the most common oral diseases and major causes of tooth loss¹. Dental and oral diseases can result in severe infection, and thus antibacterial agents in many forms are necessary for the prevention or treatment of the diseases. Antibacterial agents consist of synthetic and natural substances. The use of synthetic antibacterial agents has been reported to cause some side effects, including bacterial resistance and tooth discoloration. The natural antibacterial agents have been developed because they

have more effective antibacterial effects and minimal side effects².

Medicinal plants that can produce natural antibacterial agents include *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii*. Some studies have reported active compounds in pine leaf extract have antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant effects^{3,4}. Both types of pines have been proven to have antibacterial properties against some positive and negative gram bacteria^{3,5}.

Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate whether or not red pine and Sumatran pine leaf extracts can inhibit and kill oral bacteria.

Materials and methods

This present study has been approved by Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya (No.241/HRECC.FODM/V/2019). The sample used was a stock of bacteria obtained from

*Corresponding author:

Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum,

E-mail: dian-agustin-w@fkg.unair.ac.id

patients examined by the Research Center Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga.

P. densiflora leaf extract at a 100% concentration was obtained from Seoul National University, Korea. It was then diluted at BPKI (Indonesian Institute of Research and Consultation) Surabaya. As much as 2 ml of the extract was taken and then diluted with 1 ml of aquadest and 2-3 drops of glycerin to accelerate dissolution. The extract was diluted in several concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%.

The *P. merkusii* leaves were collected from PTPN XII Mumbul Garden, Jember, East Java, Indonesia. The leaves were dried in 6 hours, then cut 0.5-1 cm long. One kilogram of leaves was mashed, soaked in 2 L of 70% ethanol, stirred several times, and stored in an Erlenmeyer flask in 24 hours. The leaves were soaked at a room temperature on a shaker at a speed of 120 rpm continuously for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the solution was filtered using the Whatman filter paper No. 41 to obtain brown mass. The solvent (ethanol) in the macerate was then evaporated with a rotary vacuum evaporator at a temperature of 50-60°C. Finally, thick ethanol-free liquid (100% pure extract) was obtained, and 2 ml of the extract was then diluted in several concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%⁶.

The phytochemical test results of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts were conducted first at BPKI Surabaya before the antibacterial activity test. The results can be seen in Table 1.

Phytochemical compounds	<i>Pinus densiflora</i> concentration	<i>Pinus merkusii</i> concentration
Triterpenoid	4.80%	3.61%
Alpha-pinene	2.44%	1.22%
Beta-pinene	2.36%	2.38%
Limonene	3.19%	2.88%
Benzoic acid	0.21%	0.38%
Cinnamic acid	0.55%	0.41%
Tannin	1.08%	6.05%
Flavanoid	3.18%	2.48%
Saponin	2.18%	1.42%

Table 1. Phytochemical analysis results (in %).

Bacteria culture was taken using sterile osse, planted on BHI Broth, and incubated

within 24 hours at 37°C. The culture was adjusted with the Mc. Farland standard which resulted in 0.5 or equivalent to 1.5x10⁸ CFU/ml to obtain bacteria with a specific concentration. After obtaining the same turbidity as the standard, the suspension is diluted⁷.

This study employed a dilution method for the antibacterial test. Twenty sterile tubes were prepared. They consisted of 8 tubes for the administration of *P. densiflora* leaf extract and 2 tubes for control, and 8 tubes for the administration of *P. merkusii* leaf extract with various concentrations, and 2 tubes for control. The 0.05 ml bacterial suspension standardized with 0.5 Mc Farland (1.5x10⁸ CFU/ml) was planted in a tube of BHIB and *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts at various concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%. The 0.05 ml bacterial suspension and BHIB without the mixture of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts were added to K + (positive control) test tube. While the K- (negative control) test tube only contained BHI Broth without additional *S. mutans*, *P. densiflora*, and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts to ensure no bacterial contamination in the media. Each group consisted of 4 samples. Then, all test tubes were incubated in an anaerobic incubator at 37°C for 1 x 24 hours.

