




VOL. 248, SUPPLEMENT 1

ISSN 0044-8486

# Aquaculture





[Submit your article](#)

[Guide for authors](#)

[Menu](#)

[Search in this journal](#)

## About the journal

[Aims and scope](#)

[Editorial board](#)

[Abstracting and indexing](#)

### Editor-in-Chief

D.M. Gatlin

Texas A&M University Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, 77843-2258, College Station, Texas, United States of America

(Vertebrate Nutrition)

[Email this editor](#)

### Section Editors

Q. Ai

Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China

[Email this editor](#)

J. A. H. Benzie

University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

(Genetics)

[Email this editor](#)

P. Bossier

Ghent University, Gent, Belgium

(Diseases)

[Email this editor](#)

M. M. Dey, PhD

Texas State University Department of Agricultural Sciences, San Marcos, Texas, United States of America

[Email this editor](#)

J. Galindo-Villegas, PhD

Nord University Department of Genomics, Bodø, Norway

Immunity, Fish models, Host-microbe, Innate, Mucosal

[Email this editor](#)

F. Kibenge, BVM, PhD

University of Prince Edward Island, Atlantic Veterinary College, Department of Pathology & Microbiology, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada

Aquatic viruses, Orthomyxoviruses, reoviruses, Coronaviruses, Bafinivirus, Virus evolution, Virus-host interactions, Virus detection, Viral vaccines, Immunology

[Email this editor](#)

D.C. Little

University of Stirling, Stirling, United Kingdom

(Social Aspects of Aquaculture)

[Email this editor](#)

M. Longshaw

Calysta (UK) Ltd., Redcar, United Kingdom

Parasitology, Pathology, Fish health

[Email this editor](#) ↗

W.A. O'Connor

Port Stephens Fisheries Centre, Taylors Beach, Australia  
(Invertebrate Physiology)

[Email this editor](#) ↗

J.G. Qin, PhD

Flinders University College of Science and Engineering, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia  
Aquaculture Production, Nutrition, Culture System, Husbandry, Aquatic Ecology

[Email this editor](#) ↗

A. P. Shinn, PhD

Fish Vet Group Asia, Samet, Thailand

Aquatic animal health, aquatic parasitology, disease diagnostics, disease management and control, chemotherapy

[Email this editor](#) ↗

A. Takemura, PhD

University of the Ryukyus Faculty of Science Graduate School of Engineering and Science, Nakagami-gun, Japan

Aquaculture, biological rhythm, circadian, coral reef, fish, gonadotropin, lunar cycle, melatonin, ovary, reproduction, sex steroids, tide, Internal and external regulation of enigmatic rhythms in fish and marine invertebrates, reproductive physiology, biological clock

[Email this editor](#) ↗

M.T. Viana

Autonomous University of Baja California Institute of Oceanology, Ensenada, Mexico  
(Invertebrate Nutrition)

[Email this editor](#) ↗

M. N. Wilder, PhD

Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba, Japan  
Invertebrate Physiology and Endocrinology

[Email this editor](#) ↗

## Editorial Advisory Board

A. Arenal, PhD

University of Camaguey, Camaguey, Cuba

Shrimp, molecular biology, microbiology, tilapia, immunology

R. Ballestrazzi

University of Udine Department of Agricultural Food Environmental and Animal Sciences, Udine, Italy

G. Bastos Gomes, BVM, MVM, CertAqV, PhD

Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Aquaculture microbiome, Environmental DNA, aquatic animal diseases, fish protozoan parasites, AI in aquaculture

B. Beck

Auburn, Alabama, United States of America

B. Belton

Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America

T.J. Benfey, PhD

University of New Brunswick Fredericton Biology Department, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada

Polyploidy, sex control, fish physiology, aquaculture

A. Bonaldo

University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

A.H. Buschmann, PhD

University of Los Lagos i-mar Centre, Puerto Montt, Chile

Algal ecology, Development of cultivation methods and strategies for macroalgae, Use of macroalgae for bioremediation of aquaculture impacts

S. Bush

Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands

L. Chen

East China Normal University, Shanghai, China  
Crustacean, Fish, Nutrition, Metabolism, Biochemistry.

B.A. Costa-Pierce

University of New England, Biddeford, Maine, United States of America  
(Production Sciences)

K. Dabrowski

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, United States of America

H. Dong, PhD

Thonburi, Bangkok, Thailand  
Aquatic animal health, histopathology, emerging infectious diseases, molecular diagnosis, vaccines

S.-J. Fu, PhD

Chongqing University School of Life Sciences, Chongqing, China  
Swimming performance, Exercise training, Digestion, Metabolic rate, Thermal biology

A. García-Ortega, PhD

University of Hawai'i at Hilo, Hilo, Hawaii, United States of America  
Fish Nutrition, Marine Fish, Algae, Seaweeds

F.J. Gatesoupe

Ifremer Brittany Centre, Plouzane, France

R. W. Hardy

University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, United States of America

D. Hedgcock

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

A. J. Hernández Arias, PhD

Temuco Catholic University, Temuco, Chile  
Aquaculture, Fish Nutrition, Aquafeeds

M. Jobling

UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

S. Kaushik

French National Institute for Agricultural Research INRAE, Paris, France

F. Kruijssen

WorldFish, Amsterdam, Netherlands

F. Lahnsteiner

Paris Lodron University of Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

P. Li

National Renderers Association Inc Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong

I. Lupatsch

Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom

J. Mata-Sotres

Baja California, México, Ensenada, Mexico  
Fish Nutrition, Fish Physiology, Fish Larvae, Molecular Biology, Nutritional Stress

H. Migaud

University of Stirling, Stirling, United Kingdom

S. Moriyama

Kitasato University, Tokyo, Minato-Ku, Japan

H.M. Munang'andu, DVM, MSc, PhD

Norwegian University of Life Sciences Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Oslo, Norway  
Infection biology, Immunology and vaccinology

C.C. Mylonas  
Hellenic Center for Marine Research, Anavyssos, Greece

D. J. Penman, PhD, BSc  
University of Stirling Institute of Aquaculture, Stirling, United Kingdom  
Genetics, Genomics, Aquaculture

T.G. Pottinger  
UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, United Kingdom

H. Rodger, PhD, MRCVS  
Institute of Aquaculture, Scotland, Galway, Ireland

A.N.R. Rombenso, PhD  
Queensland Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Bribie Island Research Facility, Bribie Island, Australia  
Aquaculture nutrition, Fatty acid, Feed formulation, Extrusion technology, and Marine finfish

S.-S. Sheen  
National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan

B.C. Small  
Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, Illinois, United States of America

J. K. Swain, PhD  
UiT Arctic University of Norway, Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Tromsø, Norway  
Immunology, Vaccinology, fish disease, molecular biology, immunomodulation

K. Thompson, BSc, MSc, PhD  
Moredun Research Institute, Penicuik, United Kingdom  
Fish Immunology, Fish Vaccines, Fish health, Diagnostics, Functional feeds

H. Thorarensen  
Holar University College, Sauðárkrúki, Iceland

J. Vielma, PhD  
National Resources Institute Finland Department of Forests, Vantaa, Finland  
Recirculation aquaculture, RAS aquaculture, Nutrition, Nutrient discharges

K. T. Wada  
National Research Institute of Aquaculture, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Tamaki, Japan

T. Yamamoto  
National Research Institute of Aquaculture, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Tamaki, Japan  
Nutrition, Protein, Amino Acids, Digestion

G.-H. Yue  
National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Z. Zhou, PhD  
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China-Norway Joint Lab on Fish Gut Microbiota, Haidian, Beijing, China  
Fish Nutrition, Fish Disease, Gut Health, Gut Microbiota, Fish diet

## Associate Editors

Jun-Ichi Hikima  
University of Miyazaki Faculty of Agriculture Graduate School of Agriculture, Miyazaki, Japan

Francesco Buonocore  
University of Tuscia Department for Innovation in Biological Agricultural Food and Forestry Systems, Viterbo, Italy

All members of the Editorial Board have identified their affiliated institutions or organizations, along with the corresponding country or geographic region. Elsevier remains neutral with regard to any jurisdictional claims.



Copyright © 2022 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors.  
ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.



[Submit your article](#)

[Guide for authors](#)

[Menu](#)

[Search in this journal](#)

## Volume 550

In progress (15 March 2022)

This issue is in progress but contains articles that are final and fully citable.

