2/6/22, 10:36 AM Trends in Sciences



About the Journal

<u>Trends in Sciences</u> (*Trends Sci.* or **TiS**), Formerly known as <u>Walailak Journal of Science and Technology</u> TiS is a peer-reviewed journal (<u>Editorial Board</u>) covering all areas of Sciences, launched in 2004.

E-ISSN: 2774-0226 Start year: 2004 Language: English

Publication fee: NO Article Submission Charges & NO Article Processing Charges (APC)

Free access: Immediate

Issues per year (2021): 24 Issues (Semi-monthly)

2020 SJR (SCOPUS): 0.146 (Q3)

Aims and Scope

Trends in Sciences is published 24 Issues (**Semi-monthly**) by the College of Graduate Studies of Walailak University. The scope of the journal includes the following areas of research: Natural Sciences, Life Sciences, Applied Sciences (<u>TiS Template</u>). (<u>View full editorial policies</u>)

Natural Sciences

- Biochemistry
- Biology
- Chemistry
- Materials Science
- Mathematics
- Molecular Biology
- Physics and Astronomy

Life Sciences

- Allied Health Sciences
- Biomedical Sciences
- Dentistry
- Genetics
- Immunology and Microbiology
- Medicine
- Neuroscience
- Nursing

2/6/22, 10:36 AM Trends in Sciences

- Pharmaceutics
- Psychology
- Public Health
- Tropical Medicine
- Veterinary

Applied Sciences

- Agricultural
- Aquaculture
- Biotechnology
- Earth and Planetary
- Energy
- Engineering
- Food Science
- Meat Science
- Nanotechnology
- Plant Sciences

Index and Abstracts

<u>Trends in Sciences or Walailak Journal of Science and Technology</u> is indexed in the <u>Thai-Journal Citation Index Centre (TCI)</u>, <u>Google Scholar</u>, <u>CAB Abstracts</u>, <u>EBSCOhost</u>, <u>JournalSeek</u>, <u>ASEAN Citation Index (ACI)</u>, <u>ROAD</u>: the <u>Directory of Open Access scholarly Resources</u> and <u>SCOPUS</u>.















Sponsors and Support









EDITOR IN CHIEF

<u>Phongpichit Channuie</u>, School of Science, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand



HOME / ARCHIVES / Vol. 18 No. 21 (2021): Trends in Sciences, Volume 18, Number 21, 1 November 2021

Vol. 18 No. 21 (2021): Trends in Sciences, Volume 18, Number 21, 1 November 2021



PUBLISHED: 2021-11-01

RESEARCH ARTICLES

The Effect of Particle Size on the Physical Characteristics and Drug-release Behavior of Mini-tablets

Awis Sukarni Mohmad Sabere, Mohd Muzamir Mahat 43

☑ PDF

Structural Modification of the Macrolide Brefeldin A to Analogues with Enhanced Cytotoxicity against KB Cells

Maneekarn Namsa-Aid, Suthep Wiyakrutta, Surasak Prachya, Anucha Namsa-Aid, Apichart Suksamrarn

☑ PDF

Preparation of Pectin Films from Coffee Cherry and Its Antibacterial Activity

Sunita Chamyuang, Sitthi Duangphet,	Amorn Owatworakit,	Uraiwan Intatha,	Jutamat Nacha,	Panalee
Kerdthong				

34

☑ PDF

Isoniazid-Isatin Hydrazone Derivatives: Synthesis, Antitubercular Activity and Molecular Docking Studies

Mardi Santoso, Muhammad Riza Ghulam Fahmi, Yehezkiel Steven Kurniawan, Taslim Ersam, Sri Fatmawati, Fahimah Martak, Arif Fadlan

39

☑ PDF

Synthesis, In-vitro Antioxidant, Anti-diabetic Evaluation and Docking Studies of Newly Synthesized Benzoxazole Derivatives

Manuel Rodrigues, Basavaraju Bennehalli, Vagdevi Hosadu Manjappaiah, Shruthi Anantha

□ PDF

A New Algorithm Based on Bernstein Polynomials Multiwavelets for the Solution of Differential Equations Governing AC Circuits

Shweta Pandey, Sandeep Dixit, Sag R Verma

PDF

Purification and Characterization of an Extracellular Lipase Produced by Aspergillus oryzae ST11 as a Potential Catalyst for an Organic Synthesis

