

# SRI LANKA MILITARIA

### CONTRACT CONTRACTORS

Walter states

Telephone 701

Research and the second s

New York, NY

104.1

-

I being public of children of a real discuss admitted in a tertion of the second statement of the second seco

May Dept. Mande, Johnson Santingal, Nodae Fabri

National Analysis and attractionalistics in its methods in the second structure in the second structur

Volume 51 Issue 2 2022

### Original Articles

۷	Clinical characteristics and the role of echocardiography in diagnosing acute rheumatic fever in patients referred to the Lady Ridgeway Hospital for Children, Colombo, Sri Lanka Jeevanee Hettiarachchi, Duminda Samarasinghe	<ul> <li>Image: Solution of the second s</li></ul>
۷	Cut-offs of mid-upper arm circumference to predict the double burden of malnutrition among Indian pre-adolescent children (5-9 years): Insights from Comprehensive National Nutrition Survey data 2016-18 Suman Chakrabarty	
۷	Assessment of perception about oral habits in children among healthcare professionals: A cross sectional study Neha Kohli, Shivayogi Hugar, Sanjana Soneta, Nivedita Saxena, Chandrashekhar Badakar, Krishna Kadam	
۹	Correlation between One Leg Stand Test and Paediatric Balance Scale in children aged 7-12 years Nurul Wardani, Martha Kusumawardani, Juwita Mayangsari, Sri Wulan	
۹	Effectiveness of isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) and risk factors for IPT failure in children below 5 years of age who are household contacts of smear positive adult patients with tuberculosis Dina Sumarno, Retno Setyoningrum, Hari Notobroto	05 Jun 2022 51(2):209-214
۷	Fatty acid composition in the breast milk of Sri Lankan mothers during exclusive breastfeeding Thushari Bandara, Chandrani Liyanage, Sujeewa Amarasena, Tinku Thomas, Priyanka Bannikoppa, Indu Mani, Manjula Hettiarachchi	
۷	Prevalence of dyselectrolytaemia in children with pneumonia admitted to a tertiary care centre in Eastern India Swaranjika Sahoo, Mrunmaya Mohapatra, Ria Ganguli, Mrinal Sinha, Mamta Mohanty, Rekulapalli Akhil, Dillip Dash	(b) 2022 51(2):222-226

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS (in alphabetical order of the surnames):

 <u>Dr Sanjaya Susil Abeygunasekera</u> MBBS(Colombo), MS, FRCS. Commonly addressed as Dr. Sanjaya Abeygunasekera

E-mail: ssabey@hotmail.com Specialist Consultant Paediatric Surgeon, Lady Ridgeway Hospital for Children, Colombo 8, Sri Lanka

### ORCID:

A renowned researcher with 09 publications in peer-reviewed scientific journals, as of September 2022.

Special interests and expertise: Paediatric Hepatobiliary disease Esp Biliary atresia, Repair of Hypospadias and bladder extrophy epispadias complex, Surgical management of urinary incontinence (Augmentation cystoplasty with Mitrofanoff procedures), Paediatric trauma (prevention and early intervention) Member, Sri Lanka Forum of Medical Editors (SLFME)

2. <u>Professor Piyusha Milani Atapattu</u> MBBS (Colombo), MSc Med Ed (Cardiff) MD (Colombo), FRCP (UK), FCCP (SL), FHEA (UK)

Commonly addressed as Professor Piyusha Atapattu

E-mail: piyushaatapattu@yahoo.com Professor in Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka.

ORCID: 0000-0002-8252-5446

A renowned researcher and Medical Educationist with 19 publications in peer-reviewed scientific journals, as of September 2022.

Special interests and expertise: Physiology, Medical Education, Internal medicine

Member, Sri Lanka Forum of Medical Editors (SLFME)

### 3. Professor Deepthi Champika De Silva MBChB, MRCP (UK)

Commonly addressed as Prof. Deepthi De Silva

E-mail: deepthid@kln.ac.lk

Professor in Medical Genetics, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

ORCID: 0000-0003-1236-4971

A renowned researcher with over 60 publications in peer-reviewed scientific journals, as of September 2022

Special interests and expertise: Medical genetics and molecular basis of developmental abnormalities, Impact of genetic disease, Costs of genetic testing, Teaching physiology and genetics

Member, Sri Lanka Forum of Medical Editors (SLFME)

4. <u>Professor Delpechitracharige Gajabahu Harendra De Silva</u> MBBS(Cey), DCH(Cey), MRCP(UK), M.Sc(Birmingham), FCCP, FSLCPaed, FCPS(Pakistan), FCGP(SL), FRCP(Edin), FRCP(Lond), FRCPCH(UK).

Commonly addressed as Professor Harendra De Silva

E-mail: harendra51@gmail.com Emeritus Professor of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

ORCID: 0000-0002-2869-6973

A renowned researcher with over 100 publications in peer-reviewed scientific journals, as of September 2022.

Special interests and expertise: Child Protection, Dengue fever, Gastroenterology and nutrition, Youth violence/ child soldiers

Member, Sri Lanka Forum of Medical Editors (SLFME)

### PANEL OF INTERNATIONAL ADVISORS (in alphabetical order of the surnames):

### 1. Professor Zulfiqar Bhutta

E-mail: zulfiqar.bhutta@aku.edu Husein Laljee Dewraj Professor and the Founding Chair of the Division of Women and Child Health, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan.