[Download full issue](#)

[Previous vol/issue](#)

[Next vol/issue](#)

Receive an update when the latest issues in this journal are published

[Sign in to set up alerts](#)

### Diseases

Research article  Abstract only

The dyslexia-associated KIAA0319-like protein from *Marsupenaeus japonicus* recognizes white spot syndrome virus and restricts its replication

Guo-Juan Niu, Xiao-Xu Zhu, Peng-Yuan Lu, Ming-Chong Yang, ... Jin-Xing Wang

Article 737827

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

Research article  Abstract only

Functional characterization of myosin III light chain b of sea perch (*Lateolabrax japonicus*) in response to fish virus infection

Wanwan Zhang, Lan Yao, Xiaoqi Chen, Ming Li, ... Kuntong Jia

Article 737840

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

Research article  Abstract only

The highly conserved  $\alpha$ -enolase stimulates cross-protective immunity against serotype I and II *Streptococcus iniae* infection in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)

Jun Wang, Erlong Wang, Tao Liu, Yang He, Kaiyu Wang

Article 737854

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

Research article  Abstract only

Luteolin in *Lonicera japonica* inhibits the proliferation of white spot syndrome virus in the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*

Hai-Feng Jiang, Cheng Chen, Xin-Yuan Jiang, Jing-Lei Shen, ... Gao-Xue Wang

Article 737852

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Development of a multiplex PCR assay for parentage assignment of the redclaw crayfish (*Cherax quadricarinatus*)

Yuling Bian, Shili Liu, YINUO Liu, Yongyi Jia, ... Zhimin Gu

Article 737813

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Comparative rapid identification of *Salmo salar*, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, and *Oncorhynchus keta* components based on loop-mediated isothermal amplification and quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Hui Li, Jiaqi Kong, Ruibin Xie, Wenjie Yu, Ailiang Chen

Article 737835

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Improving genomic prediction accuracy for harvest traits in Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*, Bloch 1790) via marker selection

Sila Sukhavachana, Wansuk Senanan, Suriyan Tunkijjanukij, Supawadee Poompuang

Article 737851

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Identification of genes associated with carotenoids accumulation in scallop (*Patinopecten yessoensis*)

Shuyue Wang, Huizhen Wang, Liang Zhao, Yihan Zhang, ... Xiaoli Hu

Article 737850

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Population structure and geographic origin assignment of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* mussels using SNPs

Ane del Rio-Lavín, Natalia Díaz-Arce, María Angélica Larraín, Cristián Arnedo, ... Miguel Ángel Pardo

Article 737836

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Identification of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and its hybrids in natural environments in Hawaii

Lei S. Yamasaki, Thomas Iwai, RuthEllen C. Klinger-Bowen, David A. Weese, ... Michael A. Wong

Article 737805

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Genomic differentiation and selection signatures of two elite varieties of Yesso scallop *Mizuhopecten yessoensis*

Jia Lv, Yantong Cai, Pingping Liu, Xiaowen Huang, ... Jingjie Hu

Article 737842

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Nutrition

---

Research article [○](#) Abstract only

Dietary thiamine requirement and its effects on glycolipid metabolism in oriental river prawn (*Macrobrachium nipponense*)

Miao Sun, Xiang-Fei Li, Ya-Ping Ge, Ling Zhang, ... Wen-Bin Liu

Article 737824

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#) [▼](#)

Research article [○](#) Abstract only


More simple more worse: Simple carbohydrate diets cause alterations in glucose and lipid metabolism in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

Wen-Hao Zhou, Chen-Chen Wu, Samwel M. Limbu, Rui-Xin Li, ... Zhen-Yu Du



Article 737857

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 


Research article  Abstract only

Lipid metabolism in juvenile of Yellowtail, *Seriola dorsalis* fed diets containing different lipid levels

José Antonio Mata-Sotres, Carolina Flores-Salas, Arleta Krystyna Skrzynska, Aurora Tinajero, ... María Teresa Viana

Article 737870


[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 


Research article  Abstract only

Dietary sodium lactate promotes protein and lipid deposition through increasing energy supply from glycolysis in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

Rui-Xin Li, Wen-Hao Zhou, Jiong Ren, Jie Wang, ... Zhen-Yu Du

Article 737858

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Open access

Dietary novel oils modulate neural function and preserve locomotor response in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles by regulating synthesis and contents of fatty acids in brain


Marta Carvalho, Daniel Montero, Paolo Domenici, Juan Manuel Afonso, Marisol Izquierdo

Article 737873

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

*Physiology and Endocrinology: Fish*

---


Research article  Abstract only

Crowding stress-related protein markers: New candidates for assessing welfare of largemouth bass reared in an in-pond raceway system

Erteng Jia, Weibo Jiang, Wenbin Liu, Guangzhen Jiang, ... Dingdong Zhang

Article 737821


[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 


Research article  Abstract only

Gonadotropin induction of spermiation in Senegalese sole: Effect of temperature and stripping time

François Chauvigné, Jessica Lleberia, Carlos Vilafranca, Diogo Rosado, ... Joan Cerdà

Article 737844

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 


Research article  Abstract only

Protective mechanism of homologous lactic acid bacteria against cholestatic liver injury in snakehead fish

Yidi Kong, Min Li, Xueqin Wu, Changge Xia, ... Guiqin Wang

Article 737845


[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Abstract only

Differential transcriptomic profiling provides new insights into oocyte development and lipid droplet formation in Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*)

Jiangbo Qu, Rui Li, Yuheng Xie, Yuxiang Liu, ... Quanqi Zhang

Article 737843

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Abstract only

Evaluation of dietary genistein on the antioxidant capacity, non-specific immune status, and fatty acid composition of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* .L)

Liping Yang, Wenlei Zhang, Shaoyang Zhi, Mengjuan Zhao, ... Guoxing Nie

Article 737822

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

FEEDBACK 

Research article  Abstract only

Effects of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) enriched with *Schizochytrium* sp. on zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) reproductive performances

Giulia Chemello, Matteo Zarantoniello, Basilio Randazzo, Giorgia Gioacchini, ... Ike Olivotto

Article 737853

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

*Physiology and Endocrinology: Molluscs and Crustaceans*

---

Research article  Abstract only

Species-specific ammonia tolerance in the marine rotifers *Brachionus plicatilis* and *Brachionus rotundiformis*: Reproductive characteristics and its mechanisms

Chengyan Han, Hee-Jin Kim, Yoshitaka Sakakura, Atsushi Hagiwara

Article 737837

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Abstract only

Effects of heat shock protein 70 knockdown on the tolerance of the brine shrimp *Artemia franciscana* to aquaculture-related stressors: Implications for aquatic animal health and production

Liqing, Mat Taib Mimi Iryani, Aijun Lv, Jinfeng Sun, ... Yeong Yik Sung

Article 737872

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

*Production Science*

---

Short communication  Abstract only

Effects of salinity and temperature on growth and survival of diploid and tetraploid larvae of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*

Yongguo Li, Chengxun Xu, Qi Li

Article 737809

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Open access

Positive welfare effects of physical enrichments from the nature-, functions- and feeling- based approaches in farmed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

Valentin Brunet, Aude Kleiber, Amélie Patinote, Pierre-Lô Sudan, ... Violaine Colson

Article 737825

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Abstract only

Investigating the conversion from nitrifying to denitrifying water-treatment efficiencies of the biofloc biofilter in a recirculating aquaculture system

Wenchang Liu, Bo Xu, Hongxin Tan, Songming Zhu, ... Yumei Wan

Article 737817

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Short communication  Abstract only

Impacts of environmental and feeding regime variability on the feeding activity responses of atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* L. farmed in Southern Chile

Josefa Behrend, Alfredo Illanes, Edwin Niklitschek, Víctor Valerio, ... José L.P. Muñoz

Article 737839

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article  Abstract only

Replacing dietary fish meal improves ecosystem services of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) farming

Zhenghe Cui, Jingya Zhang, Xing Ren, Yan Wang

Article 737830

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Open access](#)

### Co-cultivation with blue mussels increases yield and biomass quality of kelp

Matthew S. Hargrave, Göran M. Nylund, Swantje Enge, Henrik Pavia

Article 737832

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

### Effect of fish waste hydrolysate on growth performance and health status of milk fish (*Chanos chanos*) and its potential to reduce feed

K.P. Sandeep, Debasis De, Sujeet Kumar, R. Ananda Raja, ... K.K. Vijayan

Article 737834

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

---

## Sustainability and Society

Research article [Open access](#)

