




International
Pharmaceutical
Federation

Pharmacy Education

an international peer review journal



[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 22 No. 1 (2022)

Vol. 22 No. 1 (2022)

Published: 01-01-2022

Research Article

Simulation of training solution for the prevention of medication errors in the emergency ward

Sorour Khari, Marzieh Pazokian (Author)

p. 492-497



Development, validation and evaluation of learning activities to support the reporting of adverse drug reactions during the COVID-19 pandemic

Elisa Curtolo, Janis Vella Szijj, Liberato Camilleri, Anthony Serracino-Inglott, Lilian M. Azzopardi (Author)

p. 515-522



Fostering critical thinking in pharmaceutical chemistry: A cross-sectional study

Chee-Yan Choo, Long Chiau Ming, Ching Siang Tan (Author)

p. 866-871



Implementing online team-based learning in an interuniversity setting: A case study of a traditional medicine course

Agustina A.M.B. Hastuti, Eka Noviana, Soni Siswanto , Puguh Indrasetiawan, Dwi Endarti, Susi Ari Kristina, Triana Hertiani (Author)

p. 558-568



Supplemental instruction in pharmacy education: Lessons learned from collected perceptions

Sarah P. Collier, Kayce D. Gill, Stephen D. Phipps, Susan L. Mercer (Author)

p. 620-628

 PDF

Prevalence and roles of vice-chairs in schools and colleges of pharmacy

Joycelyn Yamzon, David Q Pham, Karl Hess (Author)

p. 100-107

 PDF

Virtual vs traditional seminar course evaluation among two groups of pharmacy students: An observational study

Shmeylan A. Al harbi, Mohammad Shawaqfeh, Amenah Qotineh, Mariam Abdalla, Mohammed Abujamal, Yousif Alakeel, Abdulmalik A. Al Katheri, Abdulkareem M. Al Bekairy (Author)

p. 409-415

 PDF

Agreement of medicine and pharmacy students on quality of drug information

Seeba Zachariah, Dixon Thomas, Farhanah Mohamed, Muhsina Chiraparambil, Aadith Soorya, Affana Parveen, Baljinder Singh, Aji Gopakumar, Danial Baker (Author)

p. 771-777

 PDF

Impact of medicinal chemistry integration in Pharm.D. programmes on first-time NAPLEX pass rates

Karrie Murphy, Ron Carico, Ashim Malhotra, M.O. Faruk Khan (Author)

p. 788-794

 PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Perceptions of undergraduate pharmacy students towards online assessments used during the COVID-19 pandemic in a public university in Malaysia

Usman Abubakar, A'isyah Humaira' Mohd Salehudin, Nik Afiqah Athirah Nik Mohd Asri, Nur Atiqah Mohammad Rohi, Nur Hasyimah Ramli, Nur Izzah Mohd Khairuddin, Nur Fariesya Saiful Izham, Siti Hajar Nasrullah, Auwal Adam Sa'ad (Author)

p. 191-198

 PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Comprehensive assessment of reliability and validity for the clinical cases in simulated community pharmacy

students' patient-centred competency development

Trudi Aspden, Rachael Butler, Lynne Bye, Lynne Petersen (Author)

p. 727-743



PDF

Efforts at building capacity for manufacturing and testing the quality of medicines in Sub-Saharan Africa: Historical evidence from the BIRS programme

Mercy A. Okezue, Stephen J. Byrn, Zita Ekeocha (Author)

p. 872-894



PDF

Pharmacy students' perceptions of the impact of mental disorders on pharmacy education in Nigeria

Ogechukwu Nnanyelugo Isiogugu, Chibueze Anosike, Chibueze Raymond Okoye, Ijeoma Joy Mmirikwe (Author)

p. 323-330



PDF

Pharmacy students' perceptions of a transition to a virtual curriculum and their mental health implications

Katherine Muilenburg, Crystal K Howell, Annesha White (Author)

p. 696-705



PDF

Impact of pharmacist-led education on knowledge, adherence, and glycaemic control of type 2 diabetic outpatients

Budi Suprapti, Zamrotul Izzah, Maria Angelia Yoshida, Arina Dery Puspitasari, Cahyo Wibisono Nugroho (Author)

p. 795-804



PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Evaluation of pharmacy students' knowledge and perceptions of transitions of care services

Tianrui Yang, Jessica Wooster (Author)

p. 10-15



PDF

Impact of evidence-based pharmacotherapy (EBP) elective course on fourth year students' performance