### 2. Dr. Timothy L Chambers

E-mail: TimothyLChambers@physicians.ie Consultant Paediatrician, Bristol, UK.

#### 3. Professor P. T. Chandrasoma E-mail: ptchandr@usc.edu Professor of Pathology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA.

4. Professor E. A. S. Nelson E-mail: tony-nelson@cuhk.edu.hk Professor (Clinical), Department of Paediatrics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

### 5. Professor Sir Terence Stephenson

E-mail: tstephenson@gmc-uk.org Nuffield Professor of Child Health, Institute of Child Health, UCL Current Chair, General Medical Council, UK Former Chair, UK Academy of Medical Royal Colleges 2012-2014 Past President, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health 2009-2012

## Effectiveness of isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) and risk factors for IPT failure in children below 5 years of age who are household contacts of smear positive adult patients with tuberculosis

Dina Aristiya Sumarno<sup>1</sup>, \*Retno Asih Setyoningrum<sup>1</sup>, Hari Basuki Notobroto<sup>2</sup>

*Sri Lanka Journal of Child Health*, 2022; **51**(2): 209-214 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4038/sljch.v51i2.10119

### Abstract

*Introduction:* Childhood tuberculosis (TB) is yet a major problem in developing countries. Isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) is recommended for children below 5 years of age who are household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients.

**Objectives:** To assess effectiveness of the six month IPT therapy and the risk factors for IPT failure in children less than 5 years old who are household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients.

*Method:* A prospective longitudinal cohort study was carried out in the Surabaya Public Health Centre from January to June 2019 in children below 5 years of age who were household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients and who were given isoniazid for 6 months. Bivariate analysis using Chi-Square test and multivariate analysis were used. p <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results:** Ninety one children below 5 years of age met the inclusion criteria and were included in study. Efficacy of IPT was 95.5%. In multivariate analysis, the risk factors associated with IPT failure were child contacts living in house without ventilation (p = 0.007) and incomplete IPT (p = 0.007).

*Conclusions:* Efficacy of IPT in reducing the incidence of TB in children below 5 years of age who were household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients was 95.5%. Low adherence to completion of therapy and unavailability of house ventilation were associated with IPT failure.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Population, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia \*Correspondence: retnosoedijo@yahoo.co.id

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2273-4924 (Received on 14 September 2021: Accepted after revision on 22 October 2021)

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest

Personal funding was used for the project.

Open Access Article published under the Creative

Commons Attribution CC-BY CO License

(Key words: Toddlers, Contacts, TB, IPT, Effectiveness)

### Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) in children accounts for 11% of TB globally<sup>1</sup> and most get infected through close household contact with an adult TB patient<sup>2</sup>. 'End TB' strategy targets in Indonesia are increasing case finding and providing isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) to children in close contact with adult TB patients<sup>3</sup>. Operational matters are reported to be the main factor of IPT programme outcome<sup>4</sup>. There is a lack of studies about effectiveness of IPT and factors which contribute to IPT failure in Indonesia.

### Objectives

To assess the effectiveness of the six month IPT therapy and the risk factors for IPT failure in children less than 5 years old who are household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients.

### Method

A prospective longitudinal cohort study was conducted in the Surabaya Public Health Centre from January to June 2019.

*Inclusion criteria*: 1) Children under 5 years old, 2) in close contact or living at home with positive smear adult TB patients, diagnosed at least 1 month ago, 3) who had no symptom or sign of TB disease<sup>5</sup>, 4) parents signed the informed consent.

*Exclusion criteria:* children who already received treatment for TB.

For each subject isoniazid (INH) 10 mg/kg/day was given once daily for 6 months. Regular follow up was conducted monthly to evaluate symptoms/signs of TB disease and INH side effects. Subjects who could not be followed up due to any reason were dropped from the study. Nutritional status was evaluated based on Indonesia Paediatric Nutrition Care nutritional status<sup>6</sup>.

At the end of the study, effectiveness was calculated based on the number of subjects who did not develop TB disease. It was considered as IPT failure if the subjects developed TB disease, and/ or was lost to follow up (subjects who did not take IPT for 1 month in a row or more) or died during observation period.

*Ethical issues:* Study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, Indonesia (No 319/ EC/ KEPK/ **FKUA/2018)**. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the children participating in the study.

*Statistical analysis:* Data collected were analysed using Chi-square. Relative Risk was calculated on risk factors with a p value <0.05. Risk factors with a

p value <0.25 were further analysed using logistic regression. All analyses were done using SPSS 21.

### Results

There were 91 subjects included in study. Figure 1 shows sample recruitment. Table 1 describes characteristics of subjects.