### Are consumers in developing countries willing to pay for aquaculture food safety certification? Evidence from a field experiment in Nigeria

Nhuong Tran, Kelvin Mashisia Shikuku, Vivian Hoffmann, Carl Johan Lagerkvist, ... Conner Bailey

Article 737829

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

### Occupational noise exposure in Canada's salmonid aquaculture industry

Jonathan K. Stone, Lorenzo Moro

Article 737831

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

### Price analysis of the Indonesian carrageenan seaweed industry

Alexandra Langford, Jing Zhang, Scott Waldron, Boedi Julianto, ... Nunung Nuryartono

Article 737828

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Full text access](#)

### Covid-19 Opens up domestic market for Indian shrimp

M. Krishnan, Suresh Chandra Babu

Article 737818

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

### Nature, extent, and causes of post-harvest losses at fisher and farmer level: An in-depth study

Md. Masudul Haque Prodhan, Md. Akhtaruzzaman Khan, Md. Salauddin Palash, Md. Takibur Rahman

Article 737856

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Open access](#)

### Fish growth trajectory tracking using Q-learning in precision aquaculture

Abderrazak Chahid, Ibrahima N'Doye, John E. Majoris, Michael L. Berumen, Taous-Meriem Laleg-Kirati

Article 737838

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

---


## Bacteria and microbial ecology

Research article [Abstract only](#)

Promotion effect of bacteria in phycosphere on flocculation activity of *Aspergillus niger* on *Synechocystis* biomass

Yi Li, He Nie, Huike Zhang, Wenfang Niu, ... Hailei Wang

Article 737833

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Open access](#)

Microbiome response to foam fractionation and ozonation in RAS

Sanni L. Aalto, Elisavet Syropoulou, Kim João de Jesus Gregersen, Marja Tirola, ... Lars-Flemming Pedersen

Article 737846


[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

Metagenomic profiles of core and signature bacteria in the guts of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, with different growth rates

Muhamad Amin, Rosyi Reza Cahya Kumala, Akhmad Taufiq Mukti, Mirni Lamid, Daruti Dinda Nindarwi

Article 737849

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article [Abstract only](#)

Response signatures of intestinal microbiota and gene transcription of the tiger grouper *Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* to nervous necrosis virus infection

Yukai Yang, Heizhao Lin, Yifu Xing, Jiufu Wen, ... Yafei Duan

Article 737848

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Erratum

Erratum [Full text access](#)

Erratum to 'Fish as feed: Using economic allocation to quantify the Fish In: Fish Out ratio of major fed aquaculture species' [AQUACULTURE 528C (2020) 735474].

Björn Kok, Wesley Malcorps, Michael F. Tlusty, Mahmoud M. Eltholth, ... Simon J. Davies

Article 737800

[Download PDF](#)

[< Previous vol/issue](#)

[Next vol/issue >](#)

ISSN: 0044-8486

Copyright © 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved



Copyright © 2022 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors.  
ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

FEEDBACK 



# Metagenomic profiles of core and signature bacteria in the guts of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, with different growth rates

Muhamad Amin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Rosyi Reza Cahya Kumala<sup>b</sup>, Akhmad Taufiq Mukti<sup>a</sup>, Mirni Lamid<sup>c</sup>, Daruti Dinda Nindarwi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C UNAIR, Jl. Mulyorejo Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

<sup>b</sup> Aquaculture Study Program, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C UNAIR, Jl. Mulyorejo Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C UNAIR, Jl. Mulyorejo Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

**Keywords:**  
Bacteria  
Food production  
Growth  
Gut  
NGS  
White shrimp

## ABSTRACT

A large number of studies have previously revealed evidence suggesting a strong correlation between gut bacteria and the growth performance of aquatic animals. However, specific research reporting bacterial communities inhabiting white shrimps with different growth rates are still very limited. Thus, the present study aimed at investigating the structure and composition of core and signature bacteria in the gut of shrimps at different growth rates. A total of 60 healthy white shrimps, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, with different growth rates (slow vs fast) were collected from three shrimp ponds as replicates. Bacterial 16S rRNA genes associated with these shrimp guts were extracted, amplified and sequenced using next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis to determine the structure and composition of the bacterial community within and between groups. The result showed that 35 genera of 181 detected genera (19.34%) were considered to be the core microbiome in the gut of white shrimps regarding their prevalence in all samples including *Illumatobacter*, *Ruegeria*, *Candidatus Bacillopora*, *Roseovarius*, *Silicimonas*, *Algoriphagus*, *Haloferula*, *Dinoroesobacter*, *Vibrio*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bdellovibrio*, *Shimia*, and *Robiginitalea*. In addition, there was a strong association between diversity and species richness of gut bacteria and the growth of white shrimps. The species richness and the Shannon index representing bacterial diversity were significantly lower in the fast-growing shrimps ( $p < 0.05$ ), reinforcing the close relationship between gut bacteria and their host growth. Further analysis using linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) indicated that nine bacterial species were significantly higher in the fast-growing shrimps (Group 1) than the slow-growing shrimps (Group 2). The nine species were identified as *Coprococcus comes* (OTU58), *Oscillibacter* sp. ER4 (OTU74), *Acidaminococcus intestini* (OTU210) and *Bacteroidetes ovatus* (OTU671), *Oscillibacter* sp. (OTU274), *Peptococcus* sp. (OTU218), *Clostridium phoceensis* (OTU313), *Legionella* sp. (OTU682), and unidentified Clostridiales (OTU186). These results might suggest that the nine bacterial species are bacterial signatures for the high growth shrimps. However, due to the novelty of the shrimp gut bacteria, further studies are still required to understand their specific roles and contribution to the growth of white shrimps.

## 1. Introduction

White shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, is one of the highest economic-value products in the world including Indonesia. The shrimp has been commercially cultivated in Indonesia since 2000 to replace tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*, which was destroyed by viral diseases such as the white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and monodon baculovirus (MBV) (Budhiman et al., 2005). A few years later, shrimp farming has increased dramatically and become the main cultivable species of crustacean in

Indonesian aquaculture industries. Currently, Indonesia has become the fourth largest shrimp exporting country after India, Ecuador and Argentina (Henriksson et al., 2019). Recent data indicated that total Indonesian shrimp production in 2018 was 147 thousand metric tons valued at more than 1.3 billion \$ USD and make shrimp become Indonesia's leading export for fisheries commodities (Wati, 2018). With its high potency area for shrimp production which has not been used yet, the Indonesian government even set a target to be the largest shrimp exporting country in future. Therefore, various strategies have been

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [muhamad.amin@fpk.unair.ac.id](mailto:muhamad.amin@fpk.unair.ac.id) (M. Amin).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737849>

Received 10 July 2021; Received in revised form 14 December 2021; Accepted 19 December 2021

Available online 22 December 2021

0044-8486/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

developed to increase yield in shrimp farming industries including manipulation of gut bacteria through probiotic supplementation etc.

A large number of studies have previously claimed evidence suggesting that there was a close interaction between gut bacteria and the growth performance of cultured animals. A study by Fan et al. (2019a), for instance, revealed that the structure, species richness and evenness of gut microbiota was highly associated with the shrimp body weight. Similarly, Hasyimi et al. (2020) reported a strong correlation between gut microbiota and feed conversion ratio as well as the specific growth rate of white shrimp. However, these studies used different diets to feed the shrimp samples. These variables have been previously reported to contribute significantly to any discrepancy of gut microbiota (Gao et al., 2019; Huynh et al., 2019; Sharawy et al., 2020). In addition, different rearing conditions, life stages, and host physiological conditions might also contribute to the difference in bacterial communities inhabiting gut tracts (Amin, 2010; Giatsis et al., 2015; Rajeev et al., 2021). With such an experimental design, we are not sure whether the difference in animal growth was caused directly by the difference in microbial composition or could be the diet which had a direct effect on the growth of culture animals, and later shaped different microbial compositions in their guts. As a consequence, the general conclusion derived from such experiments such as genus/species marker in the high growth shrimps which becomes the main finding of such study could be bias. Therefore, more specific studies are required to investigate bacterial markers in bigger bodyweight or high growth rates. However, few published data on the relationship between shrimp growth and gut microbes are available and the knowledge of the shrimp gut bacteria at different growth is still limited. Furthermore, the effect of the gut bacteria on shrimp growth performance is still uncertain and lacks support

information.

