



Journal of Public Health Research

[Journal indexing and metrics](#)

[← Previous issue](#)

[Next issue →](#)

January - December 2020

[View issue contents](#) ▾

Select all Export selected citations

Brief Report

 Open Access | Brief Report | First published April 27, 2022

[Colon Cancer Care of Hispanic People in California: Paradoxical Barrio Protections Seem Greatest among Vulnerable Populations](#)

Keren M. Escobar, Mollie Sivaram, Kevin M. Gorey, Isaac N. Luginaah, [...]

[View all](#) ▾

[Preview abstract](#) ▾

PDF / EPUB 

Reviews and Meta-Analysis

 Open Access | Review article | First published April 27, 2022

[The Impact of Interventions Addressing Socioeconomic Inequalities in Cancer-Related Outcomes in High-Income Countries: A Systematic Review](#)

Annie Bygrave, Kate Whittaker, Aranda AM Sanchia

[Preview abstract](#) ✓

PDF / EPUB



Article

Open Access | Research article | First published April 27, 2022

[Increasing Vegetable Intake 400 G/Day to Control Body Weight and Lipid Profile in Overweight Hyperlipidemia Menopausal Women](#)

Indri Kartiko Sari, Diah Mulyawati Utari, Sumiko Kamoshita, Dwi Oktaviana, [...]

[View all](#) ✓

[Preview abstract](#) ✓

PDF / EPUB



Article

Open Access | Research article | First published April 27, 2022

[Implementation of Smoke-Free Law in Denpasar Bali: Between Compliance and Social Norms of Smoking](#)

Ketut Suarjana, Putu Ayu Swandewi Astuti, I Wayan Gede Artawan Eka Putra, [...]

[View all](#) ✓

[Preview abstract](#) ✓

PDF / EPUB



Article

Open Access | Research article | First published April 27, 2022

[Trade and Safety Issues of Raw Beef from the Countryside of Bahia State, Brazil](#)

Privacy


Permínio Oliveira Vidal Junior, Ana Cláudia Rios Menezes, [...]

[View all](#) [Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Article

  Open Access | Research article | First published April 27, 2022[Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices among People in Saudi Arabia regarding Covid-19: A Cross-Sectional Study](#)

Amani Alhazmi, Maha Hamed Mohamed Ali, Ali Mohieldin, Farah Aziz, [...]

[View all](#) [Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Article

  Open Access | Research article | First published April 27, 2022[Covid-19 Pandemic's Impact on Eating Habits in Saudi Arabia](#)


Noara Alhusseini, Abdulrahman Alqahtani

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Perspectives and Debates

  Open Access | Other | First published July 28, 2020[New Approaches to Disease Causation Research Based on the Sufficient-Component Cause Model](#)

Abdul Hakeem Alrawahi


[Preview abstract](#) 

[Privacy](#)

PDF / EPUB



Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

[Difference in the Association of Food Security and Dietary Diversity with and without Imposed Ten Grams Minimum Consumption](#)


Trias Mahmudiono, Dwi Putri Pangesti Suro Andadari, Calista Segalita

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

[Psychometric of the Curiosity and Exploration Inventory-li in Indonesia](#)

Anggi Setyowati, Min-Huey Chung, Ah. Yusuf, Setya Haksama

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Brief Report

 Open Access | Brief Report | First published July 28, 2020

[A Training Module to Empower Marginalised Northern Borneo Islanders for Tuberculosis Control](#)

Mohammad Saffree Jeffree, Fatimah Ahmedy, Mohd Yusof Ibrahim, [...]

