

Trends of research topics related to Halal meat as a commodity between Scopus and Web of Science: A systematic review

Manuscript 123005 conditionally accepted for publication

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk



editorial@f1000research.com [lewat amazones.com](#)

Kam, 7 Jul
2022, 22.54

kepada saya

Inggris
Indonesia

[Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Tika

HALAL MEAT RESEARCH TRENDS IN COMMODITY MARKET BETWEEN SCOPUS AND WEB OF SCIENCE:
A BIBLIOMETRIC STUDY

Nafik Hadi Ryandono M, Maward I, Nugraha Rani L, Widiastuti T, Rri Ratnasari R and kusuma
wardhana a

Thank you for your submission to F1000Research. We have noted a few issues with your manuscript (below) – once these are addressed we will be pleased to accept your article for publication.

Reporting guidelines: We endorse the PRISMA and PRISMA abstract guidelines for reporting systematic reviews; we suggest that you ensure the article adheres to the checklist and add any details that are missing. The comments in the manuscript provide some queries relating to the checklist, but these are not exhaustive.

We ask that authors include a completed PRISMA/PRISMA abstract checklist with their article. This will come under the 'Reporting guidelines' heading in the Data availability section. When completing the checklist, we would recommend indicating in which section/table/figure each item has been addressed rather than page number, as page numbers are likely to change during revisions and typesetting.

We ask that authors submit their checklist to an online repository. More information can be found on our [data guidelines page](#).

Acknowledgements: Please confirm that you have obtained permission from the people you would like to acknowledge to be named in the manuscript.

Figures: Please provide figures as separate files in either TIFF or JPEG format at >300dpi. Please send these as attachments in response to this email.

Reviewers: As you know, F1000Research operates an author-driven publication model. This means that you will be responsible for suggesting suitable reviewers, whom we invite on your behalf, giving

you an opportunity to ensure that appropriate experts review your article. Our transparent peer review process means that the peer review reports, together with the reviewers' names, will be published alongside your article.

To avoid delay to the publication process, we need you to provide us with at least five potential reviewers who meet our reviewer criteria before we can publish your article - please be aware that it is likely we will need to request further reviewer suggestions after publication. Please go to your [Suggest Reviewers](#) page, where you will find a useful tool to help you find reviewers; use this page to track the progress of the peer review process for your article. You can access this page directly via the article's record under My Research >> Submissions. See also our [reviewer criteria and tips for finding reviewers](#).

Please remember that suggested reviewers should have appropriate level of experience and the right expertise to judge your article; they must be able to provide an unbiased report (e.g. they must not be recent collaborators or colleagues in your institute). All reviewer suggestions are checked by the editorial team and will be rejected if they do not meet our criteria.

Payment: As F1000Research is open access, we will require payment of the Article Processing Charge (APC) to be able to complete the processing of your submission. The APC is \$1350.00 (ex. VAT) after any discounts you are eligible for have been applied. **Please [provide us with the details of the individual/organization taking responsibility for paying the fee as soon as possible](#)**. Please sign in with the credentials you used to submit the article or you will not be able to access this page. Our Accounts department will be in touch regarding payment.

We have also lightly copyedited your article - please [download the document](#) and check you are happy with the amendments and **then address the queries detailed above using track changes in Word. Please return your revised manuscript to the e-mail address above**. Please note that this is your final opportunity to make any changes to the content of your manuscript. Once the typeset PDF of your manuscript has been created, we will send you a final PDF proof for checking prior to publication.

Please respond to this email within two weeks addressing any issues raised. After two weeks, we will send you a reminder email to complete your revisions. If we do not hear from you within seven weeks your submission will be withdrawn.

Best wishes,

Deirbhile
The Editorial Team, F1000Research

Tika Widiastuti <tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id>

Rab, 27 Jul
2022, 18.06

kepada editorial

Dear Editor of f1000 Research


I apologize for the very late response

I have great interest in publishing my manuscript on f1000. And I'm very grateful to f1000 for giving me the opportunity and keep in touch with me regarding the manuscript.

Below I attach a paper that we have revised, and some pictures for publication purposes

Please inform me if there are some things that we need to complete again. Thank You

--
Best Regards
Dr. Tika Widiastuti, SE., M.Si.
Associate Professor
Sharia Economics Department
Faculty of Economics and Business
Universitas Airlangga
Surabaya
INDONESIA



F1000.Research <research@f1000.com>

Rab, 3 Agu
2022, 00.46

kepada saya

Inggris

Indonesia

[Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Tika,

Thank you for your email, I hope this finds you well.

