

Q =

ж

## Source details

African Journal of Infectious Diseases	CiteScore 2021 <b>7 7</b>	í
Scopus coverage years: from 2010 to Present	2.2	
Publisher: African Ethnomedicines Network		
ISSN: 2006-0165	SJR 2021	i
Subject area: (Medicine: Infectious Diseases)	0.250	
Source type: Journal		
View all documents > Set document alert I Save to source list	SNIP 2021 <b>0.569</b>	í

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

Improved CiteScore methodology
 CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data
 papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. Learn more >

```
CiteScore 2021 \sim
2.2 = \frac{179 \text{ Citations } 2018 - 2021}{81 \text{ Documents } 2018 - 2021}
```

CiteScoreTracker 2022 <sup>①</sup>

25 Citations to date

Last updated on 05 August, 2022 • Updated monthly

#### CiteScore rank 2021 ①

Category	Rank	Percentile
Medicine Infectious Diseases	#197/295	33rd

View CiteScore methodology > CiteScore FAQ > Add CiteScore to your site  $\sigma^{p}$ 

#### About Scopus

What is Scopus Content coverage Scopus blog Scopus API Privacy matters

#### Language

日本語版を表示する **查看简体中文版本** 查看繁體中文版本 Просмотр версии на русском языке

#### **Customer Service**

Help Tutorials Contact us

#### ELSEVIER

Terms and conditions  $\nearrow \quad {\rm Privacy \ policy} \ {} \nearrow$ 

Copyright  $\bigcirc$  Elsevier B.V  $\neg$  . All rights reserved. Scopus<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies  $\neg$ .



### African Journal of Infectious Diseases 8

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
Nigeria Universities and research institutions in Nigeria	Medicine └─Infectious Diseases	Obafemi Awolowo University	14
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	20060165	2010-2021	Homepage How to publish in this journal cyprian.onyeji@unn.edu.ng
USDR Research Journal			

SCOPE

The "African Journal of Infectious Disease (AJID)" is a peer-reviewed, multidisciplinary, international, scientific journal that provides rapid publication of articles on Infectious Diseases of man and domestic animals. The Journal welcomes submission of articles that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published approximately two-tothree months after acceptance.

 $\bigcirc$  Join the conversation about this journal

clarivate.com OPEN
₹Quartiles



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

Dr. D	Dina T. Sarhan 2 years ago
Doe: any	s the journal provide fast track publication for articles related to COVID-19. And if yes, is ther extra fees for fast track?
÷-1	reply
Č,	Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago
Č,	Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago Dear Dina,
Č,	Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago Dear Dina, thank you for contacting us.
	SCImago Tea Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago Dear Dina, thank you for contacting us. Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to visit the journal's
	Melanie Ortiz         2 years ago           Dear Dina,         thank you for contacting us.           Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to visit the journal's homepage (See submission/author guidelines) or contact the journal's editorial staff , so
	Scimago Teat           Melanie Ortiz         2 years ago           Dear Dina,         thank you for contacting us.           Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to visit the journal's homepage (See submission/author guidelines) or contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.

Leave a comment				
Name				
Email (will not be published)				
	-			
I'm not a robot	reCAPTCHA Privacy - Terms			
Submit				
The users of Scimago J	ournal & Country Ra	ank have the possibility to	o dialogue through comments lin	ked to a

specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by: Powered by:
SCImago SCOPUS
Follow us on @ScimagoJR
Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2022. Data Source: Scopus®
EST MODUS IN REBUS Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)
Edit Cookie Consent



Indropo Agusni, Shinzo Izumi

44-48

🖾 Fulltext.pdf

# CD4+ AND CD8+ T-CELLS EXPRESSING INTERFERON GAMMA IN ACTIVE PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS PATIENTS

Betty Agustina Tambunan, Hery Priyanto, Jusak Nugraha, Soedarsono Soedarsono 49-53

🕒 Fulltext.pdf

# THE ROLE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING IN BOOSTING IMMUNE RESPONSE: AN OPTIMAL EFFORT FOR TACKLING INFECTION

Abdurachman Latief, Netty Herawati

54-61

Fulltext.pdf

## ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF DRACONTOMELON DAO EXTRACTS ON METHICILLIN-RESISTANT S. AUREUS (MRSA) AND E. COLI MULTIPLE DRUG RESISTANCE (MDR)

Yuniati Yuniati, Nurul Hasanah, Sjarif Ismail, Silvia Anitasari, Swandari Paramita 62-67

Fulltext.pdf

## INCREASED APOPTOSIS SKULL OF PUPS BORN TO TOXOPLASMA GONDII-INFECTED MICE ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED EXPRESSION OF INTERFERON GAMMA, BUT NOT TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR ALFA

Lucia Tri Suwanti, Mufasirin Mufasirin 68-71

Fulltext.pdf

# ADDITION OF ANTI- Toxoplasma gondii MEMBRANE IMMUNOGLOBULIN Y TO REDUCE NECROTIC INDEX IN MICE'S LIVER

Heni Puspitasari, Lucia T. Suwanti, Mufasirin Djaeri 72-75

☑ Fulltext.pdf

## SEROPREVALENCE AND RISK FACTOR OF TOXOPLASMOSIS IN SCHIZOPHRENIA PATIENTS REFERRED TO GRHASIA PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL, YOGYAKARTA, INDONESIA

