

Archive Volume 86, Issue 1, October 2021

FACTORS AFFECTING EMPLOYEE RETENTION IN PAKISTAN

Published Online: 05 October 2021 Pages: 1-13
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212322 , Views: 390 , Download: 251

[Download PDF](#)

PATHOLOGICAL PROFILE OF NASOPHARYNGEAL CARCINOMA IN ANATOMICAL PATHOLOGY OF DR SOETOMO GENERAL ACADEMIC HOSPITAL DURING 1 JANUARY 2016 ? 31 DECEMBER 2018

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 14-20
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212324 , Views: 351 , Download: 240

[Download PDF](#)

A Case Report, Evans Syndrome on Female Adult

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 21-24
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212325 , Views: 344 , Download: 221

[Download PDF](#)

THE IMPACT OF WORKLIFE BALANCE FACTORS ON EMPLOYEE TURNOVER INTENTION THROUGH JOB SATISFACTION IN INSURANCE COMPANIES OF PAKISTAN

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 25-40
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212327 , Views: 436 , Download: 243

[Download PDF](#)

ESSENTIALS OF HOME VISITATION: TEACHERS', LEARNERS' AND STAKEHOLDERS' VIEW

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 41-57
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212311 , Views: 586 , Download: 280

[Download PDF](#)

EFFICACY OF THE USE OF DIFFERENT TEACHING APPROACHES IN MATH

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 58-68
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212312 , Views: 500 , Download: 234

[Download PDF](#)

EFFECTUALITY OF COMIC STRIP AS INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIAL TO THE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF STUDENTS IN SCIENCE 8 CONCEPTS

Published Online: 06 October 2021 Pages: 69-73
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212326 , Views: 288 , Download: 264

[Download PDF](#)

Challenges of PE Teachers to Educational Technologies in New Normal: The Changing Role of Teachers

Published Online: 07 October 2021 Pages: 74-81
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212320 , Views: 478 , Download: 260

[Download PDF](#)

Co-writing the Lives of Registered Nurses Employed under the Nurse Deployment Program of the Department of Health

Published Online: 08 October 2021 Pages: 82-86
DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212315 , Views: 610 , Download: 239

[Download PDF](#)

The Effect of Static Stretching Hamstring on Increasing Hamstring Muscle Extensibility and Pelvic Tilt Angle on Hamstring Tightness

Published Online: 09 October 2021 Pages: 87-92

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212331 , Views: **419** , Download: **277**

[Download PDF](#)

Different Effect of Static Stretching and Neurodynamic Technique in Increasing Hamstring Flexibility on Hamstring Tightness

Published Online: 09 October 2021 Pages: 93-98

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212332 , Views: **472** , Download: **301**

[Download PDF](#)

Salt Mist Generation Control Unit (SMGCU) for Spa Business

Published Online: 09 October 2021 Pages: 99-109

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212314 , Views: **371** , Download: **246**

[Download PDF](#)

Analysis of Maternal Factors Affecting The Incidence of Low Birth Weight (LBW) at Kanor Health Center, Bojonegoro Regency, East Java

Published Online: 09 October 2021 Pages: 110-122

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212317 , Views: **373** , Download: **253**

[Download PDF](#)

The Use Of Sepsis Calculator In Neonatal For Diagnosis And Management Early Onset Sepsis: a Meta-Analysis

Published Online: 19 October 2021 Pages: 123-130

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212330 , Views: **429** , Download: **214**

[Download PDF](#)

Soetomo Covid-19 Score As An Early Identification Tools of Covid-19 Patients in Surabaya, Indonesia

Published Online: 19 October 2021 Pages: 131-137

DOI: 10.47119/IJRP1008611020212347 , Views: **438** , Download: **254**

[Download PDF](#)

All Editor:

