



International Journal of
*Environmental Research
and Public Health*

IMPACT
FACTOR
4.614

Indexed in:
PubMed



Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Patients with Dementia

Volume 19 • Issue 18 | September (II) 2022



mdpi.com/journal/ijerph
ISSN 1660-4601



[Journals \(/about/journals\)](#)

[Topics \(/topics\)](#)

[Information \(/authors\)](#)

[Author Services \(/authors/english\)](#)

[Initiatives \(/about/initiatives\)](#)

[About](#)

[\(about\)](#)

[Sign In / Sign Up \(/user/login\)](#)

[Submit \(https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload?journal=ijerph\)](https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload?journal=ijerph)

Search for Articles:

Advanced Search

[Journals \(/about/journals\)](#) / [IJERPH \(/journal/ijerph\)](#) / [Volume 19 \(/1660-4601/19\)](#) / [Issue 18 /](#)



<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=1660-4601>



4.5

[Submit to IJERPH \(https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload?form\[journal_id\]=6\)](https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload?form[journal_id]=6)

[Review for IJERPH \(https://susy.mdpi.com/volunteer/journals/review\)](https://susy.mdpi.com/volunteer/journals/review)

Journal Menu

► **Journal Menu**

- [IJERPH Home \(/journal/ijerph\)](#)
- [Aims & Scope \(/journal/ijerph/about\)](#)
- [Editorial Board \(/journal/ijerph/editors\)](#)
- [Reviewer Board \(/journal/ijerph/submission_reviewers\)](#)
- [Topical Advisory Panel \(/journal/ijerph/topical_advisory_panel\)](#)
- [Instructions for Authors \(/journal/ijerph/instructions\)](#)
- [Special Issues \(/journal/ijerph/special_issues\)](#)
- [Topics \(/topics?query=&journal=ijerph&status=all&category=all\)](#)
- [Sections & Collections \(/journal/ijerph/sections\)](#)
- [Article Processing Charge \(/journal/ijerph/apc\)](#)
- [Indexing & Archiving \(/journal/ijerph/indexing\)](#)
- [Editor's Choice Articles \(/journal/ijerph/editors_choice\)](#)
- [Most Cited & Viewed \(/journal/ijerph/most_cited\)](#)
- [Journal Statistics \(/journal/ijerph/stats\)](#)
- [Journal History \(/journal/ijerph/history\)](#)
- [Journal Awards \(/journal/ijerph/awards\)](#)
- [Society Collaborations \(/journal/ijerph/societies\)](#)
- [Conferences \(/journal/ijerph/events\)](#)
- [Editorial Office \(/journal/ijerph/editorial_office\)](#)

Journal Browser

► **Journal Browser**




Go

[> Forthcoming issue \(/1660-4601/20/8\)](#)[> Current issue \(/1660-4601/20/7\)](#)[↕ \(/toggle_desktop_layout_cookie\)](#) [Vol. 20 \(2023\) \(/1660-4601/20\)](#)[Vol. 19 \(2022\) \(/1660-4601/19\)](#)[Vol. 18 \(2021\) \(/1660-4601/18\)](#)[Vol. 17 \(2020\) \(/1660-4601/17\)](#)[Vol. 16 \(2019\) \(/1660-4601/16\)](#)[Vol. 15 \(2018\) \(/1660-4601/15\)](#)[Vol. 14 \(2017\) \(/1660-4601/14\)](#)[Vol. 13 \(2016\) \(/1660-4601/13\)](#)[Vol. 12 \(2015\) \(/1660-4601/12\)](#)[Vol. 11 \(2014\) \(/1660-4601/11\)](#)[Vol. 10 \(2013\) \(/1660-4601/10\)](#)[Vol. 9 \(2012\) \(/1660-4601/9\)](#)[Vol. 8 \(2011\) \(/1660-4601/8\)](#)[Vol. 7 \(2010\) \(/1660-4601/7\)](#)[Vol. 6 \(2009\) \(/1660-4601/6\)](#)[Vol. 5 \(2008\) \(/1660-4601/5\)](#)[Vol. 4 \(2007\) \(/1660-4601/4\)](#)[Vol. 3 \(2006\) \(/1660-4601/3\)](#)[Vol. 2 \(2005\) \(/1660-4601/2\)](#)[Vol. 1 \(2004\) \(/1660-4601/1\)](#)

Affiliated Society:

https://serve.mdpi.com/www/my_files/cliik.php?oaparams=0bannerid=5229zoneid=4cb=bb1de4c168oadest=htt

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, Volume 19, Issue 18 (September-2022) – 695 articles



Cover Story ([view full-size image \(/files/uploaded/covers/ijerph/big_cover-ijerph-v19-i18.png\)](#)): Dementia is a common geriatric disease with a rapidly increasing prevalence, and certain medications are contributing to its exacerbation. These medications are known as potentially inappropriate medications associated with dementia exacerbation (DPIMs). The epidemiology of DPIM use was assessed by retrospectively reviewing electronic medical records over one year at a tertiary, university-affiliated hospital in Korea. Approximately half (47.0%) of the 2100 patients received ≥ 1 DPIM; these were most frequently benzodiazepines followed by anticholinergics. Female patients with multiple comorbidities who used polypharmacy were more likely to be prescribed DPIMs, and thus systematic interventions to prevent DPIM-related harm in these patients should be implemented. [View this paper \(https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/18/11426\)](https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/18/11426)

<https://www.mdpi.com>[1660-4601/19/18/11426](#) regarded as officially published after their release is announced to the [table of contents alert mailing list \(/journal/ijerph/toc-alert\)](#).[11426](#) You may [sign up for e-mail alerts \(/journal/ijerph/toc-alert\)](#) to receive table of contents of newly released issues.

- PDF is the official format for papers published in both, html and pdf forms. To view the papers in pdf format, click on the "PDF Full-text" link, and use the free [Adobe Reader \(http://www.adobe.com/\)](http://www.adobe.com/) to open them.

Order results

Publication Date

Result details

Normal

Section

Health Economics

[Show export options](#)

Open Access Article

[\(/1660-4601/19/18/11820/pdf?version=1663590190\)](#)[Machine Learning Applications for the Development of a Questionnaire to Identify Sasang Constitution Typology \(/1660-4601/19/18/11820\)](#)by [Soon Mi Kim \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/dmRjVlI0R2lvVVZHMExlUWxyS0RRBUt2YlZlXeWhWYnFkN1BnbzB0V0Fnaz0=\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/dmRjVlI0R2lvVVZHMExlUWxyS0RRBUt2YlZlXeWhWYnFkN1BnbzB0V0Fnaz0=),

[Jeongkun Ryu](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/dGRYSXlwWkJGb1hNWFZMaUdKUmq3NEdna3ppQ00rUW9FcEpXcDQ0UkNIST0=) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/dGRYSXlwWkJGb1hNWFZMaUdKUmq3NEdna3ppQ00rUW9FcEpXcDQ0UkNIST0=) and

[Eunhye Olivia Park](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1582916) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1582916)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11820; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811820> (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811820) - 19 Sep 2022

Cited by 2 (1660-4601/19/18/11820#metrics) | Viewed by 854

Abstract Sasang constitutional medicine emphasizes personalized disease prevention and treatment and has been used in various fields. Nevertheless, more efforts are required to improve the validity and reliability of the Sasang analysis tools. Hence, this study aimed to (1) identify key constructs and measurement [...]

[Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Healthcare Circular Economy: Opportunities and Challenges](#) (/journal/ijerph/special_issues/Circul_Health))

► [Show Figures](#)

(https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11820/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11820-g001-550.jpg?1663590283) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11820/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11820-g002-550.jpg?1663590285) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11820/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11820-g003-550.jpg?1663590286) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11820/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11820-g004-550.jpg?1663590288) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11820/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11820-g005-550.jpg?1663590282)

Open Access Article

(/1660-4601/19/18/11604/pdf?version=1663228680)

Research on the Influence of Labor Contract on the Urban Integration of Migrant Workers: Empirical Analysis Based on China's Micro Data (1660-4601/19/18/11604)

by [Chuangxin Zhao](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2318222) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2318222) and [Manping Tang](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2362863) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2362863)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11604; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811604> (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811604) - 15 Sep 2022

Cited by 1 (1660-4601/19/18/11604#metrics) | Viewed by 795

Abstract Using the micro data of the China Labor Dynamics Survey (CLDS), this paper uses factor analysis to construct urban integration indicators and uses the OLS model and intermediary effect model to study the urban integration of 1976 migrant workers in 29 cities in [...]

[Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Urbanization, Migration and Well-Being](#) (/journal/ijerph/special_issues/Urbanization_Migration))

Open Access Review

(/1660-4601/19/18/11486/pdf?version=1663753671)

Valuing Human Impact of Natural Disasters: A Review of Methods (1660-4601/19/18/11486)

by [Aditi Kharb](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2286283) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2286283),

[Sandesh Bhandari](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/QkRNTIF5SU9ZVnV4MUlaVEswUU41WWs3Sy9yY21hRfo5bDRvZ1p5UjA5QT0=) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/QkRNTIF5SU9ZVnV4MUlaVEswUU41WWs3Sy9yY21hRfo5bDRvZ1p5UjA5QT0=),

[Maria Moitinho de Almeida](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/420365) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/420365), [Rafael Castro Delgado](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2364027) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2364027),

[Pedro Arcos González](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/435740) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/435740) and [Sandy Tubeuf](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2025240) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2025240)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11486; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811486> (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811486) - 13 Sep 2022

Cited by 1 (1660-4601/19/18/11486#metrics) | Viewed by 1537

Abstract This paper provides a comprehensive set of methodologies that have been used in the literature to give a monetary value to the human impact in a natural disaster setting. Four databases were searched for relevant published and gray literature documents with a set [...]

[Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Section [Health Economics](#) (/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco))

► [Show Figures](#)

(https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11486/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11486-g001-550.jpg?1663753766) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11486/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11486-g002-550.jpg?1663753767)

Open Access Article

(/1660-4601/19/18/11405/pdf?version=1662802973)

The Moderating Role of COVID-19 Perceived Risk between Health Concern and Psychological Well-Being of Active Senior Campers Using PROCESS Macro Model (1660-4601/19/18/11405)

by [Eui-Yul Choi](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1107135) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1107135) and [Mi-Hwa Jang](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2033227) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2033227)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11405; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811405> (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811405) - 10 Sep 2022

Cited by 2 (1660-4601/19/18/11405#metrics) | Viewed by 1064

Abstract This study attempts to contribute to improving the life of the elderly by empirically analyzing the factors affecting the psychological well-being of active seniors in the 'With COVID-19' era. To this end, the relationship between psychological well-being, health concern, and perceived risk of [...]

[Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Satisfaction, Stress, and Mental Health in the Tourism/Hospitality Industry](#) (/journal/ijerph/special_issues/Mental_Hospital))

► [Show Figures](#)

(https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11405/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11405-g001-550.jpg?1662803055) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11405/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11405-g002-550.jpg?1662803054)

Open Access Article

(/1660-4601/19/18/11392/pdf?version=1663063898)

Impact of an Early Childhood Development Intervention on the Mental Health of Female Caregivers: Evidence from a Randomized Controlled Trial (1660-4601/19/18/11392)

by [Yu Bai](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/488117) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/488117), [Reyila Abulitifu](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2442360) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2442360) and

[Dan Wang](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2366790) (https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2366790)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11392; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811392> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811392>) - 10 Sep 2022
Viewed by 1124

Abstract Investing in early childhood development is an effective way to enhance human capital accumulation. Caregivers' mental health is one of the most important factors influencing children's development. Previous studies have found that mental health issues in caregivers are widespread all over the world. [Read more.](#)

Read more.

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Rural Development and Health in Developing Countries](#) (/journal/ijerph/special_issues/rural_development_and_health_in_development_countries))

► **Show Figures**

(https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11392/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11392-g001-550.jpg?1663142580) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11392/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11392-g002-550.jpg?1663142582) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11392/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11392-g003-550.jpg?1663142571) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11392/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11392-g004-550.jpg?1663142574) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11392/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11392-g005-550.jpg?1663142585)

Open Access Article

☰ ⬇️ [./1660-4601/19/18/11390/pdf?version=1663041179](/1660-4601/19/18/11390/pdf?version=1663041179) ⌂

Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mobile Produce Market Operations: Adaptations, Barriers, and Future Directions for Increasing Food Access (</1660-4601/19/18/11390>)

by [Anne E. Lally](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2308977) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2308977>), [Alban Morina](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2442450) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2442450>), [Leah N. Vermont](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1309756) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1309756>), [Jill N. Tirabassi](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2440032) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2440032>) and [Lucia A. Leone](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1232400) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1232400>)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11390; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811390> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811390>) - 10 Sep 2022
Viewed by 1173

Abstract Background: Mobile produce markets were increasingly recognized as an effective and accepted approach to improving access to fruits and vegetables in lower-income and at-risk communities during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. This study provides insights into how [Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Section [Health Economics](#) (/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco))

Open Access Article

☰ ⬇️ [./1660-4601/19/18/11361/pdf?version=1663062596](/1660-4601/19/18/11361/pdf?version=1663062596)

Do Coastal Areas Experience More Recession during the Economic Crisis—Evidence from China (</1660-4601/19/18/11361>)

by [Juntao Tan](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/193732) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/193732>), [Xiaohui Hu](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/667071) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/667071>), [Fangdao Qiu](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/737117) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/737117>) and [Hongbo Zhao](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2123785) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2123785>)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11361; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811361> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811361>) - 09 Sep 2022
Viewed by 756

Abstract The notion of resilience has been increasingly adopted in economic geography, concerning how regions resist and recover from all kinds of shocks. Most of the literature on the resilience of coastal areas focuses on biophysical stressors, such as climate change and some environmental [Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Section [Health Economics](#) (/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco))

► **Show Figures**

(https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g001-550.jpg?1663142379) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g002-550.jpg?1663142377) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g003-550.jpg?1663142383) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g004-550.jpg?1663142382) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g005-550.jpg?1663142385) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g006-550.jpg?1663142375) (https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11361/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11361-g007-550.jpg?1663142387)

Open Access Article

☰ ⬇️ [./1660-4601/19/18/11350/pdf?version=1662717654](/1660-4601/19/18/11350/pdf?version=1662717654)

Economic Analysis of Patient's Own Medication, Unit-Use and Ward Stock Utilization: Results of the First Pilot Study (</1660-4601/19/18/11350>)


by [Hamimatul Hayat Abdul Nasir](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/QVArQ2dJMjM2Q2RPK2NVTIN4TGIJcWNFc2RaNo0a4UFM4S1h1NWVkb253ST0=) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/QVArQ2dJMjM2Q2RPK2NVTIN4TGIJcWNFc2RaNo0a4UFM4S1h1NWVkb253ST0=>), [Hui Poh Goh](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1890550) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1890550>), [Daniel Vui Teck Wee](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2274141) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2274141>), [Khang Wen Goh](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1992688) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1992688>), [Kah Seng Lee](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1229882) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1229882>), [Andi Hermansyah](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2192131) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2192131>), [Yaser Mohammed Al-Worafi](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/545720) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/545720>) and [Long Chiau Ming](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/cml1anl1MW5KU2RmYmRXRWc2OUVYMXN4bGh6ek9MOUN2aWdGTkR1bk5LWT0=) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/cml1anl1MW5KU2RmYmRXRWc2OUVYMXN4bGh6ek9MOUN2aWdGTkR1bk5LWT0=>)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2022**, *19*(18), 11350; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811350> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811350>) - 09 Sep 2022
Viewed by 787

Abstract Background: Medication wastage is causing a cost burden to the healthcare system that is worth millions of dollars. An economic and ecological friendly intervention such as using a patient's own medications (POM) has proven to reduce wastage and save the cost spent by [Read more.](#)

(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Economic Evaluation of Drugs, Patient Care Intervention\(s\), and Health Care Program\(s\)](#) (/journal/ijerph/special_issues/Economic_Care))




Open Access Article

  [./1660-4601/19/18/11248/pdf?version=1662556442](#)**A Longitudinal Study on Loneliness during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Japan** ([/1660-4601/19/18/11248](#))by  [Sumeet Lal](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1896397>),  [Trinh Xuan Thi Nguyen](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2159222>),  [Abdul-Salam Sulemana](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2158633>),  [Pattaphol Yuktadatta](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1509687>),  [Mostafa Saidur Rahim Khan](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/957004>) and  [Yoshihiko Kadoya](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/988158>)*Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*(18), 11248; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811248> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811248>) - 07 Sep 2022

Viewed by 1130

Abstract The prolonged COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing socioeconomic and health risk factors and added additional dimensions to the loneliness problem. Considering the temporal extension of COVID-19, which exposes people to various loneliness conditions, we examined the development of loneliness and changing risk factors [...]. [Read more.](#)(This article belongs to the Section [Health Economics](#) ([/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco](#)))

Open Access Article

  [./1660-4601/19/18/11222/pdf?version=1662539798](#)**Reducing Employee Turnover Intentions in Tourism and Hospitality Sector: The Mediating Effect of Quality of Work Life and Intrinsic Motivation** ([/1660-4601/19/18/11222](#))by  [Ying Xu](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2831381>),  [Diao Jie](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/QUM5eIJqaUJGb1BUMW9CTHI2TjFEN1VqMWcxU2d0Wm9oR0V1L2J2YVvyYz0=>),  [Hongyu Wu](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/author/bUdnUTcwbS9OSDBCYWZkK2pWVUJ4UjhRZS90WIMrVmlEcUV5U0IFYmpZTT0=>),  [Xiaolu Shi](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2348866>),  [Daniel Badulescu](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/111169>),  [Sher Akbar](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2410385>) and  [Alina Badulescu](#) (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/103492>)*Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*(18), 11222; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811222> (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811222>) - 07 Sep 2022**Cited by** [1](#) ([/1660-4601/19/18/11222#metrics](#)) | Viewed by 1783**Abstract** Employee turnover causes various organizational disruptions, including economic and social loss and a deficit in organizational knowledge-skill inventory. Considering different forms of organizational disruptions associated with employee turnover, the contemporary literature on organizational sciences has shown serious concern in dealing with the challenge [...]. [Read more.](#)(This article belongs to the Special Issue [Satisfaction, Stress, and Mental Health in the Tourism/Hospitality Industry](#) ([/journal/ijerph/special_issues/Mental_Hospital](#)))[► Show Figures](#)https://pub.mdpi-res.com/ijerph/ijerph-19-11222/article_deploy/html/images/ijerph-19-11222-g001-550.jpg?1662539868[Show export options](#) 

Displaying articles 1-10

Previous Issue

[Volume 19, September-1](#) ([/1660-4601/19/17](#))

Next Issue

[Volume 19, October-1](#) ([/1660-4601/19/19](#))*Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* ([/journal/ijerph](#)), EISSN 1660-4601, Published by MDPI[RSS](#) ([/rss/journal/ijerph](#)) [Content Alert](#) ([/journal/ijerph/toc-alert](#))

Further Information

[Article Processing Charges](#) ([/apc](#))[Pay an Invoice](#) ([/about/payment](#))[Open Access Policy](#) ([/openaccess](#))[Contact MDPI](#) ([/about/contact](#))[Jobs at MDPI](#) (<https://careers.mdpi.com>)

Guidelines

[For Authors](#) ([/authors](#))[For Reviewers](#) ([/reviewers](#))[For Editors](#) ([/editors](#))[For Librarians](#) ([/librarians](#))[For Publishers](#) ([/publishing_services](#))[For Societies](#) ([/societies](#))[For Conference Organizers](#) ([/conference_organizers](#))

MDPI Initiatives

[Sciforum \(https://sciforum.net\)](https://sciforum.net)

[MDPI Books \(https://www.mdpi.com/books\)](https://www.mdpi.com/books)

[Preprints.org \(https://www.preprints.org\)](https://www.preprints.org)



[Scilit \(https://www.scilit.net\)](https://www.scilit.net)

[SciProfiles \(https://sciprofiles.com\)](https://sciprofiles.com)

[Encyclopedia \(https://encyclopedia.pub\)](https://encyclopedia.pub)

[JAMS \(https://jams.pub\)](https://jams.pub)

[Proceedings Series \(/about/proceedings\)](#)

[\(toggle_desktop_layout_cookie\)](#)  

Follow MDPI

[LinkedIn \(https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi\)](https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi)

[Facebook \(https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing\)](https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing)

[Twitter \(https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess\)](https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess)



Subscribe to receive issue release notifications and newsletters from MDPI journals

Select options 

Enter your email address...