I've reviewed the edits in the revised manuscript and there are some sections which require further clarification. In particular, the majority of the paper had been edited so that the language was unclear in places and different to assess the content. The attached version of your paper has been copyedited again in line with our prepublication checks, please ensure that any revisions are written in good English as outlined in our [guidelines](#).

Please also note that we require the statements for grant, competing interests, and data availability to be formatted and completed as outlined in our guidelines. Please provide the information required in the comments in the attached version of the manuscript for you to review.

Please let me know if you have any questions and I'll be happy to help.

Best,
Deirbhile

Deirbhile McQuillan
Senior Assistant Editor

F1000
240 Blackfriars Rd
London SE1 8BF

Information Classification: General

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



Tika Widiastuti <tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id>

Jum, 5 Agu
2022, 14.43

kepada F1000.Research

Dear Editor of f1000 Research

I hope this email finds you well

Related to the revision of the manuscript, we've been making some revisions according to the comments.

Below I attached revision manuscript. Please inform me if there are some things that we need to complete again. Thank You

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



F1000.Research <research@f1000.com>

Rab, 10 Agu
2022, 00.37

kepada saya

Inggris
Indonesia

Terjemahkan pesan

Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris

Dear Tika,

Thank you for your email, I hope this finds you well.


I've reviewed the edits in your revised manuscript and there are some comments which were not addressed. In addition, we have only received 6 figure files while there are 7 figures in the manuscript. Can you please send an updated figure 1 as a separate file in either JPEG or TIFF format at >300dpi?

For the underlying data files, we would also require that you provide the text file in another format such as csv, and include a separate file for the SCI papers alongside the Scopus file already provided.

Please also find attached the most recent version of your manuscript for you to review. Please let me know if you have any questions and I'll be happy to help.

Information Classification: General

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail

 **Tika Widiastuti** <tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id>
kepada editorial ▾ Rab, 17 Agu 2022, 1

Dear Editor of f1000 research

Related to the revision email on 9 august 2022 for my manuscript 123005 entitled HALAL MEAT RESEARCH TRENDS IN COMMODITY MARKET BETWEEN SCOPUS AND WEB OF SC BIBLIOMETRIC STUDY. Here I attach some files that are needed.
Please contact me if there is anything to complete again

Thank you
...

Best Regards
Dr. Tika Widiastuti, SE., M.Si.
Associate Professor
Sharia Economics Department
Faculty of Economics and Business
Universitas Airlangga
Surabaya
INDONESIA

4 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail ⓘ

F1000.Research <research@f1000.com>

Sab, 20 Agu
2022, 00.39

kepada saya

Inggris

Indonesia

[Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Tika,

Thank you for your email, I hope you're well.

I've reviewed the edits in your revised manuscript and there were some comments which were not answered. Please see below for the details that we require to be provided for a systematic review:

- For the data files, please provide a csv version of the txt file in the data repository which contains the SCI papers.
- We require that systematic reviews include a completed PRISMA checklist deposited in a publicly accessible repository and cited in the DAS. Please see our guidelines here for more information ([section 7](#)). Please complete the checklist and include this in the data repository.

Please find attached the most recent version of your manuscript for you to review with tracked changes, please let me know if you have any questions and I'll be happy to help.

Best,
Deirbhile

Deirbhile McQuillan
Senior Assistant Editor

F1000
240 Blackfriars Rd
London SE1 8BF



Tika Widiastuti <tika.widiastuti@feb.unair.ac.id>
kepada editorial ▾


Dear Editor of f1000 Research
May this letter finds you well

Here, I attached 3 files that needed to be reviewed.
Please contact me if there is anything that should be fixed.

Thank You



3 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail ⓘ



Dear Tika,

Thank you for your email and for sending on the updated files.

For the data files, please deposit the updated files in the repository and cite in the data availability statement. For the file 'SCI dataset halal meat as a commodity.txt', please provide an Excel csv version of this file in the repository. Currently, it is a txt file and we require that spreadsheets are provided in CSV or TAB format as outlined in our [data guidelines](#). The file 'scopus data set halal meat as commodity/csv' is already in this file format, the updated version attached just needs to be deposited in the repository and cited.