Nathan A. Pinner, Jessica A. Starr, Katelin M. Lisenby (Author)

p. 498-502



PDF

Development and evaluation of the pharmacy alumni employment experience questionnaire

Sara ElShami, Derek Stewart, Ahmed Awaisu , Banan Mukhalalati (Author)

p. 913-935



PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: The Lebanese experience for early career development: Bridging the gap to reach the International Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP) Global Competency Framework

Aline Hajj, Rony M. Zeenny, Marwan Akel, Hala Sacre, Pascale Salameh (Author)

p. 211-220



PDF

Knowledge, attitudes and practices toward human papillomavirus infection among undergraduate pharmacy students in Saudi Arabia

Dr. Mohammad Daud Ali, Yousif Hassan, Ayaz Ahmad, Sherihan Ahmad Ghosn, Wasim Ahmad, Esra'a Al-Abduljabbar, Zahra Al-Madeh, Maryam Al-Towilib, Bashayer Al-Shatti (Author)

p. 629-636



PDF

Revisiting early online learning experiences amid the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia: Benefits, barriers, and impact on pharmacy student learning outcomes

Abdul Rahem, Ach Syahrir, Hasan Ismail, Andi Hermansyah (Author)

p. 989-996



PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: An entrepreneurial activity implementation and assessment among pharmacy students amid the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown

Elaine V. Nguyen, So Hyun Kim, Mohammed A. Islam, Youngil Chang, Judy Aoyagi, Alamdar Hussain (Author)

p. 16-22



PDF

Technology-enhanced constructivist learning environment for pharmacy students

Ngadimon, Muhammad Junaid Farukh, Mohd Fadli Mohd Asmadi (Author)

p. 1-9

 PDF

Perceptions of European students' representative associations about clinical pharmacy undergraduate education

Laura Moura, Rúben Viegas, Stephane Steurbaut, Filipa Alves da Costa (Author)

p. 944-953

 PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Final year pharmacy students' knowledge and perceptions towards generic medicines: A survey-based pilot study from Eastern province, Saudi Arabia

Sherihan Ahmad Ghosn, Mohammad Daud Ali, Zahra Ahmed Alzaher, Ayaz Ahmad (Author)

p. 221-225

 PDF

Motivational methods for first year pharmacy students in professional practice skills laboratory

Stacey D. Curtis, Jack Guerci, Joshua Pullo, Eric F. Egelund (Author)

p. 533-539

 PDF

Evaluation of the effectiveness and staff acceptance of education strategies to improve medication safety

Madeleine Hills, Stephanie Wai Khuan Teoh, Tamara Lebedevs (Author)

p. 428-435

 PDF

Assessment of doctor-pharmacist collaboration in the treatment of diabetes mellitus patients at Airlangga University Hospital Surabaya from the pharmacist's perspective

Liza Pristianty, Ibrahim Abdullah, Pradana Z. Rhamadan, Andi Hermansyah (Author)

p. 974-979

 PDF

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Internship programmes in the pharmacy faculties and their compliance with the standards: A study in Turkey

Gulbin Ozelikay, Sıdıka Zübarioğlu (Author)

Asim Ahmed Elnour (Author)

p. 331-335



The neuron model: An educational tool for evidence-based practice and interprofessional care

Dixon Thomas, Mark Maas, Theres Babu, Jason Cooper (Author)

p. 424-427



Students' perceptions and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the pharmaceutical education in Bulgaria: A pilot project

Evelina Gavazva, Daniela Grekova (Author)

p. 569-572



A short report on curricular 'School Competencies and Objectives Progress Exam' (SCOPE) assessments

Elizabeth Sheaffer (Author)

p. 971-973



[Open Journal Systems](#)

PKP Publishing
Services



[Home](#) / [Editorial Team](#)

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Prof Ian Bates, FIP Education, United Kingdom

Journal Editor

Dr Marwan El Akel, Pharmacy Education

Senior Associate Editors

Dr Andreia Bruno-Tomé, Monash University, Australia

Assoc Prof Jennifer Marriott, Monash University, Australia

Production Editor

Dr Sherly Meilianti, Pharmacy Education

Associate Editors

Prof Joyce Addo-Atuah, Touro College of Pharmacy, USA

Prof Patricia Acuna-Johnson, University of Valparaiso, Chile

Dr Syed Imran Ahmed, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

Prof Alba Mahmoud Albsoul-Younes, The University of Jordan, Jordan

Dr Ammar Almaaytah, Middle East University, Jordan

Dr Filipa Alves Da Costa, University of Lisbon, Portugal

Mr Chima Amadi, Pharmacists Council of Nigeria, Nigeria

Dr Mudassar Iqbal Arain, , University of Sindh, Pakistan.