Figure 1: Sample recruitment

Characteristic	Categories	Number (%)		
Sex	Male	40 (44.6)		
	Female	51 (55.4)		
Age (years)	< 1	20 (22.0)		
	1 - 2	29 (31.9)		
	>2 - <5	42 (46.2)		
Nutritional status	Normal	85 (93.4)		
	Malnutrition	06 (06.6)		
BCG scar	Yes	85 (93.4)		
	No	06 (06.6)		
Tuberculin test	Positive	07 (07.7)		
	Negative	25 (27.5)		
	Unavailable	59 (64.8)		
IHC visits	Routinely every month	54 (59.3)		
	Not routinely every month	37 (40.7)		
House ventilation	No ventilation	09 (09.9)		
	$\geq 1$ ventilation	82 (90.1)		
Distance to IHC	$\leq 1 \text{ km}$	55 (60.4)		
	> 1 km	36 (39.6)		
Adult TB source		· · ·		
Relationship with subject	Parent	57 (62.6)		
<b>* •</b>	Grandmother/grandfather	28 (30.8)		
	Sibling	02 (02.2)		
	Other	04 (04.4)		
Anti-TB drug treatment	In treatment	91 (100.0)		
Location	In the same house	59 (64.8)		
	Close contact but different house	32 (35.2)		
Isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT)		· ·		
Adherence to IPT completion	Incomplete	09 (09.9)		
*	Complete	82 (90.1)		
Result of IPT	Successful (did not develop TB)	85 (93.4)		
<i>v</i>	Unsuccessful (developed TB)	04 (04.4)		
	Loss to follow up	02 (02.2)		
The reason for stopping IPT	Did not stop IPT	89 (97.8)		
·	Parents refused to continue IPT	02 (02.2)		
Symptoms and signs of TB in child		× /		
Symptoms of TB	Cough > 2 weeks	02 (02.2)		
~ 1 0	Fever $> 2$ weeks	02 (02.2)		
Signs of TB	Body temperature $> 38^{\circ}C$	02 (02.2)		
	Respiratory signs	02(02.2)		

### Table 1: Characteristics of Subjects (n=91)

At the end of the six month study period, there were 4 subjects who developed TB. Therefore, the effectiveness of IPT to prevent TB disease was 95.5%.

Table 2 shows the bivariate analysis of risk factors of IPT failure. There were 8 risk factors associated significantly with IPT failure (p < 0.05). These were age, nutritional status, BCG scar, tuberculin test, Integrated Healthcare Centre (IHC) visits, availability of house ventilation, the distance to IHC and adherence to IPT completion (Table 2).