The present study investigated the difference in structure and composition of the bacterial community associated with the gut of shrimp with different growth rates by taking samples from the same ponds. We presumed that other factors such as diets and environmental conditions were the same as the two samples groups shared the same diet and other rearing conditions. As replicates, we also took samples from two other ponds therefore we had a total of three replicates. This experimental design will have a better understanding of the relationship between shrimp groups. An Illumina-based next-generation sequencing (NGS) method was used to analyze the diversity and composition of the gut bacteria and seek the association among gut bacterial structure, and bodyweight of shrimp. The NGS technology may contribute to the improvement of aquaculture sustainability of Pacific white shrimp (Rodríguez-Anaya et al., 2018). An in-depth understanding of the bacterial ecology in the shrimp gut can later help to improve aquaculture productivity.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Pond culture system

Three intensive shrimp ponds (800m<sup>2</sup> HDPE pond; 1.2 m water depth; and 247 ind/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density) located at Bangkalan, Madura Island, East Java, Indonesia (7.021874° S, 112.741575° E) were selected for the present study (Fig. 1). All ponds were stocked with the same shrimp larvae (F1 larvae from a certified shrimp hatchery in Indonesia), the same feeding rate (4%bw/day) and the same feed type (commercial shrimp pellet with ~33% crude protein content). In addition water




Fig. 1. Geographical location of intensive white shrimp ponds at which the shrimp samples were collected (Madura Island, East Java, Indonesia).

quality parameters among the three ponds were quite similar including pH (7.77–8.00), salinity (30.67–32.33 psu), temperature (28.33–28.67 °C), phosphate (0.13–0.47 mg/L), nitrite (0.25–0.28 mg/L), and dissolved oxygen (4.13–4.53 mg/L). Furthermore, no diseases had been reported during the farming period. In the beginning, 30 healthy shrimp larvae used in the culture period were randomly sampled on day 0 of culture (DOC 0) and measured its weight and length to get an average of initial shrimp size.

## 2.2. Gut sampling

A total of 60 healthy white shrimps at DOC 47 were collected from the three intensive shrimp ponds (@20 shrimps). The 20 shrimps from each pond consisted of 10 small shrimps and 10 big shrimps (~2 times bigger than the size of small shrimps). The average body weight and length of shrimp samples from each pond were presented in Table 1. The shrimp samples were collected using a scope net from each pond at three sites (@3–4 shrimps) according to a protocol of Rozario and Devarajan (2020) to increase sampling precision. Prior to the sampling, all shrimps were fasted for 12 h to reduce or empty the intestinal tract at the sampling time (Gao et al., 2019). In addition, all shrimps sampled during this study were in healthy condition and showed no clinically pathological signs or abnormal conditions including clean and bright skin colour, active swimming/movement, complete organ, reed gills, transparent hepatopancreas, no deformities, and free from ectoparasites (Huang et al., 2018). All shrimps were then placed in an icebox and transported to Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Universitas Airlangga in ~1 h. Afterwards, shrimp guts were sampled in a laminar flow hood as previously described by Amin (2010) with some modifications. In Brief, shrimps were firstly rinsed individually with distilled water, followed by 70% ethanol, and washed with sterile distilled water. Thereafter, the shrimps were dissected aseptically in a laminar hood and the gut was stored in a 1.8 ml sterile microtube individually which was previously filled with RNA later (RNAlater™: SIGMA) for bacterial DNA preservation. The gut samples were then stored in a freezer (–20 °C) until further analysis.

## 2.3. DNA extraction

Bacterial DNA in the shrimp guts was extracted using QIAamp DNA Microbiome Kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and quality of the extracted DNA were assessed using a DNA-RNA protein Quantification Spectrophotometer (MN-913A MaestroNano Pro). The sterile microtubes containing the extracted DNA were then stored at –20 °C until further use.

**Table 1**

The initial weight, final weight, weight gain and the specific growth rate of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*.

Parameters	Group 1			Group 2		
	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3
IW (g)	0.083 ± 0.001	0.088 ± 0.001	0.088 ± 0.001	0.083 ± 0.001	0.088 ± 0.001	0.088 ± 0.001
FW (g)	6.80 ± 0.42 <sup>b</sup>	6.71 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	6.59 ± 0.49 <sup>b</sup>	3.66 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	3.96 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	3.70 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>
WG (g)	6.80 ± 0.42 <sup>b</sup>	6.71 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	6.59 ± 0.49 <sup>b</sup>	3.66 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	3.96 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	3.70 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>
SGR (%bw. day <sup>-1</sup> )	209.30 ± 13.04 <sup>b</sup>	204.96 ± 4.12 <sup>b</sup>	203.03 ± 7.41 <sup>b</sup>	143.67 ± 13.04 <sup>a</sup>	152.02 ± 9.40 <sup>a</sup>	144.88 ± 13.23 <sup>a</sup>

IW: Initial weight, FW: Final weight, WG: Weight gain, Group 1: shrimps with high growth rate, Group 2: shrimps with low growth rate, SGR: specific growth rate. P1: Shrimp pond 1, P2: Shrimp pond 2, and P3 is shrimp pond 3. Values are average with a standard deviation of 10 white shrimps. Different manuscripts represent significant differences in values at  $p < 0.05$ .

## 2.4. Amplification of 16S rRNA gene

The amplification of 16S rRNA genes and microbial community analysis was performed in Novogene Biological Information Technology Co. (Singapore). The hypervariable V3-V4 region of the bacteria 16S rRNA genes was amplified using a set of barcoded fusion reverse primers and the same forward primer. 16S rRNA genes of distinct 16SV3-V4 regions were amplified using a pair of specific primers (515F: CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG, and 806R: GGACTACNNGGTATCTAAT) with barcodes. The PCR amplification was carried out in a 50-μL reaction containing Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 0.2 μM of forward and reverse primers, and 10 ng template DNA. The PCR amplification was carried out under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 55 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 90s and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Then, quantification and quality of PCR products were assessed by mixing the same volume of 1× loading buffer (contained SYB green) with the PCR products, followed by running the mixture in electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel. Samples with a bright main strip between 400 bp–450 bp were selected for purification with Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, the PCR products were adjusted to the same concentration and subsequently sent to the Genome Sequencing Company (Novogene, China) for microbial analysis. Paired-end libraries were generated with NEBNext® Ultra™ DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina and quantified via Qubit and Q-PCR and subsequently analysed by the Illumina HiSeq.

## 2.5. Data treatment and bioinformatic analysis

The obtained paired-end reads were assigned to each sample group based on their unique barcodes, followed by cutting off the barcode and primer sequences. Thereafter, paired-end reads were merged using FLASH V1.2.7 to produce raw tags (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). Afterwards, the raw tags were filtered out under specific conditions to produce high-quality clean tags according to the Qiime V1.7.0 (Caporaso et al., 2010). The clean tags were compared with the reference database (SILVA database) using the UCHIME algorithm and produced the Effective Tags. All the effective tag sequences were then analysed using Uparse software v7.0.1090, and sequences with ≥97% similarity were assigned to the same operational taxonomical unit (OTU) for annotation. The annotation of each OTU into taxonomical classification was performed as previously described by Fan et al. (2019b). OTUs abundance information was firstly normalized using a standard sequence number corresponding to the sample with the least sequences. Subsequent analyses of alpha diversity and beta diversity were all performed based on this output normalized data. In the end, linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis was performed to find the taxonomic biomarkers between sample groups. In addition, SPSS ver 23 software was used to compare sample groups, OTU richness, Observed species and Shannon index.

## 3. Results

The present study viewed the structure, diversity and uniqueness of bacterial communities associated with the guts of shrimps with different growth rates. Two sample groups of shrimps compared in the present study consisted of fast-growing shrimps (Group 1) and slow-growing shrimps (group 2). Starting from the same initial age (PL12), weight (~17 mg), receiving the same diets and sharing the same rearing environment, the white shrimps grew significantly different ( $F = 86.67$ ,  $df_5$ ,  $54 p < 0.001$ ). The average initial weight, final weight, weight gain and specific growth rate of each sample group collected from three ponds were presented in Table 1. The shrimp guts were then dissected out from each group sample were then used for further bacterial community studies.

### 3.1. Raw read sequences and selected sequences for taxonomic designation

A total of 841,201 raw sequences were obtained from the six pooled samples (3 samples from Group 1 and 3 samples from Group 2) on Illumina paired-end platform. After quality filtering, 629,212 high-quality sequencings were selected and used for constructing the operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The summarizations of sequence numbers obtained in each step of data processing were presented in Table 2.