Prof Lilian M. Azzopardi, University of Malta, Malta

Prof Rula Darwish, The University of Jordan, Jordan

Dr Ruth Edwards, Aston University, UK

Dr Divakar Goli, Acharya Institutes, India

Prof Yahdiana Harahap, University of Indonesia, Indonesia,

Prof Martin Henman, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

Dr Shazia Jamshed, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr Abby Kahaleh, Roosevelt University, USA

Prof Silvana Nair Leite, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil

Dr Subhash Chandra Mandal, Directorate of Drugs Control, India

Mr Khalid Garba Mohammed, University of Milan, Italy

Dr Hana Morrissey, University of Wolverhampton, UK

Dr Christos Petrou, University of Nicosia, Cyprus

Dr Ukamaka Okafor, Pharmacists Council of Nigeria, Nigeria

Dr Carl Schneider, The University of Sydney, Australia

Prof Bruno Sepodes, University of Lisbon, Portugal

Dr James Scott, Western University of Health Sciences, USA

Prof M Chandra Sekar, University of Findlay, USA

Dr Rajani Shakya, Kathmandu University, Nepal

Dr Lixin Shu, Naval Medical University, China

Dr Judilynn Solidum, University of the Philippines, Philippines

Dr Kyle Wilby, University of Otago, New Zealand

Dr Sarah Willis, The University of Manchester, UK

Prof Shigeo Yamamura, Josai International University, Japan

Dr M. Nazli Sencan, Acibadem University, Istanbul, Turkey

Prof Abeer Al-Ghananeem, Sullivan University College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences
Kentucky, USA

[Open Journal Systems](#)

PKP Publishing
Services

Part of the

[PKP Publishing Services Network](#)



ISSN: 1477-2701

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessment of doctor-pharmacist collaboration in the treatment of diabetes mellitus patients at Airlangga University Hospital Surabaya from the pharmacist's perspective

Liza Pristianty¹ , Ibrahim Abdullah² , Pradana Z. Rhamadan³, Andi Hermansyah¹ 

¹ Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

² School of Pharmacy, Management Science University, Shah Alam, Malaysia

³ Airlangga University Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia

Keywords

Diabetes Mellitus
Doctor-pharmacist collaboration
Pharmacist perspective
Universitas Airlangga Hospital

Correspondence

Liza Pristianty
Department of Pharmacy Practice
Faculty of Pharmacy
Universitas Airlangga
Surabaya
Indonesia
liza-p@ff.unair.ac.id

Abstract

Background: Collaboration between doctors and pharmacists is needed in treating Diabetes Mellitus (DM) patients to optimise treatment regimens. Collaboration between healthcare professionals illustrates teamwork in solving the problem of DM patients.

Methods: This study is observational with a cross-sectional design. Respondents were all Internal Medicine doctors and pharmacists of the Universitas Airlangga Hospital. The observed variables were individual, context, and exchange characteristics that affect collaborative practice in dealing with DM patients from the pharmacist's perspective.

Results: The results showed that the communication pharmacists-doctors rarely occurred. The pharmacist-doctor collaborative practice was quite effective, according to the pharmacist's perspective. The multiple linear regression test of the exchange characteristics and practice collaboration showed that the trust domain was significant.

Conclusion: The communication between pharmacists and doctors is rare. The collaborative practice is in sufficient category. Trust significantly affects this practice.

Introduction

Healthcare professional collaboration is the process of communicating and making joint decisions to achieve successful patient therapy (Zillich *et al.*, 2004). Interprofessional collaboration between pharmacists and doctors generally involves open communication, information sharing, and joint decision-making. The benefit of interprofessional collaboration is better therapeutic efficacy for patients. A better collaborative relationship between pharmacists and doctors also benefits the profession by facilitating the exchange of information about patients, solving drug therapy problems faster, and creating a more conducive work environment (Van *et al.*, 2012). One of the efforts to

improve drug therapy collaboration is communication and joint decision-making between doctors and pharmacists (Liu, Doucette & Farris, 2010). Two-way communication is required to be able to share patient information. The level of confidence in the competence of each profession supports collaborative drug therapy management. Collaboration can be started by exchanging information between the two professions.

The problem with collaboration between pharmacists and doctors in the room is that communication does not run optimally, and it takes a long time for doctors to trust and acknowledge the existence of pharmacists and their essential role in patient health care. The lack of self-confidence from pharmacists is one of the barriers to communicating and collaborating.

