

The remuneration of the community pharmacist in the developing world: the case in Indonesia

by Andi Hermansyah

Submission date: 08-Nov-2021 12:02PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1696188048

File name: ity_pharmacist_in_the_developing_world_the_case_in_Indonesia.pdf (313.45K)

Word count: 3837

Character count: 21658

IAI CONFERENCE

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The remuneration of the community pharmacist in the developing world: the case in Indonesia

Andi Hermansyah, Anila Impian Sukorini, Abdul Rahem

Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Airlangga Surabaya Indonesia

Keywords

Community pharmacy
Pharmacist
Remuneration
Survey

Correspondence

Andi Hermansyah
Faculty of Pharmacy
Universitas Airlangga Indonesia
Nanizar Zaman Joenoes Building Level 4
Campus C Universitas Airlangga
Jalan Mulyorejo
Surabaya
Indonesia
andi-h@ff.unair.ac.id

Abstract

Introduction: The remuneration of pharmacist is critical to ensure sustainability of pharmacist services. There has been limited study about pharmacist remuneration in Indonesia. **Aim:** This study aims to investigate pharmacist remuneration system in Indonesia. **Methods:** A nationwide community pharmacy survey was conducted involving 7,000 pharmacies. Questions around remuneration models and amounts, types of incentives and other financial benefits structured the questionnaire. Descriptive analysis was used to evaluate the findings. **Results:** Of 2,087 pharmacists participated in the survey, only 1,952 respondents were recorded. More than half of respondents did not receive any particular fees designated to compensate provision of cognitive services. Fixed monthly salary predominantly formed the structure of remuneration system with less than half of the respondents received additional incentives to top up this monthly salary. **Conclusion:** The current remuneration system which mainly relies on monthly salary basis may not be sustainable to support provision of pharmacist-led cognitive services.

1 Introduction

Community pharmacist has been known as an important element of the healthcare system that provides both pharmaceuticals and services to the communities (Moullin *et al.*, 2013). Supporting health by enabling community pharmacists full potential in the delivery of cognitive services has become the main objective of pharmacy stakeholders in many Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) (Miller & Goodman, 2016). The provision of pharmacist-provided patient care services, however, is challenging in many of these countries, given the pertinent barriers revolve around pharmacy and health system infrastructure (Scahill, 2014; Hermansyah *et al.*, 2016). One of the commonly perceived barriers is the lack of remuneration of the community pharmacist.

The remuneration of community pharmacists has been viewed as a facilitator for pharmacy practice change (Roberts *et al.*, 2006). The expanding role of pharmacists, which marks more progressive

interventions of pharmacists in the fields of medication management, health promotion and disease prevention, implies a specific remuneration structure. The contemporary remuneration structure of pharmacists in LMICs, which highly relies on dispensing and medicines markup, may not be sustainable to support the implementation of cognitive pharmacist services (Hashemi-Meshkini *et al.*, 2013). This is also the case in Indonesia.

Multiple policy approaches have been established to change the practice of community pharmacy in Indonesia, including the provision of incentives in the form of minimum rates for pharmacist remuneration and payment for particular pharmacies working under the Universal Health Coverage programme (Hermansyah *et al.*, 2018b). The incentivisation policy may highlight a novel approach for developing community pharmacy in the context of LMICs as these incentives were not influenced by the volume and/or profit margin from selling the pharmaceuticals.

Nevertheless, the implementation has been far from satisfactory. The approach has been challenged by lack of coordination and lack of consensus leading to inconsistency and discrepancy of the actual payment for pharmacists. Apart from the troubled implementation, it is fair to say that the making of this policy did not take into account the characteristics and type of pharmacist remuneration in Indonesia (Hermansyah *et al.*, 2018b).

There is evidence that the successful wide-scale implementation of cognitive services has been limited by the lack of remuneration for providing cognitive services (Bernsten *et al.*, 2010; Houle *et al.*, 2014). Unless this barrier is addressed, it is likely that any policies or approaches concerning practice change will fail. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the pharmacist remuneration model in Indonesia.