[View all](#) 

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Privacy

Brief Report

 Open Access | Brief Report | First published July 28, 2020

[Using System Mapping to Help Plan and Implement City-Wide Action to Promote Physical Activity](#)

Nick Cavill, Debra Richardson, Mark Faghy, Chris Bussell, Harry Rutter

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

[Prediction of Daily Covid-19 Cases in European Countries Using Automatic Arima Model](#)

Tahir Mumtaz Awan, Faheem Aslam

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis

 Open Access | Other | First published July 28, 2020

[Early Sexual Debut and Associated Factors among Students in Ethiopia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis](#)

Birye Dessalegn Mekonnen

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB



Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

[Dietary Assessment and Its Awareness in Female Students from Different Health Departments: Unhealthy Diet with Normal Bmi](#)

Amani Alhazmi, Farah Aziz

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB 

Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020


[Impact of Covid-19 Lockdown on Sleep-Wake Schedule and Associated Lifestyle Related Behavior: A National Survey](#)

Meenakshi Sinha, Babita Pande, Ramanjan Sinha

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB 

Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

[Knowledge, Barriers and Uptake of Influenza Vaccine among Non-Health College Students at Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia](#)


Malak M. Alhawsawi, Amjad A. Alghamdi, Balqees M. Alzayed, [...]

[View all](#) 

[Preview abstract](#) 

PDF / EPUB 

Article

 Open Access | Research article | First published July 28, 2020

Privacy

[A Cross-Sectional Survey to Evaluate Acquaintance about Dental Photography among Dental Students in Daily Clinical Practice](#)

Hoda Lotfy Abouzeid, Saurabh Chaturvedi, Fawziah Ahmed Alzahrani, [...]

[View all](#) 

[Preview abstract](#) 



Browse journal

All articles

Browse by year

Journal information

Journal description

Aims and scope

Editorial board

Submission guidelines

Journal indexing and metrics

Reprints

Journal permissions

Recommend to library

Advertising and promotion

Keep up to date

Privacy



Facebook



Twitter



LinkedIn



YouTube



RSS feed



Email alerts

[View all options](#)

Also from SAGE Publishing

CQ Library

American political resources

Data Planet

A universe of data

SAGE Business Cases

Real-world cases at your fingertips

SAGE Campus

Online skills and methods courses

SAGE Knowledge

The ultimate social science library

SAGE Research Methods

The ultimate methods library

SAGE Video

Streaming video collections

Technology from SAGE

Make learning and research easier

Editorial Board

📅 Publish Date - 5 Days Ago

✍️ Written By **Jens Edrich**

Editor-in-Chief

Umberto Gelatti, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, Section of Public Health and Human Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy

Deputy Editors

Silvana Castaldi, Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milan, Italy

Loredana Covolo, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, Section of Public Health and Human Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy

Rosella Levaggi, Department of Economic Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy

Peter J. Schulz, Institute of Communication and Health, University of Lugano, Switzerland

Robyn Woodward-Kron, Medical Education Unit, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Australia

Editorial Board

Francesco Auxilia, Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milan, Italy

Guglielmo Bonaccorsi, Experimental and Clinical Medicine Department, Health Services Research Section, University of Florence, Italy

Silvio Brusaferrò, Department of Medical and Biological Sciences, University of Udine, Italy

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

Adelaide Conti, Director of the Centre for Study and Research in Bioethics Institute of Forensic Medicine; Department of Medical and Surgical Specialities, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, Italy

Elisabetta Ceretti, S Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, Section of Public Health and Human Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy

Markus Frischhut, Department of Management and Law, MCI Management Center Innsbruck, Austria

Evdokia Germeni, Medical School – Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter, UK

Nancy Harrington, Department of Communication, University of Kentucky, USA

Izabela Jelovac, GATE-LSE (CNRS and University of Lyon), Ecully, France

Viswanath Kasisomayajula, Department of Society, Human Development and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

Gary L. Kreps, Department of Communication, Center for Health and Risk Communication, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

Pierluigi Lopalco, Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, Italy

May O. Lwin, School for Communication, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; College of Humanities, Arts, & Social Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Martin McKee, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Marisa Miraldo, Imperial College Business School, Imperial College London, UK

Silvano Monarca, Professor of Public Health (retired), Italy

Kent Nakamoto, Marketing Department, Pamplin College of Business, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Gualtiero Ricciardi, Institute of Hygiene, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

Rajiv Rimal, Department of Prevention & Community Health, George Washington University, Washington DC, USA

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

[OK](#) [Informationen zum Datenschutz](#)

Roberta Siliquini, Department of Public Health and Microbiology, University of Turin, Italy

Sandy Smith, Department of Communication, Health and Risk Communication Center, Michigan State University, USA

Stephan Van den Broucke, Psychological Sciences Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium

Csaba Varga, Department of Environmental Health, Institute of Public Health Medicine, University of Pécs, Hungary

Alessandro R. Zanetti, Professor of Public Health (retired), Italy

Our most popular topics on [Journal of Public Health Research](#)

- [Reduslim](#)
- [Elix fett](#)
- [Figur Kapseln](#)
- [Medioxil24](#)
- [ICG Fatburner](#)
- [Perfect Body Burner](#)
- [Fungustan Spray](#)
- [Fatfix](#)
- [MindInsole](#)
- [Zenidol](#)
- [Huusk Messer](#)
- [Testonyl](#)
- [Keto Tropfen](#)
- [Nuubu](#)
- [Penisvergrößerung](#)
- [K-Drops](#)
- [Codex Humanus](#)
- [Ketogen Tropfen](#)
- [Phallosan Forte](#)
- [Detonic](#)
- [Ultra Keto Slim](#)
- [CB Slim 300](#)
- [Sarah's Blessing](#)
- [Rocka Nutrition](#)

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

- Panaslim
- Potencialex
- Purosalin
- VibroLegs
- Viagra Alternative
- Black Latte
- Keto Guru
- Hondrostrong
- Hondrocream
- Member XXL
- Rezilin
- Eroxel
- Prolesan Pure
- Arthrolon
- Flexumgel
- SlimJoy
- Varikosette
- Colloسل
- Maral Gel
- Erogen X
- Erofertil
- Viarax
- Urotrin
- Asambeauty
- Foodspring
- Myprotein
- Artrovex
- Imosteon
- MSX6
- Fungonis Gel
- Onycosolve
- Biovolen Jasminsalbe
- CFBurn
- HalluPro
- Viraxol
- Liqua Slim
- Cock+

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

- Babbel
- Vitalrin Sonnenkomplex
- Vibrosculpt
- skinXmed
- Hair Jazz
- Q Grips
- Slimmestar
- Sustafix
- Diaetolin
- Hanfosan
- SWISS FX CBD
- CBD Fruchtgummis
- CBD Kapseln
- CBD Creme
- CBD Öl für Katzen
- CBD Öl für Hunde
- CBD Vital
- VAAAY
- Fatburner
- Abnehmtabletten
- Nordic Oil
- Derila
- HHC Blüten
- HHC
- HHC Vape
- Liba Kapseln

Jens Edrich

Jens Edrich is a medical doctor and dedicated journalist who has published various articles and studies in the field of medical science. His articles are particularly convincing due to his precise and transparent way of working.

LEAVE A REPLY

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

OK Informationen zum Datenschutz

Comment...

//

Your Name (required):

Email (required):

Save my name, email, and website in this browser for the next time I comment.

Post Comment

Copyright © 2023 by jphres.org - Journal of Public Health Research

Please also visit our other online offers as well as partners: Arlington Cemetery

[Sitemap EN](#)

[Data Protection EN](#)

[Contact EN](#)

[Sitemap DE](#)

[Impressum DE](#)

[Datenschutz DE](#)

Diese Website benutzt Cookies. Wenn du die Website weiter nutzt, gehen wir von deinem Einverständnis aus.

OK [Informationen zum Datenschutz](#)

ARTICLE

Difference in the association of food security and dietary diversity with and without imposed ten grams minimum consumption

Trias Mahmudiono, Dwi Putri Pangesti Suro Andadari, Calista Segalita

Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Dietary diversity measurement is one of the simple tools to assess the quality of food consumed in population-level and endorsed by many international agencies. However, there is a growing concern that the current dietary diversity measures were lacking in the sensitivity due to the omission of minimum food consumed to be considered as consuming certain food groups in the calculation of dietary diversity score. The purpose of this study is to find the difference in DDS measurement between two methods by applying a 10-grams minimum intake for all food groups and the other one, without.

Design: A cross-sectional study involving 55 samples from two villages with different geographical characteristics.

Methods: One village represents the agricultural area; other was fishpond/coastal area. Dietary diversity was analyzed using Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS) with 9 food categorizations. Dietary diversity measurement calculated based on the food recall with consideration of 10 grams minimum of food weight consumption. Mann Whitney Test used to analyze the difference between calculation of dietary diversity score with and without minimum 10-grams.