Of the 629,212 high-quality sequences, 610,916 sequences (97.1%) were clustered into 902 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with an identity threshold of 97%. While 18,296 sequences (2.9%) were classified as unique sequences consisting of 10,099 sequences from Group 1, and 8197 sequences from Group 2. Unique was defined as the number of sequences with a frequency of 1 and only occurs in one sample. In general, the average OTU richness across all samples was 551 OTUs, ranging from 535 to 608 in the gut of Group 1, and from 453 to 640 in the gut of Group 2 (Fig. 2).

### 3.2. Structure of core shrimp gut bacteria

To display the microbial community structure and diversity in the samples, taxonomic annotation corresponding to the OTUs was constructed. The result showed that 94.14% of the total sequences, across all the samples, were assigned into 14 phyla which were Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Tenericutes, Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, Cyanobacteria, Chlamydiae, Planctomycetes, Fusobacteria, Hydrogenedentes, Deniococcus and Parcubacteria. While about 5.86% of the OTUs were placed in unclassified bacteria. Among these phyla, 8 taxa were considered predominant and core phyla as their abundances were more than 1% of the total sequences. The most abundant phylum was Proteobacteria occupying 53.99% of the total sequences, followed by Actinobacteria (23.67%), Bacteroidetes (4.97%), Tenericutes (3.62%), Firmicutes (2.97%), Chloroflexi (1.39%), and Verrucomicrobia (1.34%) (Fig. 3).

While at the genus level, a total of 181 genera could be detected across all shrimp groups. Of these, the top 100 genera were selected to construct the evolutionary tree using the aligned representative sequences. The relative abundance of each genus was displayed along with the genus in Fig. 4a. In addition, 35 of these 181 genera (19%) were considered as core gut bacteria of white shrimps as their prevalence >10 sequences across all samples (Fig. 4b). The top 13 most abundant genera were *Illumatobacter* occupying 21.5% of the total sequences followed by *Ruegeria* (7.1%), *Candidatus Bacillopora* (3.61%), *Roseovarius* (2.86%), *Silicimonas* (1.67%), *Algoriphagus* (1.48), and *Haloferula* (1.22%). While six other genera appeared to be (<1.0%), including *Dinoroesobacter*, *Vibrio*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bdellovibrio*, *Shimia*, and *Robiginitalea*.

**Table 2**

Raw and qualified sequence reads following Illumina-sequencing of the V3-V4 segment of the 16S rRNA gene.

Sample ID	Raw PE(#)	Raw Tags(#)	Clean Tags(#)	Effective Tags(#)	Base (nt)	Avg Leng(nt)	Q20	Q30
S.1 K	138,509	133,195	131,599	114,913	46,777,239	407	98.46	94.98
S.2 K	148,614	142,217	140,249	102,917	42,025,885	408	98.31	94.48
S.3 K	137,167	131,244	129,461	94,523	39,031,351	413	98.29	94.42
S.1B	131,879	127,098	125,572	112,775	45,882,666	407	98.44	94.87
S.2B	147,256	140,300	138,502	101,593	41,474,226	408	98.37	94.65
S.3B	137,776	132,252	130,062	102,491	41,986,494	410	98.29	94.44

Note: **Raw PE** represents the original PE reads after sequencing; **Raw Tags** represents tags merged from PE reads; **Clean Tags** represents tags after filtering; **Effective Tags** represents tags after filtering Chimera and can be finally used for subsequent analysis; **Base** is the number of bases of the Effective Tags; **AvgLeng** represents the average length of Effective Tags; **Q20** and **Q30** are the percentages of bases whose quality value in Effective Tags is greater than 20 (sequencing error rate is less than 1%) and 30 (sequencing error rate is less than 0.1%).

### 3.3. Diversity and structure of bacteria within and between groups

The diversity of the bacterial community and specific taxa within the sample groups were performed by analyzing the single sample (Alpha diversity) which can reflect the richness and diversity of microbial communities within each sample. The result showed that OTUs richness and observed species in Group 1 (fast-growing shrimps) were significantly lower than that of Group 2 (slow-growing shrimps) ( $p < 0.01$ ). Similarly, the Shannon index which represents species richness and evenness were also significantly lower in the high growth shrimp compared to the low growth shrimp ( $t = 12.43$ ,  $df 5$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) (Fig. 5). As the growth of white shrimp was highly associated with the animals' gut bacteria, we further detected the correlation between gut bacteria and the bodyweight of shrimp.

The beta diversity of the bacterial communities associated with the gut of white shrimps between groups was investigated through a PCoA shown in Fig. 5d. The first two components explain a total of 55.77% of the variation (PC1, 25.25%; PC2, 30.52%). The figure also showed that group 2 (slow-growing shrimps) are narrower dispersion compared to group 1, indicating more differences in the intra-group of fast-growing shrimps.

### 3.4. Bacterial signature of fast-growing shrimps

Bacterial compositions of the shrimp guts in both groups were shown in Fig. 6. The dominant phyla in Group 1 (relative abundance >5%) were Proteobacteria (53.95%) and Actinobacteria (26.78%), which were counted for over ~87% of the total gut bacteria. Meanwhile, the guts of slow-growing shrimps (Group 2) were dominated by Proteobacteria (53.83%), Actinobacteria (20.47%), Bacteroidetes 6.02%), and Tenericutes (5.23%), which accounted for 86% of the total gut bacteria. These results showed in general that Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria were the most abundant phyla in all shrimp gut regarding the growth rate. However other phyla including Bacteroidetes and Tenericutes appeared to be lower in the guts of fast-growing shrimps. Furthermore, Family Vibrionaceae was detected to be higher in the slow-growing shrimps than the fast-growing shrimps, 1.31% and 0.22% respectively.

At the genus level, a total of 181 genera were identified from all shrimp guts. The most dominant genera (>5%) in the fast-growing shrimps (Group1) were *Illumatobacter* (24.25%), followed by *Ruegeria* (6.21%). Similar trends were obtained from the guts of slow-growing shrimps (Group 2) where *Illumatobacter* (18.44%) and *Ruegeria* (7.91%) became the most dominant genera. However, the differences were that *Vibrio* and *Candidatus Bacilloplasma* were more abundant in the guts of slow-growing shrimps, Fig. 7. Relative abundance of *Vibrio* was 1.3% in the slow-growing shrimps and only 0.22% in the gut of fast-growing shrimps. Similarly, *Candidatus Bacilloplasma* was more abundant in Group 2 than Group 1, 5.21% and 1.92% respectively.

Further analysis using linear discriminant analysis effect size (LefSe) indicated that 49 OTUs were picked out with the Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) score > 2 (Fig. 8). Nine of the 49 OTUs were significantly higher in Group 1 (high-growth shrimps) than Group 2 (low-growth






Fig. 2. Summarization of the tags and OTUs number from each sample (a pooled of 10 shrimp guts collected from 3 different ponds). S.1 K, S.2 K and S.3 K are gut bacteria of slow-growing shrimps. While, S.1B, S.2B and S.3B are gut bacteria of fast-growing shrimps. The Y1-axis titled “Tags Number” means the number of tags; Total tags“(Red bars) means the number of effective tags; Taxon Tags” (Blue bars) means the number of annotated tags; Unclassified Tags“(Green bars) means the number of unannotated tags; Unique Tags” (Orange bars) means the number of tags with a frequency of 1 and only occurs in one sample. The Y2-axis titled “OTUs Numbers” means the number of OTUs displayed as “OTUs” (Purple bars) in the above picture to identify the numbers of OTUs in different samples. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)





Fig. 3. Total proportional distributions of dominant phyla in guts of white shrimps at different growth rates. S.1 K, S.2 K and S.3 K are gut bacteria of slow-growing shrimps. While, S.1B, S.2B and S.3B are gut bacteria of fast-growing shrimps.

shrimps) which were OTU58, OTU74, OTU210 and OTU671, OTU186, OTU274, OTU218, OTU313 and OTU682. Seven OTUs belonged to Firmicutes (OTU58, OTU74, OTU210, OTU186, OTU274, OTU218, OTU313) followed by one Bacteroidetes (OTU671) and also one Proteobacteria (OTU682). The seven Firmicutes were identified as *Coprococcus comes* (OTU58), *Oscilibater* sp. ER4 (OTU74), *Acidaminicoccus intestini* (OTU210), unidentified Clostridiales (OTU186), *Oscilibacter* sp. (OTU274), *Peptococcus* sp. (OTU218), and *Clostridium phoceensis* (OTU313). While the Bacteroidetes was identified as *Bacteroidetes ovatus*

(OTU671), and the other Proteobacteria was identified as *Legionella* sp. (OTU682). Meanwhile, 26 OTUs were identified higher in the low growth shrimps. The most 5 abundant OTUs were identified as *Facalibacterium* sp. (OTU 85), *Blantia* spp. (OTU61), *Dorea longicantena* (OTU48), *Prevotella* sp. (OTU145), and *Ruminococcus bromii* (OTU82).