Subscribe

© 1996-2023 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

[Disclaimer](#) [Terms and Conditions \(/about/terms-and-conditions\)](#) [Privacy Policy \(/about/privacy\)](#)

[Vol. 12 \(2015\) \(/1660-4601/12\)](#)

[Vol. 11 \(2014\) \(/1660-4601/11\)](#)

[Vol. 10 \(2013\) \(/1660-4601/10\)](#)

[Vol. 9 \(2012\) \(/1660-4601/9\)](#)

[Vol. 8 \(2011\) \(/1660-4601/8\)](#)

[Vol. 7 \(2010\) \(/1660-4601/7\)](#)

[Vol. 6 \(2009\) \(/1660-4601/6\)](#)



[Vol. 5 \(2008\) \(/1660-4601/5\)](#)

[Vol. 4 \(2007\) \(/1660-4601/4\)](#)

[Vol. 3 \(2006\) \(/1660-4601/3\)](#)

[Vol. 2 \(2005\) \(/1660-4601/2\)](#)

[Vol. 1 \(2004\) \(/1660-4601/1\)](#)

[↕ ↕ \(/toggle_desktop_layout_cookie\)](#)  

Editorial Board for section 'Health Economics'



- [Health Economics Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/health_eco\)](#)
- [Global Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/public_health\)](#)
- [Environmental Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environment_health\)](#)
- [Environmental Science and Engineering Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environment_engineering\)](#)
- [Occupational Safety and Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/occupational_health\)](#)
- [Health Behavior, Chronic Disease and Health Promotion Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/health_promotion\)](#)
- [Climate Change Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Climate_Change\)](#)
- [Infectious Disease Epidemiology Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Infectious_Disease_Epidemiology\)](#)
- [Mental Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Mental_Health\)](#)
- [Digital Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Digital_Health\)](#)
- [Children's Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Children_Health\)](#)
- [Women's Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/women_health\)](#)
- [Health Care Sciences & Services Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/health_care_sci\)](#)
- [Health Communication and Informatics Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Health_Communication\)](#)
- [Toxicology and Public Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Toxicology_and_Public_Health_1\)](#)
- [Public Health Statistics and Risk Assessment Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Statistics_Risk_Assessment\)](#)



- [Environmental Microbiology Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environmental_microbiology_\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environmental_microbiology_)
- [Oral Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Oral_Health\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Oral_Health)
- [Exercise and Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Exercise-Health\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Exercise-Health)
- [Traumas Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/traumas\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/traumas)
- [Nursing Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/nursing\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/nursing)
- [Adolescents Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/adolescents\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/adolescents)
- [Skin Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/skin-health\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/skin-health)
- [Disabilities Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disabilities\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disabilities)
- [Reproductive Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/reproductive-health\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/reproductive-health)
- [Sport and Health Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/sport_health\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/sport_health)
- [Aging Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/aging\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/aging)
- [Air Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Air\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/Air)
- [Water Science and Technology Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/water_science_technology\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/water_science_technology)
- [Anthropogenic Circularity Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/anthropogen_circularity\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/anthropogen_circularity)
- [Chemoenvironment Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/chemoenvironment\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/chemoenvironment)
- [Environmental Earth Science and Medical Geology Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/earth\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/earth)
- [Biosafety Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/biosafety\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/biosafety)
- [Disease Prevention Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disease_prevention\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disease_prevention)
- [Health-Related Quality of Life and Well-Being Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/health-related_quality_of_life_and_well-being\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/health-related_quality_of_life_and_well-being)
- [Injury Prevention and Rehabilitation Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/injury_prevention_and_rehabilitation\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/injury_prevention_and_rehabilitation)
- [Disaster Medicine Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disaster_medicine\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/disaster_medicine)
- [Environmental Ecology Section \(/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environmental_ecology\)](/journal/ijerph/sectioneditors/environmental_ecology)

Please see [the section webpage \(/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco\)](/journal/ijerph/sections/health_eco) for more information on this section.

Please note that the order in which the Editors appear on this page is alphabetical, and follows the structure of the editorial board presented on the MDPI website under information for editors: [editorial board responsibilities \(/editors#Editorial_Board_Responsibilities\)](/editors#Editorial_Board_Responsibilities).

Members (38)

Search by first name, last name, affiliation, int

[Prof. Dr. Ulf-G. Gerdtham \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/11825\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/11825) *

[Website \(http://portal.research.lu.se/portal/en/persons/ulf-gerdtham\(883e0d0d-e6d4-426b-8851-28c263a83e30\).html\)](http://portal.research.lu.se/portal/en/persons/ulf-gerdtham(883e0d0d-e6d4-426b-8851-28c263a83e30).html)

Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Clinical Sciences, Department of Economics, Lund University, P.O. Box 7082, S-220 07 Lund, Sweden

Interests: health economics; health econometrics; inequalities in health; economics of health behaviour; international health expenditure; health system and organization

* Section: Health Economics

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

Dr. Olatunde Aremu (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1089595>)

Website (<https://www.bcu.ac.uk/health-sciences/about-us/staff-directory/olatunde-aremu>)

Section Board Member

Public and Community and Clinical Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham B15 3TN, UK

Interests: health policy; health economics; economic evaluation; public health; health inequality; quantitative impact evaluation; healthcare utilization; international health

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

Prof. Dr. Judy Arnetz (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/434683>)

Website (<https://chmfamilymedicine.msu.edu/people/judy-arnetz/>)

Section Board Member

College of Human Medicine, Department of Family Medicine, Michigan University, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, USA

Interests: health care work environment; workplace violence; work stress and performance; patient-centered care



Prof. Dr. Francesco Maria Chelli (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1055732>)

Website (<https://www.univpm.it/Entra>

/Francesco_Maria_Chelli_Componente_del_Senato_Accademico/Curriculum_accademico_1/iddocnia/64)

Section Board Member

Department of Economics and Social Sciences, School of Economics "Giorgio Fuà", Marche Polytechnic University, I-60121 Ancona, Italy

Interests: demographic dynamics; urban growth; economics and social science; statistics; demography



Prof. Dr. Peter C. Coyte (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/302600>)




Website (<https://ihpme.utoronto.ca/faculty/peter-c-coyte/>)

Section Board Member

Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A1,

Canada

Interests: health economics; health services outcomes and evaluation; health services finance, organization, and delivery; health policy; home and community care; clinical evaluation and pharmacoconomics

 [\(toggle desktop layout cookie\)](#)  



Dr. Gian Luca Di Tanna

[Website \(https://www.georgeinstitute.org/people/gian-luca-di-tanna\)](https://www.georgeinstitute.org/people/gian-luca-di-tanna)

Section Board Member

The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Newtown, NSW 2042, Australia

Interests: statistics; health economics; meta analysis; Bayesian methods; evidence synthesis; clinical trials

[Prof. Dr. Hengjin Dong \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/40351\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/40351)

[Website \(http://person.zju.edu.cn/donghengjin\)](http://person.zju.edu.cn/donghengjin)

Section Board Member

Center for Health Policy Studies, School of Public Health, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, China

Interests: health policy; health economics; hospital management and health technology assessment

[Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals](#)

[Prof. Dr. Isabelle S. Durand-Zaleski \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2214701\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2214701)

[Website \(http://recherche-innovation.aphp.fr/urc-eco/\)](http://recherche-innovation.aphp.fr/urc-eco/)

Section Board Member

AP-HP, Health Economics Research Unit, 75004 Paris, France

Interests: spinal muscular atrophy; health-related quality of life; economic burden; cost-of-illness; europe; informal care

[Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals](#)



[Prof. Dr. Nowakowska Elżbieta \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2227208\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2227208)

[Website \(http://farmakoekonomika.ump.edu.pl/dane-kontaktowe\)](http://farmakoekonomika.ump.edu.pl/dane-kontaktowe)

Section Board Member

Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Institute of Health Sciences, Collegium Medicum, University of Zielona Góra, Licealna 9 Street, 65-417 Zielona Góra, Poland

Interests: pharmacology of antidepressant drugs; harmaco-economic; health economics; research on new drugs; COVID 19; coronavirus SARS CoV-2

[Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals](#)



Dr. Francisco Epelde (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/951582>)   

Website (<http://www.tauli.cat>)

Section Board Member

Internal Medicine Department, Hospital de Sabadell, University Autonomia of Barcelona, 08208 Barcelona, Spain

Interests: Heart failure; Atrial fibrillation; Ischemic Cardiopathy; Hospital Administration

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

Dr. Euna Han (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/581668>)

Website1 (https://glc.yonsei.ac.kr/faculty/name_search.do?mode=view&userId=KJd3N9HVMVnhIO3NKBpK6zg%3D%3D&sosokcd=) **Website2** (<https://sites.google.com/view/eunahan>)

Section Board Member

Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Yonsei University, Incheon 21983, Korea

Interests: health economics; pharmaceutical health services research; econometric methods for policy evaluations using administrative data; economics of obesity: contextual determinants and non-health outcomes; economics of pharmaceuticals; children and adolescents health behaviors

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. John Helliwell

Website (<http://faculty.arts.ubc.ca/jhelliwell/>)

Section Board Member

Vancouver School of Economics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

Interests: happiness; subjective well-being; trust; social capital; social context of happiness; world happiness

Dr. William Hillegass (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1194358>)

Website (https://www.umc.edu/FacultyProfile/Hillegass_William_B/)

Section Board Member

Departments of Data Science, Population Health, and Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA

Interests: cardiovascular diseases; diabetes; clinical trials; indirect treatment comparisons; clinical trial and population health simulation studies; health economics



Dr. Rachael Hunter (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1389435>)

Website (<https://iris.ucl.ac.uk/iris/browse/profile?upi=RMHUN48>)

Section Board Member

Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Interests: economic evaluation; cost-effectiveness; cost-utility; mental health; criminal justice; maternity



Dr. Guy Hutton (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/34991>)

Website (<https://blogs.worldbank.org/team/guy-hutton>)

Section Board Member

WASH Section, Programme Division, United Nations Children's Fund, Three United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017, USA

Interests: systems strengthening; enabling environment; economics; financing; knowledge management

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Sunghyup Sean Hyun (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/618322>)

Website (<https://sshyun.wixsite.com/sshyun>)

Section Board Member

School of Tourism, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

Interests: workplace well-being; employee mental health; flight attendant psychological well-being; human resource management; cabin crew work environment; convention; cruise; casino; 3c convergence (convention, cruise, casino); neo-fusion tourism industry

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Dr. Billingsley Kaambwa (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1230361>)

Website (<https://www.flinders.edu.au/people/billingsley.kaambwa>)

Section Board Member

Health Economics, College of Medicine and Public Health, Bedford Park Campus, Flinders University, Adelaide 5042, Australia

Interests: economic evaluation; cost-effectiveness analysis; cost-utility analysis; decision-analytic modelling; contingent valuation; discrete choice experiments; quality of life; cost analysis



Prof. Dr. Ngianga-Bakwin Kandala (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/263215>) ↑↑ (toggle desktop layout cookie) 🔍 ☰

Website (<https://biography.omicsonline.org/united-kingdom/northumbria-university/ngiangabakwin-kandala-854008>)

Section Board Member

Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK

Interests: bayesian modelling and diseases mapping; statistical methods applied to epidemiology; survival analysis; longitudinal data analysis; meta-analysis; bayesian spatial analysis; health economics and health technology assessment

