ACTION	STATUS	ID	TITLE	SUBMITTED	DECISIONED
	EIC: Seal, Lizzy GE: Not Assigned EA: Seal, Lizzy ■ Reject Inappropriate Archiving completed on 30-Nov-2022 view decision letter Contact Journal	IJOES- 08- 2022- 0185	The Motivating Factors for Switching Intention to Use Halal Cosmetics in Indonesia Files Archived Archived	10-Aug-2022	19-Aug-2022
Forms Completion submitted (27-Jul-2022) - view	EIC: Berger, Sebastian GE: Not Assigned EA: Asif, Minha EA: Seal, Lizzy • Accept (26-Jul-2022)	IJOES- 12- 2021- 0240.R2	Mitigating the Impact of COVID- 19: Social Safety Net from Islamic Perspective	19-Jul-2022	26-Jul-2022
	view decision letter ☑ Contact Journal				
a revision has been submitted (IJOES-12-2021- 0240.R2)	EIC: Berger, Sebastian GE: Not Assigned EA: Asif, Minha EA: Berger, Sebastian Minor Revision (06-Jul-2022) a revision has been submitted	IJOES- 12- 2021- 0240.R1	Mitigating the Impact of COVID- 19: Social Safety Net from Islamic Perspective View Submission	29-May-2022	06-Jul-2022
	view decision letter ☑ Contact Journal				

submitted (IJOES-12-2021- 0240.R1) GE: Not Assigned EA: Asif, Minha 2021- Solution in EA: Berger, Sebastian 0240 Dealing Covid-19 Impact? Study of the Islamic Objectives been submitted Safety Net	ISIONED
(10-Feb-2022) Study of the Islamic a revision has been submitted Objectives of the Social Safety Net	10-Feb-2022
been submitted of the Social Safety Net	
view decision letter (SSN) ✓ Contact Journal View Submission	



[©] Clarivate | © ScholarOne, Inc., 2023. All Rights Reserved.
ScholarOne Manuscripts and ScholarOne are registered trademarks of ScholarOne, Inc. ScholarOne Manuscripts Patents #7,257,767 and #7,263,655.

Decision Letter (IJOES-12-2021-0240)

From: Sebastian.Berger@uwe.ac.uk

To: tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id

CC:

Subject: International Journal of Ethics and Systems - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOES-12-2021-

0240

Body: 10-Feb-2022

Dear Dr. Widiastuti,

Manuscript ID IJOES-12-2021-0240 entitled "What is the Priority Solution in Dealing Covid-19 Impact? Study of the Islamic Objectives of the Social Safety Net (SSN)" which you submitted to the International Journal of Ethics and Systems, has been reviewed. The comments of the referee(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewers have recommended major revisions to your paper before it can be accepted for publication.

Please see instructions given below in NOTE.

Please mark all revisions in bright color font, and mark all deletions with strike-through effect.

Emerald has partnered with Peerwith to provide authors with expert editorial support, including language editing and translation, visuals, and consulting. If your article was rejected, or had major revisions requested on the basis of the language or clarity of communication, you might benefit from a Peerwith expert's input. For a full list of Peerwith services, visit: https://authorservices.emeraldpublishing.com/
Please note that there is no obligation to use Peerwith and using this service does not guarantee publication.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the International Journal of Ethics and Systems, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

I look forward to receiving your revision at the earliest possible time.

Yours sincerely,
Dr. Sebastian Berger
Editor, International Journal of Ethics and Systems
University of the West of England
Bristol Business School
Cold harbour Lane
Frenchay
Bristol
BS16 1QY
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Sebastian.Berger@uwe.ac.uk

Referee(s)' Comments to Author:

Referee: 1

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:

Some additional theory below is suggested to make the explanation on the Islamic Objectives complete, ie:

AL GHAZALI (450H-505H/1058-111AD)

According Al Ghazali, maslahat means to take manfaat or advantage, merit or benefit or to avoid mudarat or disadvantage, demerit, injury or harm. However, Al Ghazali said that "that is not what I mean. The merit or advantage of man depends on whether or not they achieve their objectives. What I mean with maslahat is that they preserve the objectives of syara or the Islamic law. The objectives of the Islamic law to be maintained by human beings include 5 points, i.e., to protect (1) faith, (2) soul, (3) intellect/mind, (4) lineage/progeny, and (5) their wealth".

(KH Ahmad Munif Suratmaputra. Filsafat Hukum Islam Al Ghazali. 2013.:28; Al Ghazali, al Mustasfa (Beirut: Dar al- Fikr, t.th), juz 1, h 286-287).

The above basically derives from the Quránic verse (QS.21:107), that reads as follows: "We sent thee not but as Rahmatan lil Alamin (a Mercy) for all creatures".

All creatures means not only human beings, but all animals and vegetations and all others created by God.