Pattarapon Paitaid, Jirayu Buatong, Souwalak Phongpaichit, Aran H-kittikun 45

☑ PDF

Preliminary Study: Immediate Effect of the Slow Deep Breathing Exercise and Sustained Maximal Inspiration with Volume-Oriented Incentive Spirometry on the Diffusing Lung Capacity in Healthy Young Participants

Araya Yankai, Jirakrit Leelarungrayub, Rungtiwa Kanthain, James J Laskin 346

☑ PDF

Regional Disparities of Facility-Based Childbirth in Indonesia

Agung Dwi Laksono, Ratna Dwi Wulandari

387

PDF

Effect of the Cultivation Systems and Split Fertilizer Applications on the Growth a	nd
Yields of Tatsoi (Brassica rapa subsp. narinosa)	

Kartika Kartika, Benyamin Lakitan, Rofiqoh P. Ria, Hana H. Putri 344

☑ PDF

A Machine Learning Approach for Early Detection of Fish Diseases by Analyzing Water Quality

Al-Akhir Nayan, Joyeta Saha, Ahamad Nokib Mozumder, Khan Raqib Mahmud, Abul Kalam Al Azad, Muhammad Golam Kibria

351

PDF

Comparison of the Effect of Hot and Thermo-Neutral Environments on Fat Oxidation during Post-Exercise Recovery in Exercise-Trained Obese Women: A Preliminary Report

Parimon Kaewpaluk, Onanong Kulaputana, Sompol Sanguanrungsirikul 394

PDF

Antiproliferative and Cytotoxic Efficacy of 10-Hydroxy-2-Decenoic Acid, Compared to Doxorubicin, on MCF-7 Breast Cancer Cells

Wantha Jenkhetkan, Arunporn Itharat, Supranee Kongkham, Srisopa Ruangnoo, Treetip Ratanavalachai 409

☑ PDF

Current Aspect of Bisphenol A Toxicology and Its Health Effects

Tanaporn Khamphaya, Phisit Pouyfung, Saruda Kuraeiad, Udomratana Vattanasit, Supabhorn Yimthiang 408

☑ PDF

Performance of Sentinel-2A Remote Sensing System for Urban Area Mapping in Malaysia via Pixel-Based and OBIA Methods

Adhwa Amir Tan, Helmi Zulhaidi Mohd Shafri, Nur Shafira Nisa Shaharum 38

☑ PDF



HOME / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

EDITOR IN CHIEF



CHANNUIE, Phongpichit

School of Science, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand Phongpichit received his Ph.D. in physics from University of Southern Denmark in 2012. He then moved to Walailak University, where he is now an associate professor in theoretical physics. His current research interests focus on issues in theoretical cosmology and high energy physics, including those with implications for early universe cosmology. He has been an Editor-in-Chief of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.

EDITORS



PAYAKA, Apirak

School of Science, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Apirak received his Ph.D. in chemistry from Suranaree University of Technology in 2009. He then moved to Walailak University, where he is now an assistant professor in chemistry. His current research interests focus on computational chemistry, e.g., in particular, protein-ligand interactions. He has been an Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.



PIMTON, Pimchanok

School of Science, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Pimchanok received her Ph.D. in Biomedical Science from Drexel University, USA in 2013. She then

moved to Walailak University, where she is now a tenure-track lecturer at Department of Biology, School of Science. Her current research interests focus on plasma medicine and its applications, including the effect of cold plasma on various types of cancer and normal cells. She has been an Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.

REVIEW EDITORS IN NATURAL SCIENCES



DAM-O, Punsiri

School of Science, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Punsiri received her Ph.D. in Physics from University of Lodz, Republic of Poland in 2015. She then moved to Walailak University, where she is now a tenure-track lecturer at Division of Physics, School of Science. Her current research interests focus on physics education, including the design of lessons and experiment for teaching and learning physics. She has been a Review Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.



TANTAPAKUL, Cholpisut

School of Science, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Cholpisut received her Ph.D. in applied chemistry from Mae Fah Luang University in 2015. She was a postdoctoral researcher at Khon Kaen University for 2 years and then moved to Walailak University, where she is now a lecturer at Division of Chemistry, Shcool of Science. Her current research interests focus on natural products chemistry including chemical constituents and their biological activities as well as structure modifications. She has been a Review Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.

REVIEW EDITORS IN LIFE SCIENCES



HIRANSAI, Poonsit

School of Allied Health Sciences, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Poonsit received his Ph.D. in Biomedical Sciences from Prince of Songkla University in 2010. He then

moved to Walailak University, where he is now an assistant professor in Medical Technology and also recognized the Senior Fellowship by Advanced Higher Education. His current research interests focus on issues in immuno-modulation, cell signaling transduction, and natural products. He has been an Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Learning Innovation Walailak University since October 2017 and a Review Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.