6

Methods

Study design and settings

A cross-sectional study was conducted in the form of a survey of community pharmacists across all 34 provinces in Indonesia. Only the pharmacist in charge represented each pharmacy. This study obtained ethics approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Public Health at the authors' institution.

Study participants and recruitments

There were 25,339 community pharmacies in Indonesia at the time of the study conducted. Using a margin of error of 1% and a confidence level of 95% resulted in 7,000 pharmacists as a minimum sample size. A registered community pharmacist in charge (or so-called first pharmacist) was recruited to participate in this study. At first, the researchers used a cluster sampling method based on province distribution with pharmacist identity for randomisation was obtained from the local pharmacist association. The sampling technique was then expanded using accidental sampling to obtain more responses.

Study instruments

This study utilised a questionnaire as an instrument, which asked about the type and amount of pharmacist remuneration, other financial benefits obtained by pharmacists and pharmacist preferences with respect to the remuneration model. The questionnaire was developed based on the references, discussion among the researchers as well as considered the phenomenon and facts related to pharmacist remuneration in Indonesia. The questionnaire was then tested for validity to a panel of experts (eight persons

representing pharmacist practitioner, biostatistician, pharmacist organisation, academic, policymaker and administrator). This is to ensure the face and content validity of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was subsequently pilot-tested for both face validity and reliability to 20 pharmacists with some minor changes for the final version, mostly related to wording and numbering of the questionnaire form.

Data collection

This study used both online and printed survey forms. The printed questionnaire was sent to some local pharmacist associations for a limited number. The main means for data collection was an online survey distributed through a number of social media applications, i.e. WhatsApp, Line, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and Telegram. Survey Monkey was used as the platform for the online survey. Data collection was conducted from September 2018 to March 2019. Participants who completed the survey received two credits for the licensure requirement and were assigned for a lucky draw to win five android tablets. Participants only had one chance to fill out the survey. In case there were multiple answers from a similar pharmacy, only the latest response was recorded. The pharmacist in charge could participate in the survey or pass the questionnaire to another pharmacist who was representing the pharmacy. In addition, reminder notification was made each month and distributed via the pharmacist association and its network. Participants were required to provide consent prior to fill out the questionnaire.

Data analysis

All response was recorded by the Survey Monkey system which then exported to SPSS Version 22 for further data analysis. Descriptive statistics of the frequency (%) were used to describe the findings of the study.

Results

Of 2,087 pharmacists who participated in the study, only 1,952 pharmacists were deemed eligible. However, the number of complete responses in each question may vary. Table I summarises the characteristics of the respondents. The majority of respondents were female (78%), aged 21-30 years old (48%), completed pharmacist programme, i.e. four years of Bachelor of Pharmacy and one-year pre-pharmacist programme (90%), graduated after 2010 (62%) and got monthly paid roughly IDR 2-5 million (67%).

10

Table I: Characteristics of respondents

Characteristics	Frequency (%)
Gender (n = 1,767)	
• Male	391 (22%)
• Female	1,376 (78%)
Age in years (n = 1,211)	
• 21-30	586 (48%)
• 31-40	457 (38%)
• 41-50	123 (10%)
• 51-60	31 (3%)
• > 60	14 (1%)
Latest educational level (n = 1,210)	
• Pharmacist	1,097 (91%)
• Pharmacist and graduate programme	113 (9%)
Year of graduation (n = 1,209)	
• < 2000	100 (8%)
• 2000-2010	390 (32%)
• > 2010	719 (62%)
Take home pay received per month in IDR (n = 1,200)	
• < 1,000,000	14 (1%)
• 1,000,001 – 2,000,000	161 (13%)
• 2,000,001 – 3,000,000	379 (32%)
• 3,000,001 – 5,000,000	414 (35%)
• 5,000,001 – 10,000,000	156 (13%)
• 10,000,001 – 20,000,000	50 (4%)
• 20,000,001 – 30,000,000	16 (1%)
• > 30,000,000	10 (1%)

Table II shows the type of remuneration and/or benefits received by respondents. The respondents were commonly paid in the form of monthly salary (93%). Only less than half of respondents received fees for professional services (44%). In general, most of the respondents did not receive any other additional fees. However, they claimed that there is a remuneration increase periodically (62%).