DR. SAMIR GIRISHKUMAR

Prof Rudki Damon

Md. Amir Hossain

Deepankar Ashish

Dr.P.Sukumar

Rifky A.L.M

Dr. Luke Chinaru Nwosu

Sankaragomathi B

Dr. Jagruti Rathod

Atul Bansal

Dr.Abubkr Ahmed Elhadi

Kun Jiang

Dr P Malyadri

DR AUBID HUSSAIN PARREY

Ajay raj rajan

Dr.D.VENKADESH

Praveen Kumar Sharma

Dr. G. B. Dharma Rao

Dr. Ashish Kumar

Dr Chetan Dudhagara

Dr. Abhishek Das

Dr.A.Sasi Kumar

Bachu SRinivas

R. Poorvadevi

Dr Abhishek Shukla

Elsanosy M. Elamin

Dr Khalaf S Gaeid

Pooja Nagpal

Dr. Kshitij Shinghal

J Ashok

Dr KVNR Sai Krishna

DR DINESH CHANDRA JAIN

Dr.SUDHIR PARASKAR

SHARADA PN

Vinod Shakya

Dr. Angie Parker

Janardan Paudel

Jeffrey Manuel, Jr.

Dr. A. Sathiyaraj

Dr.Hlaing Htake Khaung Tin

Dr. Jaya Bishnu Pradhan

Nihad Khalawe Tektook

Dr. Bisweswari Sahu

ABIMBOLA IBRAHIM

J Banu Priya

Mohd Israil

KAVYACHAND YALAMUDI

Dr. Esra Sipahi

Mervin William Mahaendran

Anam Bhatti

Dr. Md. Mamun Mia

OLUWOYO JOHNSON

Dr. Rupinder Singh

Dr. Ganesh Pundlikrao

Dr.S.RAJA

Dr.J.SENTHIL

Dr.G.DINESH KUMAR

Mr. S. Azhagu Madhavan

Dr Rajendiran Muthusamy

Ankit Garg

Vikrant Sharma

Dr. Balwinder Raj

Prof.Hameed miyan

Laith Ahmed Najam

Dr Kailash Chandra Sati

Dr. Boralagala Gamage Sampath Aruna Pradeep

Ujwal Vishnupant Ramekar

Laith

Sadekur Rahman

Hamid Ali Abed AL-Asadi

Behzad

Arun Saksena

Shugan Chand Jain PhD

TERESA MAY B. BANDIOLA

Professor Rohini Chandrica Widyalkara

Dr Norizan Mohd Yasin

Prof Vivek DIXIT

Prof. Dr. Amer A. Taqa

Dr SONALI CHATURVEDI

Dr. Estari Mamidala, Ph.D, PDF (USA)

Mahavir Singh

Dr. Mohamed N. Morsy

PROF. ORPHA K. ONGITI

Dr. Simon Obwatho

Jiban Shrestha

Umut Özkaya

M SURESH BABU

Packeer Thamby Mohamed Niyas

Prof. Arup Barman

SHADAB AHMAD

T.Muthu Pandian

Aitor Garcés-Manzanera

Simanchal Panda

P.JAYA PRAKASH

Richmond U Ideozu PhD

Dr. A. Sita Madhavi

Dr. RAJ KUMAR BOORA

Phyo Wai Thaw

SIMANCHAL PANDA

Dr. N Dinesh Kumar

R.H.M Abu Hasnat Chowdhury

Punnaiah Veeraboina

Zahid Naeem Qaisrani

Dr GURUDUTT SAHNI

Mayuri Srivastava

Dr. Nilesh K. Patel

Dr. JASMEET KAUR TANDON

Dr. Manoranjan Tripathy

Dr. Okrikata Emmanuel

SARA YESMIN

NAPOLEON.D

Dr Ganesan Sivamani

Prof. Mark Gabriel Wagan Aguilar

Dr.M.GAYATHRI

MURUGESAN R

MURUGESAN R

Prof C.Muruganandam

Prof N RUBA

Different Effect of Static Stretching and Neurodynamic Technique in Increasing Hamstring Flexibility on Hamstring Tightness

Dany Pramuno Putra^a, Gadis Meinar Sari^a, Dwikora Novembri Utomo^{a*}

^a danypramunoputra2@gmail.com

^a Master Program of Sport Health Science, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Poor hamstring muscle flexibility is one of the most common risk factors for hamstring injuries. This poor flexibility condition often occurs in individuals who experience hamstring tightness. Static stretching and neurodynamic technique are exercises to increase the flexibility of the hamstring muscle. Purpose: This study aims to determine the difference in the effect of static stretching and neurodynamic technique exercises in increasing hamstring muscle flexibility on hamstring tightness. Material/Methods: Quasi-experimental pre and post-test two-group design. 16 male student-athletes were randomly divided into 2 groups, static stretching exercises group, and neurodynamic technique exercises group. Each group consists of 8 people. The hamstring flexibility measurement used in this study is the straight leg raise (SLR) examination and will be evaluated in four weeks. Result: The static stretching exercise intervention had a significant effect on the flexibility of the hamstring muscles ($p < 0.05$). Likewise, the neurodynamic technique exercise intervention also had a significant effect on hamstring muscle flexibility ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference in the increase in hamstring muscle flexibility after being given both exercises ($p > 0.05$).