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

Prof. Dr. Martin Knapp

Website (<https://www.lse.ac.uk/health-policy/people/professor-martin-knapp>)

Section Board Member

Department of Social Policy, The London School of Economics and Political Science, London WC2A 2AE, UK

Interests: economics; long-term care; mental health; intellectual disability; autism; policy analysis

Prof. Dr. Stephen H. Linder (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2350>)

Website (<https://sph.uth.edu/divisions/management-policy-comm-health/>)

Section Board Member

Institute for Health Policy, Division of Management, Policy and Community Health, The University of Texas School of Public Health, 1200 Pressler, E-1023, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Interests: health policy; environmental policy; cumulative risk; community-based environmental assessment; policy design

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Ching-To Albert Ma (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/868960>)

Website (<http://people.bu.edu/ma/>)

Section Board Member

Department of Economics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Interests: incentives and payment design in the health market; competition in the health market; interaction between public and private health sectors

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Paul McCrone (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2491764>) [\(toggle desktop layout cookie\)](#)  

Website (<https://www.gre.ac.uk/people/rep/faculty-of-education-and-health/professor-paul-mccrone>)

Section Board Member

Faculty of Education, Health and Human Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of Greenwich, Greenwich O20, UK

Interests: the economic evaluation of healthcare services; investigating predictor of healthcare use; valuing patient time spent using services and engaging in leisure activities; developing simulation models to explore healthcare costs and cost-effectiveness

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Dr. David McDaid (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1410669>)

Website (<http://www.lse.ac.uk/cpec/people/david-mcdaid>)

Section Board Member

Care Policy and Evaluation Centre, Department of Health Policy, London School of Economics and Political Science, London WC2A 2AE, UK

Interests: mental health; public health; health promotion; suicide prevention; health policy and health economics

Prof. Dr. Emma McIntosh (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2092412>)

Website (<https://www.gla.ac.uk/researchinstitutes/healthwellbeing/staff/emmamcintosh/>)

Section Board Member

Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment (HEHTA), Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8RZ, UK

Interests: health economics; economic evaluation; public health



Prof. Dr. In-Hwan Oh (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/801806>)

Website (https://khusm.khu.ac.kr/bbs/board.php?bo_table=s2_1_1&wr_id=42&sca=%EC%98%88%EB%B0%A9%EC%9D%98%ED%95%99%EA%B5%90%EC%8B%A4)

Section Board Member

School of Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 130-701, Korea

Interests: burden of disease; DALY; economic burden; Korea

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Dr. Vaughan W. Rees (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/192522>)   

Website (<https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/vaughan-rees/>)

Section Board Member

Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Ave, Kresge, 6th Floor, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Interests: assessment of tobacco product design; potential for dependence; product use and individual risk; secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. James A. Roberts (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1275320>)

Website (https://business.baylor.edu/directory/?id=Jim_Roberts)

Section Board Member

Department of Marketing, Hankamer School of Business, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA

Interests: marketing; consumer behavior; consumer psychology



Dr. Scott D. Rozelle (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/401238>)

Website (https://scei.fsi.stanford.edu/reap/people/scott_rozelle)

Section Board Member

Stanford Center on China's Economy and Institutions, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Interests: health economics; nutrition; early childhood development

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Uwe Siebert (<https://sciprofiles.com/profile/978641>)

Website (<https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/uwe-siebert/>)

Section Board Member

Department of Public Health, Health Services Research and Health Technology Assessment, Institute of Public Health, Medical Decision Making and Health Technology Assessment, UMIT—University for Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology, Eduard-Wallnoefer-Zentrum 1, A-6060 Hall in Tirol, Austria

Interests: public health; epidemiology; comparative effectiveness research; health services and outcomes research; economic evaluation; decision sciences; health care and patient guidance

Prof. Dr. Swaran Singh

Website (<https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/med/staff/singhs/>)

Section Board Member

WMS - Mental Health and Wellbeing, Warwick Medical School, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK   

Interests: youth mental health; psychotic disorders; transitions; care pathways; culture and ethnicity in mental health; mental health legislation

Displaying Editorial board member 1-30 on page 1 of 2.

Go to page [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [21](#) [22](#) [23](#) [24](#) [25](#) [26](#) [27](#) [28](#) [29](#) [30](#)

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health ([/journal/ijerph](#)), EISSN 1660-4601, Published by MDPI

RSS ([/rss/journal/ijerph](#)) **Content Alert** ([/journal/ijerph/toc-alert](#))

Further Information

[Article Processing Charges](#) ([/apc](#))

[Pay an Invoice](#) ([/about/payment](#))

[Open Access Policy](#) ([/openaccess](#))

[Contact MDPI](#) ([/about/contact](#))

[Jobs at MDPI](https://careers.mdpi.com) (<https://careers.mdpi.com>)

Guidelines

[For Authors](#) ([/authors](#))

[For Reviewers](#) ([/reviewers](#))

[For Editors](#) ([/editors](#))

[For Librarians](#) ([/librarians](#))

[For Publishers](#) ([/publishing_services](#))

[For Societies](#) ([/societies](#))

[For Conference Organizers](#) ([/conference_organizers](#))

MDPI Initiatives

[Sciforum](https://sciforum.net) (<https://sciforum.net>)

[MDPI Books](https://www.mdpi.com/books) (<https://www.mdpi.com/books>)

[Preprints.org](https://www.preprints.org) (<https://www.preprints.org>)



[Scilit](https://www.scilit.net) (<https://www.scilit.net>)

[SciProfiles](https://sciprofiles.com) (<https://sciprofiles.com>)

[Encyclopedia \(https://encyclopedia.pub\)](https://encyclopedia.pub)

[JAMS \(https://jams.pub\)](https://jams.pub)

[Proceedings Series \(/about/proceedings\)](/about/proceedings)

[↕ ↕ \(/toggle_desktop_layout_cookie\)](#)  

Follow MDPI


[LinkedIn \(https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi\)](https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi)

[Facebook \(https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing\)](https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing)

[Twitter \(https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess\)](https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess)



Subscribe to receive issue release notifications and newsletters from MDPI journals

Select options 

Enter your email address...

Subscribe

© 1996-2023 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

[Disclaimer](#) [Terms and Conditions \(/about/terms-and-conditions\)](/about/terms-and-conditions)

[Privacy Policy \(/about/privacy\)](/about/privacy)



Article

Economic Analysis of Patient's Own Medication, Unit-Use and Ward Stock Utilization: Results of the First Pilot Study

Hamimatul Hayat Abdul Nasir ¹, Hui Poh Goh ¹ , Daniel Vui Teck Wee ² , Khang Wen Goh ³ , Kah Seng Lee ⁴ , Andi Hermansyah ^{5,*} , Yaser Mohammed Al-Worafi ^{6,7} and Long Chiau Ming ^{1,5,*}

- ¹ PAPRSB Institute of Health Sciences, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Gadong BE1410, Brunei
² Pharmacy Department, Suri Seri Begawan Hospital, Ministry of Health, Belait, Kuala Belait KA1131, Brunei
³ Faculty of Data Sciences and Information Technology, INTI International University, Nilai 78100, Malaysia
⁴ Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Cyberjaya, Cyberjaya 63000, Malaysia
⁵ Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia
⁶ College of Medical Sciences, Azal University for Human Development, Amran P.O. Box 447, Yemen
⁷ College of Pharmacy, University of Science and Technology of Fujairah, Fujairah P.O. Box 2202, United Arab Emirates
* Correspondence: andi-h@ff.unair.ac.id or andi.ffunair@gmail.com (A.H.); long.ming@ubd.edu.bn or longchiauming@gmail.com (L.C.M.)



Citation: Abdul Nasir, H.H.; Goh, H.P.; Wee, D.V.T.; Goh, K.W.; Lee, K.S.; Hermansyah, A.; Al-Worafi, Y.M.; Ming, L.C. Economic Analysis of Patient's Own Medication, Unit-Use and Ward Stock Utilization: Results of the First Pilot Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 11350. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811350>

Academic Editor: Taehwan Park

Received: 10 May 2022

Accepted: 18 August 2022

Published: 9 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Background: Medication wastage is causing a cost burden to the healthcare system that is worth millions of dollars. An economic and ecological friendly intervention such as using a patient's own medications (POM) has proven to reduce wastage and save the cost spent by the hospital. The potential benefits of using POM in inpatient settings have yet to be explored in a country with universal health coverage. This study aimed to pilot test the POM intervention in an adult ward setting and to perform the economic analysis of using POM and ward stock during hospitalization. Methods: A prospective cross-sectional observational study was conducted among the patients admitted to the medical and surgical wards in a public hospital located in Brunei Darussalam between February 2022 and April 2022. Hospitalized adults above 18 years old with regular medications with a minimum length of stay of 48 h and a maximum length of stay of 21 days were included in the study. These eligible patients were divided into a POM group and a non-POM group. The economic analysis of using POM was performed by calculating the direct cost per unit of medication used during admission (from unit-use, ward stock and POM) and comparing the cost spent for both groups. Expired ward stock deemed as medication wastage was determined. Medical research ethics were approved, and all participating patients had given their written informed consent before enrolling in this study. Results: A total of 112 patients aged 63.2 ± 15.8 years participated in this study. The average cost of medication supplied by the inpatient pharmacy for the non-POM group was USD 21.60 ± 34.20 per patient, whereas, for the POM group, it was approximately USD 13.00 ± 18.30 per patient, with a mean difference of USD 8.60 ± 5.17 per patient (95% CI: $-3.95, 27.47$, $p \geq 0.05$). The use of POM minimized 54.03% (USD 625.04) of the total cost spent by the hospital for the POM group within the period of the study. Conclusion: The pilot study showed that the supplied medication cost per patient was not significantly different between the POM and non-POM groups. Nevertheless, the utilization of POM during hospitalization is capable of reducing at least 50% of the total cost spent on inpatient medications by the hospital. The use of POM during hospitalization also helped in reducing the total time spent on the medication process per patient.

Keywords: pharmacy; medicine management; sustainability; wastage; medication safety

1. Introduction

Medication wastage has been an issue that has been increasing over the years and is widely reported in the literature, exposing the need to address this issue [1,2]. It was accentuated by the World Health Organization (WHO) that fifty percent of the medications supplied were neglected and wasted [3]. As a result, medication worth approximately GBP

300 million in the United Kingdom and USD 2.4 billion in the United States are wasted per year [3].