Pharmacists fear being wrong in giving recommendations; they feel they are juniors compared to doctors and believe they do not need each other, which is another obstacle to collaboration between pharmacists and doctors in hospitals (Liu & Doucette, 2011). Research on collaboration conducted at the Asmara hospital, Eritrea, showed that 88% of doctors accepted the professionalism of pharmacists; as many as 60% of doctors did not agree that pharmacists used their potential in patient care, but 96% of doctors strongly agreed that they should accept pharmacist recommendations for patient therapy (Awalom, Kidane & Abraha, 2013).

One of the collaborative relationship models is the Collaborative Working Relationship (CWR) model. It is a framework for analysing the factors influencing pharmacist and doctor collaboration (McDonough & Doucette, 2001). In the CWR model, the professional relationship between pharmacists and doctors is put forward through a collaborative development process. Three variables drive the development of CWR collaboration relationships, i.e., individual characteristics, context characteristics, and exchange characteristics. Each of these characteristics can play a role in the development of collaborative relationships (Zillich *et al.*, 2004).

Individual characteristics reflect personal variables, such as demographics (gender, age, education level, work experience, and working time). Context characteristics include the practice environment for patient therapy, such as work facilities and organisational structures. They describe the interactions that occur between two health professions in the same practice setting. Organisational structure, available facilities, and a good working environment can affect the growth and development of collaborative relationships (Sisson *et al.*, 2016). The exchange characteristics consist of the nature of the social exchange between the two parties, including communication, trust, power and justice, norm development, and role specification (Brock & Doucette, 2004). A study on CWR in Iraqi health services showed that the initiation relationship, trust and specific roles of pharmacists and doctors were dominant factors in giving effect or influencing collaboration (Al-Jumaili *et al.*, 2017). Collaboration can improve the accuracy of the patient's medical history, drug information services, prescribing error detection and drug safety through drug therapy monitoring (Sandeep & Jasdeep, 2008). One example of a chronic disease that requires continuous treatment is Diabetes Mellitus (DM).

DM is a chronic disease that requires continuous medical care. Prevention of acute complications and reducing the risk of long-term complications can be

done with education and support for patient self-management (Srivastava, 2015). Diabetes mellitus is classified into Type 1, Type 2, gestational diabetes, and other types due to other diseases. T1DM occurs when Langerhans beta cells are damaged such that insulin needs are not met. Type 2 diabetes occurs when there is a decrease in insulin secretion in the beta cells of Langerhans (American Diabetes Association, 2017).

Clinical symptoms of DM are polyuria (frequent urination), polydipsia (frequent thirst), and polyphagia (eating excessive amounts of food). Other common clinical symptoms include blurred vision, impaired coordination of body movements, tingling in the hands or feet, itching that is often very annoying (pruritus), and weight loss for no apparent reason. In 2014, 8.50% of DM patients worldwide had adult-onset diabetes (WHO, 2016). According to the World Health Organisation Diabetes Country Profiles data, the prevalence of DM in Indonesia in 2016 was 6.6% in males and 7.3% in females. Based on blood sugar examination, the prevalence of DM based on a doctor's diagnosis in the population aged 15 years from 2013 to 2018 increased from 6.9% to 10.9% (Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 2018).

When managing patient health, especially DM, it is necessary to support interprofessional collaboration, as pharmacists play an essential role in optimising patient treatment regimens. Indeed, about 75% of problems in health services are drug-related, including unnecessary, ineffective, unsafe drug therapy, inappropriate indications, and inconsistent drug monitoring (Smith *et al.*, 2011). This collaboration process can occur in health facilities such as hospitals where the interaction between professions is quite intensive. Treatment of DM patients in hospitals allows for comprehensive treatment and collaboration between doctors and pharmacists to achieve optimal therapy.

This study aims to analyse the collaboration of pharmacists and doctors at Airlangga University Hospital Surabaya, dealing with DM patients from the pharmacist's perspective, including the factors that influence this collaboration, i.e., individual characteristics, context characteristics, and exchange characteristics, based on CWR theory.

Methods

Design

This observational cross-sectional study adopted total sampling (Sugiyono, 2007) and included all doctors and pharmacists who treated DM patients at Airlangga

University Hospital Surabaya and were willing to participate.

The independent variables in this study were individual characteristics that reflect personal variables such as demographics (gender, age, education level, work experience, and working time). The context characteristics were related to the practice environment in patient therapy, such as work facilities and organisational structures. The exchange characteristics included relationship initiation, trustworthiness, and role specification of the two professions. These were measured by the PPCI (Pharmacist-Physician Collaboration) graded scale. Collaborative practice between pharmacists and doctors in treating DM patients was measured using the CPS (Collaborative Practice Scale) questionnaire. The instrument used has been tested for validity and reliability (Siyoto & Sodik, 2015).