Keywords: hamstring tightness; neurodynamic technique; static stretching

1. Introduction

The hamstring muscle is one of the lower extremity muscles that tend to shorten and is often injured. Hamstring muscle injuries often occur in sports that involve shortening-lengthening cycles, such as soccer, basketball, and volleyball (Alzahrani et.al., 2015). Poor hamstring muscle flexibility is one of the most common risk factors for hamstring injuries. This is due to the occurrence of tension in the musculotendinous structure which reduces the ability of the muscle to lengthen rapidly without injury. This poor flexibility condition often occurs in individuals who experience hamstring tightness (Babu, et. al., 2015). The prevalence of hamstring tightness in students aged 18-25 years reached 96%. In addition, Mahadik's research stated that the prevalence of hamstring tightness in students aged 18-25 years was 82% when measured using active knee extension measurements (Koli, et.al., 2018).

Decreased flexibility of the hamstring muscles is characterized by a limited range of motion on straight leg raise (SLR) examination due to neurodynamic changes that affect peripheral nerves. Neurodynamic

abnormalities in the posterior lower extremities can affect muscle length at rest and cause changes in the perception of stretch or pain (Areudomwong et.al., 2016). There are various interventions used to increase muscle flexibility, including static stretching exercises and neurodynamic techniques exercise. Static stretching is an intervention that has been used for many years to help increase muscle length by stretching the muscle until it reaches the point of maximum tolerable tension (Heshmatipour, et. al., 2019). While the neurodynamic technique is believed to be able to change muscle neurodynamics and cause sensation modification, so it will increase muscle flexibility (Areudomwong et. al., 2016). Therefore, this study aims to determine the difference in the effect of static stretching and neurodynamic technique exercises in increasing hamstring muscle flexibility on hamstring tightness.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

Quasi-experimental pre and post-test two-group design. It consisted of two treatment groups where group 1 was given static stretching exercises and group 2 was given neurodynamic technique exercises.

2.2. Subjects

Subjects were 16 male student-athletes who were randomly divided into 2 groups. Each group consists of 8 people.

Inclusion criteria: male students, aged 19-22 years, have a normal body mass index (BMI), are active in a high-intensity sport of shortening-lengthening cycles, and have hamstring tightness, as indicated by the results of an SLR examination of less than 75o.

Exclusion criteria: having plates (implants) in the lower limbs, a history of fractures in the lower limbs (with or without realignment process), a history of surgery to repair joint capsules, ligaments, muscles, and nerves, and a history of or experiencing Hernia Nucleus Pulposus (HNP).

2.3. Measurement

The hamstring flexibility measurement used in this study is the straight leg raise (SLR) examination and will be evaluated in four weeks.

2.4. Intervention

Group 1 will be given a static stretching exercise intervention, with the subject's hip being lifted passively to 90° flexion, then the subject's knee is slowly extended until the subject feels a tolerable tension in the hamstring muscles. This position was maintained for 30 seconds and was repeated in 3 sets (Ayala et al., 2013). Meanwhile, group 2 will be given a neurodynamic technique intervention, which will be done by placing the patient in a chair, bending them back, placing both hands behind the lower back, hanging both legs, and followed by two movements. The first movement is neck flexion, knee flexion, ankle plantar flexion, and the second movement is neck extension, knee extension, ankle dorsiflexion. The two movements are actively performed alternately, held for 60 seconds, and repeated 5 times. Both static stretching and neurodynamic technique exercises were performed 3 times/week for 4 weeks (Areudomwong et.al., 2016).

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data obtained from this study will then be analyzed using the SPSS V.22 application with a significance level of $p < 0.05$. The data analysis process started from descriptive analysis, normality test, effect test (paired t-test), homogeneity test (Lavene's test), and independent t-test.