PUNSAWAD, Chuchard

School of Medicine, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Chuchard received his Ph.D. in Tropical Medicine from Mahidol University in 2012. He then moved to Walailak University, where he is now an Associate Professor in Parasitology. His current research interests focus on issues in the pathology and pathogenesis of severe malaria complications, epidemiology and immunology of parasitic infections as well as antimalarial activity of medicinal plants. He has been a Review Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.



SAENGOW, Udomsak

School of Medicine, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Udomsak received his Ph.D. in epidemiology from Prince of Songkla University in 2015. He also holds a master degree in economics. He has worked at Walailak University since 2011, where he is now an assistant professor in public health. His research interests focus on alcohol policy and health system. He has been an editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since February 2018.

REVIEW EDITORS IN APPLIED SCIENCES



CHAIJAN, Manat

School of Agricultural Technology, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Manat received his Ph.D. in Food Technology from Prince of Songkla University in 2006. He then moved to Walailak University, where he is now an associate professor in Food Science and

Technology. His current research interests focus on seafood chemistry and functional food ingredients. He has been a Review Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since October 2019.



THUBSUANG, Uthen

School of Engineering and Technology, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

Uthen received his Ph.D. in polymer science from the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University in 2014. He then moved to Walailak University, where he is now an assistant professor in materials science and engineering. His current research interests focus on porous materials, zeolite nanoparticles, gas sensors, catalysts, and energy storage materials. He has been an Editor of Walailak Journal of Science and Technology since July 2018.

LANGUAGE EDITORS

BARKER, John

Bangkok, Thailand

ULLA, Mark Bedoya

School of Languages and General Education, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

WALUYO, Budi

School of Languages and General Education, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

BUCOL, Junifer Leal

School of Languages and General Education, Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD

ABU-BASHA, Ehab Abdel Rahman

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, Jordan

AGARWAL, Praveen

Department of Mathematics, Anand Intenational College of Engineering, Jaipur 303012, India

AHMAD, Fridoon Jawad

Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics Center, Pathology Department, King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan

AKCA, Haydar

Department of Applied Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, Abu Dhabi University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

BEAMISH, Frederick William H.

Department of Integrative Biology and Institute of Ichthyology University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

BOYD, Claude E.

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures, Auburn University, Alabama 36849, United States

CHISTI, Yusuf

School of Engineering, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, New Zealand

CHOUBEY, Bhaskar

Analogue Circuits and Image Sensors, Faculty IV, University of Siegen, Hölderlinstraße 3, 57076 Siegen, Germany, Germany

EL-ASHRY, El Sayed H.

Organic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, University of Alexandria, Egypt

FEDUSHKO, Solomia

Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv 79013, Ukraine

GORSKI, Krzysztof

Institute of Vehicle and Machine Exploitation, Radom University of Technology, Boleslawa Chrobrego 45, Radom, Poland

GRIZZI, Fabio

Laboratories of Molecular Gastroenterology, IRCCS Istituto Clinico Humanitas, Rozzano, Milan, Italy

GUAN, Zhongwei

Centre for Materials and Structures, School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GQ, United Kingdom

HEMMATEENEJAD, Bahram

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

HOSEINZADEH, Siamak

Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

ISMAIL, Ahmad

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

KALA, Chandra Prakash

Ecosystem & Environment Management, Indian Institute of Forest Management, Nehru Nagar, Bhopal 462 003, Madhya Pradesh, India

KANNAN, Narayanan

Faculty of Applied Sciences, Asian Institute of Medicine, Science & Technology, Bedong, Malaysia

KIM, Kyoung-Woong

School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju 500712, Korea

KUMAR, Sunil

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Jamshedpur, Jhrkhand 831014, India

MISHRA, Satya Ranjan

Department of Mathematics, Siksha O Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, India

MOHAMED, Che Abd Rahim

Marine Ecosystem Research Center, Faculty Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

MONTEMANNI, Roberto

University of Applied Sciences of Southern Switzerland (SUPSI), Galleria 2, 6911 Manno, Switzerland

NAJAM, Laith Ahmed

Department of Physics, College of Science, Mosul University, Mosul, Iraq

RASHIDI, Mohammad Mehdi

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Vehicle Aerodynamics and Vehicle Thermal Management Systems, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