Assessment

The data collected were analysed descriptively to provide an overview of individual characteristics, context characteristics, exchange characteristics, and pharmacist-doctor collaboration practices in treating DM patients. Furthermore, a correlation analysis was carried out between the exchange characteristics and the pharmacist-doctor collaboration from the pharmacist's perspective.

Results

Characteristics of individual, context, and exchange

This research was conducted at Unair Hospital Surabaya in July 2020 among 15 pharmacists. The results showed that most participants were female (67%), aged between 25-30 years (60%), with a pharmacist education level (73%), a work experience of 1-5 years (80%), and worked 8 hours per day.

The context characteristics showed that 93% of pharmacists who served DM patients communicated rarely with doctors, while 1% communicated intensely with doctors. Facilities for communication were available in the form of telephone or computer. Pharmacists who served DM patients were practising pharmacists at the Pharmacy Installation.

The exchange characteristics cover three domains, i.e., trust, initiation relationship, and role specification. In the trust domain, 46.7% of pharmacists highly trusted doctors, while 53.3% moderately trusted them. Regarding relationship initiation, 13.3% of pharmacists showed a strong relationship initiation with doctors, and 86.7% reported moderate relationship initiation. In the role specification domain, most pharmacists reported that the doctor had a medium specification role (73,3%), and 26,7% attributed a big specification role to doctors. Overall characteristics can be seen in Table I.

Table I: Characteristics of individual, context, and exchange

Individual characteristics		Pharmacists	
Gender	Man	5 (33%)	
	Woman	10 (67%)	
Age	25 – 30 years	9 (60%)	
	31 – 35 years	6 (40%)	
	36-40 years	-	
	> 40 years	-	
Pharmacist education level	Profession pharmacist	11 (73%)	
	Magister pharmacist	4 (27%)	
Long work experience	1-5 years	12 (80%)	
	6-10 years	3(20%)	
	> 10 years	-	
Working duration per day	5 hours	1 (7%)	
	6 hours		
	7 hours		
	8 hours	14 (93%)	
Context characteristics			
Variables	Statement	Activity	n(%)
Work environment	In a day, how often do pharmacists discuss with doctors regarding treatment for Diabetes mellitus patients?	Intense	1 (7%)
		Seldom	14 (93%)
Work facilities	To be able to conduct discussions related to the treatment of Diabetes Mellitus patients, work facilities are available such as telephones or computers	Phone or computer and books available	1 (7%)
		Phone or computer available	14 (93%)
Organizational structure	Position in the work organization structure	Practice Pharmacist	15 (100%)

Exchange characteristics in the domain of pharmacist trust to doctors			
Category	Range score	Frequency	Percentage
High Trust	>19-24	7	46.7
Medium Trust	>12-19	8	53.3
Low Trust	6-12		
Exchange characteristics in the domain of initiation relationships pharmacists – doctors			
Strong Initiation Relationship	>9-12	2	13.3
Medium Initiation Relationship	>6-9	13	86.7
Weak Initiation Relationship	4-6		
Exchange characteristics in the domains role specifications of doctors according to pharmacists			
Big Specification Role	>15-20	4	26.7
Medium Specification Role	>10-15	11	73.3
Small Specification Role	5-10	-	-

Pharmacists and doctors collaborative practice

The results showed 13.3% of effective collaborative practice, 73.4% of quite effective collaborative practice, and 13.3% ineffective collaborative practice (Table II).

Table II: Pharmacists-physician collaborative practice from the pharmacist’s perspective

Category	Range score	Frequency	Percentage
Effective collaborative practice	>12-16	2	13.3
Collaborative practices are quite effective	>8-12	11	73.4
Ineffective collaborative practice	4-8	2	13.3

The characteristics of exchange in the trust domain, initiation relationship, and role specification were the highest in the moderate category, while most collaborative practice was in the quite effective category (Table III).