Nina Difla Muflikhah, Supargiyono Supargiyono, Wayan Tunas Artama 76-82

🖾 Fulltext.pdf

# CONCOMITANT SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES IN PATIENTS WITH DIAGNOSED HIV/AIDS: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Densy Violina Harnanti, Afif Nurul Hidayati, Muhammad Miftahussurur 83-89 Fulltext.pdf

### RISK FACTORS OF VULVOVAGINAL CANDIDIASIS IN DERMATO-VENEREOLOGY OUTPATIENTS CLINIC OF SOETOMO GENERAL HOSPITAL, SURABAYA, INDONESIA

Dharin Serebrina Arfiputri, Afif Nurul Hidayati, Samsriyaningsih Handayani, Evy Ervianti 90-94



## COMPARISON OF ANTI BACTERIAL EFFICACY OF PHOTODYNAMIC THERAPY AND DOXYCYCLINE ON AGGREGATIBACTER ACTINOMYCETEMCOMITANS

Ernie Maduratna Setiawatie, Vina Puji Lestari, Suryani Dyah Astuti 95-103

Fulltext.pdf

## EVALUATION OF THE ANTIGENICITY AND IMMUNOGENICITY OF Eimeria tenella BY REPRODUCTIVE INDEX AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CHANGES OF CECAL COCCIDIOSIS VIRULENT LIVE VACCINE IN BROILER CHICKENS

Endang Suprihati, Muchammad Yunus 104-110

🕒 Fulltext.pdf

### DETERMINATION OF EFFECTIVE DOSE OF ANTIMALARIAL FROM CASSIA SPECTABILIS LEAF ETHANOL EXTRACT IN PLASMODIUM BERGHEI-INFECTED MICE

Wiwied Ekasari, Tutik Sri Wahyuni, Heny Arwaty, Nindya T. Putri 111-115

Fulltext.pdf

## A NEW COPPER (II)-IMIDAZOLE DERIVATIVE EFFECTIVELY INHIBITS REPLICATION OF DENV-2 IN VERO CELL

Teguh Hari Sucipto, Siti Churrotin, Harsasi Setyawati, Fahimah Martak, Kris Cahyo Mulyatno, Ilham Harlan Amarullah, Tomohiro Kotaki, Masanori Kameoka, Masanori Kameoka, Subagyo Yotopranoto, Soegeng Soegijanto 116-119

☑ Fulltext.pdf

# COMPARISON OF MULTIPLEX SINGLE ROUND PCR AND MICROSCOPY IN DIAGNOSIS OF AMOEBIASIS

BS Sri-Hidajati, Sukmawati Basuki, Suhintam Pusarawati, Kusmartisnawati Kusmartisnawati, Lynda Rossyanti, Sri Wijayanti Sulistyowati, Dwi Peni Kartikasari, Heny Arwati, Indah Tantular, Alpha Fardah, Andy Darma, Retno Handajani, Subijanto Marto Soedarmo

120-126

🕒 Fulltext.pdf

## CLONING AND EXPRESSION OF MCE1A GENE FROM MYCOBACTERIUM TUBERCULOSIS BEIJING AND H37RV STRAIN FOR VACCINE CANDIDATE DEVELOPMENT

Desi Indriarini, Andriansjah Rukmana, Andi Yasmon 127-132

🖾 Fulltext.pdf

# EFFECT OF VARYING INCUBATION PERIODS ON CYTOTOXICITY AND VIRUCIDAL ACTIVITIES OF Justicia gendarussa Burm.f. LEAF EXTRACT ON HIV-INFECTED MOLT-4 CELLS

Prihartini Widiyanti, Bambang Prajogo, Agustinus Widodo

133-139

Fulltext.pdf

## IN SILICO SCREENING AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF THE COMPOUNDS OF Justicia gendarussa LEAVES EXTRACT AS INTERFERON GAMMA INDUCER: A STUDY OF ANTI HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV) DEVELOPMENT

Restry Sinansari, Bambang EW Prajogo, Prihartini Widiyanti

140-147

Fulltext.pdf

## ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF BRUCELLA SUIS IN PIGS AS ZOONOTIC DISEASE IN ENDEMIC AREAS OF EAST JAVA, INDONESIA

Emy S Koestanti, Wiwik Misaco, Sri Chusniati, Lilik Maslachah 148-151

Fulltext.pdf

## **Intructions for Authors**

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS**

Babatunde O Olagunju 152-158

FullText.PDF

Make a Submission

## Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

## Keywords



## Most Read Articles (last 30 days)

HOW MISUSE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS IS EXACERBATING THE CHALLENGES FACING SOMALIA'S PUBLIC HEALTH

## **④** 95

KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND RISK PERCEPTION OF COVID-19 AMONG NIGERIANS 

21

Vol. 12 No. 1S (2018): Special Issue | African Journal of Infectious Di...

PROSPECTS OF LASSA FEVER CANDIDATE VACCINES





All articles of the African Journal of Infectious Diseases (AJID) are published under the terms of the <u>Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)</u> which allows users to copy, distribute and transmit an article, adapt the article and make commercial use of the article. The CC BY license permits commercial and non-commercial re-use of an open access article, as long as the author is properly attributed.