Results: There is no difference of children's dietary diversity between agriculture and fishpond family group when the dietary diversity was omitting 10 grams minimum intake (p -value=0.184), while, using 10 grams minimum intake (p =0.024), there is a difference.

Conclusions: Using 10 grams minimum had shown to strengthened the relationship between dietary diversity and adequacy. Further research is needed to find other minimum requirement in different kind of population to find differences among them.

Introduction

Food security is defined as a situation that exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life.¹⁻³ Food security mainly associated with two pillars; availability and accessibility. Food availability concept explains about sufficient amounts of food meanwhile food accessibility explains about adequate amount of resources to obtain nutritious food. When there is no sufficient amount of food availability and lack of food access may lead to food insecurity.

Measurement food security can be done through several indicators depends on the purpose of the assessment. To obtain detailed data on household food access or individual dietary intake can be time consuming and expensive. Dietary diversity scale (DDS) is a qualitative measure of food consumption that reflects household access to a variety of foods, and is also a proxy for nutrient adequacy of the diet of individuals.⁴ By using dietary diversity questionnaire represents a rapid, user-friendly and easily administered low-cost assessment tool. DDS can be measured for household (HDDS), individual (IDDS), and for women (WDDS).^{4,5}

DDS represents the number of different foods or food groups consumed over a given period food. It is a proxy measure for household food access. The frequency of consumption that measured are the last 7 days prior the survey. DDS are calculated by simply sum all food or food groups that they consumed and compare to the cut off points. However, there is still no international standard to categorize the DDS cut off point. Hence, cut off points among countries are different due to the local food based dietary guidelines and nutritional policies. Some determined the cut off based on their sample internal distribution (mean, median, etc.). An increase in dietary diversity is associated with socio-economic status and household food security.⁶⁻⁸ The higher the dietary

Significance for public health

Scarce studies in determining the minimum cut-off of dietary diversity measurement become an essential subject to be explored. Our findings emphasized the need for minimum size of portion to strengthen the result of dietary diversity measurement. This research provides important insights on how dietary diversity scores might be improved for international malnutrition early screening.

diversity score, the greater the variety shown and the better the food security status.⁹

In this study, application of 10 grams minimum and without 10 grams minimum is measured to find the difference. Kennedy *et al.* discovered that there was different result by measuring DDS with and without 10 grams minimum.¹⁰ DDS 10 grams improved the correlation with adequate micronutrient intake to 0.44 compare to DDS which is 0.36.⁵ It was indicated that the performance of dietary diversity as an indicator of adequate micronutrient intake is improved when a minimum intake for each food group can be assessed. Moreover, it was explained that DDS 10 grams were more rigorous measure of DDS because it did improve the correlation and regression model.¹⁰ Some studies suggested dietary diversity scores might be improved by inclusion of portion size requirements.¹¹⁻¹³ The purpose of this study was to find the difference in DDS measurement between two methods by applying a 10-grams minimum intake for all food groups, and the other one without 10 grams minimum. The study has been conducted with children 2-5 years old in Wonokasian and Kalanganyar villages. The result of this study has been used as consideration to see the different measurement and also to see the sensitivity by using 10 grams minimum and without 10 grams minimum intakes.

Design and Methods

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in two different villages, Wonokasian and Kalanganyar, which were considered as villages with food potential. Wonokasian village has agricultural potential with various crops. Meanwhile, Kalanganyar village is famous for its largest fishpond in Sidoarjo district.

Data collection

Data was collected between 15 March and 25 May 2017. For samples, children with age 2 until 5 were selected. In total, 55 children, 30 from Wonokasian and 25 from Kalanganyar, included mothers/nannies were selected as respondents. The data taken was based on the total amount of children in Wonokasian and Kalanganyar village by using proportional random sampling methods.