Variable         Successful n (%)         Failed n (%)         Total n (%)         p         RR           Set Male         36 (90.0)         04 (10.0)         40 (100.0)         0.399         -           Female         49 (96.1)         02 (03.9)         51 (100.0)         0.399         -           Set         20 (100.0)         0 (0)         20 (100.0)         0.00.0         -         - $< 1$ 20 (100.0)         0 (0)         20 (100.0)         0.023*         -         - $> 2 - < 5$ 41 (97.6)         01 (2.4)         42 (100.0)         0.003*         14.2           Normal         82 (96.5)         03 (03.5)         85 (100.0)         0.003*         14.2           Malnutrition         03 (50.0)         03 (50.0)         06 (100.0)         0.049*         7.5           Normal         82 (96.5)         03 (42.9)         07 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Iberculin test         7         7         95 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           Iberculin test         9         03 (65.1)         03 (42.9)         07 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Iberculin test         9         03 (05.1)         <	Result of IPT				(II I) juiure	
Setn (%)n (%)n (%) $n$ Set36 (90.0)04 (10.0)40 (100.0)0.399-Female49 (96.1)02 (03.9)51 (100.0)0.399-Age (years)20 (100.0)0 (0)20 (100.0)0.023*-120 (100.0)0 (0)20 (100.0)0.023*-1-224 (82.8)05 (17.2)29 (100.0)0.023*-> 2 - <541 (97.6)01 (2.4)42 (100.0)Nutritional statusNutritional status06 (100.0)0.003*14.2Malnutrition03 (50.0)03 (50.0)06 (100.0)BCG scarYes81 (95.3)04 (04.7)85 (100.0)0.049*7.5No04 (66.7)02 (33.3)06 (100.0)Negative25 (100.0)0 (0)25 (100.0)0.003*-Unavailable56 (94.9)03 (05.1)59 (100.0)IHC visitsRoutinely every month31 (83.8)06 (16.2)37 (100.0)0.003*-None05 (55.6)04 (44.4)09 (100.0)0.003*-Notre utilation availabilityMone55 (100.0)0 (0)55 (100.0)0.003*-None55 (100.0)0 (0)55 (100.0)0.003*-2   ventilation30 (83.3)06 (16.7)36 (100.0	Variable	Successful	Failed	Total	р	RR
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Male	36 (90.0)	04 (10.0)	40 (100.0)	0.399	-
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Age (years) & 20 (100.0) & 0 (0) & 20 (100.0) & 0.023^* & - \\ < 1 & 24 (82.8) & 05 (17.2) & 29 (100.0) & 0.023^* & - \\ 22 - < 5 & 41 (97.6) & 01 (2.4) & 42 (100.0) & 0.003^* & 14.2 \\ \hline Normal & 82 (96.5) & 03 (03.5) & 85 (100.0) & 0.003^* & 14.2 \\ \hline Malnutrition & 03 (50.0) & 03 (50.0) & 06 (100.0) & 0.003^* & 14.2 \\ \hline Malnutrition & 03 (50.0) & 03 (50.0) & 06 (100.0) & 0.049^* & 7.5 \\ \hline No rmal & 82 (96.5) & 04 (04.7) & 85 (100.0) & 0.049^* & 7.5 \\ \hline No & 04 (66.7) & 02 (33.3) & 06 (100.0) & 0.049^* & 7.5 \\ \hline Tuberculin test & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Tuberculin test & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Negative & 25 (100.0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 25 (100.0) & 0.003^* & - \\ \hline Unavailable & 56 (94.9) & 03 (05.1) & 59 (100.0) & 0.003^* & - \\ \hline Not routinely every month & 54 (100.0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline HC visits & 06 (16.2) & 37 (100.0) & 0.003^* & - \\ \hline None & 05 (55.6) & 04 (44.4) & 09 (100.0) & 0.001^* & 18.2 \\ \hline S1 ventilation availability & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Distance to IHC & 51 (100.0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 11 mm & 30 (83.3) & 06 (16.7) & 36 (100.0) & 0.003^* & - \\ \hline Relationship with subject & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & \\ \hline Relationship with subject & 54 (94.7) & 03 (05.3) & 57 (100.0) & 0.600 & - \\ \hline Crandmother/grandfather & 25 (89.3) & 03 (10.7) & 28 (100.0) & 0.600 & - \\ \hline Stiling & 02 (100.0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Female	49 (96.1)	02 (03.9)	51 (100.0)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age (years)					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<1	20 (100.0)	0 (0)	20 (100.0)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1-2	24 (82.8)	05 (17.2)	29 (100.0)	0.023*	-
Nutritional status         82 (96.5)         03 (03.5)         85 (100.0)         0.003*         14.2           Malnutrition         03 (50.0)         03 (50.0)         06 (100.0)         14.2           BCG scar         -         -         -         -           Yes         81 (95.3)         04 (04.7)         85 (100.0)         0.049*         7.5           No         04 (66.7)         02 (33.3)         06 (100.0)         0.049*         7.5           No         04 (66.7)         03 (42.9)         07 (100.0)         -         -           Negative         25 (100.0)         0 (0)         25 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           IHC visits         - </td <td>&gt; 2 - &lt; 5</td> <td>41 (97.6)</td> <td>01 (2.4)</td> <td>42 (100.0)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	> 2 - < 5	41 (97.6)	01 (2.4)	42 (100.0)		
Normal         82 (96.5)         03 (03.5)         85 (100.0) $0.003^*$ 14.2           Malnutrition         03 (50.0)         03 (50.0)         06 (100.0)         0.003^*         14.2           BCG scar         -         -         -         -         -         -           Yes         81 (95.3)         04 (04.7)         85 (100.0)         0.049*         7.5           No         04 (66.7)         02 (33.3)         06 (100.0)         -         -           Positive         04 (57.1)         03 (42.9)         07 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Negative         25 (100.0)         0 (0)         25 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Unavailable         56 (94.9)         03 (05.1)         59 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Not routinely every month         54 (100.0)         0 (0)         54 (100.0)         0.003*         -           None         05 (55.6)         04 (44.4)         09 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           2 I ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         -         -           Distance to IHC         -         -         -         -         -         -      <	Nutritional status					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Normal	82 (96.5)	03 (03.5)	85 (100.0)	$0.003^{*}$	14.2
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} BCG \ scar \\ Yes & 81 \ (95.3) & 04 \ (04.7) & 85 \ (100.0) & 0.049^* & 7.5 \\ \hline No & 04 \ (66.7) & 02 \ (33.3) & 06 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Tuberculin \ test \\ Positive & 04 \ (57.1) & 03 \ (42.9) & 07 \ (100.0) \\ Negative & 25 \ (100.0) & 0 \ (0) & 25 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Unavailable & 56 \ (94.9) & 03 \ (05.1) & 59 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline HC \ visits \\ Routinely \ every \ month & 54 \ (100.0) & 0 \ (0) & 54 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Not \ routinely \ every \ month & 31 \ (83.8) & 06 \ (16.2) & 37 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline House \ ventilation \ availability \\ \hline None & 05 \ (55.6) & 04 \ (44.4) & 09 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Distance \ to \ HC & \\ \leq 1 \ km & 55 \ (100.0) & 0 \ (0) & 55 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Sit \ mmodel{andle} & \\ \hline \leq 1 \ km & 30 \ (83.3) & 06 \ (16.7) & 36 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Parent & 54 \ (94.7) & 03 \ (05.3) & 57 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Relationship \ with \ subject & \\ Parent & 54 \ (94.7) & 03 \ (05.3) & 57 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Grandmother/grandfather & 25 \ (89.3) & 03 \ (10.7) & 28 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline Other & 04 \ (100.0) & 0 \ (0) & 00 \ (0) & 02 \ (100.0) & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Malnutrition	03 (50.0)	03 (50.0)	06 (100.0)		
Yes $81 (95.3)$ $04 (04.7)$ $85 (100.0)$ $0.049^*$ $7.5$ No $04 (66.7)$ $02 (33.3)$ $06 (100.0)$ $0.049^*$ $7.5$ Tuberculin test $04 (65.7)$ $02 (33.3)$ $06 (100.0)$ $0.049^*$ $7.5$ Negative $25 (100.0)$ $00 (0)$ $25 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Unavailable $56 (94.9)$ $03 (05.1)$ $59 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ HC visits       Routinely every month $54 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $54 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Not routinely every month $31 (83.8)$ $06 (16.2)$ $37 (100.0)$ $0.001^*$ $18.2$ None $05 (55.6)$ $04 (44.4)$ $09 (100.0)$ $0.001^*$ $18.2$ ≥ 1 ventilation $80 (97.6)$ $02 (02.4)$ $82 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Jikm $30 (83.3)$ $06 (16.7)$ $36 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Adult TB source       Ikm $30 (83.3)$ $06 (16.7)$ $36 (100.0)$ $0.600$ $-$ Grandmother/grandfather $25 (89.3)$ $03 $	BCG scar					