OJS Support, and Customization by | OJS-Services.com

Home / Editorial Team

## **Editorial Team**

#### **Managing Editor**

Prof. Cyprian O. Onyeji, Department of Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Nigeria. E-mail: cyprian.onyeji@unn.edu.ng

#### **Editorial Assistants**

Ms Omolade Olaboye, African Journal of Infectious Diseases COJA VILLA, 7, Road 1, Otun Maye Square, Ajebamidele, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. E-mail: omolade@athmsi.org, Nigeria

Mr. Hammed Ibraheem, African Journal of Infectious Diseases, COJA VILLA, 7, Road 1, Otun Maye Square, Ajebamidele, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. E-mail: hammed@athmsi.org, Nigeria

#### **Associate Editors**

Prof. Ademola OLANIRAN, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa E-mail: olanirana@ukzn.ac.za, South Africa

Prof. Francesca MANCIANTI, Faculty of Medicine, University of Pisa, Italy E-mail: francesca.mancianti@unipi.it, Italy

Dr. Emel SONMEZ, Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey E-mail: emls222224@gmail.com, Turkey

Prof. wang HUI, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Gansu, China. E-mail: wanghui01@caas.cn, China

### **Editors-in-Chief**

Prof. Anthony O. ONIPEDE, Department of Medical Microbiology & Parasitology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-ife, Osun-State, Nigeria. E-mail: aonipede@oauife.edu.ng, Nigeria

Dr. Gbola OLAYIWOLA, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. E-mail: gbolaolayiwola@yahoo.com, Nigeria

### **Editorial Board**

Dr. Saajida Mahomed, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. E-mail: mahomeds@ukzn.ac.za Dr. Ahmed Adu-Oppong, Georgia Southern University, USA E-mail: aaduoppong@georgiasouthern.edu, United States

Prof. Vincent P. K. Titanji, University of Buea, Cameroon. E-mail: vpk.titanji@yahoo.com, Cameroon Professor Phyllis J KANKI, Department of Immunology & Infectious Disease Harvard School of Public Health, USA. E-mail: pkanki@hsph.harvard.edu, United States

Dr. Celsus Sente, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda. E-mail: csenhte@covab.mak.ac.ug, Uganda Dr. Babajide sadiq, Florida A&M University, USA. E-mail: babajidesadiq@yahoo.com, United States Dr Sharlene Govender, Department of Biochemistry & Microbiology Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University Port Elizabeth South Africa. E-mail: sharlene.govender@nmmu.ac.za, South Africa Dr. Alok Kumar, University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Jamaica. E-mail: alokkumar.uwichill@gmail.com Prof. Chrispinus Mulambalah Siteti, Moi University, School of Medicine, Kitale, Kenya. E-mail: csmulambalah@gmail.com Prof. Megbaru Alemu, Bahir Dar University Department of Microbiology, Immunology & Parasitology, Ethiopia. E-mail: mgbeyney@gmail.com, Ethiopia Dr. Balram Ji Omar, Deapartment of Microbiology All India Institute Of Medical Sciences Rishikesh, India. E-mail: drbalramaiims@gmail.com, India

Dr. Josyline Kaburi, University of Nairobi, Kenya. E-mail: jeirindi@kemri.org, Kenya

Dr Ezekiel Olugbenga Akinkunmi, Department of Pharmarmaceutics, Obafemi Awolowo Unversity, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. E-mail: akinkeroo@yahoo.com, Nigeria

Dr. Frank Onyambu, Kenya Medical Research Institute, PO Box 29408 NAIROBI -00100, Kenya. E-mail: frank.onyambu@iscb.org, Kenya

Dr. Suresh G. Joshi, Division of Infectious Diseases, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA' E-mail: surejoshi@yahoo.com

#### Make a Submission

### Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

## Keywords



## Most Read Articles (last 30 days)

HOW MISUSE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS IS EXACERBATING THE CHALLENGES FACING SOMALIA'S PUBLIC HEALTH

**④** 95

KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND RISK PERCEPTION OF COVID-19 AMONG NIGERIANS 

21

PROSPECTS OF LASSA FEVER CANDIDATE VACCINES 20



All articles of the African Journal of Infectious Diseases (AJID) are published under the terms of the <u>Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)</u> which allows users to copy, distribute and transmit an article, adapt the article and make commercial use of the article. The CC BY license permits commercial and non-commercial re-use of an open access article, as long as the author is properly attributed.

OJS Support, and Customization by | OJS-Services.com

#### Sri-Hidajati et al., Afr., J. Infect. Dis. (2018) 12(S): 120-126

https://doi.org/10.2101/Ajid.v12i1S.18

## COMPARISON OF MULTIPLEX SINGLE ROUND PCR AND MICROSCOPY IN DIAGNOSIS OF AMOEBIASIS

## Sri-Hidajati BS<sup>1\*</sup>, Sukmawati Basuki<sup>1</sup>, Suhintam Pusarawati<sup>1</sup>, Kusmartisnawati<sup>1</sup>, Lynda Rossyanti<sup>1</sup>, Sri Wijayanti Sulistyowati<sup>1</sup>, Dwi Peni Kartikasari<sup>1</sup>, Heny Arwati<sup>1</sup>, Indah Tantular<sup>1</sup>, Alpha Fardah<sup>2</sup>, Andy Darma<sup>2</sup>, Retno Handajani<sup>3</sup>, Subijanto Marto Soedarmo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: srihidajatibayu@gmail.com

#### Article History Received: March, 09. 2017 Revised Received: Oct. 19, 2017 Accepted: Oct. 19, 2017

Accepted: Oct. 19, 2017 Published Online: March. 07, 2018

#### Abstract

**Background**: Amoebiasis, the cause of dysentery and extra-intestinal abscesses, now becomes second fatal parasitic disease in the world. As routine microscopic diagnosis cannot differentiate causative *Entamoeba histolytica* from non-pathogenic *E. dispar* and *E. moshkovskii*, better diagnosis has to be searched.