Table III: Highest category of exchange characteristics and collaborative practices

Variables	Percentage	Category
Trust	53.3	Medium Trust
Initiation Relationship	86.7	Medium Initiation Relationship
Specification Role	73.3	Medium Specification Role
Collaborative Practice	73.4	Collaborative Practices Are Quite Effective

Multiple regression test

The relationship between exchange characteristics (trust, initiation relationship, role specification) and pharmacist-physician collaboration practice used multiple linear regression test, the results can be seen in Table IV. It is known that the trust variable has a significance of 0.017 < 0.05 while the other two variables are > 0.05, meaning that only the trust variable has an effect on the practice of pharmacist-doctor collaboration. The magnitude of the effect of trust on the practice of collaboration is 0.453 or 45.3%. Meanwhile, in the multiple regression analysis, it is known that the significance value is 0.075 > 0.05, this indicates that the three variables of exchange characteristics together have no effect on the practice of pharmacist-physician collaboration according to the pharmacist's perspective.

Table IV: Results of the multiple linear regression test characteristics of the exchange and practice of pharmacist-physician collaboration

Variables of exchange characteristics	t	Sig	F	Sig	R	R ²
Trust	2.802	0.017	3.033	0.075	0.673	0.453
Initiation relationship	0.125	0.903				
Role specification	0.676	0.513				

Discussion

At the Internal Medicine Outpatient Clinic, Unair Hospital, 15 doctors treat DM patients and 15 pharmacists dispense medications in the pharmacy installation. Individual characteristics showed that most pharmacists were women, aged 25-30 years, with 3-5 years of work experience. Experience affects work productivity. Indeed, productivity increases with age and work experience.

Another factor that influences work productivity is the comfort of the work environment, which could create a passion for work so that productivity increases (Weber *et al.*, 2010). In this study, pharmacists and doctors rarely discussed DM patient therapy. The large number of patients who must be served immediately causes pharmacists and doctors to rarely discuss diabetes mellitus treatment therapy. Work facilities are a service to support performance and increase work productivity. Good work facilities could enable communication between pharmacists and doctors (Doucette, Nevins & McDonough, 2005)

Trust, relationship initiation, and role specification are three essential factors in the CWR theory. They can affect the collaborative relationship between health workers (Rathbone *et al.*, 2016). In CWR theory, trust and sharing perspectives are the basis for establishing a relationship. It is necessary to understand each other's roles to reach CWR. Collaboration is achieved when a relationship is built based on mutual trust and respect.

This study shows the results of each variable of exchange characteristics (trust, relationship initiation, and role specifications) on pharmacist-doctor collaborative practices. The influence of trust was 45.3%, while, exchange characteristics had no effect on the practice of pharmacist-doctor collaboration. Trust between pharmacists and doctors is a factor that influences their collaboration, and pharmacists find it essential in building collaboration between pharmacists and doctors, consistent with previous findings from Canadian hospitals (Makowsky *et al.*, 2013). A study at a Swedish hospital also showed that initiation and trust relationships influenced collaboration, followed by role specification (Håkansson Lindqvist, Gustafsson & Gallego, 2019).

The results of the exchange characteristics had no effect on the practice of pharmacist-doctor collaboration from the pharmacist's perspective, with a significant value of $0.075 > 0.05$. Research conducted in hospitals and health clinics in Iraq suggests that doctors are at the top of the hierarchy of healthcare providers and have higher authority than pharmacists to prescribe and change medication regimens. Thus, when doctors seek to build professional relationships with pharmacists, it is likely to

result in collaboration, given that the medical profession has a greater influence and power than the pharmacy profession. The results obtained show that it is necessary to build trust between the professions of doctors and pharmacists for interprofessional collaboration to work well.

Conclusion

The context characteristics showed that the collaboration of doctors and pharmacists in treating patients with diabetes mellitus was not effective.

Regarding exchange characteristics, the most influential factor on the collaborative practice was trust, while the relationship between initiation and role specification had no effect on the pharmacist-physician collaboration from the pharmacist's perspective.

Acknowledgement

Thanks are conveyed to the Chancellor of Airlangga University, who has provided the opportunity to conduct this research. Hopefully the results of this research will be beneficial to the Institution.

Source of Funding

The authors would like to thank Airlangga University for funding this research through the Airlangga University-Management Science University collaborative research scheme.