No         04 (66.7)         02 (33.3)         06 (100.0)           Tuberculin test         04 (57.1)         03 (42.9)         07 (100.0)           Negative         25 (100.0)         0 (0)         25 (100.0)         0.003*           Unavailable         56 (94.9)         03 (05.1)         59 (100.0)         0.003*         - <i>IHC visits</i> 7         03 (05.1)         59 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Not routinely every month         54 (100.0)         0 (0)         54 (100.0)         0.003*         -           None         05 (55.6)         04 (44.4)         09 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           ≥ 1 ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.003*         -           ≥ 1 ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.003*         -           ≥ 1 km         55 (100.0)         0 (0)         55 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           ≥ 1 km         30 (83.3)         06 (16.7)         36 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           Adult TB source         7         7         33 (10.7)         28 (100.0)         0.600         -           Grandmother/gr	Yes	81 (95.3)	04 (04.7)	85 (100.0)	$0.049^{*}$	7.5
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Tuberculin test \\ Positive \\ Parent \\ Relationship with subject \\ Parent \\ Sibling \\ Other \\ Othe$	No	04 (66.7)	02 (33.3)	06 (100.0)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tuberculin test					
Negative         25 (100.0)         0 (0)         25 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Unavailable         56 (94.9)         03 (05.1)         59 (100.0)         -         - <i>IHC visits</i> -         -         -         -           Routinely every month         54 (100.0)         0 (0)         54 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           Not routinely every month         31 (83.8)         06 (16.2)         37 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           None         05 (55.6)         04 (44.4)         09 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           ≥ 1 ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Distance to IHC             -         -           ≤ 1 km         55 (100.0)         0 (0)         55 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           > 1 km         30 (83.3)         06 (16.7)         36 (100.0)         0.003*         -         -           ≤ 1 km         54 (94.7)         03 (05.3)         57 (100.0)         0.600         -         -           Relationship with subject         25 (89.3)         0	Positive	04 (57.1)	03 (42.9)	07 (100.0)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Negative	25 (100.0)	0 (0)	25 (100.0)	$0.003^{*}$	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Unavailable	56 (94.9)	03 (05.1)	59 (100.0)		
Routinely every month $54 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $54 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Not routinely every month $31 (83.8)$ $06 (16.2)$ $37 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ House ventilation availability $05 (55.6)$ $04 (44.4)$ $09 (100.0)$ $0.001^*$ $18.2$ None $05 (55.6)$ $04 (44.4)$ $09 (100.0)$ $0.001^*$ $18.2$ $\geq 1$ ventilation $80 (97.6)$ $02 (02.4)$ $82 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $ Distance to IHC$ $\leq 1 \text{ km}$ $55 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $55 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $ > 1 \text{ km}$ $30 (83.3)$ $06 (16.7)$ $36 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ $-$ Adult TB source $Parent$ $54 (94.7)$ $03 (05.3)$ $57 (100.0)$ $0.600$ $-$ Grandmother/grandfather $25 (89.3)$ $03 (10.7)$ $28 (100.0)$ $0.600$ $-$ Sibling $02 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $02 (100.0)$ $0 (4 (100.0)$ $ -$ Other $04 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $04 (100.0)$ $  -$ <td>IHC visits</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	IHC visits					
Not routinely every month         31 (83.8)         06 (16.2)         37 (100.0)           House ventilation availability         05 (55.6)         04 (44.4)         09 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           None         05 (55.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2           2 I ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.003*         -           51 km         55 (100.0)         0 (0)         55 (100.0)         0.003*         -           2 1 km         55 (100.0)         0 (0)         55 (100.0)         0.003*         -           2 1 km         30 (83.3)         06 (16.7)         36 (100.0)         0.003*         -           Adult TB source	Routinely every month	54 (100.0)	0 (0)	54 (100.0)	$0.003^{*}$	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Not routinely every month	31 (83.8)	06 (16.2)	37 (100.0)		
None         05 (55.6)         04 (44.4)         09 (100.0) $0.001^*$ 18.2 $\geq$ 1 ventilation         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0) $0.001^*$ 18.2 $Distance to IHC$ $21 \text{ km}$ $55 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $55 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ - $\geq$ 1 km $30 (83.3)$ 06 (16.7) $36 (100.0)$ $0.003^*$ -           Adult TB source $403 (05.3)$ $57 (100.0)$ $0.600$ -           Relationship with subject $403 (05.3)$ $57 (100.0)$ $0.600$ -           Grandmother/grandfather         25 (89.3) $03 (10.7)$ 28 (100.0) $0.600$ -           Sibling $02 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $02 (100.0)$ $0.600$ -           Other $04 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $04 (100.0)$ $0 (0)$ $0.600$ -	House ventilation availability					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	None	05 (55.6)	04 (44.4)	09 (100.0)	0.001*	18.2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\geq 1$ ventilation	80 (97.6)	02 (02.4)	82 (100.0)		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Distance to IHC					
> 1 km         30 (83.3)         06 (16.7)         36 (100.0)           Adult TB source	$\leq 1 \text{ km}$	55 (100.0)	0 (0)	55 (100.0)	0.003*	-
Adult TB source         Constraint         Co	> 1 km	30 (83.3)	06 (16.7)	36 (100.0)		
Relationship with subject         54 (94.7)         03 (05.3)         57 (100.0)           Grandmother/grandfather         25 (89.3)         03 (10.7)         28 (100.0)         0.600         -           Sibling         02 (100.0)         0 (0)         02 (100.0)         0.600         -           Other         04 (100.0)         0 (0)         04 (100.0)         0         0         0         -	Adult TB source					
Parent         54 (94.7)         03 (05.3)         57 (100.0)           Grandmother/grandfather         25 (89.3)         03 (10.7)         28 (100.0)         0.600         -           Sibling         02 (100.0)         0 (0)         02 (100.0)         0         -         -           Other         04 (100.0)         0 (0)         04 (100.0)         -         -         -	Relationship with subject					
Grandmother/grandfather         25 (89.3)         03 (10.7)         28 (100.0)         0.600         -           Sibling         02 (100.0)         0 (0)         02 (100.0)         -	Parent	54 (94.7)	03 (05.3)	57 (100.0)		
Sibling         02 (100.0)         0 (0)         02 (100.0)           Other         04 (100.0)         0 (0)         04 (100.0)	Grandmother/grandfather	25 (89.3)	03 (10.7)	28 (100.0)	0.600	-
Other         04 (100.0)         0 (0)         04 (100.0)           Location	Sibling	02 (100.0)	0 (0)	02 (100.0)		
Location	Other	04 (100.0)	0 (0)	04 (100.0)		
Location	Location					
In the same house 55 (93.2) 04 (06.8) 59 (100.0) 1.000 -	In the same house	55 (93.2)	04 (06.8)	59 (100.0)	1.000	-
Close contact but different house         30 (93.8)         02 (06.3)         32 (100.0)	Close contact but different house	30 (93.8)	02 (06.3)	32 (100.0)		
Adherence to IPT completion	Adherence to IPT completion					
Complete         80 (97.6)         02 (02.4)         82 (100.0)         0.001*         18.2	Complete	80 (97.6)	02 (02.4)	82 (100.0)	0.001*	18.2
Incomplete 05 (55.6) 04 (44.4) 09 (100.0)	Incomplete	05 (55.6)	04 (44.4)	09 (100.0)		