**Materials and Methods**: Multiplex single round PCR was tested and compared with results of microscopy of wet preparation on 30 samples of diarrheic stools and extra intestinal lesions from amoebiasis suspected patients.

**Results:** Microscopy e x a m i n a t i o n showed t h a t 21 (70%) of the samples were positive for *E. histolytica/E. dispar/E. moshkovskii* complex and 18 (86%) of them contained hematophagous trophozoites. Multiplex single round PCR showed 12 positive results, from which seven were positive for *E. histolytica,* two were positive for *E. moshkovskii*, and three showed mixed of *E. histolytica* and *E. moshkovskii*. No samples were positive for *E. dispar.* High positive rate of microscopy might be related with highly suspected amoebiasis cases, while lower positive PCR might be caused by low parasite density and time-related trophozoite disintegration.

**Conclusion:** The study showed that multiplex single-round PCR is a valuable diagnostic tool for species differentiation, but cannot replace microscopy in the diagnosis of amoebiasis because of its low sensitivity and impossibility to discriminate the form of *E. histolytica* and whether it is in the disease-causing stage, while microscopic examination is capable to demonstrate the presence of hematophagous trophozoites that indicates it is invasive and at the disease-causing stage of *E. histolytica*.

**Keywords**: *E.histolytica/E.dispar/E.moshkovskii*; multiplex single round PCR; microscopic diagnosis; hematophagous; invasive trophozoite.

#### Introduction

Amoebiasis shows itself as diarrhea and dysentery in the bowel, while abscesses in intestinal wall and extraintestinal organs may cause amoebomas, fistula, perforations and bleedings (Davidson et al., 1988; Stanley, 2003), makes it the second parasitic disease with highest fatality rate after malaria. Therefore, rapid diagnosis of intestinal amoebiasis is important to determine the right treatment to prevent the worsening of the disease, extraintestinal spread, and to avoid unnecessary use of antiamoebics that may cause drug resistance (Bansal et al., 2006).

However, routine microscopic examination cannot distinguish *E. histolytica* from non-pathogenic species *E. dispar* and *E. moshkovskii* that show similar morphology, while sometimes diagnostic report only write "amoeba positive" without mentioning the species and stage found in the sample. *E. histolytica* itself may appear as "commensal" lumen dweller and cysts that do not correlate with clinical symptoms. On the other hand, dysentery can be caused by bacteria and virus as well. It is therefore mandatory to consider whether the amoebas found are cyst or trophozoites and whether the trophozoites are at invasive state and disease-causing (Gonzales-Ruiz et al., 1994; WHO, 1997; Lucas and Upcroft, 2001; Ackers, 2002; Shimokawa et al., 2012).

Among methods developed for better diagnostics, PCR is considered the leading one, as it is highly sensitive and specific. However, PCR is technically complex and time-consuming (Tanyuksel and Petri, 2003; Fotedar, 2007b,).

Considering that multiplex single round PCR offers a much easier and time saving method, Zulhainan Hamzah, et al. in 2006 developed this technique for diagnosis of amoebiasis with the use of a single genus-specific forward primer that is run together with two or three species-specific reverse primers (specific for *E. histolytica, E. dispar* and *E. moshkovskii*) in a single mixture using one microtube and run them in a single round (Zulhainan et al., 2006; 2011).

In this study, we compared multiplex single round PCR, which has never been done in Indonesia, with routine microscopy of wet preparations in the diagnosis of amoebiasis and discussed the benefits and disadvantages of the two methods and also among other similar technics.

#### Materials and Methods Sample collection

Informed consent was approved by Medical Ethics Committee under the no. 191/Panke.KKE/VII/2013 issued on 9 July 2013. Thirty samples of stools, abscess fluid, pleural effusion and pus were collected from children and adults suspected to be amoebiasis from Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia, from August 2013 to June 2014. Macroscopic and microscopic examination was done in the Laboratory of the Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga while PCR work was performed at the Tropical Disease Institute of Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya.

Fresh unpreserved samples were processed as soon as possible for macroscopic and microscopic examination.

The rest were kept frozen in minus  $20^{0}$ C for PCR that performed at the earliest convenience. Specimen for all microscopy and PCR procedures were taken from several parts of stool especially parts suspected to contain trophozoites. For instance, bloody or mucous discharge and sloughed tissue. For liver abscess, the clinician was advised to include specimen from the edge of the abscess where amoebas were expected to recide (Faust et al, 1970). Method to collect the specimen of stool or other specimen was written on the leaflet supplied for nurses and medical analyst.

Microscopy examination was performed using Olympus Student Microscope CX 21 under high power or immersion oil objectives on samples of wet preparation with normal saline to find motile trophozoites and Lugol's iodine solution to confirm the morphology. Microscopic slides were prepared from feces and other part of stool as mentioned before (mucous or bloody part and sloughed tissue) (Faust et al, 1970;1987; W.H.O, 1997; Tannich, 2004; Carrol, 2007; Crede, 2007).