Table III identifies pharmacists' preferences regarding the ideal remuneration model. When asked about whether a pharmacist is entitled to receive a fee for practice, the majority of respondents agreed (82%). Pharmacy owners, customers and the National health insurance agency (BPJS Health) are the top 3 payers preferred by the respondents to pay for pharmacist remuneration. With respect to the model of the remuneration, fee for service often sits in the most recommended model (5 out of 7) for paying pharmacists, followed by the capitation model (2 out of 7).

Table II: Type of remuneration of the respondent

Type of remuneration/benefits	Do you receive it?	
	Yes (%)	No (%)
Fee for professional services ¹ (n = 1,168)	520 (44%)	648 (56%)
Monthly salary ² (n = 1,179)	1,095 (93%)	84 (7%)
Fee per arrival ³ (n = 1,151)	516 (45%)	635 (55%)
Distribution of pharmacy profit ⁴ (n = 1,139)	443 (39%)	696 (61%)
Merit incentive ⁵ (n = 1,142)	198 (17%)	944 (83%)
Special holiday incentive ⁶ (n = 1,187)	1,057 (90%)	130 (10%)
Paid leave ⁷ (n = 1,178)	1,058 (90%)	120 (10%)
Periodical remuneration increase (n = 1,152)	712 (62%)	440 (38%)
Severance payment ⁸ (n = 1,108)	301 (27%)	807 (73%)

¹Fees received for delivering professional services, including dispensing, counselling and medication review

²Monthly wages as employee pharmacist

³Fees paid every time employee pharmacist comes to work

⁴Fees received as part of profit made by the pharmacy

⁵Fees paid whenever pharmacist can achieve the specific target set by the pharmacy

⁶Annual mandatory incentive paid whenever employee pharmacist celebrating national religion festive such as Eid ul Fitr for Muslims or Christmas for Christians

⁷Fees given for approved leave, e.g. maternal leave

⁸Fees paid when the employee pharmacists resigned from their job

Discussion

There is an increasing need to deliver sustainable and high-quality health care to achieve the best possible outcomes in the most cost-effective fashion in Indonesia. This study argued that community pharmacy is in a unique position to offer the most cost-effective treatment to the general public. Not only cost-effective, but pharmacist also plays an important role to ensure that both pharmaceuticals and pharmacy services are delivered safely and effectively to the targeted population. However, results from this study show that despite the vital role of the pharmacist, compensation for their work has been minimal to support such a role.

Table III: Respondent's preferences regarding payment model

Question	Response	Frequency (%)
Is a pharmacist entitled to receive a fee for practice? (n = 762)	Yes	625 (82%)
	No	137 (18%)
Who should pay for pharmacist remuneration?		
Central government (n = 547)	Yes	255 (47%)
	No	292 (53%)
Local government (n = 519)	Yes	236 (46%)
	No	283 (54%)
National health insurance agency (n = 533)	Yes	307 (58%)
	No	226 (42%)
Pharmacy association / the Guild (n = 479)	Yes	149 (31%)
	No	330 (69%)
Customer / the patient (n = 586)	Yes	395 (67%)
	No	191 (33%)
Commercial insurance company (n = 472)	Yes	196 (41%)
	No	276 (59%)
Pharmacy owner (n = 624)	Yes	472 (76%)
	No	152 (24%)
What are the most suitable types of remuneration that should be paid by these parties?		
Central government (n=321)	Fee for service	58 (18%)
	Capitation	50 (15%)
	Subsidy	34 (11%)
	Others	
Local government (n = 296)	Capitation	46 (15%)
	Fee for service	45 (15%)
	Pay for performance	33 (11%)
	Others	
National health insurance company (n = 355)	Capitation	141 (40%)
	Fee for service	55 (16%)
	Pay for performance	18 (5%)
	Others	
Pharmacy association / the Guild (n = 232)	Fee for service	32 (14%)
	Pay for performance	27 (12%)
	Capitation	15 (6%)
	Others	
Customer / the patient (n = 414)	Fee for service	145 (35%)
	User charge	129 (31%)
	Pay for performance	29 (7%)
	Others	
Commercial insurance company (n = 268)	Fee for service	49 (18%)
	Capitation	43 (12%)
	Pay for performance	22 (8%)
	Others	
Pharmacy owner (n = 457)	Fee for service	124 (27%)
	Pay for performance	88 (19%)
	User charge	37 (8%)
	Others	