Table 2. Divariale analysis of risk jaclors of isoniazia preventive inerapy (1F1) jatu	Table 2: <i>Bivariate an</i>	alysis of risk fa	actors of isoniazid	preventive therapy	(IPT) failure
--	------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------

\*significant

Table 3 shows the multivariate analysis of risk factors of IPT failure. In multivariate analysis house ventilation availability and adherence to IPT

completion were significantly associated with IPT failure

Table 3: M	ultivariate ana	lvsis of risk	a factors o	f isoniazid	preventive there	adv (IPT)	failure
1 4010 01 111		<i>ysus of 1051</i>	jucions o	j isonnanan	prevenueve uner	<i>wpy</i> (11 1)	Junne

Variable	В	Р
House ventilation availability		
None	3.424	$0.007^{*_{\#}}$
$\geq$ 1 ventilation (ref)		
Adherence to IPT completion		
Incomplete	3.424	$0.007^{*\#}$
Complete		

p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

<sup>#</sup>Logistic regression test was used

### Discussion

Most of the subjects included in this study completed IPT for 6 months and did not develop TB. A study in South Africa about starting IPT in those who were in close contact with adult TB patients reported that only around 9% of them succeeded in completing IPT<sup>7</sup>. A lack of information and education about TB disease transmission and development could be the main reason for ITP failure. Other factors for IPT failure are described by health professionals, such as uncertain INH supply, difficulties in reaching health facilities, insufficient knowledge and training for health professionals, and poor monitoring<sup>8</sup>.

In this study, there were 4 subjects who developed TB disease. Therefore, the effectiveness of IPT in preventing TB disease was 95.5%. This is in line with a previous study in Indonesia that reported that none of their subject developed TB after starting IPT<sup>9</sup>. A study in Rwanda<sup>10</sup> found that IPT reached 88% effectiveness and compliance. They also stated that parents, comorbidity, overcrowded family and healthcare providers are the determinants of effectiveness and compliance in completing IPT.

Our study found that age is a significant risk factor associated with IPT failure. A study in Kenya reported that younger age (<1 year old) was not significantly associated with IPT failure<sup>4</sup>. BCG vaccine has been reported to induce the release of strong type-CD4 Th1 and CD8 in the first year of life. Therefore, children under 1 year old who already receive BCG vaccine rarely develop TB<sup>11</sup>. Older children tend to have more interaction with adults in their daily lives and are more vulnerable to be infected both in their houses or society<sup>12</sup>.