3. Results

Characteristics of the subjects in this study were obtained by descriptive statistical analysis which included age, body mass index, and angle of straight leg raises (SLR). Based on the descriptive analysis showed that the research subjects were according to the controlled criteria (Table 1).

Table1. Descriptive test of sample characteristics

Characteristic	Static stretching group (n=8)	Neurodynamic technique group (n=8)
	Mean \pm SD	Mean \pm SD
Age (year)	20,50 \pm 0,535	20,38 \pm 0,518
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23,33 \pm 0,538	23,69 \pm 0,358
SLR test (°)	70,50 \pm 3,464	71,13 \pm 2,357

SLR measurement data before and after treatment in the static stretching group and the neurodynamic technique group showed an increase in the SLR value based on the average value of the pre-test and post-test. The data from the SLR measurements in the two groups were also normally distributed ($p > 0.05$), so the statistical test used parametric tests to test the hypothesis (Table 2).


Table 2. Mean, standard deviation, and normality test in static stretching group and neurodynamic technique group

Group	n	Mean \pm SD (°)	p-value	
			Normality	
Static stretching group	Pre test	8	70,50 \pm 3,464	0,125
	Post test	8	80,88 \pm 2,532	0,662
Neurodynamic technique group	Pre test	8	71,13 \pm 2,357	0,284
	Post test	8	81,63 \pm 2,134	0,105

Table 3. Paired t-test in static stretching group and neurodynamic technique group

Group		n	Mean ± SD (°)	p-value
				Paired t-test
Static stretching group	Pre test	8	70,50 ± 3,464	0,000
	Post test	8	80,88 ± 2,532	
Neurodynamic technique group	Pre test	8	71,13 ± 2,357	0,000
	Post test	8	81,63 ± 2,134	

Graphic 1. Mean of SLR test before and after intervention in static stretching group and neurodynamic technique group



Based on table 3, the effect test using the paired t-test showed that the static stretching exercise intervention had a significant effect on the flexibility of the hamstring muscles ($p < 0.05$). Likewise, the neurodynamic technique exercise intervention had a significant effect on hamstring muscle flexibility ($p < 0.05$). The static stretching group experienced an increase in the average SLR value in the post-test with a difference of 10.38° , while in the neurodynamic technique group there was also an increase in the average SLR value in the post-test with a difference of 10.5° (Graphic 1).

Table 4. Homogeneity test with Lavene's test

Group		Mean \pm SD (°)	p-value
			Lavene's test
Static Stretching	Pre test	70,50 \pm 3,464	0,190
Neurodynamic Technique	Pre test	71,13 \pm 2,357	

Table 5. Independent t-test

Group		Mean \pm SD (°)	p-value
			Independent t-test
Static Stretching	Post test	80,88 \pm 2,532	0,532
Neurodynamic Technique	Post test	81,63 \pm 2,134	

The results of the homogeneity test calculation using Lavene's test obtained a p-value of 0.190 ($p > 0.05$), it can be concluded that the variance in both groups is the same or homogeneous (Table 4). Therefore, the calculation of the difference test was then carried out using an independent t-test, from the SLR value after the intervention in both groups, and the p-value was 0.532 ($p > 0.05$). It can be concluded that there is no difference in the increase in hamstring muscle flexibility after the intervention in the static stretching treatment group and the neurodynamic technique treatment group (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The results obtained from this study prove that static stretching exercises have a significant effect on increasing the flexibility of the hamstring muscles. The results obtained are following previous research by Pagare, et. al. (2014) who proved that static stretching exercises can increase the flexibility of the hamstring muscles. Various theories explained the mechanism of increasing muscle flexibility due to the static stretching exercise, including the theory of viscoelastic deformation. Concerning the theory of viscoelastic deformation, static stretching causes an increase in muscle length due to the supple character of the muscles and muscle elasticity. The systematic review research conducted by Medeiros, et. al. (2016) also mentioned that static stretching exercises are effective in increasing the flexibility of the hamstring muscles, based on the mechanism of increasing the number of sarcomeres and muscle viscoelasticity which can increase muscle length and reduce muscle tension. A study conducted by Sato, et. al. (2020) also mentions that static stretching exercises can cause an increase in joint range of motion, a decrease in passive stiffness, and a decrease in the modulus of muscle elasticity. When there is a decrease in the modulus of muscle elasticity, muscle tension will decrease (Nakao, et. al., 2018).