Family characteristics data, such as family's food income and outcome, were gathered using interview questionnaire based. Meanwhile, children's food intake data was collected by interviewing mothers/nannies using food recall 2x24 hours. Afterwards, data was inputted into *Nutrisurvey* software to analyze the results by comparing the average food intake with Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA). Dietary diversity was analyzed using Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS) with 9 food categorizations. Dietary diversity measurement was done based on the food recall results with consideration of 10 grams minimum of food weight consumption which afterwards categorized into three separate categories; low dietary diversity with ≤ 3 food groups, average dietary diversity with 4-5 food groups, and high dietary diversity with ≥ 6 food groups. Energy Adequacy Intake (EAI) and Protein Adequacy Intake (PAI) categorized into; under ($< 80\%$ RDA), adequate (80-110% RDA), and over ($> 110\%$ RDA). Mann Whitney Test was used to analyze the difference between calculation of dietary diversity score with and without minimum 10-grams requirement. All data analysis was performed using SPSS, ver. 22.

Ethics

This research was approved by the Institutional Review Board

(IRB) at the Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga on April 28, 2017 with reference number: 166-KEPK. In addition, this trial was approved by the Sidoarjo District Review Board (No: 072/504/404.6.5/2017) in Indonesia. We made sure that potential participants were voluntarily participate and none of their right would have been obliterated if unwilling to participate; furthermore, the confidentiality was preserved. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection. Participants were free to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences.

Results

Family characteristics that measured were family size, paternal and maternal education, household income, and money spent on food (Table 1). In family size, there is no significant difference between agriculture and fishpond family group, while agriculture family had bigger size / amount than fishpond. Paternal and maternal education was categorized into six groups. The highest number group of paternal education in both family groups was finished senior high school. Only 1 father (3.3%) who finished university in agriculture family group, meanwhile in the fishpond family group there was no father who finished university. The same result occurred in maternal education, the highest number in both groups was finished senior high school. Household income was defined as income earned from both father and mother per month. In agriculture family group, the highest number (26.7%) was in quintile 5, with an income higher than Indonesian Rupiah (IDR) 3,000,000 per month. Meanwhile in fishpond family group, most families (28%) earned IDR 2,500,000 – 3,000,000 per month. In general, money spent on food in both family groups was around IDR 1,186,000 – 1,427,000 per month, which in quintile 3. But, in the fishpond family group, 11 families (44%) spent their money on food more than IDR 1,654,500.

Dietary diversity in this study was measured using Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS) with 9 food groups. Dietary diversity measured twice using different procedure. In the first measurement, it was measured by food recall 2x24 hours without minimum food consumed. Meanwhile in the second measurement, it was measured by using minimum food consumed, which 10 grams. The respondents considered to consume food if they consumed 10 grams minimum. In the first measurement, both groups had average dietary diversity results. In the second measurement, children's dietary diversity in agriculture group shows to be under meanwhile in fishpond group shows to be adequate. The Mann Whitney test showed that there is no difference of children's dietary diversity between agriculture and fishpond family group when the dietary diversity was omitting 10 grams minimum intake ($p=0.184$). Meanwhile, in second measurement using 10 grams minimums intake ($p=0.024$), which can be conclude that there is a difference of children's dietary diversity between agriculture and fishpond family group (Tables 2 and 3).

Discussion

Two different IDDS measurements using with and without 10 grams minimum consumption showed different results. By using 10 grams minimum improve IDDS sensitivity measurement. This result aligns with a review of DDS, suggesting that this index might be improved by applying a minimum portion size.¹⁴ This minimum rule is used to exclude nutritionally less relevant foods

Table 1. Characteristic of family respondent who lived in agriculture and fishpond area at Wonokasian and Kalanganyar villages, Sidoarjo district.