Mercy here is identical to maslahat and in Indonesian means rahmat which are translated by many authors as welfare, benefits to mankind, the interests of all human being, public benefits or public interest.

Thus, it is in line with the objective why God creates and sends human beings to the earth. That is to create welfare from them all (QS, 2:29;QS,16:14;QS,17:66).

Additional Questions:

- 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, It does contain new and significant information adequate for publication.
- 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, it does and no significant work is ignored.
- 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Yes. all the questions can be answered affirmatively.
- 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes, the results are presented clearly and analysed appropriately. The conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper.
- 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes, it has several implications for stakeholders, ie, for government, practitioners and associations, as well as the social safety net program.
- 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Overall, the quality of communications can answer all the questions above affirmatively.

Some notes as indicated in yelow and red are given for improvements.

Referee: 2

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments

- I would suggest you to revise the title making it more appealing such as: Mitigating the Impact of COVID-19: Social Safety Net from Islamic Perspective
- The last paragraph of 'Introduction' should be removed.

- A strong claim provided in the last sentence of 'Introduction' should be revised: " This work contributes to the development of the Islamic Objective concept by demonstrating that the Islamic Objective concepts can be used to solve any issue."
- p.3, 2.2 Social...., line 2-3,
- "Individual security, family security, community insurance, and family security...." second 'family security' is repeated, it should be 'family community'.
- p.4. line 6.
- "The last is an association..." should be 'The third is an..'. According to Table 2, the last is regulators group.
- p.5, Table 2 is supposed to be Table 4
- p.6, 4.2. Priority... , you mentioned "Table 4 depicts" or "see table 5", but there is no such these tables!!!
- p. 6, Table 3 should be Table 5
- p. 7, regarding 'Discussion', what is the relations of social-economic impact and ethics? Or Islamic Objectives? What is the ethical solutions for it?
- p.8, 'Conclusions' first sentence "Based on Islamic objectives, this study emphasizes which Social Safety Net program should be prioritized in dealing with the impact of Covid-19". But, you have not provided what objectives in Islam. Please clarify. Also, what is the issue as the impact of COVID-19 you mean?

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: This paper contains a new approach, however there is inadequate data analysis to answer the question raised in introduction.

Accordingly, the author(s) need to (i) explain 'Islamic Objective', and solution addressed in Islam in such situation; (ii) examine impact of COVID-19 and created problems in society; (iii) clarify the result of implementing priority solution suggested by the author(s).

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: The main point of analysis towards Islamic perspective is missing. The author(s) have failed to convince readers about Maqasid Sharia or Islamic Law on providing solution. Please specify term "Islamic Objective/s" which used in this paper.

The essential part of literature on Islamic Sharia indicators in accordance with social responsibilities was missed. The question remains "how Islamic principles can shape a society to overcome the impact of COVID-19 in socio-economy or mental health program, so far?"

- 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The author(s) would make it if they provided a clear conceptual framework related to the issue.
- 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Inappropriate content.
- 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Not clear.
- 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the

technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Professional editing is required.

The manuscript is inconsistent e.g., COVID-19 or Covid-19 or COVID 19, so which one?

Referee: 3

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

This is a pioneer study on this subject. And this has a worth to be published in IJOES.

Additional Questions:

- 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Paper entitled "What is the Priority Solution in Dealing Covid-19 Impact? Study of the Islamic Objectives of the Social Safety Net (SSN)" is an original effort and has significant information. This is a pioneer study which discussed the Islamic objective of the SSN.
- 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes! The current study demonstrates an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cites an appropriate range of literature sources.
- 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The Analytic Network Process (ANP) method is employed in this study. This is an appropriate method which a researcher can apply in these type of papers.
- 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: The results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately and the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper.
- 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes! it has a lot of implication in mitigating the impact of COVID-19 by applying the Islamic ethical and moral norms.
- 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: This study clearly expresses its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership.

NOTE:

DEADLINE: 12-May-2022

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijoes and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the referee(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the referee(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission

Date Sent: 10-Feb-2022

File 1: * How-to-submit-a-revision.doc

File 2: - IJOES 2 Feb 2022.pdf

Decision Letter (IJOES-12-2021-0240.R1)

From: Sebastian.Berger@uwe.ac.uk To: tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id

CC:

International Journal of Ethics and Systems - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOES-12-2021-Subject:

0240.R1

Body: 06-Jul-2022

Dear Dr. Widiastuti,

Manuscript ID IJOES-12-2021-0240.R1 entitled "Mitigating the Impact of COVID-19: Social Safety Net from Islamic Perspective" which you submitted to the International Journal of Ethics and Systems, has been reviewed. The comments of the referee(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewers have recommended minor revisions to your paper before it can be accepted for publication.