This study found that half the subjects who failed IPT were having malnutrition. Malnutrition is associated with IPT failure and increases the risk of IPT failure up to 14 times. It is in line with a previous study reporting that children with malnutrition are 2-5 times as likely to have TB<sup>13</sup>. Malnutrition is related to immunosuppression that makes children vulnerable to mycobacterial invasion and disease development<sup>14</sup> and is the most important predisposing factor for TB in areas with limited resources<sup>15</sup>.

BCG scar is significantly related to IPT failure and children who do not have the BCG scar are 7 times more likely to fail IPT. BCG vaccine has the ability to protect children from TB, especially in younger children<sup>16</sup> with success rate up to 88%<sup>17,18</sup>. Moreover, a study conducted in Peru found that BCG scar was a sensitive indicator for vaccination status until the age of 3 years<sup>19</sup>.

Our study found that a positive tuberculin test is significantly associated with IPT failure. This is in line with a previous study that reported positive tuberculin test was related to IPT failure<sup>4</sup>. Positive tuberculin test indicates TB infection and not TB disease and in children under 5 years old, a positive tuberculin test determines TB infection and is a risk factor for developing TB disease<sup>20</sup>. Furthermore, a previous study found that tuberculin test result is an important risk factor for developing TB disease in children with TB contact<sup>21</sup>.

This study found that non-availability of house ventilation is associated with IPT failure. It is in accordance with a previous study in Nigeria describing that insufficient ventilation and an overcrowded family in a house would increase the risk factor of TB disease development. House ventilation itself is related to mycobacterium spread<sup>22</sup>. Inadequate house ventilation leads to bad quality of air, increases mycobacterium transmission, and increases humidity with less sunlight. Those will indeed increase the risk of TB disease development<sup>23,24</sup>.

Living far from the Integrated Healthcare Centre (IHC) and infrequent IHC visits are significant risk factors of IPT failure. This is supported by a previous study in India<sup>25</sup>. A study in Indonesia evaluated compliance of IPT. They reported that there were various risk factors that could reduce compliance of IPT. Difficult access and a great distance to health facilities that lead to high travel expense is often a major problem<sup>26</sup>. Hence, providing health facilities that are close to their residences with easier access is mandatory. Then, information and education about IPT can be more easily accepted<sup>25,26</sup>.

Adherence to IPT completion represents its compliance. Only 9.9% subjects in this study did not complete IPT. There was better compliance compared with a previous Indonesian study where 74.4% of children under five who participated in the IPT programme had low compliance<sup>26</sup>. Another study in Indonesia also reported that only 49.5% of their subjects completed IPT for 6 months. Drug regimens, factors related to caregivers, support and social access were the main obstacles<sup>27</sup>.

This study evaluated the side effects of IPT. We found most of subjects experienced no side effects. Around seven percent of them experienced side effects, such as loss of appetite, nausea, and tingling sensation. However, all subjects who failed IPT did not experience any side effect. Experiencing side effects of IPT was not a significant risk factor of IPT failure. This is in line with a previous study in Kenya which reported that IPT side effects were not significantly associated with IPT failure<sup>4</sup>.

### Conclusions

Efficacy of IPT in reducing the incidence of TB disease in children below 5 years of age who were household contacts of smear positive adult TB patients was 95.5%. Low adherence to completion of therapy and unavailability of house ventilation were significantly associated with IPT failure.

### References

- 1. WHO. Global tuberculosis report 2019 [Internet]. Geneva; 2019. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/1 0665/329368/9789241565714eng.pdf?ua=1
- 2. Marais BJ, Gie RP, Schaaf HS, Hesseling AC, Obihara CC, Starke JJ, et al. The natural history of childhood intra-thoracic tuberculosis: A critical review of literature from the pre-chemotherapy era. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 2004; 8(4):392-402.
- 3. WHO. Indonesia National TB Programme: Current status of integrated community based TB service delivery and the Global Fund work plan to find missing TB cases 2018. Available [Internet]. from: https://www.who.int/tb/features archive/i ndonesia 11apr18.pdf?ua=1
- 4. Okwara FN, Oyore JP, Were FN, Gwer S. Correlates of isoniazid preventive therapy failure in child household contacts with infectious tuberculosis in high burden settings in Nairobi, Kenya - a cohort study. BMC Infectious Diseases 2017; 17(1):623. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2719-

PMid: 28915796 PMCid: PMC5602922

- 5. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Technical Guidelines for the management of TB in children 2016 1-98
- 6. Nutrition and Metabolic Disease Working Group. Pediatric Nutrition Care. Jakarta: Indonesian Pediatric Society; 2011.
- 7. Osman M, Hesseling AC, Beyers N, Enarson DA, Rusen ID, Lombard C, et al. Routine programmatic delivery of isoniazid preventive therapy to children in Cape Town, South Africa. Public Health Action 2013; 3(3):199-203. https://doi.org/10.5588/pha.13.0034 PMid: 26393029 PMCid: PMC4463134