No	Variable	Agro-ecology			
		Agriculture		Fishpond	
		n	%	n	%
1	Family size				
	Small	16	53.3	15	60
	Average	14	46.7	9	36
	Big	0	0	1	4
	Total	30	100	25	100
2	Paternal Education				
	Finished primary school	3	10	1	4
	Finished secondary school	7	23.3	9	36
	Finished senior high school	19	63.3	15	60
	Finished university	1	3.3	0	0
	Total	30	100	25	100
3	Maternal Education				
	Finished primary school	2	6.7	0	0
	Finished secondary school	9	30	2	8
	Finished senior high school	16	53.3	22	69.1
	Finished university	3	10	1	4
	Total	30	100	25	100
4	Household Income (in IDR)				
	Quintile 1 (<1,620,000)	4	13.3	3	12
	Quintile 2 (1,620,001 – 2,000,000)	7	23.3	6	24
	Quintile 3 (2,000,001 – 2,500,000)	6	20	6	24
	Quintile 4 (2,500,001 – 3,000,000)	5	16.7	7	28
	Quintile 5 (>3,000,000)	8	26.7	3	12
	Total	30	100	25	100
5	Spending for Food (in IDR)				
	Quintile 1 (<1,052,000)	6	20	2	8
	Quintile 2 (1,052,001 – 1,186,000)	7	23.3	1	4
	Quintile 3 (1,186,001 – 1,427,000)	7	23.3	6	24
	Quintile 4 (1,427,001 – 1,654,500)	6	20	5	20
	Quintile 5 (>1,654,500)	4	26.7	11	44
	Total	30	100	25	100

Table 2. Distribution of children's dietary diversity without 10 grams minimum intake.

No	Dietary Diversity	Groups			
		Agriculture		Fishpond	
		n	%	n	%
1	Under	10	33.3	4	16
2	Adequate	14	46.7	14	56
3	High	6	20	7	28
	Total	30	100	25	100

Table 3. Distribution of children's dietary diversity with 10 grams minimum intake.

No	Dietary Diversity	Groups			
		Agriculture		Fishpond	
		n	%	n	%
1	Under	18	60	7	28
2	Adequate	10	33.3	15	60
3	High	2	6.7	3	12
	Total	30	100	25	100

used as condiments or seasonings from the total score.¹⁵ Another study also used 10 grams minimum to determine DDS cut-off points.¹⁰ They assumed by using DDS and DDS 10 grams is a potential measurement for use as indicators of micronutrient adequacy of the diet. This study also consistent with Daniels *et al.*, who discovered that correlations in DDS 10 grams were higher, indicating the 10 grams minimum improved score performances.¹¹ Portion requirements should be high enough to screen out the noise in the score, but low enough to retain sensitivity to nutritionally significant intakes.¹¹

Ten grams minimum in DDS helps to eliminate the insignificant tiny amounts of food. As DDS counts any amount of intake from every food group. This may lead the less nutrition value to overshadow the actual nutritious intake. A study suggested that applying a 10 grams minimum portion requirement could improve a score's sensitivity to nutrient adequacy.¹⁶ Adding a minimum portion requirement further strengthened the relationship between dietary diversity and adequacy. Another study determines the sensitivity and specificity of 10 grams minimum using the ROC curves.¹¹ It tested the ability of both diversity scores to detect the prevalence of low and high mean nutrient adequacy intake (MPA) using a sensitivity/specificity analysis. In detecting low adequate intake, the 10 grams score had higher sensitivity but lower specificity. Meanwhile, in high adequate intake, the 10 grams score had lower sensitivity but higher specificity.

There were some limitations in this study, including the small sample size with limited variation in participants' ethnicity. However, with randomization in the study design, we believed that potential selection bias from ethnicity could be minimized. Our research provides valuable insights into how dietary diversity scores might be improved using 10 grams minimum intake as a better measure for global malnutrition. Early screening tools are relatively compared to the current FAO guidelines without minimum intake restriction.⁴

Conclusions

In conclusion, the IDDS measurement between two methods by applying a 10-grams minimum intake for all food groups and the other one, without 10 grams minimum showed significantly different results. Using 10 grams minimum had shown to strengthen the relationship between dietary diversity and adequacy. In addition, 10 grams minimum improved dietary diversity score because it eliminates less nutritional food. It is suggested for scientific practice and future researcher measuring dietary diversity should use 10 grams minimum intake rather than the present or absent in certain food intake. Further research is needed to find other minimum requirement in a different kind of population in order to find differences among them.

Correspondence: Trias Mahmudiono, Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mulyorejo Kampus C, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia.
E-mail: trias-m@fkm.unair.ac.id

Key words: Dietary diversity; food security; consumption; food groups.