A patient's own medication (POM) can be described as the medications brought during hospitalization, which patients acquired from the hospital's prescription or in community settings [4]. The introduction of POM has shown positive impacts in terms of cost, reducing adverse events and improving treatment outcomes [5]. As highlighted, the use of POM in hospitals has shown positive yields, especially in terms of cost-saving. A previous study in eleven wards of a public hospital located in Southampton, England, showed a significant annual cost saving of GBP 24,213 after the implementation of POM during hospitalization [6]. Another similar study conducted at a private hospital located in Western Australia estimated a total of 9.9% of annual savings from the utilization of POM in inpatient settings [7]. On the other hand, in Malaysia, the implementation of POM has shown a significant reduction in total cost spent by the hospital, by USD 114.27 per patient per year [3]. According to the report from a Canadian Hospital, the use of POM for 3 weeks has extrapolated a total cost saving of CAD 1600 [8]. Although, in fact, the estimated cost saved by the hospital with the use of POM is not on par with newly supplied medications, this intervention is still significant and worth being further investigated in helping to reduce medication wastage and cost [9]. A study in a specialist children's hospital located in London, England, reported that three-quarters of the patients that brought POM during hospitalization were discharged with at least one of the same medications before they were hospitalized, while the rest were discharged with the same medications prior to hospitalization [10]. The implementation of POM within the two weeks of the study saved the hospital a total of GBP 5549 [10]. Another study in a hospital in Canada also mentioned that 90% of POMs were more likely to be used for their inpatient care [8]. Therefore, since the use of patients' regular medications during admission is quite significant, the implementation of POM is feasible. However, some patients lack awareness in bringing their own medications during admission, as found in a previous study where only half of the warded patients brought their own medications, and 25% of them only brought their POM after a reminder [4]. Some patients admitted that they did not bring their medications because they were not informed to bring their own regular medications [4]. Hence, the encouragement and awareness of POM use in inpatient settings should be raised among healthcare professionals, patients and caregivers [10].

Medication dispensing systems vary, yet they all have the ultimate goals of ensuring patients receive the best possible treatment. In the current study, a unit-use supply system was used that could lead to medication wastage if the earlier prescribed drugs are not finished due to a change in prescriptions or not being returned to the pharmacy. On the other hand, a unit-dose supply system was recommended to reduce potential medication errors, albeit at higher operational and labor requirements [11]. Investigations of these different dispensing systems were performed to find the errors made when compared using the unit-dose system by the pharmacist versus the ward stock system by the staff nurse [12]. Often the ward stock (also known as floor stock) included the leftover or unused medications that stay idle and expire. This tends to lead to medication wastage because of the potential change in prescription due to patient disease progression and continuous monitoring [11].

Although the use of POM during hospitalization requires thorough quality checking to ensure that the medications are still within standard and safe to be used, this intervention has been approved and applied by 90% of the pharmacy directors from 300 small hospitals that were registered under the American Hospital Association [13]. These studies have demonstrated the benefits and feasibility of the use of POM in the wards. Considering that this intervention has not been well studied in the Asian region, including using an observational study design, we conducted a pilot study to investigate the financial impact of using POM and ward stock in inpatient settings. This study also helped to describe the cost involved in the use of ward stock.

2. Methods

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted in an inpatient setting at one public hospital located in the district of Belait in Brunei Darussalam from February 2022 to April 2022. There are, in total, three wards of the hospital, of which two surgical wards and one medical ward participated in this study. This study was approved by the PAPRSB Institute of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (Reference Number: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76) prior to the conduction of the study. In addition, this study was performed according to the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki with proper documentation of patient consent and protocol.

2.1. Study Participants

Eligible participants were adults above 18 years old with regular medications admitted to the medical ward (merged with a zoning of male and female), female surgical ward and male surgical ward between February 2022 and April 2022, with a minimum length of stay of 48 h and a maximum length of stay of 21 days. Hence, patients with no regular medications, on repetitive admission and a longer admission period of more than 21 days were excluded from this study. The term 'regular medications' here refers to medications that the patient takes on a daily basis, such as for chronic illnesses where repeat prescriptions are given. Some common examples of chronic diseases are diabetes mellitus, dyspepsia or hypertension.

2.2. Study Design

The eligible patients' medication records were screened via the electronic hospital information system (e-HIS) and the physical ward medication administration record by the researchers post identification of their POM status in the ward. For the POM group, the POM would be handed to the nurses in charge. The POM was kept in the respectively labeled compartment inside the medication trolley. The POM group, on top of their medication brought from home, were prescribed other medications too, depending on their treatment needs.

Throughout the study period, the researcher checked through the ward medication list to determine which was POM, from inpatient pharmacy supply or from ward stock. Ward stock here refers to the floor stock kept in the wards. For the non-POM group, the list of medication supplied by the inpatient pharmacy and the ward stock used were identified. Dispensation was carried out daily in all medical wards, except on Sunday, when drugs were dispensed for a three-day supply. There are some exceptions to the unit-dose drug dispensing system: the distribution of large volume solutions, antiseptics and disinfectants. The prescription of these drugs was not included in our study.

At the selected ward, nurses were responsible for the drug inventory within their unit. Restocking the ward stock was performed via approved lists and was supplied by the inpatient pharmacy on an ad hoc basis. This system normally co-exists with the ward stock distribution system, for which the respective ward had its own in-house ward stock medication list. The doctors wrote prescriptions using a computerized physician order entry system as part of the e-HIS. The nurses were tasked to give the ordered medication to the patient in the ward based on the bed head ticket. In the unit of use system, for example, drug A tablet once daily was prescribed, and the patient requires one tablet each day. Therefore, by using a unit of use on three days basis, three tablets were supplied as unit-use on day one. On day three, if drug A was still continued, the nurses would request a drug top-up. Nurses would collect the prescribed medicine and store it in the medication trolley prior to administering the medicine to the respective patient.

The eligible warded patients were categorized into POM and non-POM groups. The non-POM group consisted of patients that were on regular medications but did not bring their medication during their admission, whether intentionally or not. Whereas the POM group were those patients that brought their own medication during admission, on their own or after being reminded by the nurses. Thus, any regular medication that was used

during admission for patients in the non-POM group was provided by the inpatient pharmacy or from the wards via the ward stock. On the other hand, the POM group used their own medication throughout their stay at the hospital. Since ward stock was used during patient admission, the usage of ward stock was also monitored in this study.

2.3. Cost Analysis of Medication (POM, Unit-Use, Ward Supply)

Cost analysis was carried out in order to find the cost impact of POM use during hospitalization. The medication cost per unit was standardized based on the latest medicine price list fixated by the Department of Pharmaceutical Services, Brunei Darussalam, for the year 2021 in Brunei Dollar (BND). The cost of drugs was converted to USD based on BND 1.00 equal to USD 0.74 for the year 2022.

The cost impact of using POM was then determined by determining the cost per unit of medication used during admission and comparing the cost spent for both groups. To determine the cost of medication used, the patient's list of medications and medication administration chart via electronic medical record, Hospital Information System (HIS) to monitor the medications' name, strength and quantity used by the patient during admission were recorded on a daily basis. On another note, the medications taken into account in this study were limited to prescribed medication only; medication such as injections and over-the-counter (OTC) medicines that can be used within the inpatient settings or at home were not included.

For the non-POM group, the cost of medication use per patient was calculated based on the quantity of medicines supplied by the inpatient pharmacy, while for the POM group, the quantity of both patient's own medication and any medicines supplied by the inpatient pharmacy used during admission were both determined. Since the study from van Herpen-Meeuwissen et al. [14], was used as a reference, the cost of medications used for both groups was calculated using adjusted patient days for comparison as used in the referenced study. Individual detailed medication use information, such as a list of medications, timing and dosage of the medications, were displayed under the medication administration chart section in e-HIS.

Equation (1) was used to calculate the cost of medication used for both groups per adjusted patient days [15].

$$\frac{\text{Medication price per unit used}}{\text{Average length of stay}} \times 100 \text{ days} \quad (1)$$

Equation (2) was used to calculate the real cost saving for using POM:

Total cost of the regular medications prescribed during hospitalization

$$\frac{\text{Cost of the medications used by the patient during hospitalization}}{\text{Average length of stay}} \times 100 \text{ days} \quad (2)$$

Equation (3) was used to calculate the expected cost saved if POM were used:

$$\frac{\text{Cost of the regular medications supplied during hospitalization}}{\text{Average length of stay}} \times 100 \text{ days} \quad (3)$$

Meanwhile, the cost of wastage refers to the total cost of medications that were unused and left in the trolley expired.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

All data were entered into Microsoft Excel to summarize the descriptive statistics, which were then imported to RStudio for analysis. The normality of the datasets was tested using Shapiro–Wilk test, where $p \geq 0.05$ indicates that the data were normally distributed, and $p \leq 0.05$ indicates that the data were not normally distributed. In this case, all of the data used for cost analysis were not normally distributed. In order to compare the non-

POM and the POM groups, the independent *t*-test was applied. $p \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Study Cohort

A total of 205 patients were admitted to the three wards throughout the study period who were all have been screened, but only 55 percent ($n = 112$) of these patients were on regular medications. After following up with these patients, only 104 patients were eligible for the study, out of which 8 patients were excluded because they were admitted longer than 21 days. From the pattern of prescribing, dispensing and follow-up with their medication administration chart via HIS, it was found that 63 (60.6%) of the 104 patients did not bring their own regular medications during admission, while the other 41 (39.4%) patients brought their own medications. The summary of baseline characteristics of the study sample is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Patient characteristics of study sample ($n = 104$).

Variable	n (%)	Mean (SD)
Age (years) ^a	-	63.2 (15.8)
Length of stay (days) ^a		6.9 (4.1)
Gender ^b		
Male	62 (59.6)	
Female	42 (40.4)	
Category ^b		
Non-POM Group	63 (60.6)	
POM Group	41 (39.4)	
Number of regular medications per patient ^a		6.7 (3.4)

^a Mean (SD); ^b Categorical data, n (%).

The mean length of stay for the non-POM group was not different from the POM group, with 7.3 ± 4.3 days and 6.4 ± 3.7 days, respectively. As shown in Table 2, the pattern of regular medications used during hospitalization was not significantly different for both groups.

Table 2. Characteristics of POM versus Non-POM Groups.

	POM Group	Non-POM Group	Significance (p-Value)
Number of patients ^b	41 (39.4)	63 (60.6)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Length of stay ^a	6.4 (3.7)	7.3 (4.3)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Number of regular medications per patient ^a	7.2 (3.7)	6.4 (3.1)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Number of regular medications used during admission per patient ^a	6.3 (3.3)	5.1 (3.2)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Total number of inpatient medications used during admission per patient ^a	8.68 (3.6)	8.27 (3.3)	$p \geq 0.05$ *

* Independent *t*-test; ^a Mean (SD); ^b Categorical data, n (%).