Most pharmacists in this study only received a monthly salary and another minimum additional fee resulting in the range of IDR 2-5 million (USD 150-350) as the income that they bring home every month. Given their roles and responsibilities as mandated in the Presidential Decree 73 of 2016 (Hermansyah *et al.*, 2020), this seems inadequate to pay for pharmacist practice. Fairly speaking, such amount is equivalent to the minimum payment set by the government for the blue-collar worker, which absolutely highlights a contrasting spectrum of responsibilities with professionals such as pharmacists (Siregar, 2020). As the workload of pharmacists is increasing, particularly after the introduction of Universal Health Coverage which may imply an increased risk of their job, such amount of remuneration may not necessarily portray a proper compensation for the frontline healthcare workers like pharmacists.

The underlying objective of remuneration to pharmacists is to support them to deliver cognitive services. A practising community pharmacist is believed to have invaluable skills acquired from university-based training and experiential learning. Such predicate suggests that they deserve to be fairly compensated according to the standard of professional healthcare providers. This is why most respondents in this study believed that they should be paid for their professional contributions.

Pharmacists are also aware that they cannot rely on the government to pay for their work. Interestingly, pharmacy owners were commonly selected as the most recommended payer for pharmacy practice. This is perhaps related to the fact that the majority of pharmacists in Indonesia work as employee pharmacists (Hermansyah *et al.*, 2018a). Despite the policy that community pharmacy only operates under the full authority of pharmacists, ownership of pharmacy in Indonesia is not restricted to pharmacists only. Any individuals or companies can own a pharmacy leading to most pharmacies owned by non-pharmacist. Arguably, ownership may determine the vision and mission of a pharmacy in delivering pharmaceutical care, and to some extent, it may influence pharmacist remuneration structure (Athiyah *et al.*, 2019). With most pharmacists working as an employee, it might be challenging to negotiate remuneration, for instance, professional fee, unless the owners are aware the significance of delivering professional pharmacy services. This can be an insight for the existing employee pharmacists to convey a message that pharmacy services should be properly remunerated.

It is also not surprising that the customer sits in second place for the most recommended payer. In the short run, charging customers directly for service can be an

effective – and the easiest – alternative to collect remuneration for pharmacists. The pharmacy can determine the rate and the customer, or the patient is at the position of “less of freedom” given that they will require the services or the pharmaceuticals. However, pharmacies often offer services at a low charge or even most of the time, free of charge (Anderson & Thornley, 2014). This will be problematic for most pharmacies to initiate such payment. In the long run, charging customers can be feasible if the customers or patients recognise and experience the positive outcomes of the services. It may not be difficult to charge customers. What makes it difficult is to show the value and benefits behind the payment. Accordingly, fee for service is the perfect remuneration model for such case, which is also illustrated as the most selected remuneration model for community pharmacists in Indonesia.

Fee for service model is not new to community pharmacists in Indonesia. Prior to the implementation of Universal Health Coverage in 2014, the former insurance model in Indonesia used fees for services to pay pharmacy practice (Agustina *et al.*, 2019). This model took place between 1992 and 2013 in particular pharmacies affiliated with the national health insurance agency. Fee for service is the traditional payment model in many countries to remunerate pharmacists. The benefits are twofold; pharmacists can tailor particular services suit to patient’s needs, and patients can opt for services and be flexible with the services provided by the pharmacists. However, the fee for service also exerts some disadvantages; particularly, it lacks accountability as there is uncertainty about the necessary service that should be provided to the customers and how it will cost the customers. There is no denying that fee for service is financially beneficial for providers, but in the long term, it is an unsustainable system that may lead to a lot of waste, unnecessary and perhaps inaccurate services.