*E. histolytica* was considered as hematophagous or at invasive forms if the trophozoites showing specific morphology of *E. histolytica* containing one or more erythrocytes in the cytoplasm (Gonzalez-Ruiz et al., 1994; C.D.C, 2016) as seen in figure 2. Positive diagnosis was considered by at least two examiners.

#### **Polymerase Chain Reaction**

DNA was extracted directly from samples using QIAamp stool DNA extraction mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany Cat. No 51504) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Tanyuksel and Petri, 2003; Zulhainan et al., 2006; Et al., 2007.) The DNA extraction of the manufacturer's protocol (Tanyuksel and Petri, 2003; Zulhainan et al., 2006;

Fotedar et al., 2007a). The DNA was then stored in -20 <sup>0</sup>C until use.

The single round PCR method as developed by Hamzah Zulhainanet al. in 2006 was applied (Zulhainan, 2006; 2011). Three ("multiplex") reverse primers were used to detect different species of the complex *E. histolytica, E. dispar and E. moshkovskii.* The technics will be informed briefly here. As the forward primer, the conserved sequence of all three species were taken from the central region of the small subunit rRNA gene, i.e., 5' –ATG CAC GAG AGC GAA AGC AT-3'. Three reverse primers were specific for each of 3 species, i.e., EhR : 5'- GAT CTA GAA ACA ATG CTT CTC T-3' specific for *E. histolytica*, EdR: 5'–CAC CAC TTA CTA TCC CTA CC- 3' for *E. dispar*, and EmR, 5'-TGA CCG GAG CCA GAG ACA T- 3' for *E. moshkovskii.* These primers produced bands on 166, 752 and 580 bp for *E. histolytica, E. dispar* and *E. moshkovski*, respectively (Zulhainan, 2006; 2011). PCR amplification was done in a Perkin Elmer thermocycler and the product was electrophoresized and photographed.

#### Statistical analysis

The results were statistically analyzed by chi-square and McNemar tests in an SPSS version 16 with the level of significance on p = 0.05. Accuracy was proved by the assessment of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value (Fletcher and Fletcher, 2005).

#### Results

Complete process could be performed to totally 30 samples, consisting of 26 stool samples from children with diarrhea, one sample from a child with empyema, two samples of abscess fluid from a subcutaneous abscess on the lower back, and one sample of lung empyema as an extension of a liver abscess in an adult male. Eighteen samples were from males and 12 from females.

Macroscopic examination showed that all 26 fecal samples consisted of loose stools, with liquid or somewhat

thicker consistency, with various colors. Only nine showed typical colour of dysentery, i.e. red or brown, while the others were yellowish or greenish. Microscopic examination showed the presence of free erythrocytes in all nine dysenteric and in five non-dysenteric samples.

Direct microscopy of wet preparations revealed 21 (70%) of 30 samples were positive for *E. histolytica/E. dispar/E. moshkovskii* complex and 18 (86%) of them contained hematophagous trophozoites that indicated invasive stage, while three samples only showed non-hematophagous trophozoites, typical lumen dwellers of *E. histolytica* (Table 1, Table 2 and figure 1).

DCD*		Microscopy	Total
PCK*	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	
Positive	10 (83.3)	2(16.7)	12 (100.0)
Negative	11 (61.1)	7 (38.9)	18 (100)
Total	21 (70.0)	9 (30.0)	30 (100)

**Table 1:** The results of PCR and microscopy in detection of *E. histolytica, E. dispar and E. moshkovskii* complex

\* Sensitivity 47.6%, specificity 77.7%, PPV 83.3%, NPV 38.9%, p=0.022 (McNemar test)

Table 2: Results of species identification by PCR on positive and negative microscopic samples

	PCR							
Microscopy	Species	Number positive (n, %)						
Positive	E. histolytica	6 (28.6)						
(n = 21)	E. dispar	0 (0.0)						
	E. moshkovskii	1 (4.8)						
	Mixed ( E. histolytica & E. moshkovskii)	3 (14.3)						
Negative	E. histolytica	1 (11.1)						
(n = 9)	E. moshkovskii	1 (11.1)						



**Figure 1:** Photomicrographs of *Entamoeba histolytica* trophozoites having one (A, B, C) or more (D) erythrocytes inside the cytoplasm (hematophagous trophozoites). Prepared from fresh dysenteric stool in normal saline (B and D) and lugol iodine solution (A and C).

Multiplex single round PCR revealed 12 (40%) positive test results. From the positive ones, seven (58%) were positive for *E. histolytica*, two (17%) for *E. moshkovskii*, and three (25%) showed mixed infections of *E. histolytica* and *E. moshkovskii*. From three samples of extraintestinal lesions, two were positive for *E. histolytica* and one, which was the subcutaneous abscess, was a mixed infection of *E. histolytica* and *E. moshkovskii*. No samples were positive for *E. dispar* (Table 2). Statistical analysis showed that multiplex single round PCR was less sensitive (42,9%) and less specific (90%) than microscopy (Table 3). Compared with detection of hematophagous trophozoites, multiplex single round PCR was also less sensitive (44.4%) and less specific (83.3%) (Table 4). An example of the results of multiplex single round PCR was visualized on electrophoresis image in figure 2.