3.2. Economic Analysis of Medication Used

The differences in cost spent per patient for each group are summarized in Table 3. The finding shows that the hospital spent approximately USD 21.60 per patient for the non-POM group, which sums up to a total of USD 1363.29 for the group. Whereas for the POM group, it was estimated that the hospital spent USD 28.40 per patient, which totaled to USD 532.70 for the respective group within the period of the study. Collectively, a significant cost had been saved from the use of POM during hospitalization, which was USD 625.04, and a total cost of USD 759.51 was possible to be saved if the use of POM was encouraged. The cost of medications used per patient for both groups was USD 295.89 and USD 443.75 for the non-POM group and POM group, respectively, per 100 patient days, in which the total costs were USD 18,674.90 and USD 18,074.08, respectively, for each group.

Table 3. Mean \pm SD economic analysis per patient.

Cost (USD)	Non-POM (n = 63)	POM (n = 41)	p-Value
Medications prescribed	21.6 (34.2) ^a	28.4 (26.8)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Medications supplied	21.6 (34.2) ^a	13.0 (18.3)	$p \geq 0.05$ *
Saving for using POM	Not applicable	15.4 (20.1)	Not applicable
Potential saving if POM were used	12.1 (16.5)	Not applicable	Not applicable
Cost saved/potential cost saved	12.1 (16.5)	15.4 (20.1)	$p \geq 0.05$ *

* Independent *t*-test; ^a same value because all prescribed medications were supplied due to no POM.

Table 4 shows the top 10 medications used in the wards during the study period for both the POM group and the non-POM group. Omeprazole was the most-used medication in the wards. The pattern of medications used for both groups was different, but both groups had furosemide and different types of heart medications as the top medications used in the wards.

Table 4. Top 10 medications used in the wards for POM and non-POM groups.

No	POM Group	Quantity (n)	Non-POM Group	Quantity (n)
	Name of Medications		Name of Medications	
1	Omeprazole 20 mg	157	Omeprazole 20 mg	659
2	Metformin HCl XR 500 mg	88	Calcium Carbonate 1250 mg	284
3	Metformin HCl 500 mg	77	Paracetamol 500 mg	267
4	Doxazosin 4 mg	74	Furosemide 40 mg	262.5
5	Atorvastatin 20 mg	63	Calcium Polystyrene Powder	230
6	Clopidogrel 75 mg	60	Perindopril Erbumine 4 mg	224.5
7	Essential Phospholipids + Vit B1 B2 B6 B12 E + Nicotinamide Caps	58	Amlodipine 5 mg	176.5
8	Furosemide 40 mg	57	Ferrous Fumarate 200 mg	165
9	Gabapentin 300 mg capsule	57	Aspirin 100 mg	157
10	Gliclazide MR 30 mg	55	Warfarin Sodium 1 mg	148

Throughout the study period, approximately USD 157.31 value of ward stock medication was used per month, while the sum of the ward stock value kept in the medical and surgical ward was approximately USD 1554.2. On the other hand, ward stock with a cost of USD 18.28 was found to be expired within the duration of the study. Out of which, USD 16.65 was contributed by the medical ward. The wastage refers to the amount of medication that was unused and left in the trolley expired. The pattern of ward stock use in the wards is in Table 5.

Table 5. Calculated cost of ward stock medication per day.

Wards	Ward Stock Medications Used (USD)	Ward Stock Medications Maintained in Ward (USD)
Medical Ward	2.80	40.63
Male Surgical Ward	1.55	5.76
Female Surgical Ward	0.90	5.42

4. Discussion

This is the first study that has investigated the cost impact of POM, unit-use and ward stock medication use during hospitalization. According to the previous study of POM use in Denmark's surgical wards, there was no significant difference between the medication cost per patient for using POM with the traditional medication system, estimated to be USD 2.03 (95% CI: $-0.57, 4.63$, $p = 0.131$) [16]. Similar to this study, the supplied medication cost per patient was not significantly different as the mean difference was USD 8.60 (95% CI: $-3.95, 27.47$, $p = 0.141$). Although it was expected that the use of POM should reduce the cost spent by the hospital, as less medication would be supplied by the pharmacy as the patients brought their own medications, as in one of the preceding studies which the implementation of POM in Malaysia has shown a significant reduction in the total cost of USD 114.27 per patient per year [3]. There is a full ten-year record (2006–2016) of returned medicines from hospital wards and inpatient pharmacies from the main tertiary hospital in Brunei. Out of the total USD 2.7 million worth of returned medications, 53.8% were eventually reused; hence approximately USD 127k of medication is wasted per year [1,2]. As a matter of fact, the Ministry of Health of Brunei Darussalam's annual expenditure has reached USD 176k for medical and pharmaceutical products, as stated in the recent database of 2020 [17]. Recently, many cost-saving alternatives and interventions have been introduced to reduce the problem of escalating the cost of wasted medications due to unused medication at home [9].

There are various potential reasons why the use of POM in the POM group did not show a significant difference in terms of the cost of medication supplied by the pharmacy in the non-POM group. Foremost, complex patients in the POM group, such as those who have multiple chronic diseases, may require the addition of new medication during admission. In addition, some patients might be stopped from taking some of their medications due to the reluctance of the physicians to increase the intensity of the long-term treatment [18]. Hence, this may result in starting a new regimen and stopping prior regular medications, hence increasing the quantity of medication supplied by the inpatient pharmacy for the POM group. Issues of medication wastage were also found in this study, where expired medications were found in the wards' trolleys. There are a few potential reasons causing this, such as keeping the excess medication supplied to the patients, although it was not a part of their ward stock, and lack of awareness or lack of staff in managing the medication of the ward stock. Since patient treatment needs may change throughout the admission, the possibility of changing medications and dosages is high, and this might result in leftovers if patients' prescriptions were delivered in advance. To provide a solution for the poor management of the leftover medications in the wards, the utilization of a unit-dose system to supply medication in inpatient settings is recommended [19]. This means the inpatient pharmacy would supply the medications on a daily basis instead of supplying medications a few days in advance. Recently, a one-year study was conducted in Portugal by utilizing a unit dose to cut down the leftovers of oral antibiotic dispensing practices [19]. In this particular study, the overall savings of 3939 pharmaceutical units, corresponding to EUR 1032.99, was reported, which could give up to EUR 434,085.85 in monetary savings for the whole of Portugal [19].

The finding of this study revealed that the use of POM helped to save 54.03% of the total cost spent by the hospital for the POM group within the period of the study, amounting to USD 625.04 in financial cost. Thus, it was estimated that the hospital could

potentially save up to 55.7% (USD 759.21) of the total cost spent in the non-POM group if POM is used. Although statistically, the cost saved and the potential cost saved by using POM were not significantly different, comparing the total cost that can be saved if POM was used during admission can help to reveal that this intervention can still aid in reducing a relevant value spent by the hospital. On another note, although there was no statistical difference between the lengths of stay between the two groups, the mean length of stay for the non-POM group was approximately one day longer than the POM group. The POM group might be more easily managed and encounter fewer medication errors, resulting in earlier hospital discharge. Another study also suggested that the excess LOS was usually caused by adverse drug events [20]. The excess length of stay ranged from 1.2 to 4.6 days in US studies and 2.3 to 3.5 days in European studies [20]. Considering the fact that there is a potential reduction in total cost spent by the hospital for patients with POM, this intervention seemed to have the capacity to augment the hospital's cost management and healthcare system too.

Promising benefits have been suggested by the use of POM during admission. This includes the opportunity for better patient counseling and implementing continuity of care to improve medication adherence, which is one of the most common problems. A previous study found that the use of POM in diabetic patients has a positive effect in improving glycemic control by 0.79% [3]. On another point of view, some studies stated that the use of POM might also reduce medication errors during admission since patients are more familiar with the treatment regimen and the generic brand used [14].

Often in the wards, patients were stopped from taking their regular medications prior to admission and started with a new regimen. However, starting or hastily adding a new treatment does not necessarily provide an advantage to patients. It was unequivocal that the addition of more medications increases the risk of having a drug–drug interaction [21]. For instance, in one of the studies, 40% of new medications prescribed during admission were discontinued when discharged, and medication that was changed for 10% of the patients was still within the same class [22]. Changing the patient's treatment may also lead to the abandonment of the previous prescriptions, thus contributing to medication wastage, especially if not disposed of properly [9]. Another problem that may arise with the change in treatment might include medication error, as it was found that a minimum of one error was found in 12% of the warded patients with a newly changed prescription [7]. One of the potential errors was caused by the omission of crucial medications, especially in chronic patients [7]. This highlighted that unnecessary medication expenditure can lead to a cost burden for the healthcare system due to potential medication wastage [23]. Thus, recommending the use of POM during admission might help to prevent the aforementioned issues.

Nevertheless, the implementation of POM in inpatient settings is time and labor intensive in adapting to the changes in the workflow system, and it requires the involvement of the patients and other healthcare professionals. Although, in this study, the labor cost was not evaluated, which can contribute to a significant impact of using POM in inpatient settings. The use of POM also has the potential to cause medication errors as the quality of the medication brought by patients cannot be assured, and the packaging might be unrecognizable to nurses [14]. Therefore, continuous assessment is required in order to analyze the safety and feasibility of implementing this initiative in inpatient settings.

The implementation of the workflow system and standard operating procedures by involving a pharmacist in managing patients' medications in the wards and to complete history taking and medicine reconciliation might help in ensuring effective patient care and reducing the prevalence of medication errors, such as dosing errors, omissions, duplications or drug interactions, during the hospitalization period. It has been proven in previous studies that the use of a patient's own medication during hospitalization has been recognized for its value in completing medicine reconciliation, leading to a more precise drug profile [24]. A study in Texas, United States, found that the implementation of a workflow management system in a children's hospital for eight months helped to reduce

the error rate by 1.6% [25]. In another study, it was also mentioned that when a pharmacist takes charge of the physicians and nurses in managing the patient's medications in the wards, the time spent by the healthcare workers on the medication process was reduced to 5.2 h per patient (from more than 112.7 h per patient); this translated to a cost saving of EUR 1219 per 100 patients [14]. In addition, the use of an automated dispensing system such as e-HIS might further help reduce medication error, time and cost by optimizing the inventory management and refill system [26]. Generally, the role of pharmacists is important in inpatient settings to assist in rationalizing medication prescribing and reducing drug–drug interactions, particularly when patients are on medications that are potentially inappropriate or unnecessary. Additionally, the involvement of a pharmacist in managing patients' medications in the wards can help improve the healthcare system in delivering better patient care, hence reducing the time, cost and medication errors.