The implementation of a national remuneration system is quite challenging in the context of Indonesia. The findings of this study showed that the level and type of remuneration varied among pharmacists. Implementing remuneration standards to community pharmacists is a multistage, collaborative process with a significant and complex interplay of stakeholders’ interests. A pilot study focusing on learning the effective development and implementation of such standards might be required. The results from the pilot study can be an initial assessment to understand pharmacy service utilization, pharmacist acceptance and community pharmacy viability. In this process, remuneration planning and design are critical as it should encompass regulatory requirements, government responsibilities and pharmacy characteristics as most pharmacies in Indonesia are

operated independently and owned by non-pharmacist. At the end of the day, the quality and competence of the pharmacist will determine the remuneration. An innovative pharmacist may have the potentials to gain more payment. However, it is not only about the payment; the positive outcome of the care is also substantial to help facilitating the ideal remuneration system for Indonesian pharmacists.

This study does have limitations that should be considered. First, this study used accidental sampling to recruit participants, which may not be accurate to portray the overall picture of the Indonesian community pharmacist. Second, the questionnaire was self-administered, highlighting that there is always a potential for recall and response biases from the respondents when answering the questions. Third and finally, it is also important to note that there is a variation of response between questions which may illustrate a lack of uniformity in drawing a conclusion for this study. Therefore, it is advised to interpret the findings of this study cautiously. Nevertheless, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first nationwide survey collecting data about community pharmacist remuneration in Indonesia. This can be an important piece of information to support the existing incentivisation policy and to provide an overview of the remuneration model for community pharmacies in Indonesia. Further research is warranted to seek an effective model of remuneration in association with the outcome of the services.

Conclusion

Community pharmacists in Indonesia were commonly paid on the basis of monthly salary with a minimum additional fee provided to pay for the services. Such a remuneration model is indeed inadequate and not supportive to trigger professional and cognitive services in the community pharmacy. As pharmacists are uniquely positioned in the frontline of care, there is an imperative to properly compensate pharmacists considering their responsibilities, risks and qualifications.

References

- Agustina, R., Dartanto, T., Sitompul, R., Susiloretni, K. A., Achadi, E. L., Taher, A., Wirawan, F., Sungkar, S., Sudarmono, P., & Shankar, A. H. (2019). Universal health coverage in Indonesia: concept, progress, and challenges. *The Lancet*, **393**(10166), 75-102. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31647-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31647-7)
- Anderson, C., & Thornley, T. (2014). “It’s easier in pharmacy”: why some patients prefer to pay for flu jabs rather than use

the National Health Service. *BMC Health Services Research*, **14**(1), 35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-35>

Athiyah, U., Setiawan, C. D., Nugraheni, G., Zairina, E., Utami, W., & Hermansyah, A. (2019). Assessment of pharmacists' knowledge, attitude and practice in chain community pharmacies towards their current function and performance in Indonesia. *Pharmacy practice*, **17**(3), 1518-1518. <https://dx.doi.org/10.18549%2FPharmPract.2019.3.1518>

Bernsten, C., Andersson, K., Garipey, Y., & Simoens, S. (2010). A comparative analysis of remuneration models for pharmaceutical professional services. *Health Policy*, **95**(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2009.11.008>

Hashemi-Meshkini, A., Keshavarz, K., Nikfar, S., Vazirian, I., & Kebriaeezadeh, A. (2013). Pharmacists remuneration models in Iran and selected countries: a comparative study. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research: IJPR*, **12**(4), 995