This study also proves that neurodynamic technique exercise has a significant effect on increasing hamstring muscle flexibility under hamstring tightness conditions. The results obtained are following previous research by Areeumdomwong, et. al. (2016), who proved that neurodynamic technique exercises can increase the flexibility of the hamstring muscles, which is related to the mechanism of decreasing mechanoreceptors

and proprioceptors in the muscles, decreasing mechanosensitivity in the nerves, as well as lengthening the structure of the nerves and fascia which causes an increase in joint range of motion and flexibility of the hamstring muscles. In his research, Babu, et. al. (2015) stated that neurodynamic technique exercises are useful for changing the neurodynamic sensation of muscles and ultimately increasing the flexibility of the hamstring muscles. Neurodynamic technique exercise also causes an increase in nerve tension which causes the lengthening of the sciatic nerve and an increase in the flexibility of the hamstring muscles.

In addition, this study proves that both static stretching exercises and neurodynamic technique exercises are equally effective as interventions in hamstring tightness conditions that aim to increase the flexibility of the hamstring muscles. There was no significant difference in the increase in hamstring muscle flexibility after being given static stretching exercises and neurodynamic techniques, probably due to various mechanisms that have not been fully determined.

5. Conclusion

Static stretching and neurodynamic technique exercises have been shown to increase the flexibility of the hamstring muscles in conditions of hamstring tightness. Both exercises are equally effective in increasing the flexibility of the hamstring muscles so that there is no significant difference in the effect between the two.

Acknowledgments

The author is deeply indebted to the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga.

References

- Alzahrani, M. M., Aldebeyan, S., Abduljabbar, F., & Martineau, P. A. (2015). Hamstring injuries in athletes: Diagnosis and treatment. *JBJS Reviews*, 3(6), 1–11.
- Areudomwong, P., Oatyimprai, K., & Pathumb, S. (2016). A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of neurodynamic sliders on hamstring responses in footballers with hamstring tightness. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 23(6), 60–69.
- Koli, B. K., & Anap, D. B. (2018). Prevalence And Severity Of Hamstring Tightness Among College Student : A Cross-Sectional Study. 65–68.
- Ayala, F., De Ste Croix, M., Sainz De Baranda, P., & Santonja, F. (2013). Acute effects of static and dynamic stretching on hamstring eccentric isokinetic strength and unilateral hamstring to quadriceps strength ratios. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 31(8), 831–839.
- Heshmatipour, M., Esfandiari, A., Kazemi Naeini, M., Raei, M., Firoozpur, O., Shariatnia, N., & Hushmandi, K. (2019). Effect of Active Dynamic Versus Passive Static Stretching on Hamstring Muscle Tightness in Healthy Female Students: A Randomized Trial Study. *Hospital Practices and Research*, 4(4), 134–138.
- Medeiros, D. M., Cini, A., Sbruzzi, G., & Lima, C. S. (2016). Influence of static stretching on hamstring flexibility in healthy young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*, 32(6), 438–445.
- Nakao, G., Taniguchi, K., & Katayose, M. (2018). Correction: Acute Effect of Active and Passive Static Stretching on Elastic Modulus of the Hamstrings. *Sports Medicine International Open*, 02(06), E200–E200.
- Pagare, V. K., Ganacharya, P. M., Sareen, A., & Palekar, T. J. (2014). Effect of neurodynamic sliding technique versus static stretching on hamstring flexibility in football players with short hamstring syndrome. *Journal of Musculoskeletal Research*, 17(2), 1–8.
- Sato, S., Kiyono, R., Takahashi, N., Yoshida, T., Takeuchi, K., & Nakamura, M. (2020). The acute and prolonged effects of 20-s static stretching on muscle strength and shear elastic modulus. *PLoS ONE*, 15(2), 1–10.
- Vinod Babu, K. (2015). Immediate Effect of Neurodynamic Sliding Technique Versus Mulligan Bent Leg Raise Technique on Hamstring Flexibility in Asymptomatic Individuals. *International Journal of Physiotherapy*, 2(4), 658–666.