Table 3: Microscopic detection of E. histolytica trophozoites among PCR E. Histolytica positive and negative samples.

PCR*	Microscopy positive (n,	Microscopy negative (n,	Total (n, %)
E. histolytica	%)	%)	
Positive	9 (90)	1 (10)	10 (100)
Negative	12 (60)	8 (40)	20 (100)
Total	21 (70)	9 (30)	30 (100)
a 10 oo/			· · · · · · ·

\*Sensitivity 42.9%, specifity 90.0%, PPV 90.0%, NPV 45% p value 0.003 (McNemar test).

**Table 4:** The presence of hematophagous and non-hematophagous trophozoites of *E. histolytica* in microscopy amongPCR *E. histolytica* positive and negative samples

PCR* E histolytica					Μ	licrosc	ору						
L. historyticu	Hemate trophoz	ophagou zoites (r	ıs 1,%)	Ne tro	on hen ophozo	natoph vites (n	agous ,%)		r	Fotal (1	n, %)		
Positive		8 (8	8.9)			1 (1	1.1)				9 (10	0)	
Negative			2 (16.7)				12 (100)						
Total	18 (85.7)				3 (14.3)			21 (100)					
1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	

\*Sensitivity 44.4%, specifity 83.3%, PPV 80.0%, NPV 50%, p value 0.039 (McNemar test)



**Figure 2:** Example of electrophoresis image of PCR products of samples from patients with suspected amoebiasis. Lane 1. Marker with 100 bp leader. Lane 2. Negative control. Lane 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13. Negative results.. Lane 5, 9. Positive results from stool samples. Lane 6, 7. Positive results from samples with subcutaneous absces. Lane 14. Positive result showing intensive band. This specimen was taken from an empyema fluid of a patient that was used as positive control after had been checked to the gene bank. Lane 7 showed two bands of mixed infection of *E. histolytica* and *E. moshkovskii*.

#### Discussion

Multiplex single round PCR detected the presence of two of three species of the *Entamoeba* complex, but compared to routine microscopy (70% positive), statistically, this PCR (40% positive) was less sensitive and less specific, although two of the 12 PCR-positive cases were not diagnosed by microscopy.

In the first trial of the method (multiplex single round PCR for amoebiasis) in Thailand, positive PCR was found in ten (33%) out of 30 samples with positive microscopy (Zulhainan et al., 2006). This result seems comparable, showing that PCR gave less positivity, but our research tested all samples, not only the positive one in microscopy like that in the first trial.

According to Fotedar (Fotedar et al., 2007a; 2007b), PCR could be negative when the density of parasites is

low on microscopic examination. Both microscopy and PCR use small amounts of material that may not contain amoeba, especially for parasites inhabiting distal intestine because the parasites are not evenly distributed in stool. An Iranian study showed 100% PCR-positivity if samples were cultured before (Mojarad et al., 2010). This suggests that heightening the number of parasites by cultivation could increase positivity of single round PCR.

Problem that comes from the presence of DNA polymerase inhibitors in stool samples that normally could cause opaque and thinner bands on the electrophoretic patterns had been anticipated by the use of QIAamp DNA stool kit (from Qiagen); in this work and procedures following its use like concentration, etc. could minimize the effect of polymerase inhibitors (Fotedar, 2007 b). However, a sample coming from empyema patient produced much thicker and broader bands (lane 14 on fig.2) despite the use of the same DNA extraction kit. It could be caused by the presence of higher density of parasites and deposit of their remnants in the abscess. This becomes a factor that builds the opinion that hepatic amoebiasis is easier to diagnose than invasive intestinal amoebiasis (Haque et al, 1998; Petri and Singh, 1999; Ackers, 2002).

Unlike nested PCR, in which the second round can increase the amount of PCR product, this compensation for the effect of polymerase inhibitors in the stool is absent in single-round PCR (Khainar and Parija, 2007). However, using nested PCR, a group of researchers in the United Arab Emirates (El-Bakri, et al, 2013) still found less positive rate of PCR compared to microscopy (23 versus 36 out of 120 fecal samples). Lower positive rate of PCR compared to microscopy using a two-step PCR, i.e., 81% of microscopy positive samples, also after re-checking (Fotedar et al, 2007b).

As possible explanations for the lower positivity was that the number of parasites fell below detection level and (quick) degeneration of trophozoites during time lapse before starting the PCR (usually first work of PCR /DNA extraction only started after microscopic work finished). Lower positive rate of PCR than microscopy was also showed in a similar study in India (Khairnar and Parija, 2007) and Malaysia using nested PCR (Lau et al, 2013). The use of RT-PCR increased the positive rate but still lower than that of microscopy.

The lower positive rate of PCR in our study could also relate to the very high positivity of microscopic finding (70%) as the standard for comparison. Besides false positivity due to individual examiner, the samples also came from highly suspected cases. The clinicians sent samples that really suspected as amoebiasis intentionally and most patients also showed lowered immune responses like leukemia, human immunodeficiency virus infection, tuberculosis and malnutrition, that made them more vulnerable to amoebic infection. Samples also got special attention and intensive microscopic examination in the laboratory. Anyhow,

there are also other amoebas, protozoa and leucocytes that resemble *E. histolytica* in the stool that results in false positivity (Tanyuksel and Petri, 2003; Zulhainan, 2006; Carroll and Crede, 2007).