References

- Al-Jumaili, A. A., Al-Rekabi, M. D., Doucette, W., Hussein, A. H., Abbas, H. K., & Hussein, F. H. (2017). Factors influencing the degree of physician – pharmacist collaboration within Iraqi public healthcare settings. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, *25*(6), 411-417. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12339>
- American Diabetes Association (ADA) (2017) Standards of Medical Care In Diabetes — 2017 Standards of Medical Care In Diabetes D 2017 in The Journal Of Clinical And Applied Research And Education. <https://diabetes.org>
- Awalom, M. T., Kidane, M. E., & Abraha, B. W. (2013). Physicians' views on the professional roles of pharmacists in patient care in Eritrea. *International journal of clinical pharmacy*, *35*(5), 841–846. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-013-9820-x>

- Brock, K. A., & Doucette, W. R. (2004). Collaborative working relationships between pharmacists and physicians: an exploratory study. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, **44**(3), 358–365. <https://doi.org/10.1331/154434504323063995>
- Doucette, W. R., Nevins, J., & McDonough, R. P. (2005). Factors affecting collaborative care between pharmacists and physicians. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, **1**(4), 565–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2005.09.005>
- Håkansson Lindqvist, M., Gustafsson, M., & Gallego, G. (2019). Exploring physicians, nurses and ward-based pharmacists working relationships in a Swedish inpatient setting: a mixed methods study. *International journal of clinical pharmacy*, **41**(3), 728–733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-019-00812-8>
- Liu, Y., & Doucette, W. R. (2011). Exploring stages of pharmacist-physician collaboration using the model of collaborative working relationship. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, **51**(3), 412–417, 418a–419a. <https://doi.org/10.1331/JAPhA.2011.10068>
- Liu, Y., Doucette, W. R., & Farris, K. B. (2010). Examining the development of pharmacist-physician collaboration over 3 months. *Research in social & administrative pharmacy*, **6**(4), 324–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2009.11.002>
- Makowsky, M. J., Madill, H. M., Schindel, T. J., & Tsuyuki, R. T. (2013). Physician perspectives on collaborative working relationships with team-based hospital pharmacists in the inpatient medicine setting. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, **21**(2), 123–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7174.2012.00227.x>
- McDonough, R. P., & Doucette, W. R. (2001). Developing collaborative working relationships between pharmacists and physicians. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, **41**(202), 682–692
- Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. (2018). 'Hasil Utama Riskesdas 2018 Provinsi Jawa Timur' [Main Results of Riskesdas 2018 East Java Province]
- Rathbone, A. P., Mansoor, S. M., Krass, I., Hamrosi, K., & Aslani, P. (2016). Qualitative study to conceptualise a model of interprofessional collaboration between pharmacists and general practitioners to support patients' adherence to medication. *BMJ open*, **6**(3), e010488. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010488>
- Sandeep, N., & Jasdeep, G. S. N. (2008). Effective collaboration between doctors and pharmacists. *Hospital Pharmacist*, **15**, 179–181
- Sisson, E. M., Dixon, D. L., Kildow, D. C., et al. (2016). Effectiveness of a pharmacist-physician team-based collaboration to improve longterm blood pressure control at an inner-city safety-net clinic. *Pharmacotherapy*, **36**(3), 342–347. <https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.1710>
- Smith, V., Devane, D., Begley, C. M., & Clarke, M. (2011). Methodology in conducting a systematic review of systematic reviews of healthcare interventions. *BMC medical research methodology*, **11**(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-11-15>
- Srivastava, S. (2015). Diabetes mellitus: diagnosis and management guidelines. *JIMSA*, **28**(1), 47–50
- Sugiyono. (2007) *Business Research Methods (Quantitative, Qualitative, and R&D Approaches)*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- Siyoto, S., & Sodik, M. A. (2015). 'Dasar Metodologi Penelitian' [Basic Methodology in Research], 1st ed. Yogyakarta: Media Publishing Literacy
- Van, C., Costa, D., Mitchell, B., Abbott, P., & Krass, I. (2012). Development and validation of the GP frequency of interprofessional collaboration instrument (FICI-GP) in primary care. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, **6**, pp. 297–304. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13561820.2012.685994>
- Weber, C. A., Ernst, M. E., Sezate, G. S., Zheng, S., & Carter, B. L. (2010). Pharmacist-physician comanagement of hypertension and reduction in 24-hour ambulatory blood pressures. *Archives of internal medicine*, **170**(18), 1634–1639. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.349>
- World Health Organization. (2016). Global report on diabetes. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204871>
- Zillich, A. J., McDonough, R. P., Carter, B. L., & Doucette, W. R. (2004). Influential characteristics of physician/pharmacist collaborative relationships. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, **38**(5), 764–770. <https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1D419>

also developed by scimago:



SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RANKINGS

SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)
[Journal Rankings](#)
[Country Rankings](#)
[Viz Tools](#)
[Help](#)
[About Us](#)