Hermansyah, A., Sainsbury, E., & Krass, I. (2016). Community pharmacy and emerging public health initiatives in developing Southeast Asian countries: a systematic review. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, **24**(5), e11-e22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12289>

Hermansyah, A., Sainsbury, E., & Krass, I. (2018a). Investigating the impact of the universal healthcare coverage programme on community pharmacy practice. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, **26**(2), e249-e260. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12506>

Hermansyah, A., Sainsbury, E., & Krass, I. (2018b). Multiple policy approaches in improving community pharmacy practice: the case in Indonesia. *BMC Health Services Research*, **18**(1), 449. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3258-8>

Hermansyah, A., Wulandari, L., Kristina, S.A., & Meilianti, S. (2020). Primary health care policy and vision for community pharmacy and pharmacists in Indonesia. *Pharmacy Practice (Granada)*, **18**(3). <https://doi.org/10.18549%2FPharmPract.2020.3.2085>

Houle, S.K., Grindrod, K.A., Chatterley, T., & Tsuyuki, R.T. (2014). Paying pharmacists for patient care: a systematic review of remunerated pharmacy clinical care services. *Canadian Pharmacists Journal/Revue des Pharmaciens du Canada*, **147**(4), 209-232. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1715163514536678>

Miller, R., & Goodman, C. (2016). Performance of retail pharmacies in low-and middle-income Asian settings: a systematic review. *Health policy and planning*, **31**(7), 940-953. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czw007>

Moullin, J.C., Sabater-Hernández, D., Fernandez-Llimos, F., & Benrimoj, S.I. (2013). Defining professional pharmacy services in community pharmacy. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, **9**(6), 989-995. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.02.005>

Roberts, A.S., Benrimoj, S.I., Chen, T.F., Williams, K.A., & Aslani, P. (2006). Implementing cognitive services in community pharmacy: a review of facilitators used in practice change. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, **14**(3), 163-170. <https://doi.org/10.1211/ijpp.14.3.0002>

Scahill, S. (2014). Barriers to effective pharmacy practice in low-and middle-income countries. *Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice*, **3**, 25. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IPRPS35379>

Siregar, T.H. (2020). Impacts of minimum wages on employment and unemployment in Indonesia. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, **25**(1), 62-78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2019.1625585>

The remuneration of the community pharmacist in the developing world: the case in Indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

4%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|---|-----|
| 1 | ses.library.usyd.edu.au
Internet Source | 1% |
| 2 | scielo.isciii.es
Internet Source | 1% |
| 3 | Andang Miatmoko, Ira Nurjannah, Nuril Fadilatul Nehru, Noorma Rosita, Esti Hendradi, Retno Sari, Juni Ekowati. "Interactions of primaquine and chloroquine with PEGylated phosphatidylcholine liposomes", Scientific Reports, 2021
Publication | 1% |
| 4 | www.degruyter.com
Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | wondimeneh shiferaw, Yared Asmare Aynalem, Getu Alamirew, Rahel Mihiret, Betiglua Dagne, Beletech Mekonen, Selamawet Abebe. "Magnitude of dental caries and its associated factors among governmental primary school children in | <1% |

Debre Berhan town, Ethiopia", Research Square, 2019

Publication

6	nepjol.info Internet Source	<1 %
7	jssidoi.org Internet Source	<1 %
8	Mikko Lemettilä, Elli Leppä, Marika Pohjanoksa-Mäntylä, Anna Simula, Jukka Koskelo. "Anti-doping knowledge and educational needs of Finnish pharmacists", <i>Performance Enhancement & Health</i> , 2021 Publication	<1 %
9	www.wicz.com Internet Source	<1 %
10	Boon Phiaw Kho, Mohamed Azmi Hassali, Ching Jou Lim, Fahad Saleem. "A qualitative study exploring professional pharmacy services offered by community pharmacies in the state of Sarawak, Malaysia", <i>Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Services Research</i> , 2017 Publication	<1 %
11	www.pharmacypractice.org Internet Source	<1 %
12	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

The remuneration of the community pharmacist in the developing world: the case in Indonesia

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6