In addition, please ensure that all the studies included in this systematic review are cited in the results section. Please see the comments addressing this in the attached updated manuscript.

We also require that systematic reviews include a completed [PRISMA checklist](#) in the repository as outlined in our [systematic review guidelines](#). Please complete this checklist and deposit in the repository.

Please find attached the most recent version of your paper with tracked changes and comments for you to review. If you have any questions, please let me know and I'll be happy to help.

Best,
Deirbhile

Deirbhile McQuillan
Senior Assistant Editor

F1000
240 Blackfriars Rd
London SE1 8BF

Your article 123005 is now accepted

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk



editorial@f1000research.com lewat amazonses.com

Sen, 14 Nov
2022, 18.38

kepada saya

Inggris
Indonesia

[Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Tika

Trends of research topics related to Halal meat as a commodity between Scopus and Web of Science:
A systematic review
Nafik Hadi Ryandono M, Maward I, Nugraha Rani L, Widiastuti T, Rri Ratnasari R and Kusuma
Wardhana A

We have now accepted your article for publication in F1000Research. It will be sent to the typesetters and a member of the Production team will send you a proof in due course.

If you are yet to suggest reviewers for your article please note that your article will only be published once you have suggested 5 suitable reviewers who meet our reviewer criteria. Please do not contact your suggested reviewers, as this has the potential to influence and invalidate their review. Our editorial team will contact any suitable reviewers on your behalf and will be your main contact once your article has been published.

Best wishes,

Deirbhile
The Editorial Team, F1000Research

The PDF of your article 123005 is ready for checking

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk



production@f1000research.com lewat amazones.com

Jum, 24
Feb, 23.19

kepada saya

Inggris
Indonesia

[Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Tika

Trends of research topics related to Halal meat as a commodity between Scopus and Web of Science:
A systematic review
Nafik Hadi Ryandono M, Mawardi I, Nugraha Rani L, Widiastuti T, Tri Ratnasari R and Kusuma
Wardhana A

Please click [here](#) to download the PDF proof of your F1000Research article.

- **Jing, Qiu, Tian, and Hao, 2022; Mafruchati, Wardhana, and Ismail, 2022; Gretsch, Salzmann, and Kock, 2019; Mendo et al., 2023; Okagbue et al., 2020 cited in text but not listed in reference section. Kindly check and provide the full references.**

Please look through the article and let me know if it requires any corrections or if you are happy for it to be published as it is. Please also confirm the following details are correct:

- All author names are spelled correctly
- Authors are listed in the correct order
- Affiliations for all authors are accurate
- The information in the Copyright section is correct
- All figures and figure legends are correct
- All external files, including data files are correct
- All links within the article are working, and correct

Please note that connecting an ORCID account to F1000Research requires the account holder to sign in to both F1000Research and ORCID, **therefore it isn't possible for us to add ORCID badges for your co-authors on their behalf.** When the article is published, they will receive an email encouraging them to connect their ORCID account to F1000Research. If they do this, their ORCID badge will be displayed next to their name.

Corrections at this stage may require further typesetting and therefore cause some delays. If any corrections are necessary, please mark them directly on the PDF file using the commenting and markup tools in software such as Adobe Reader.

Please return your proof corrections to us via email - please note that after the article has been published, any requests for minor corrections will only be considered on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, we encourage you to check your proofs carefully at this stage.

If there are any outstanding queries on your reviewer suggestions, then we will be in touch with you

shortly.

Best regards,

Jessica
The Editorial Team, F1000Research

Comments on this article

Version 1

- Reader Comment 12 Jan 2023
Suwandi S. Sangadji, Department of Agribusiness, Universitas Nuku, Indonesia
INTRODUCTION

1. Paragraph 5 should be checked again and correct any typos of words
2. Introduction section was quite good. It contains good novelty and good research question
3. Add urgency why this topic of paper should be observed in introduction section

METHOD

4. It was good enough in explaining how to collect the data from Scopus and Web of Science
5. The method explained how to analyze the data and the feature of software related to bibliometric using two softwares
6. Method should explain why Scopus and SCI were chosen as the source of data sample
7. Method should have added the flowchart of data collection using systematic literature review
8. Method should explain why using two softwares instead of one

Result was clear enough in answering the research questions

Conclusion was clear enough in summarizing the result and further research. However, conclusion section should explain the limitation of this study

Competing Interests: There was no competing interest between me and the authors of this paper. I have no any connection whatsoever related to any study process of this paper