#### 4. Discussion

The growth of cultured animals including white shrimps,



**Fig. 4.** Prevalence of core bacterial genera in the gut of white shrimps, *L. vannamei*. S-1B, S-2B and S-3B are Group 1 or shrimps with a high growth rate. S.1 K, S.2 K and S.3 K are Group 2 or shrimps with a slow-growth rate. a). The 100 most abundant genera associated with guts of slow and fast-growing shrimps cultured in intensive ponds. b) core bacterial genera in the gut of white shrimp cultured in intensive ponds.

*L. vannamei*, are determined in general by host-related factors (Kooloth Valappil et al., 2021; Uengwetwanit et al., 2021) and environment-related factors (Mustafa Kamal et al., 2018). The host-related factors are those factors that come from internal organisms such as the presence and expressions of growth-promoting genes, hormone productions etc. While environmental-related factors include water quality, diets and also microbial community associated with the gut tract of the cultured animals. Among the environmental-related factors, the gut microbiota has gained considerable interest from aquatic microbiologists due to their significant contributions to the growth of their hosts via various mechanisms including digestive enzyme production for diet digestion (Amin, 2018), excreting vitamins (Chen et al., 2017) and producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Hoseinifar et al., 2017). Many studies viewed a strong correlation between gut bacteria and the growth performances of their animal hosts. However, studies investigating the specific correlation between shrimp growth and gut bacteria are still very limited. Thus, the present study reported the structure and diversity of core gut bacteria in white shrimp shared the same environmental condition such as ponds and diet but having different growth rates. In addition, the bacterial signatures of high growth shrimps were also viewed. We found that structure and composition of gut bacteria can be linked with white shrimp growth, which may highlight the significant contribution of gut bacteria to shrimp growth. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to report bacterial signatures in the gut of high growth shrimps cultured in commercial ponds.

#### 4.1. Core bacteria in the guts of white shrimp

At the phyla level, we identified at least 15 phyla across all gut samples. The most 7 abundant phyla were Proteobacteria occupying 53.99% of the total sequences followed by Actinobacteria (23.67%), Bacteroidetes (4.97%), Tenericutes (3.62%), Firmicutes (2.97%), Chloroflexi (1.39%), and Verrucomicrobia (1.34%). The domination of proteobacteria in shrimp guts was also previously reported by several authors. Gao et al. (2019) reported on white shrimp culture in China that

Proteobacteria occupied 70% of gut bacteria at the age of 30 days, and even higher at the age of 60 days (95.5%). Similarly, He et al. (2020) and Zhang et al. (2019) reported the domination of Proteobacteria in the intestine of ~9.8 g white shrimps, which was accounted for 44.12% and 38.94% respectively. Zoqratt et al. (2018) reviewed the composition of gut bacteria in white shrimps cultured in commercial ponds of Vietnam and Malaysia and viewed that 50–85% of total bacterial communities were Proteobacteria, followed by Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Fusobacteria. Furthermore, Hasyimi et al. (2020) found Proteobacteria to be the most abundant in the intestine of white shrimp cultured in Indonesia, followed by Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes in the second and third place respectively. Fan et al. (2019a) has also documented the domination of Proteobacteria in the gut tract of white shrimp cultured in China even though a little bit lower (40.83%), followed by Bacteroidetes (19.96%), Verrucomicrobia (8.26%), Firmicutes (6.17%) and Actinobacteria (1.59%). In addition, Huynh et al. (2019) found that intestines of white shrimps were dominated by Proteobacteria regardless of their culture systems (86.6% in indoor ponds, and 51.8% in outdoor ponds). Fan et al. (2019b) also reported that the gut tract of white shrimp was dominated by Proteobacteria regardless of their culture environment (fresh water and marine water). Proteobacteria appears to be always the top abundances, while other phyla such as Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes or Verrucomicrobia seemed to vary according to the culturing system and geographic locations. These results can be strong evidence that Proteobacteria are part of the core bacterial phylum and the most abundant in the gut of white shrimp regardless of their life stages, culture system and geographic locations.

In terms of the genus, the bacterial community associated with the gut of shrimp appeared to vary and seems to be influenced by many factors including age or life stages, diets, culture system and locations (Fan et al., 2019a; Fan et al., 2019b; Gao et al., 2019; Huynh et al., 2019; Hasyimi et al., 2020). The present results revealed 181 genera across all shrimp guts, but only 35 genera (19.34%) were considered to be the core microbiome of white shrimps regarding their prevalence in all samples. Among the 35, the most abundant genera were *Illumatobacter* (21.5%),




Fig. 5. Comparison of OTUs richness (a), observed species (b), Shannon index (c), and Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) (d) based on Unweighted Unifrac distance of shrimps at different growth rates of the bacterial community associated with the guts of fast-growing shrimps (Group 1) and slow-growing shrimps (Group 2). Different superscripts represent the average values were significantly different,  $p < 0.05$ .





Fig. 6. Taxa relative abundance in phylum (a) and family (b) level obtained from two sample groups. Relative abundance of the top 10 bacterial communities in the gut of *L. vannamei*. (a) phylum level. (b) Family level. Two samples groups were shown. The unclassified sequences or sequences that could not be classified into any known groups were assigned as 'Others'. Group 2 is slow-growing shrimps and Group 1 is high growth shrimps.



**Fig. 7.** Taxa relative abundance in genera obtained from two sample groups. Relative abundance of the top 10 bacterial communities in the gut of *L. vannamei*. Two samples groups were shown. The unclassified sequences or sequences that could not be classified into any known groups were assigned as 'Others'. Group 2 is slow-growing shrimps and Group 1 is fast-growing shrimps.

Ruegeria (7.1%), Candidatus Bacillopora (3.61%), Roseovarius (2.86%), Silicimonas (1.67%), Algoriphagus (1.48), and Haloferula (1.22%). Meanwhile, Dinoroseobacter, Vibrio, Lactobacillus, Bdellovibrio, Shimia, and Robiginitalea (<1.0%). The present results were quite different from what had been reported by Gao et al. (2019) where the most abundant genera were Vibrio (31%), Photobacterium (23.9%) and Candidatus Bacillophora (7.6%). Different dominant genera were also previously reported from shrimp cultured in Indonesia by Hasyimi et al. (2020) in which the most 5 abundant genera were Nocardiodetes, Neptunomonas, Spongiomonas, Desulfopila and Bryobacter. Another study performed by Huynh et al. (2019) showed that the most abundant genera were Ruegeria, Desulfovibrio, Shewanella, Vibrio and Nitrospira. All these data strongly suggest that the proportion of gut bacteria in terms of the genera is highly variable. However interesting finding in the present study is that few genera such as Ruegeria, Candidatus Bacillopora, Lactobacillus were also commonly reported as members of dominant genera in white shrimps.

#### 4.2. Bacterial signatures in the gut of high growth shrimps

Bacterial diversity represented by the Shannon index and species richness of bacteria detected from the gut shrimps were significantly lower in the gut of high growth shrimp (Group 1) compared to that of the slow growth shrimp (Group 2). These results may suggest that less diversity and species richness in the intestinal tracts are better especially relating to the growth rate. A similar conclusion was proposed by Daniels et al. (2013) revealed there was an increase in the species richness, evenness and Shannon index in shrimp guts with a high growth rate. However, the present study result is also contradictory to what has been previously reported by Fan et al. (2019a) in which white shrimp with higher growth tended to associate with a higher Shannon index. Meanwhile, Huynh et al. (2019) concluded that there was no significant correlation between the Shannon index and the growth of white shrimps as the average values of the Shannon index between high growth shrimp and slow growth shrimps were not significantly different. These data may indicate that there are other factors besides species richness and diversity of gut bacteria to signify the growth of white shrimps including the dominant genus or species level.