The growth of bacteria from *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* extracts could be observed by counting the number of colonies growing in 0.1 ml bacterial subculture from each test tube. Moreover, positive and negative control groups on nutrient agar were isolated using the spreader method and were incubated in an anaerobic environment at 37°C for 2 x 24 hours.

The MIC and the MBC were determined by counting the number of colonies growing on nutrient agar manually and comparing the number with that under the positive control, expressed as CFU/ml. The results showed the lowest concentrations of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts could inhibit the growth of the bacteria known as MIC. While MBC was taken from the lowest concentration that results in 99.9% bacterial death compared to the positive control⁸. The oral pathogens test results of the MIC and MBC can be seen in table 2.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed to identify the normal distribution of data. Besides, this study also utilized the

Levene test to examine the homogeneity of the data and the One-way ANOVA to identify the significance of all treatment groups.

Bacteria	MIC	MBC
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1.56%	3.125%
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	1.56%	3.125%
<i>Pheromones gingivalis</i>	3.125%	6.25%

Table 2. MIC and MBC of *Pinus merkusii* (in %).

Results

P. densiflora leaf extract could inhibit *E. faecalis* and *S. mutans* when its MIC and MBC was at 0.39% and 0.78%, respectively. Meanwhile, the MIC and MBC of *P. merkusii* against the bacteria were at 1.56% and 3.125%, respectively. *P. densiflora* leaf extract inhibiting *P. gingivalis* had the MIC and MBC at 0.78% and 1.56%, respectively. The MIC and MBC of *P. merkusii* to inhibit the bacteria were at 3.125% and 6.25%, respectively. The One-Way ANOVA test showed a significance value of 0.000 ($p < 0.05$), meaning there were significant differences in the number of bacterial colonies between groups.

Discussion

Antibacterial activity is influenced by several factors, such as the concentration of the extract, the content of antibacterial compounds, the diffusion power of the extract, and the types of bacteria that are inhibited⁹. The antibacterial activity test on Gram-positive (*E. faecalis* and *S. mutans*) was better than Gram-negative (*P. gingivalis*) due to the nature of the bacteria's cell walls. In Gram-positive bacteria, the cell wall structure is simpler and layered with low lipid content (1-4%), allowing bioactive materials to enter the cell and find targets to work according to its mechanism more easily¹⁰. Additionally, the phytochemical test showed that the two pines had the antibacterial activity from the contained of the Triterpenoids, Alpha-pinene, Beta-pinene, Limonene, As. Benzoate, As. Cinammic, Tannin, Flavonoids, and Saponins.

Alpha-pinene and beta-pinene are the main terpenoids in pine trees. Terpenoids work by forming lipid monolayer in cell walls, causing damage to the porin structure. Porin damage results in decreased cell permeability and

inhibits the nutrients entry to bacteria¹¹.

Benzoic acid in pine trees plays a role in the acid molecular diffusion. Low pH level of the mouth causes interference with cellular activities such as glycolysis and active transport. Benzoic acid also causes damage to several enzymatic components which affect the acetic acid metabolic activity, oxidative phosphorylation, and the citric acid cycle¹².

In the bacterial activities, the bacteria need ATP derived from glucose. Cinnamic acid inhibits enzymes that play a role in glucose intake, resulting in decreased the amount of ATP. Decreased ATP inhibits the bacterial activities¹³.

Meanwhile, tannins are antibacterial in three mechanisms. First, tannins can prevent bacterial adhesion in the host, and thus no colonies and bacterial replication occur. Moreover, tannins react on cell walls, and then protein denaturation occurs. Denatured proteins cause bacterial cell walls to lyse easily and kill the bacteria. Finally, tannins can inhibit the reverse transcriptase and DNA topoisomerase that can prevent the growth bacterial cells due to strong iron-binding capacity¹⁴.