- 8. Shivaramakrishna HR. Frederick A. Shazia A, Murali L, Satyanarayana S, Nair SA, et al. Isoniazid preventive treatment in children in two districts of South India: Does practice follow policy? International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 2014; 18(8): 919–924. https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.14.0072 PMid: 25199005 PMCid: PMC4589200
- 9. Triasih R, Robertson CF, Duke T, Graham SM. A prospective evaluation of the symptom-based screening approach to the management of children who are contacts of tuberculosis cases. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2015; 60(1):12-8. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu748 PMid: 25270649
- 10. Birungi FM, Graham SM, Uwimana J, Musabimana A, Van Wyk B. Adherence to isoniazid preventive therapy among child contacts in Rwanda: A mixed-methods study. PLoS One 2019; 14(2):1-16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211 934
  - PMid: 30742660 PMCid: PMC6370213
- 11. Soares AP, Kwong Chung CKC, Choice T, Hughes EJ, Jacobs G, Van Rensburg EJ, et al. Longitudinal changes in CD4+ T-cell memory responses induced by BCG vaccination of newborns. Journal of Infectious Diseases 2013; 207(7): 1084-94 https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis941

PMid: 23293360 PMCid: PMC3583271

- 12. Seddon JA, Shingadia D. Epidemiology and disease burden of tuberculosis in children: A global perspective. Infection and Drug Resistance 2014; 7:153-65. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S45090 PMid: 24971023 PMCid: PMC4069045
- 13. Cohn D. El-Sadr W. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. In: Reichman L, Hershfield E, editors. Tuberculosis: a comprehensive international approach. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2000:15-30.
- 14. Smieja M, Marchetti C, Cook D, Fm S. Isoniazid for preventing tuberculosis in non-HIV infected persons (Review). Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews 2017; **2**: 1–28.

- Chandrasekaran P, Saravanan N, Bethunaickan R, Tripathy S. Malnutrition: Modulator of immune responses in tuberculosis. *Frontiers of Immunology* 2017; 8: 1316. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01316 PMid: 29093710 PMCid: PMC5651251
- Barreto ML, Pereira SM, Ferreira AA. BCG vaccine: Efficacy and indications for vaccination and revaccination. *Journal of Pediatrics (Rio J)* 2006; 82(3): 45-54. https://doi.org/10.2223/JPED.1499 PMid: 16826312
- Rodrigues LC, Diwan VK, Wheeler JG. Protective effect of BCG against tuberculous meningitis and miliary tuberculosis: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 1993; 22(6): 1154–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/22.6.1154 PMid: 8144299
- Thilothammal N, Krishnamurthy PV, Runyan DK, Banu K. Does BCG vaccine prevent tuberculous meningitis? *Archives* of Disease in Childhood 1996; 74: 144–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.74.2.144
   PMid: 8660078 PMCid: PMC1511494
- Santiago EM, Lawson E, Gillenwater K, Kalangi S, Lescano AG, Du Quella G, *et al.* A prospective study of bacillus Calmette-Guérin scar formation and tuberculin skin test reactivity in infants in Lima, Peru. *Pediatrics* 2003; **112**(4): 298. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.112.4.e298 PMid: 14523215
- WHO. Latent tuberculosis infection: updated and consolidated guidelines for programmatic management [Internet].
   2018. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/1 0665/260233/9789241550239eng.pdf;jses sionid=59C83A51B0BE8F9EF9F1EE50E 35E4B27?sequence=1
- Chan PC, Peng SSF, Chiou MY, Ling DL, Chang LY, Wang KF, et al. Risk for tuberculosis in child contacts: Development and validation of a predictive score. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2014; 189(2): 203–3.

- Attah CJ, Oguche S, Egah D, Ishaya TN, Banwat M, Adgidzi AG. Risk factors associated with paediatric tuberculosis in an endemic setting. *Alexandria Journal of Medicine* 2018; 54(4): 403–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajme.2018.05.00
   2
- Døllner H, Ramm CT, Harstad I, Afset JE, Sagvik E. Risk of developing tuberculosis after brief exposure in Norwegian children: Results of a contact investigation. *BMJ Open* 2012; 2: e001816. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001816 PMid: 23135543 PMCid: PMC3533020
- Gupta D, Das K, Balamughesh T, Aggarwal AN, Jindal SK. Role of socioeconomic factors in tuberculosis prevalence. *Indian Journal of Tuberculosis* 2004; 51: 27–31.
- 25. Singh AR, Kharate A, Bhat P, Kokane AM, Bali S, Sahu S, et al. Isoniazid preventive therapy among children living with tuberculosis patients: Is it working? A mixed-method study from Bhopal, India. *Indian Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 2017; 63: 274–85. https://doi.org/10.1093/tropej/fmw086 PMid: 28082666 PMCid: PMC5914486
- 26. Rutherford M, Hill P, Ruslami R, Maharani W, Alisjahbana B, Yulita I, et al. Adherence to isoniazid npreventive therapy in Indonesian children: A quantitative and qualitative investigation. BMC Research Notes 2012; 5: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-5-7 PMid: 22221424 PMCid: PMC3287144
- 27. Triasih R, Padmawati RS, Duke T, Robertson C, Sawyer SM, Graham SM. A mixed-methods evaluation of adherence to preventive treatment among child tuberculosis contacts in Indonesia. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2016; 20(8): 1078–83. https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.15.0952 PMid: 27393543