Medication errors should be avoided wherever possible as they can lengthen the period of admission, cost and may cause mortality [27]. Thus, it can be suggested that the effect of POM during admission can be further investigated in future research, such as the effects of using POM toward patients' knowledge and understanding of their medication regimens. Furthermore, the results of the research study can be used as a reference source in order for healthcare authorities to implement the POM policy in the future. Before integrating this intervention as a practice in inpatient settings, corresponding interventional studies are required to assess and refine this process. Proper patient education might be adequate to instill awareness in using their own medications to optimize health expenditure.

Strengths and Limitations and Implications for Practice

This study only focuses on the cost impact of POM use during hospitalization and not the influence of using POM exclusively. Hence only limited aspects were observed. In addition, any adverse drug events that occurred after discharge for both groups were not assessed in this study in which there might be several benefits that the researchers have missed from the use of POM in inpatient settings. Since the prevalence of post-discharge adverse events was 20% in discharged patients, it should be studied in both the non-POM and POM groups to see if the use of POM during hospitalization can reduce the prevalence of post-discharge adverse events [28]. Furthermore, the labor cost of physicians and nurses in managing the patients' medications was not assessed in this study, therefore, contributing to the limitations of this study. Hence, the cost impact of POM use during admission and the true effects may be overestimated or underestimated. Concomitantly, the labor cost is important to assess to see the true impact of using POM on the healthcare system as a whole. This can be evaluated in a future study to improve the current study. Nevertheless, the researchers believed that the limitations were counterbalanced by the strengths of the study. In this pilot study, to test the feasibility of a prospective observational study, we were able to involve 104 patients over a study period of 8 weeks. Of note, three full-time researchers thoroughly screened the medication utilization of the samples with the help of both e-HIS and the physical ward medication administration chart enabled the researchers to closely examine the medications administered to the patients daily.

5. Conclusions

The utilization of POM during admission is capable of reducing the cost spent by the hospital by at least 50% if patients bring their own medications from home, albeit it is not statistically significant due to the small sample size. With the use of POM, the total time spent on the medication process can be reduced significantly for each patient, which, when translated to cost, will save a significant value. The findings in this study are paramount in determining the development of new guidelines or standard procedures in the subsequent management of medication in an adult ward setting.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.P.G.; L.C.M. and D.V.T.W.; Data curation, H.H.A.N. and K.W.G.; Formal analysis, H.H.A.N., A.H. and L.C.M.; Funding acquisition, L.C.M. and A.H.; Investigation, H.H.A.N., H.P.G., D.V.T.W. and L.C.M.; Methodology, H.H.A.N., A.H. and L.C.M.;

Project administration, H.P.G. and D.V.T.W.; Supervision, H.P.G., D.V.T.W., A.H. and L.C.M.; Validation, K.W.G. and Y.M.A.-W.; Visualization, K.W.G. and A.H.; Writing—original draft, H.H.A.N., H.P.G. and L.C.M.; Writing—review and editing, Y.M.A.-W., K.S.L., A.H. and L.C.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the PAPRSB Institute of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of Universiti Brunei Darussalam (Reference Number: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76; date of approval 23 January 2022).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kifli, N. Medication Wastage and its Disposal amongst Patients at Suri Seri Begawan Hospital in Brunei Darussalam. *Med. Health* **2016**, *11*, 139–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kifli, N.; See, W.W.; Chaw, L.L. The extent of medicine wastages in a tertiary hospital in Brunei: 10 years' retrospective study from 2006 to 2016. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **2018**, *11*, 328–332. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lim, P.C.; Chung, Y.Y.; Tan, S.J.; Wong, T.Y.; Permalu, D.D.; Cheah, T.K.; Lim, S.L.; Lee, C.Y. Comparing the cost, glycaemic control and medication adherence of utilizing patients' own medicines (POMs) versus usual dispensing among diabetic patients in an outpatient setting. *DARU J. Pharm. Sci.* **2021**, *29*, 125–132. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Boachie-Ansah, P.; Anto, B.P.; Marfo, A.F.A. Reuse of patients' own drugs in hospitals in Ghana; the evidence to support policy. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **2019**, *19*, 27. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Nasir, H.H.A.; Dhaliwal, J.S.; Goh, H.P.; Ming, L.C.; Wee, D.V.T.; Goh, K.W.; Sritharan, G.; Ali, M.; Kassab, Y.W. Patient's Own Medication Use During Hospitalization. *Prog. Microbes Mol. Biol.* **2022**, *5*, a0000266.
- Dua, S. Establishment and audit of a patients' own drug scheme. *Hosp. Pharm.* **2000**, *7*, 196–198.
- James, C.R.; Leong, C.K.Y.; Martin, R.C.; Plumridge, R.J. Patient's own drugs and one-stop dispensing: Improving continuity of care and reducing drug expenditure. *J. Pharm. Pract. Res.* **2008**, *38*, 44–46. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Wong, G.Y.C. Cost impact of using patients' own multidose medications in hospital. *Can. J. Hosp. Pharm.* **2014**, *67*, 9–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Makki, M.; Hassali, M.A.; Awaisu, A.; Hashmi, F. The Prevalence of Unused Medications in Homes. *Pharmacy* **2019**, *7*, 61. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Asare, F.A. Cost savings from using patients' own drugs in a paediatric hospital. *Ren. Fail.* **2009**, *65*, 30.
- Miller, M.R.; Robinson, K.A.; Lubomski, L.H.; Rinke, M.L.; Pronovost, P.J. Medication errors in paediatric care: A systematic review of epidemiology and an evaluation of evidence supporting reduction strategy recommendations. *BMJ Qual. Saf.* **2007**, *16*, 116–126. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Andersen, S.E. Drug dispensing errors in a ward stock system. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2010**, *106*, 100–105. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Norstrom, P.E.; Brown, C.M. Use of patients' own medications in small hospitals. *Am. J. Health Pharm.* **2002**, *59*, 349–354. [[CrossRef](#)]
- van Herpen-Meeuwissen, L.J.; van den Bemt, B.J.; Derijks, H.J.; van den Bemt, P.M.; de Vries, F.; Maat, B.; van Onzenoort, H.A. Economic impact of Patient's Own Medication use during hospitalisation: A multicentre pre-post implementation study. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2019**, *41*, 1658–1665. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Risør, B.W.; Lisby, M.; Sørensen, J. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of an Automated Medication System Implemented in a Danish Hospital Setting. *Value Health* **2017**, *20*, 886–893. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Houliind, M.B.; McNulty, H.B.; Trelldal, C.; Andersen, S.L.; Haupt, T.H.; Petersen, J.; Andersen, O.; Kjeldsen, L.J. One-Stop Dispensing: Hospital Costs and Patient Perspectives on Self-Management of Medication. *Pharmacy* **2018**, *6*, 46. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Brunei Darussalam Statistical Yearbook 2020*; Perpustakaan Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka Brunei: Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei, 2021.
- Steinman, M.A.; Auerbach, A.D. Managing Chronic Disease in Hospitalized Patients. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2013**, *173*, 1857. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Costa, T.; Pimentel, A.C.; Mota-Vieira, L.; Castanha, A.C. The benefits of a unit-dose system in oral antibiotics dispensing: Azorean hospital pharmacists tackling the socioeconomic problem of leftovers in Portugal. *Drugs Ther. Perspect.* **2021**, *37*, 212–221. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Neville, H.L.; Chevalier, B.; Daley, C.; Nodwell, L.; Harding, C.; Hiltz, A.; MacDonald, T.; Skedgel, C.; Mackinnon, N.; Slayter, K. Clinical benefits and economic impact of post-surgical care provided by pharmacists in a Canadian hospital. *Int. J. Pharm. Pract.* **2014**, *22*, 216–222. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Moura, C.; Acurcio, F.; Belo, N. Drug-drug interactions associated with length of stay and cost of hospitalization. *J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **2009**, *12*, 266–272. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Beers, M.H.; Dang, J.; Hasegawa, J.; Tamai, I.Y. Influence of Hospitalization on Drug Therapy in the Elderly. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **1989**, *37*, 679–683. [[CrossRef](#)]

23. White, K.G. UK interventions to control medicines wastage: A critical review. *Int. J. Pharm. Pract.* **2010**, *18*, 131–140.
24. Crowther, J.; Wanklyn, S.; Johnson, M.; Lund, S. P114 Improving the use of patients own medication in the hospice setting. *BMJ Support. Palliat. Care* **2013**, *3* (Suppl. S1), A50. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Davis, S.J.; Hurtado, J.; Nguyen, R.; Huynh, T.; Lindon, I.; Hudnall, C.; Bork, S. Innovations in medication preparation safety and wastage reduction: Use of a workflow management system in a pediatric hospital. *Hosp. Pharm.* **2017**, *52*, 54–59. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Batson, S.; Herranz, A.; Rohrbach, N.; Canobbio, M.; Mitchell, S.A.; Bonnabry, P. Automation of in-hospital pharmacy dispensing: A systematic review. *Eur. J. Hosp. Pharm.* **2021**, *28*, 58–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Sørensen, C.A.; de Thurah, A.; Lisby, M.; Olesen, C.; Sørensen, S.B.; Enemark, U. Cost–consequence analysis of self-administration of medication during hospitalization: A pragmatic randomized controlled trial in a Danish hospital setting. *Ther. Adv. Drug Saf.* **2020**, *11*, 204209862092992. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Walker, P.C.; Bernstein, S.J.; Jones, J.N.T.; Piersma, J.; Kim, H.W.; Regal, R.E.; Kuhn, L.; Flanders, S.A. Impact of a pharmacist-facilitated hospital discharge program: A quasi-experimental study. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2009**, *169*, 2003–2010. [[CrossRef](#)]



Claim Your Free Trial

American Journal Experts

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health



COUNTRY

Switzerland



Universities and research institutions in Switzerland



Media Ranking in Switzerland

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Environmental Science
Health, Toxicology and Mutagenesis
Pollution

Medicine
Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health

PUBLISHER

Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)

H-INDEX

13
8

Submit paper for publication

How to Publish Paper, free cost publication, ugc care journal policy follow



IJNRD R

PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

ISSN

16604601, 16617827

COVERAGE


2004-2021

INFORMATIC

[Homepage](#)


[How to publish in this journal](#)

paul.b.tchouanwou@journals.univ-bz.edu



SCOPE




International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health (IJERPH) (ISSN 1660-4601) is a peer-reviewed scientific journal that publishes original articles, critical reviews, research notes, and short communications in the interdisciplinary area of environmental health sciences and public health. It links several scientific disciplines including biology, biochemistry, biotechnology, cellular and molecular biology, chemistry, computer science, ecology, engineering, epidemiology, genetics, immunology, microbiology, oncology, pathology, pharmacology, and toxicology, in an integrated fashion, to address critical issues related to environmental quality and public health. Therefore, IJERPH focuses on the publication of scientific and technical information on the impacts of natural phenomena and anthropogenic factors on the quality of our environment, the interrelationships between environmental health and the quality of life, as well as the socio-cultural, political, economic, and legal considerations related to environmental stewardship and public health. The primary areas of research interests to the IJERPH include: -Gene-environment interactions - Environmental genomics and proteomics -Environmental toxicology, mutagenesis and carcinogenesis -Environmental epidemiology and disease control -Health risk assessment and management -Ecotoxicology, and ecological risk assessment and management - Natural resources damage assessment -Environmental chemistry and computational modeling -Environmental policy and management -Environmental engineering and biotechnology -Emerging issues in environmental health and diseases -Environment education and public health



 Join the conversation about this journal



FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

<p>1 Frontiers in Public Health CHE</p> <p>53% similarity</p>	<p>2 International Journal of Environmental Health GBR</p> <p>52% similarity</p>	<p>3 Zeitschrift für Gesundheitswissenschaften DEU</p> <p>49% similarity</p>	<p>4 BMC Public Health GBR</p> <p>4 s</p>
---	--	--	---







% International Collaboration


Citable documents

Non-citable documents



Cited documents

Uncited documents



International Journal of Environmental Research...