Pharmacy Education

COUNTRY

Netherlands



Universities and research
institutions in Netherlands



Media Ranking in Netherlands

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Health Professions
Pharmacy

Pharmacology, Toxicology and
Pharmaceutics
Pharmaceutical Science

Social Sciences
Education

PUBLISHER

H-INDEX

18

PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

ISSN

14772701, 15602214

COVERAGE

1973-1978, 2002-2021

INFORMATION


[Homepage](#)

[How to publish in this journal](#)

pej@fip.org

SCOPE


Pharmacy Education journal provides a research, development and evaluation forum for communication between academic teachers, researchers and practitioners in professional and pharmacy education, with an emphasis on new and established teaching and learning methods, new curriculum and syllabus directions, educational outcomes, guidance on structuring courses and assessing achievement, and workforce development. It is a peer-reviewed online open access platform for the dissemination of new ideas in professional pharmacy education and workforce development. Pharmacy Education supports Open Access (OA): free, unrestricted online access to research outputs. Readers are able to access the Journal and individual published articles for free - there are no subscription fees or 'pay per view' charges. Authors wishing to publish their work in Pharmacy Education do so without incurring any financial costs.

 Join the conversation about this journal

 Quartiles


FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS options 

<p>1 American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education USA</p> <p>83% similarity</p>	<p>2 Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning USA</p> <p>82% similarity</p>	<p>3 Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health KOR</p> <p>30% similarity</p>	<p>4 Pharmacy Practice ESP</p> <p>20% similarity</p>	<p>5 International Journal of Pharmacy Practice GBR</p> <p>16% similarity</p>
---	---	---	--	---



Pharmacy Education ← Show this widget in your own website

Q3 Pharmaceutical Science
best quartile

SJR 2021 0.16

powered by scimagojr.com

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" >
```

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our new data visualization tool.

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

A **Adaobi** 3 years ago

Good day.

I observed some discrepancies while attempting to submit an article at the Pharmacy Education journal. the information on this website states that the publisher of the journal is Taylor and Francis while on the journal website it states the International Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP).

Secondly, after clicking on the button for submission, I was redirected to the home page which has nothing to do with the submission portal. I really wonder if the journal is still active.

Please could you help me clarify my doubts?

I have registered and I am afraid I have given out my personal information.

Thank you.

reply

K **Kully Rennie** 3 years ago

Hi there, I can confirm that the journal Pharmacy Education is still active and our website homepage is: <https://pharmacyeducation.fip.org/pharmacyeducation/>

Since 2007 we have been published by FIP who took over publishing from T



Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Adaobi,

Thank you for contacting us.

As you probably know, SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Scopus. All the data (like the Publisher's name) have been provided By Scopus /Elsevier and SCImago doesn't have the authority over this data which are property of Scopus/Elsevier. SCImago has a signed agreement that limits our performance to the generation of scientometric indicators derived from the metadata sent in the last update. Referring this issue, please contact with Scopus support:

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/ for reporting the corresponding inconsistencies or modifications.

Referring the Submission system of this journal, if you click on "How to Publish" above , you will be redirected to the Submission section indicated in the journal's website:

<http://pharmacyeducation.fip.org/pharmacyeducation/about/submissions#onlineSubmissions>

If you have some troubles to submit or access the platform, please contact the editorial's staff directly, so they can help you with this matter.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

J **Joydip Das** 3 years ago

Hi,

I am writing to inquire about the journal Pharmacy Education for submitting a manuscript. Do you have a website for this journal? Please send the author's guidelines.

Thank you,

Joydip Das

Professor of Medicinal Chemistry

University of Houston

reply



Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Joydip, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you to look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website or click on "How to Publish" just above. Best Regards, SCImago Team

Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)

Submit

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2022. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS
Herato (Saire 1.1) (06)

[Cookie settings](#)

[Cookie policy](#)



Source details

Pharmacy Education

Scopus coverage years: from 1973 to 1978, from 2002 to Present

Publisher: International Pharmaceutical Federation

ISSN: 1560-2214 E-ISSN: 1477-2701

Subject area: Health Professions: Pharmacy Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics: Pharmaceutical Science
Social Sciences: Education

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2021 ⓘ
0.5

SJR 2021 ⓘ
0.159

SNIP 2021 ⓘ
0.315

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology ✕

CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2021 ▼

$$0.5 = \frac{107 \text{ Citations 2018 - 2021}}{195 \text{ Documents 2018 - 2021}}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2022

CiteScoreTracker 2022 ⓘ

$$0.6 = \frac{242 \text{ Citations to date}}{427 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 April, 2023 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2021 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Health Professions		
Pharmacy	#26/36	29th
Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics		
Pharmaceutical Science	#125/171	27th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies ↗.