Please see instructions given below in NOTE.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the International Journal of Ethics and Systems, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Emerald has partnered with Peerwith to provide authors with expert editorial support, including language editing and translation, visuals, and consulting. If your article was rejected, or had major revisions requested on the basis of the language or clarity of communication, you might benefit from a Peerwith expert's input. For a full list of Peerwith services, visit: https://authorservices.emeraldpublishing.com/ Please note that there is no obligation to use Peerwith and using this service does not guarantee publication.

I look forward to receiving your revision at the earliest possible time.

Yours sincerely, Dr. Sebastian Berger Editor, International Journal of Ethics and Systems University of the West of England Bristol Business School Cold harbour Lane Frenchay Bristol

BS16 1QY

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Sebastian.Berger@uwe.ac.uk

Referee(s)' Comments to Author:

Referee: 1

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:

Please refer to some suggestions as indicated in red ink for corrections and in yellow ink for improvements, including the usual way to write "Maqasid al Syariah"".

Additional Questions:

- 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the paper contains information adequate to justify publication.
- 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, the paper demonstrates adequate relationship to literature. No significant work is ignored.
- 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Yes, all these questions can be answered affirmatively.
- 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes, the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of paper.
- 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes, the paper suggests a number of implications in order to optimalize the programs,i.e. Social Safety Net in particular, for dealing with socioeconomic effects of Covid-19.
- 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Overall, the quality of communication is good.

At the same time, some suggestions are given as indicated in red ink for corrections, and in yellow ink for improvements.

When referring to Quránic verses, it is usually written as follows:

The Quránic Surah al Anfal (QS.8:27), or a Quránic verse al Ambiyaa (QS.21:107), ".....

Also, Magasid Syaria is usually written as "Magasid al Syariah".

Referee: 2

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

In my opinion authors have incorporated all the given comments.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify

publication?: Yes

- 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes
- 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: It is fine
- 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: It is fine
- 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes
- 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: It is fine

NOTE:

DEADLINE: 06-Aug-2022

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijoes and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the referee(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the referee(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission

Date Sent: 06-Jul-2022

File 1: * How-to-submit-a-revision.doc

File 2: - IJOES 10 juli 2022.pdf

Decision Letter (IJOES-12-2021-0240.R2)

From: Sebastian.Berger@uwe.ac.uk

tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id, sulistya@feb.unair.ac.id, ronmawardi@feb.unair.ac.id,

To: sunan-f@feb.unair.ac.id, ibnulkhair@gmail.com, ubaid9339902@gmail.com,

aufar.fadlul.hady-2018@feb.unair.ac.id

CC:

Subject: International Journal of Ethics and Systems - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOES-12-2021-

0240.R2

Body: 26-Jul-2022

Dear Widiastuti, Tika; Rusgianto, Sulistya; Mawardi, Imron; Fanani, Sunan; Ali, Mohammad; Al-Mustofa, Muhammad; Hady, Aufar

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript IJOES-12-2021-0240.R2, entitled "Mitigating the Impact of COVID-19: Social Safety Net from Islamic Perspective" in its current form for publication in International Journal of Ethics and Systems. Please note, no further changes can be made to your manuscript.

Please go to your Author Centre at https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijoes (Manuscripts with Decisions for the submitting author or Manuscripts I have co-authored for all listed co-authors) to complete the Copyright Transfer Agreement form (CTA). We cannot publish your paper without this.

All authors are requested to complete the form and to input their full contact details. If any of the contact information is incorrect you can update it by clicking on your name at the top right of the screen. Please note that this must be done prior to you submitting your CTA.

If you have an ORCID please check your account details to ensure that your ORCID is validated.

By publishing in this journal your work will benefit from Emerald EarlyCite. As soon as your CTA is completed your manuscript will pass to Emerald's Content Management department and be processed for EarlyCite publication. EarlyCite is the author proofed, typeset version of record, fully citable by DOI. The EarlyCite article sits outside of a journal issue and is paginated in isolation. The EarlyCite article will be collated into a journal issue according to the journals' publication schedule.

FOR OPEN ACCESS AUTHORS: Please note if you have indicated that you would like to publish your article as Open Access via Emerald's Gold Open Access route, you are required to complete a Creative Commons Attribution Licence - CCBY 4.0 (in place of the standard copyright assignment form referenced above). You will receive a follow up email within the next 30 days with a link to the CCBY licence and information regarding payment of the Article Processing Charge. If you have indicated that you might be eligible for a prepaid APC voucher, you will also be informed at this point if a voucher is available to you (for more information on APC vouchers please see http://www.emeraldpublishing.com/oapartnerships

Thank you for your contribution. On behalf of the Editors of International Journal of Ethics and Systems, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Yours sincerely, Lizzy Seal

Interim Editor, International Journal of Ethics and Systems

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Date Sent: 26-Jul-2022