Flavonoid compounds have an antibacterial activity in three mechanisms. Initially, extracellular protein complexes formed by flavonoids on bacterial cell walls allow phenolic compounds to enter and damage the cell membranes leading to bacterial death¹⁵. Besides, flavonoids inhibit the topoisomerase activity, DNA replication process, and DNA synthesis^{16,17}. Eventually, flavonoids can inhibit the reduction of cytochrome C which causes reduced oxygen and disrupts bacterial metabolism and biomolecules¹⁵.

Saponins are glycoside (polar) and triterpenoid (non-polar) compounds. Saponins are classified as surfactants that can dissolve polar and non-polar compounds¹⁶. Saponins diffuse across the cell wall membranes and are bound with the plasma membranes, leading to instability of permeability in the cell walls and cytoplasmic leakage. These results cause interference with some bacterial physiological activities¹⁸. The research trials of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts showed that the higher the concentration was, the more effective the extracts reduced the number of oral bacterial colonies. Observed from the mechanism of the active compounds, both

Pinus densiflora and *Pinus merkusii* are quite effective both in inhibiting and killing oral pathogens. As a result, further examinations are required to develop natural antibacterial agents from alternative materials in pine trees. The findings of study can also be references for PERHUTANI Indonesia to explore the potentials of *Pinus merkusii* optimally.

Conclusions

In conclusion, *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* have an antibacterial activity against *E. faecalis*, *S. mutans*, and *P. gingivalis*.

Acknowledgements

The authors thanked the Indonesian Ministry of Research and Technology for supporting the research and its publication.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

1. Frencken JE, Sharma P, Stenhouse L, Green D, Lavery D, Dietrich T. Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis – a comprehensive review. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 2017; 44(S18): S94-S105. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12677.
2. Isnarianti R, Wahyudi IA, Puspita RM. Muntingia calabura L Leaves Extract Inhibits Glucosyltransferase Activity of *Streptococcus mutans*. *Journal of Dentistry Indonesia* 2013; 20(3):59-63. DOI: 10.14693/jdi.v20i3.195
3. Kim H, Lee B, Yun KW. Comparison of chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oils from three *Pinus* species. *Industrial Crops and Products* 2013; 44: 323-9. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.10.026>.
4. Lee DG, Lee SJ, Rodriguez JP, Kim IH, Chang T, Lee S. Antifungal activity of pinosylvin from *Pinus densiflora* on turfgrass fungal diseases. *Journal of Applied Biological Chemistry* 2017; 60(3): 213-8. doi:JABC-60-213. [PubMed:SC000027568].
5. Patra JK, Kim SH, Hwang H, Choi JW, Baek K-H. Volatile Compounds and Antioxidant Capacity of the Bio-Oil Obtained by Pyrolysis of Japanese Red Pine (*Pinus Densiflora* Siebold and Zucc.). *Molecules* 2015; 20(3): 3986-4006. Doi:10.3390/molecules20033986.
6. Azwanida N. A review on the extraction methods use in medicinal plants, principle, strength, and limitation. *Med Aromat Plants* 2015; 4(3): 1-6 196. doi:10.4172/2167-0412.1000196
7. Delost MD. Introduction to diagnostic microbiology for the laboratory sciences. United States of America: Jones & Bartlett Publishers; 2014: 51-57; 83-119
8. Balouiri M, Sadiki M, Ibsnouda SK. Methods for in vitro evaluating antimicrobial activity: A review. *Journal of pharmaceutical analysis* 2016; 6(2): 71-9.
9. Carroll KC, Butel J, Morse S. Jawetz Melnick and Adelbergs Medical Microbiology 27 E. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education; 2015: 371-379; http://microbiology.sbmu.ac.ir/uploads/jawetz_2015_medical_microbiology.pdf
10. Silhavy TJ, Kahne D, Walker S. The bacterial cell envelope. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 2010; 2(5): a000414;1-6.
11. Lopez-Romero JC, González-Ríos H, Borges A, Simões M. Antibacterial effects and mode of action of selected essential oils components against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2015; 2015: 1-10; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/795435>
12. Ding Q. The Antimicrobial Effect of Benzoic Acid or Propyl Paraben Treatment combined with UV-A Light on *Escherichia Coli* O157: H7; Faculty of the Graduate School: University of Maryland; 2017: 9-17; 31-37; <http://hdl.handle.net/1903/19521>.
13. Rastogi N, Domadia P, Shetty S, Dasgupta D. Screening of natural phenolic compounds for potential to inhibit bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. *Indian J Exp Biol* 2008; 46(11): 783-7.
14. Dubey S. Comparative antimicrobial efficacy of herbal alternatives (*Embllica officinalis*, *Psidium guajava*), MTAD, and 2.5% sodium hypochlorite against *Enterococcus faecalis*: An in vitro study. *Journal of oral biology and craniofacial research* 2016; 6(1): 46-9.
15. Cushnie TT, Lamb AJ. Recent advances in understanding the antibacterial properties of flavonoids. *International journal of antimicrobial agents* 2011; 38(2): 99-107.
16. Faizal A, Geelen D. Saponins and their role in biological processes in plants. *Phytochemistry Reviews* 2013; 12(4): 877-93.
17. Xie Y, Yang W, Tang F, Chen X, Ren L. Antibacterial activities of flavonoids: structure-activity relationship and mechanism. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 2015; 22(1): 132-49.
18. Netala VR, Ghosh SB, Bobbu P, Anitha D, Tarte V. Triterpenoid saponins: A review on biosynthesis, applications, and mechanism of their action. *Int J Pham Pharm Sci* 2015; 7(1): 24-8.

Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and Sumatran Pine (*Pinus merkusii*) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

ORIGINALITY REPORT

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

7%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	repository.unair.ac.id Internet Source	2%
2	D A Rusmawati, I Yuliasih, T C Sunarti. "Acetylated sago starch-based antimicrobial edible film", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020 Publication	1%
3	benthamopen.com Internet Source	1%
4	eprints.umm.ac.id Internet Source	1%
5	www.sysrevpharm.org Internet Source	1%
6	Jo E. Frencken, Praveen Sharma, Laura Stenhouse, David Green, Dominic Laverty, Thomas Dietrich. "Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis - a	1%

comprehensive review", Journal of Clinical
Periodontology, 2017

Publication

-
- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 7 | Teklie Mengie, Solomon Mequanente, Dereje Nigussie, Belete Legesse, Eyasu Makonnen. "Investigation of Wound Healing and Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Solvent Fractions of 80% Methanol Leaf Extract of <i>Achyranthes aspera</i> L. (Amaranthaceae) in Rats", Journal of Inflammation Research, 2021
Publication | 1 % |
| 8 | www.e-journal.unair.ac.id
Internet Source | 1 % |
| 9 | H A Dien, R I Montolalu, S Berhimpon. "Liquid smoke inhibits growth of pathogenic and histamine forming bacteria on skipjack fillets", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019
Publication | <1 % |
| 10 | docplayer.net
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 11 | ijpsr.com
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 12 | ppjp.ulm.ac.id
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 13 | Ono Suparno, Tania Panandita, Amalia Afifah, Marimin, Rini Purnawati. "Antibacterial | <1 % |

activities of leave extracts as bactericides for soaking of skin or hide", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018

Publication

14

Ren-You Gan, Zi-Qing Deng, Ai-Xin Yan, Nagendra Prasad Shah, Wing-Yee Lui, Chak-Lun Chan, Harold Corke. "Pigmented edible bean coats as natural sources of polyphenols with antioxidant and antibacterial effects", LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2016

Publication

<1 %

15

www.ijss-sn.com

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and Sumatran Pine (*Pinus merkusii*) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4