Many papers reported that the growth of cultured animals is

frequently associated with certain bacterial species in their intestinal tract (Daniels et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2019a; Huynh et al., 2019; Amin et al., 2020). Thus, the present study investigates if there are any differences in a more specific level of bacteria such as genus or species by using a linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe). The results showed that at least 9 bacterial species were significantly higher in fast-growing shrimps (Group 1) which were *Coprococcus comes* (OTU58), *Oscillibacter* sp. ER4 (OTU74), *Acidaminicoccus intestini* (OTU210) and *Bacteroidetes ovatus* (OTU671), *Oscillibacter* sp. (OTU274), *Peptococcus* sp. (OTU218), *Clostridium phoceensis* (OTU313), *Legionella* sp. (OTU682), and unidentified Clostridiales (OTU186). Eight of the nine unique species belonged to Firmicutes and the other species belong to Bacteroidetes. Firmicutes is the third dominant phyla in the gut of high growth shrimps (Group 1) but less dominant in slow growth shrimp (occupied by Tenericutes). As many aquaculture probiotics belong to Firmicutes (Wang et al., 2019), these members of Firmicutes might also play a significant role in the growth of white shrimps, though further investigation is still required.

Among the nine bacterial signature species of high growth shrimp, only a few species have been described in several published papers. For instance, a study by Tran et al. (2020) reported that *Coprococcus comes* was described as a fermentative bacteria in white shrimp which involved in the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), through the fermentation of carbohydrates. SCFAs as butyric acid, acetic acid and propionic acid have been described as very important compounds used in energy homeostasis, metabolism and maintenance of gut health (Chen et al., 2020). Thus, the SCFAs have been frequently used as feed additives as a way to improve growth performances, feed digestibility and immune system of many aquatic animals including white shrimps (Silva et al., 2016) and common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* (Liu et al., 2014). The bacterial species (*C. comes*) was also reported as a part of commensal microorganisms in humans and also have been reported to produce butyric acids (Anand et al., 2016). These results may suggest that *Coprococcus comes* (OTU58) contribute to the white shrimp growth through its capacity to produce SCFAs, therefore should be further investigated for white shrimp probiotics.

Another unique species is *Bacteroidetes ovatus* (OTU671). This species has also been reported to be a beneficial member of Bacteroidetes due to possessing a xyloglucan-polysaccharide utilization loci gene which




Fig. 8. Phylotype of gut bacteria corresponding to growth rate identified using Linear Discriminant Analysis Effect Size (LEfSe) analysis. The histogram is the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score for featuring OTUs abundance between the 2 Groups. Group1 is fast-growing shrimps and Group 2 is slow-growing shrimps.

provides the ability to utilize polysaccharides (Tang et al., 2017). Another study by Larsbrink et al. (2014) had also confirmed the presence of a complex gene locus capable of hydrolysing xyloglucan to component monosaccharides for further metabolism in *B. ovatus*. Xyloglucans are a ubiquitous material constructing plant cell wall polysaccharides such as micro or macroalgae and convert for beneficial nutrition (Larsbrink et al., 2014). Similarly, Rogowski et al. (2015) identified that *B. ovatus* detected from the human gut has a capacity to synthesize enzymes for degrading complex polysaccharides such as glycan in an extensive array of both plant and animal-based diets and other complex carbohydrates. Furthermore, Tamura et al. (2017) describe *Bacteroidetes ovatus* as a member of gut Bacteroidetes and possess the ability to utilize mixed linkage beta glucans, major health-promoting cereal polysaccharides in humans. To the author knowledge, this is the first study to report the presence of *Bacteroidetes ovatus* from the gut of white shrimps. Acknowledging the capability of the bacterium, it may suggest that this bacterium is a potential probiont for white shrimps therefore it should be further investigated.

Another unique species identified to be dominant in the gut of high growth shrimps was *Peptococcus* sp. This bacterium appears to be quite rare since authors could find only one published paper up until now. The

paper which was reported by Shahina et al. (2012) described *Peptococcus* sp. isolated from shrimp as an anaerobic bacterium, and having the capacity to synthesize protease. While the rest of the unique species including *Oscillibacter* sp. ER4 (OTU74), *Acidaminococcus intestini* (OTU210) *Oscillibacter* sp. (OTU218), *Clostridium phoceensis* (OTU313), and *Legionella* sp. (OTU682) are not common to be isolated or detected, therefore information on these bacteria are very limited.

The finding of nine unique bacterial species can be considered as the main signature of gut bacteria in high growth shrimps. All these bacteria are novel species and were firstly reported to be detected in the gut of white shrimps. The present results may contribute to the development of novel probiotic strains or prebiotic compounds to increase the growth of white shrimp. The development of probiotics can be done through isolating these unique bacteria and confirming their metabolic activity in vitro and in vivo (Amin et al., 2017; Amin, 2018). The advantage of this approach preserves the isolate and just reculture them whenever are needed. However, the main challenge for this approach was the difficulty in getting the isolates. As many experimental microbiologists estimate that the total bacteria which can be cultured in the laboratory with the currently available culture media is less than 2% (Wade, 2002). This may suggest that we need to develop a new culture media in order

to grow such bacteria. While the other approach is by stimulating the growth of these bacteria by providing suitable nutrient compounds, generally known as prebiotic (Fuandila et al., 2020; Hasyimi et al., 2020). With this approach, we do not have to isolate or culture the targeted bacteria but only screen some prebiotic compounds to find the best compounds which can stimulate the growth and domination of bacterial targets in the gut of white shrimp. With all advantages and disadvantages, it is highly recommended to use a combination of both approaches in order to increase the chances of getting better results.

## 5. Conclusion

We identified at least 35 genera (19.34%) belonged to 15 phyla which were considered to be the core microbiome of white shrimps regarding their prevalence in all samples. The most 14 abundance were *Illumatobacter*, *Ruegeria*, *Candidatus Bacillopora*, *Roseovarius*, *Silicimonas*, *Algoriphagus*, *Haloferula*, *Dinoroesobacter*, *Vibrio*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bdellovibrio*, *Shimia*, and *Robiginitalea*. In addition, a strong association between diversity and species richness of gut bacteria and the growth of white shrimp, reinforcing the close relationship between gut bacteria and host growth. The reason might be the number of nine bacterial species significantly higher in high growth shrimps (Group 1): *C. comes* (OTU58), *Oscillibacter* sp. ER4 (OTU74), *Acidaminococcus intestini* (OTU210) and *Bacteroidetes ovatus* (OTU671), *Oscillibacter* sp. (OTU218), *Peptococcus* sp. (OTU218), *Clostridium phocensis* (OTU313), *Legionella* sp. (OTU682), and unidentified Clostridiales (OTU186). However, due to the novelty of the shrimp gut bacteria, further detection and culture of these gut bacteria will be necessary to understand their specific roles in the shrimp gut in terms of growth.

## Funding

This work was supported by Universitas Airlangga, the Ministry of Education and Research, the Republic of Indonesia, through Riset Mandat with grant number: 394/UN3.14/PT/2020

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank all colleges at the Laboratory of Microbiology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga who have provided helps and technical advice during the experiment.

## References

Amin, M., 2010. Bacterial variation in gastrointestinal tract of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, reared in recirculating aquaculture system and active suspension tank. In: Aquaculture and Fisheries (M.Sc Thesis; unpublished). Wageningen Institute of Animal Science (WIAS). Wageningen University, The Netherlands.

Amin, M., 2018. Marine protease-producing bacterium and its potential use as an abalone probiotic. *Aquac. Res.* 12, 30–35.

Amin, M., Bolch, C.J.S., Adams, M.B., Burke, C.M., 2017. Isolation of alginate lyase-producing bacteria and screening for their potential characteristics as abalone probiotics. *Aquac. Res.* 48, 5614–5623.

Amin, M., Bolch, C.J., Adams, M.B., Burke, C.M., 2020. Growth enhancement of tropical abalone, *Haliotis asinina* L., through probiotic supplementation. *Aquac. Int.* 28, 463–475.

Anand, S., Kaur, H., Mande, S.S., 2016. Comparative in silico analysis of butyrate production pathways in gut commensals and pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1945.

Budhiman, A.A., Paryanti, T.S., Sunaryanto, A., 2005. The Present Status of *Penaeus vannamei* and Other Exotic Shrimp Culture in Indonesia. Aquaculture Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Gordon, J.I., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336.