Q1 Health, Toxicology and Mutagenesis
best quartile

SJR 2021 0.81

powered by scimagojr.com


Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool**.



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

Loading comments...

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2022. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS
Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)

[Cookie settings](#)

[Cookie policy](#)



Source details

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health

Open Access ⓘ

Scopus coverage years: from 2004 to Present

Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)

ISSN: 1661-7827 E-ISSN: 1660-4601

Subject area: Medicine: Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health Environmental Science: Pollution
Environmental Science: Health, Toxicology and Mutagenesis

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2021

4.5 ⓘ

SJR 2021

0.814 ⓘ

SNIP 2021

1.440 ⓘ

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

i Improved CiteScore methodology ⓘ

CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2021 ▾

$$4.5 = \frac{137,015 \text{ Citations } 2018 - 2021}{30,739 \text{ Documents } 2018 - 2021}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2022

CiteScoreTracker 2022 ⓘ

$$5.3 = \frac{239,281 \text{ Citations to date}}{44,775 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 April, 2023 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2021 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Medicine		
Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health	#139/562	75th
Environmental Science		
Pollution	#57/144	60th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site ↗](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies ↗.



RE: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

IHS Research Ethic Committee

Sun 1/23/2022 11:12 PM

To: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir <18b3094@ubd.edu.bn>;

Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui <pohhui.goh@ubd.edu.bn>; Dr Long Chiau Ming <long.ming@ubd.edu.bn>; daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn <daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn>;

Dear Researchers,

Your research resubmission was reviewed for your responses to the comments/conditions placed upon the ethical approval for your research. Your proposal is now deemed to meet the requirements, and **full ethical approval has been granted**. The approval letter will be ready for collection from the IHS Administrative Office in seven (7) working days.

Dear Admin,

Please find below the details for the Ethics approval letter.

Ethics Reference Number	UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76
Name	Hamimatul Hayat binti Dr Abdul Nasir
Registration number	18B3094
Programme	BHSc (Pharmacy)
Title of project	"Cost impact of patient's own medication use during hospitalization"
Committee	IHSREC
Validity Period	January 2022- April 2022

Reminder:

A gentle reminder to comply with the guidelines set by the Ministry of Health Brunei Darussalam when carrying out any face-to-face contact to prevent the spread of Covid-19.

Kindly update the committee **if modifications to the data collection** approach is needed before proceeding with the study.

Best wishes,

Dr Sheikh Naeem Shafqat, PhD

Chairperson of IHS Research Ethics Committee

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir](#)

Sent: 22 January 2022 12:51

To: [IHS Research Ethic Committee](#)

Cc: [Dr Goh Poh Hui](#); [Dr Long Chiau Ming](#); daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn

Subject: Re: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear IHS Research Ethics Committee,

Ref: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76

Attached in this email are the approval letters from Suri Seri Begawan Hospital and Department of Pharmaceutical Services. We are looking forward for your most kind consideration regarding the approval in conducting this research project.

Thank you.

Best Regards,
Hamimatul Hayat
18B3094
PAPRSB Institute of Health Science
Pharmacy (Cohort 3)

From: IHS Research Ethic Committee
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 9:16:35 PM
To: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir
Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui; Dr Long Chiau Ming; daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn
Subject: RE: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear Researchers,

Ref: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76

Thank you for the clarification.

Most of the amendments are now in order.

However, following mistakes have to be rectify ,

-In the PIS form- page 48 correction required (last paragraph) see below,

Institute of Health Science Research and Ethics Committee (IHSTRC)---should be IHSREC

-Same goes for Malay version of PIS on page 51 or 52

For full approval of your study, please submit letter of permission from the Acting Director of Department of Pharmaceutical Services and CEO and medical superintendent of Suri Seri Begawan Hospital, once available.

Thank you.

Best wishes,

Dr Sheikh Naeem Shafqat, PhD
Chairperson of IHS Research Ethics Committee

Sent from Mail<<https://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=550986>> for Windows

From: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir<<mailto:18b3094@ubd.edu.bn>>
Sent: 21 October 2021 23:06
To: IHS Research Ethic Committee<<mailto:rec.ihs@ubd.edu.bn>>

Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui<mailto:pohhui.goh@ubd.edu.bn>; Dr Long Chiau Ming<mailto:long.ming@ubd.edu.bn>;
daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn<mailto:daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn>
Subject: RE: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear IHS Research Ethics Committee,
Ref no: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76

This is Hamimatul, Cohort-3 pharmacy student. Attached in this email is the amended Research Ethics Application for our final year project (HP-4238: Research Project) with a title of 'Cost Impact of Patients Own Medication Use During Hospitalization' with reference number of UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76. The supervisors for this research project has also been CC-ed in this email.

Best Regards,
Hamimatul Hayat
18B3094
PAPRSB Institute of Health Science
Pharmacy (Cohort 3)

From: IHS Research Ethic Committee<mailto:rec.ihs@ubd.edu.bn>
Sent: Saturday, September 25, 2021 9:47 PM
To: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir<mailto:18b3094@ubd.edu.bn>
Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui<mailto:pohhui.goh@ubd.edu.bn>; Dr Long Chiau Ming<mailto:long.ming@ubd.edu.bn>;
daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn<mailto:daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn>
Subject: Re: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear Researchers,

Ref no: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76

Thank you for your ethics application submission.

The Ethics Committee has reviewed your application and has given Conditional Approval. Please refer to the attached comments.

Important note: When replying, (a) please use this email thread and (b) include all supervisors in the corresponding email.

Thank you

Best wishes,

Dr Sheikh Naeem Shafqat, PhD
Chairperson of IHS Research Ethics Committee

From: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir
Sent: 24 August 2021 14:45:39
To: IHS Research Ethic Committee
Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui; Dr Long Chiau Ming; daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn
Subject: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear Faculty SHE Committee,

This is Hamimatul, a fourth-year pharmacy student from Cohort-3. Attached in this email are the required research ethics documents for our final year project (HP-4238: Research Project) which will be held next semester. The title of the project is 'Cost Impact of Patients Own Medication Use During Hospitalization'. Supervisors for this research project is CC-ed in this email.

Please advise where necessary. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Hamimatul Hayat
18B3094
PAPRSB Institute of Health Science
Pharmacy (Cohort 3)

[<https://drive.google.com/uc?id=1ikMIsXrOn5VIF4zUUF4Crkz02KrJJnWF>]
PRIVILEGED/CONFIDENTIAL information may be contained in this message. If you are neither the addressee (intended recipient) nor an authorised recipient of the addressee, and have received this message in error, please destroy this message (including attachments) and notify the sender immediately. STRICT PROHIBITION: This message, whether in part or in whole, should not be reviewed, retained, copied, reused, disclosed, distributed or used for any purpose whatsoever. Such unauthorised use may be unlawful and may contain material protected by the Official Secrets Act (Cap 153) of the Laws of Brunei Darussalam. DISCLAIMER: We/This Department/The Government of Brunei Darussalam, accept[s] no responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this message in any manner whatsoever. Our messages are checked for viruses but we do not accept liability for any viruses which may be transmitted in or with this message.

Dear Researchers,

Ref no: UBD/PAPRSBIHSREC/2021/76

Thank you for your ethics application submission.

The Ethics Committee has reviewed your application and has given Conditional Approval. Please refer to the attached comments.

Important note: When replying, (a) please use this email thread and (b) include all supervisors in the corresponding email.

Thank you

Best wishes,

Dr Sheikh Naeem Shafqat, PhD
Chairperson of IHS Research Ethics Committee

From: Hamimatul Hayat Binti Dr Abdul Nasir
Sent: 24 August 2021 14:45:39
To: IHS Research Ethic Committee
Cc: Dr Goh Poh Hui; Dr Long Chiau Ming; daniel.wee@moh.gov.bn
Subject: 18B3094 Research Ethics Application

Dear Faculty SHE Committee,

This is Hamimatul, a fourth-year pharmacy student from Cohort-3. Attached in this email are the required research ethics documents for our final year project (HP-4238: Research Project) which will be held next semester. The title of the project is 'Cost Impact of Patients Own Medication Use During Hospitalization'. Supervisors for this research project is CC-ed in this email.

Please advise where necessary. Thank you.

Best Regards,
Hamimatul Hayat
18B3094
PAPRSB Institute of Health Science
Pharmacy (Cohort 3)

[<https://drive.google.com/uc?id=1ikMlsXr0n5VIF4zUUF4Crkz02KrJJnWF>]

PRIVILEGED/CONFIDENTIAL information may be contained in this message. If you are neither the addressee (intended recipient) nor an authorised recipient of the addressee, and have received this message in error, please destroy this message (including attachments) and notify the sender immediately. STRICT PROHIBITION: This message, whether in part or in whole, should not be reviewed, retained, copied, reused, disclosed, distributed or used for any purpose whatsoever. Such unauthorised use may be unlawful and may contain material protected by the Official Secrets Act (Cap 153) of the Laws of Brunei Darussalam. DISCLAIMER: We/This Department/The Government of Brunei Darussalam, accept[s] no responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this message in any manner whatsoever. Our messages are checked for viruses but we do not accept liability for any viruses which may be transmitted in or with this message.