In this study in Indonesia, *E. dispar* was not detected. This was different from studies in other countries where the prevalence of *E. dispar was* mostly higher than that of *E. histolytica* (Ali et al., 2003; Khairnar and Parija, 2007; and El-Sobky, 2011). Only Malaysian study among people with gastrointestinal complaints showed higher *E. histolytica* than *E.dispar* (Lau, 2013). As reviewed by WHO (1997), *E. dispar* may be ten times more common than *E. histolytica* worldwide, but the prevalence may vary significantly with location and therefore needs to be confirmed for different areas. Our findings in Surabaya push us to do further research to confirm whether the prevalence of *E. dispar* in the Indonesian community outside the hospital is really low as well.

Meanwhile, *E. moshkovskii* was found in five (16.7%) of 30 samples, two as single species and three as mixed infections with *E. histolytica*, while Zulhainan et al. (2006; 2011) in Thailand did not find *E. moshkovskii* in patient's samples. But a comparable result from a study in Bangladesh using nested PCR among preschool children revealed 21% *E. moshkovskii* (Ali, et al., 2003). *E. moshkovskii*, formerly called *E. histolytica* Laredo strain and was reported as a free-living amoeba, has now been noted as a true human parasite that can be associated with diarrhea, fatigue and weight loss in human, as well as diarrhea and colitis in mice (Tanyuksel et al., 2007; Shimokawa et al, 2012).

In our study, two pure *E. moshkovskii* cases showed diarrhea with greenish yellow stools, no macroscopic bleeding, no hematophagous trophozoites and no free erythrocytes in microscopy, unlike that in dysentery caused by *E. histolytica*. The three other cases were mixed with *E. histolytica*, two showed macroscopic bleeding and hematophagous trophozoites and free erythrocytes.

The third case was a subcutaneous abscess with sanguineous fluid and microscopic finding of hematophagous trophozoites of *E. histolytica*. The finding of *E. moshkoskii* from subcutaneous abscess is odd. We checked that *E. moshkoskii* was found in second specimen from the patient when the abscess had been excised and there was still an open wound that enabled contamination from outside. It was known that *E. moshkoskii* can be found living in various source of water (Fonseca et al, 2016). Domination of histolytic symptoms in mixed infection in this study made the pathogenic role of *E. moshkovskii* obscured.

Technically, putting the forward primer (EntaF) and all three reverse primers (*EhR*, *EdR* and *EmR*) together in a single tube like that in our study saves much reagent and time compared to methods which put each primer in three separate tubes and then complete the three tubes with similar amounts of reagents like t h a t in nested PCR. Nested PCR needs additional time for further process to identify species after genus identification. The multiplex single round PCR system also has another advantage, i.e. the presence of mixed infection can be seen directly as double bands (or more) on one lane in the electrophoretic image as seen in figure 2.

Apart from its disadvantages, microscopic examination is easy to do and remains the only way to demonstrate the presence of hematophagous trophozoites that indicates invasion of the intestinal wall or other tissues where erythrocytes are present to be engulfed. This provides a strong argument that the amoeba is indeed the cause of the disease, especially in cases of dysentery (Gonzalez-Ruiz et al., 1994). While a PCR positive for E. histolytica does not tell whether the parasite is in the trophozoite or cyst stage and whether the parasite is a harmless lumen dweller or an invasive pathogen.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, multiplex single-round PCR is relatively simple that can distinguish E. histolytica from E. moskovskii, but cannot replace microscopy in the diagnosis of amoebiasis because of its low sensitivity and its inability to discriminate several forms of E. histolytica and whether it has been in the disease-causing stage. Whereas, microscopic examination remains the only way to demonstrate the presence of hematophagous trophozoites and indicates whether it is invasive and in the disease-causing stage.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors of this manuscript declare that there is no conflict of interest with this study.

Acknowledgement: The study was financed by Airlangga University Research Council (DIPA BOPTN No.7673/UN3/KR/2013). We also thank Budiono, MD, for statistical analysis, and technical assistance of Moh. Yasin, Rachmadany, Moh. Amin and Ms. Fitriah. We also thank and appreciate Prof. Dr. Peterhans van den Broek, MD of Leiden University Medical Center for his precious advice and corrections of the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Ackers, J. P. (2002). The diagnostics implication of the separation of Entamoeba histolytica and E. dispar. J. Biosci., **27**: 573-578.
- 2. Ali, I. K. M., Hossain, M.B., Roy, S., Ayeh-Kumi, P. F., Petri, W.A. Jr, Haque R. and Clark, C. G. (2003). Entamoeba moshkovskii infection in children in Bangladesh. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 9:580-584.
- 3. Bansal, D., Sehgal, R., Chawla, Y., Malla N., Mahajan R. C. (2006). Multidrug resistance in amoebiasis patients. Indian J. Med. Res. , **124**:189-94.
- 4. Carrol, M. J. (2007). Section 9.2. Collection and preservation of fecal specimens. P 14-25 In Garcia, L. S. and Bruckner, D.A. Diagnostic Medical Parasitology, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC. 5. Carrol M. J. and Crede P. (2007). Section 9.3. Macroscopic and microscopic examination of fecal specimen. P 28-37. In

Garcia, L. S. and Bruckner, D.A. Diagnostic Medical Parasitology, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC

- 6. C.D.C. (2016). Laboratory identification of parasitic diseases of public heath concern. Amebiasis.
- 7. Davidson, B. R., Neoptolemos, J. P., Watkin, D. and Talbot, I. C. (1988). Invasive amoebiasis: an unusual presentation. Gut., 29:682-685.
- 8. El-Bakri, A., Samie, A., Ezzedine, S. and Odeh R. A. (2013). Differential detection of Entamoeba histolytica, Entamoeba dispar and Entamoeba moshkovskii in fecal samples by nested PCR in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). Acta Parasitol., 58:185-190.
- 9. El-Sobky, M. M., El Melegi, M. A. and Abo-Khalil, N. A. (2011). Use of multiplex PCR in the differential detection of Entamoeba histolytica and/or E. dispar in comparison to microscopic examination. P.U.J., 4: 193-200.
- 10. Faust E. C., Russell, P. F and Jung R. C. (1970). Craig and Faust's Clinical Parasitology eighth ed. Chapter 46 pp 783-806 Philadelphia: LEA & Febiger.
- 11. Fletcher, R. H. and Fletcher, S. W., (2005). Chapter 3. Diagnosis. In: Fletcher, R. H. and Fletcher, S. W. Clinical Epidemiology: The Essentials. 4th eds. Pp. 35-58. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- 12. Fotedar, R., Stark, D., Beebe, N., Marriott, D., Ellis, J. and Harkness, J. (2007a). Laboratory diagnostic techniques for Entamoeba species. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 20: 511-532.
- 13. Fotedar, R., Stark, D., Beebe, N., Marriott, D., Ellis J. and Harkness J. (2007b). PCR detection of Entamoeba histolytica, E. dispar and E. moshkovskii in stool samples from Sydney, Australia. J. Clin. Microbiol., 45: 1035-1037.
- 14. Gonzalez-Ruiz, A., Haque, R., Aguirre, A., Castañón, G., Hall, A., Guhl, F., Ruiz- Palacios, G., Miles, M. A. and Warhurst, D. C. (1994). Value of microscopy in the diagnosis of dysentery associated with invasive Entamoeba histolytica. J. Clin. Pathol., 47: 236-239.
- 15. Haque, R., Ali, I. K. M., Akther, S. and Petri, W. A. (1998). Comparison of PCR, Isoenzyme analysis, and antigen detection for diagnosis of Entamoeba histolytica infection. J. Clin. Microbiol., 36: 449-452.
- 16. Khairnar, K. and Parija, S. C. (2007). A novel nested multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay for differential detection of Entamoeba histolytica, E. moshkovskii, and E. dispar DNA in stool samples. B.M.C. Microbiol., 7: 47.
- 17. Lau, Y. L., Anthony, C., Fakhrurrazi, S. A., Ibrahim, J., Ithoi, I. and Mahmud, R. (2013). Real-Time PCR assay in differentiating E. histolytica, E. dispar and E. Moshkovskii infection in Orang Asli settlements in Malaysia. Parasites & Vectors. , 6: 250-257.
- 18. Lucas, R. and Upcroft, J. A. (2001). Clinical Significance of redefinition of the agent of amoebiasis. Rev. Latinoam. Microbiol., 43: 183-187.

- 19. Mojarad, E.N., Nochi, Z., Sahebekhtiari, N., Nejad, M. R., Dabiri, H. and Haghighi A. (2010). Characterization of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *E. dispar* in fresh stool by PCR. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. From bed to bench., **3**:37-41.
- Shimokawa, C., Kabir, M., Taniuchi, M., Mondal, D., Kobayashi, S., Ali, I.K.M., Sobuz, S. U., Senba, M., Houpt, E., Haque, R., Petri W.A. and Hamano, S. (2012). *Entamoeba moshkovski* is associated with diarrhea in infants and causes diarrhea and colitis in mice. J. Infect. Dis., 206: 744-751.
- 21. Stanley, K. (2003). Amoebiasis. The Lancet., 361: 1024-1034.
- 22. Tannich, E. (2004). Zur Labordiagnostik von Entamoeba histolytica infektionen. J. Lab. Med. , 28: 491-497.
- 23. Tanyuksel, M. and Petri, W. A. (2003). Laboratory diagnosis of amebiasis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 16: 713-729.
- 24. Tanyuksel, M., Ulukanliqil, M., Guclu, Z., Araz, E., Koru, O. and Petri, W. A. (2007). Two cases of rarely recognized infection with *Entamoeba moshkovskii*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., **76**: 723-724.
- 25. World Health Organization. (1997). World Health Organization/Pan American Health Organization / UNESCO report of a consultation of experts on amoebiasis. Wkly. Epidemiol. Rev., **72**: 97-99.
- Zulhainan, H., Petmitr S., Mungthin M., Leelayoova S. and Chavalitshewinkoon-Petmitr, P. (2006). Differential detection of *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Entamoeba dispar*, and *Entamoeba moshkovskii* by a single-round PCR Assay. J. Clin. Microbiol., 44: 3196-3200.
- Zulhainan H., Petmitr S., Mungthin M., Leelayoova S. and Chavalitshewinkoon-Petmitr, P. (2011). Development of duplex PCR for detection of *Entamoeba moshkovskii* and *Entamoeba histolytica*. Conference Paper. Zulhainan H., <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/215516902</u>. Retrieved on October 12, 2016.