Chen, J., Vestergaard, M., Jensen, T.G., Shen, J., Dufva, M., Solem, C., Jensen, P.R., 2017. Finding the needle in the haystack—the use of microfluidic droplet technology to identify vitamin-secreting lactic acid bacteria. *MBio.* 8 (e00526–00517).

Chen, M., Chen, X.-Q., Tian, L.-X., Liu, Y.-J., Niu, J., 2020. Improvement of growth, intestinal short-chain fatty acids, non-specific immunity and ammonia resistance in Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) fed dietary water-soluble chitosan and mixed probiotics. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C* 236, 108791.

Daniels, C.L., Merrifield, D.L., Ringø, E., Davies, S.J., 2013. Probiotic, prebiotic and synbiotic applications for the improvement of larval European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) culture. *Aquaculture.* 416, 396–406.

Fan, L., Chen, L., Mai, G., Zhang, H., Yang, J., Deng, D., Ma, Y., 2019a. Dynamics of the gut microbiota in developmental stages of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reveal its association with body weight. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–10.

Fan, L., Wang, Z., Chen, M., Qu, Y., Li, J., Zhou, A.P., Zou, J.L., 2019b. Microbiota comparison of Pacific white shrimp intestine and sediment at freshwater and marine cultured environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 657, 1194–1204.

Fuandila, N.N., Widanarni, W., Yuhana, M., 2020. Growth performance and immune response of prebiotic honey fed Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection. *J. Appl. Aquac.* 32, 221–235.

Gao, S., Pan, L., Huang, F., Song, M., Tian, C., Zhang, M., 2019. Metagenomic insights into the structure and function of intestinal microbiota of the farmed Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture.* 499, 109–118.

Giatsis, C., Sijkema, D., Smidt, H., Heilig, H., Benvenuti, G., Verreth, J., Verdegem, M., 2015. The impact of rearing environment on the development of gut microbiota in tilapia larvae. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1–15.

Hasyimi, W., Widanarni, W., Yuhana, M., 2020. Growth performance and intestinal microbiota diversity in Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with a probiotic bacterium, honey prebiotic, and Synbiotic. *Curr. Microbiol.* 77, 2982–2990.

He, Z., Pan, L., Zhang, M., Zhang, M., Huang, F., Gao, S., 2020. Metagenomic comparison of structure and function of microbial community between water, effluent and shrimp intestine of higher place *Litopenaeus vannamei* ponds. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 129, 243–255.

Henriksson, P.J.G., Banks, L.K., Suri, S.K., Pratiwi, T.Y., Fatan, N.A., Troell, M., 2019. Indonesian aquaculture futures—identifying interventions for reducing environmental impacts. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 14, 124062.

Hoseinifard, S.H., Sun, Y.Z., Caipang, C.M., 2017. Short-chain fatty acids as feed supplements for sustainable aquaculture: an updated view. *Aquac. Res.* 48, 1380–1391.

Huang, F., Pan, L., Song, M., Tian, C., Gao, S., 2018. Microbiota assemblages of water, sediment, and intestine and their associations with environmental factors and shrimp physiological health. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 8585–8598.

Huynh, T.G., Hu, S.Y., Chiu, C.S., Truong, Q.P., Liu, C.H., 2019. Bacterial population in intestines of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed a synbiotic containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* and galactooligosaccharide. *Aquac. Res.* 50, 807–817.

Kooloth Valappil, R., Stentiford, G.D., Bass, D., 2021. The rise of the syndrome—sub-optimal growth disorders in farmed shrimp. *Rev. Aquac.* 13, 1–19.

Larsbrink, J., Rogers, T.E., Hemsworth, G.R., McKee, L.S., Tauzin, A.S., Spadiut, O., Koropatkin, N.M., 2014. A discrete genetic locus confers xyloglucan metabolism in select human gut Bacteroidetes. *Nature.* 506, 498–502.

Liu, W., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., Gatlin, D.M., Ringø, E., Zhou, Z., 2014. Effects of dietary microencapsulated sodium butyrate on growth, intestinal mucosal morphology, immune response and adhesive bacteria in juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) pre-fed with or without oxidized oil. *Br. J. Nutr.* 112, 15–29.

Magoč, T., Salzberg, S.L., 2011. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics.* 27, 2957–2963.

Mustafa Kamal, A.H., Hishamuddin, O., Boyd, C.E., 2018. Physical and chemical characteristics of soil from tiger shrimp aquaculture ponds at Malacca, Malaysia. *J. Appl. Aquac.* 30, 47–62.

Rajeev, R., Adithya, K., Kiran, G.S., Selvin, J., 2021. Healthy microbiome: a key to successful and sustainable shrimp aquaculture. *Rev. Aquac.* 13, 238–258.

Rodriguez-Anaya, L.Z., Casillas-Hernández, R., Lares-Jiménez, L.F., Gonzalez-Galaviz, J. R., 2018. Next-generation sequencing technologies and the improvement of aquaculture sustainability of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Curr. Sci.* 115, 202.

Rogowski, A., Briggs, J.A., Mortimer, J.C., Tryfona, T., Terrapon, N., Lowe, E.C., Zheng, H., 2015. Glycan complexity dictates microbial resource allocation in the large intestine. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 1–16.

Rozario, A.P., Devarajan, N., 2020. Monitoring the quality of water in shrimp ponds and forecasting of dissolved oxygen using fuzzy C means clustering based radial basis function neural networks. *J. Ambient. Intell. Humaniz. Comput.* 1–8.

Shahina, Z., Hossain, M.T., Hakim, M.A., 2012. Protease Activity of *Peptococcus Activus*. Sharawy, Z.Z., Ashour, M., Abbas, E., Ashry, O., Helal, M., Nazmi, H., Rossi Jr., W., 2020. Effects of dietary marine microalgae, *Tetraselmis suecica*, on production, gene expression, protein markers and bacterial count of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquac. Res.* 51, 2216–2228.

Silva, B., Nolasco-Soria, H., Magallón-Barajas, F., Civera-Cerecedo, R., Casillas-Hernández, R., Seiffert, W., 2016. Improved digestion and initial performance of whiteleg shrimp using organic salt supplements. *Aquac. Nutr.* 22, 997–1005.

Tamura, K., Hemsworth, G.R., Déjean, G., Rogers, T.E., Pudlo, N.A., Urs, K., Brumer, H., 2017. Molecular mechanism by which prominent human gut Bacteroidetes utilize mixed-linkage beta-glucans, major health-promoting cereal polysaccharides. *Cell Rep.* 21, 417–430.

Tang, K., Lin, Y., Han, Y., Jiao, N., 2017. Characterization of potential polysaccharide utilization systems in the marine Bacteroidetes *Gramella flava* JLT2011 using a multi-omics approach. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 220.

Tran, N.T., Li, Z., Wang, S., Zheng, H., Aweya, J.J., Wen, X., Li, S., 2020. Progress and perspectives of short-chain fatty acids in aquaculture. *Rev. Aquac.* 12, 283–298.

- Uengwetwanit, T., Pootakham, W., Nookaew, I., Sonthirod, C., Anghong, P., Sittikankaew, K., Jenjaroenpun, P., 2021. A chromosome-level assembly of the black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) genome facilitates the identification of growth-associated genes. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 21, 1620–1640.
- Wade, W., 2002. Unculturable bacteria—the uncharacterized organisms that cause oral infections. *J. R. Soc. Med.* 95, 81–83.
- Wang, A., Ran, C., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Ding, Q., Yang, Y., Zhou, Z., 2019. Use of probiotics in aquaculture of China—a review of the past decade. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 86, 734–755.
- Wati, L., 2018. Analyzing the development of Indonesia shrimp industry. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing, p. 012101.
- Zhang, M., Pan, L., Huang, F., Gao, S., Su, C., Zhang, M., He, Z., 2019. Metagenomic analysis of composition, function and cycling processes of microbial community in water, sediment and effluent of *Litopenaeus vannamei* farming environments under different culture modes. *Aquaculture.* 506, 280–293.
- Zoqratt, M.Z.H.M., Eng, W.W.H., Thai, B.T., Austin, C.M., Gan, H.M., 2018. Microbiome analysis of Pacific white shrimp gut and rearing water from Malaysia and Vietnam: implications for aquaculture research and management. *PeerJ.* 6, e5826.