Contributions: DPPS, responsible in overall research preparation, from conception and designing the research, preparing and collecting the data, also calculating and analyzing the data result; CS, contributed in drafting and writing the article content while also analyzing and interpreting the data result; TM, participated in revising the overall design and methods of the study, assisting the data calculation and granting the final approval for the article. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements: Authors would like to express a sincere gratitude for all respondents and partakers who supported this study.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This research was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga on April 28, 2017 with reference number: 166-KEPK. In addition, this trial was approved by the Sidoarjo District Review Board (No: 072/504/404.6.5/2017) in Indonesia. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to data collection.

Availability of data and materials: The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Significance for public health: Scarce studies in determining the minimum cut-off of dietary diversity measurement become an essential subject to be explored. Our findings emphasized the need for minimum size of portion to strengthen the result of dietary diversity measurement. This research provides important insights on how dietary diversity scores might be improved for international malnutrition early screening.

Received for publication: 20 January 2020.

Accepted for publication: 3 August 2020.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2020

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Journal of Public Health Research 2020;9:1736

doi:10.4081/jphr.2020.1736

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

References

1. FAO. The state of food insecurity in the world 2001: When people must live with hunger and fear starvation. 2001. Accessed on: 18 January 2020. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-y1500e.pdf>
2. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research [Internet]. Food security in Southeast Asia. Canberra; 2012. Accessed on: 18 January 2020. Available from: <https://land-portal.org/organization/australian-centre-international-agricul->

- tural-research
3. Hoddinott J, Yohannes Y. Dietary diversity as a household food security indicator. 2000. Available from: <https://www.ifpri.org/publication/dietary-diversity-food-security-indicator-0>
 4. Kennedy G, Ballard T, Dop MC. Guidelines for measuring household and individual dietary diversity. Rome: FAO; 2013. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i1983e.pdf>
 5. Vhurumuku E. Food security indicators. In: Integrating Nutrition and Food Security Programming for Emergency Response Workshop, Nairobi; 2013. Available from: http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/food-security-capacity-building/docs/Nutrition/NairobiWorkshop/5.WFP_IndicatorsFSandNutIntegration.pdf
 6. Mahmudiono T, Sumarmi S, Rosenkranz RR. Household dietary diversity and child stunting in East Java, Indonesia. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2017;26:317–25.
 7. Mahmudiono T, Susila Nindya T, et al. Comparison of maternal nutrition literacy, dietary diversity, and food security among households with and without double burden of malnutrition in Surabaya, Indonesia. *Mal J Nutr* 2018;24:359–70.
 8. Ali NB, Tahsina T, Hoque DME, et al. Association of food security and other socio-economic factors with dietary diversity and nutritional statuses of children aged 6–59 months in rural Bangladesh. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0221929.
 9. Vandevijvere S, De Vriese S, Huybrechts I, et al. Overall and within-food group diversity are associated with dietary quality in Belgium. *Public Health Nutr* 2010;13:1965–73.
 10. Kennedy GL, Pedro MR, Seghieri C, et al. Dietary diversity score is a useful indicator of micronutrient intake in non-breast-feeding filipino children. *J Nutr* 2007;137:472–7.
 11. Daniels MC, Adair LS, Popkin BM, Truong YK. Dietary diversity scores can be improved through the use of portion requirements: An analysis in young Filipino children. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009;63:199–208.
 12. Ngala SA. Evaluation of dietary diversity scores to assess nutrient adequacy among rural Kenyan women. Wageningen University; 2008. Available from: <https://www.wur.nl/en/show/Evaluation-of-dietary-diversity-scores-to-assess-nutrient-adequacy-among-rural-Kenya-women.htm>
 13. Tiew KF, Chan YM, Lye MS, Loke SC. Factors associated with dietary diversity score among individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Heal Popul Nutr* 2014;32:665–76.
 14. Ruel MT. Operationalizing dietary diversity: A review of measurement issues and research priorities. *J Nutr* 2003;133:s3911–26.
 15. FAO. Minimum dietary diversity for women - A guide to measurement. Rome: FAO; 2016. Accessed on: 18 January 2020. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5486e.pdf>
 16. Daniels MC. Dietary diversity as a measure of nutritional adequacy throughout childhood. PhD Thesis, University of North Carolina; 2006.