SAEEDI, Mohsen

School of Civil Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

https://doi.org/10.48048/tis.2021.387

Regional Disparities of Facility-Based Childbirth in Indonesia

Agung Dwi Laksono¹ and Ratna Dwi Wulandari^{2,*}

¹National Institute of Health Research and Development, MOH of the Republic of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

(*Corresponding author's e-mail: ratna-d-w@fkm.unair.ac.id)

Received: 29 July 2020, Revised: 7 May 2021, Accepted: 17 May 2021

Abstract

Specifically, the characteristics of regions in Indonesia are unique. The situation is because the division of the region refers to the main islands. The study aims to analyze regional disparities of childbirth services in Indonesia. Meanwhile, the analysis in this study uses raw data from the 2017 Indonesian Demographic Health Survey (IDHS). The IDHS used stratification and multistage random sampling. The sample used in this study was 17,769 women aged 15 - 49 years with live births in the last 5 years. The study employed all region (7 regions) in the analysis, and analyzed data using the binary logistic regression test. The result shows national average of the utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery in Indonesia is 72.0 %. The 3 highest-ranking regions were in the Java-Bali region with 89.5 %, Sumatra region 73.5 %, and Kalimantan region 69.1 %. The study shows a significant disparity between all regions than the Papua region, except for Kalimantan and Sulawesi regions. Sumatra region has 1.475 times more possibilities to utilize healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region. The Java-Bali region has 3.010 times more potential to use healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region. The Nusa Tenggara region has 1.891 times more opportunities to use healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region. At the same time, the Maluku region has lower utilization than the Papua region. Maluku region has the possibility of 0.304 times utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region. The study concluded that there were significant disparities between regions in using healthcare facilities for delivery in Indonesia.

Keywords: Childbirth, Regional disparities, Healthcare evaluation, Maternal health, Indonesia

Introduction

Indonesia has made many efforts in shifting labor into health care facilities. The Indonesia Basic Health Survey (Riskesdas) in 2007, 2013, and 2018 also recorded the condition, which is always better than the previous period [1]. Although this increase is still lacking, and in some cases, the community still feels that the health services received are not expected [2].

Studies in various countries on disparities in the use of health services focus on the study of disparities between urban and rural areas. Research facts show significant differences between the 2 regions [3-5]. A previous study reported that young women in urban Indonesia were 2.23 times more likely to attend healthcare childbirth than those in rural Indonesia [6]. Meanwhile, other studies report that at least 6 factors were identified as barriers to the utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery in rural Indonesia. These 6 factors were low education, high parity, poverty, not having health insurance, not knowing the danger signs of pregnancy, and ANC < 4 times [7]. Based on these findings, we assumed that if a region has many urban areas, it has better utilization of health services than areas dominated by rural areas.

The Indonesian government has released a National Health Insurance policy to reduce the barrier to service costs for the public to access health services, including delivery services to health facilities [8]. The policy has proven to encourage women in Indonesia to deliver delivery to health care facilities. At least, women who were covered by health insurance are 1.138 times more likely to deliver in healthcare facilities than women who are not covered by health insurance [9].

Specifically, the characteristics of regions in Indonesia are unique. The condition is because the division of the area refers to the main islands. The situation is motivated by Indonesia's geographical

²Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Campus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya, Indonesia

conditions in the form of islands. The United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) from the United Nations verified Indonesia consists of at least 16,056. This amount is part of the total 17,504 islands that are owned by Indonesia [10].

Economic and development movements between regions keep the development gap between regions continuing. Disparity as a result of this development also affects the accessibility of the community to health service facilities. Regions with good economic movements tend to have good health service facility accessibility [10]. Previous studies that analyzed regional disparities in the use of health services reported that this condition still exists. All regions showed better utilization than the Papua region as a reference. The Papua region is the easternmost region in Indonesia. The best utilization was in the Sumatra region (westernmost region), which was 3.781 times more utilizing health centers than the Papua region [10].

Reducing disparities in realizing health services in universal deliveries is the goal of health policymakers [11]. Based on the background narration, do regional disparities in childbirth services in Indonesia? The study aims to analyze the regional disparity of childbirth services in Indonesia. The results of this study's analysis are helpful for policymakers to ensure equal delivery of services between regions.

Materials and methods

Data source

The analysis in this study uses raw data from the 2017 Indonesian Demographic Data Survey (IDHS). The IDHS was part of the international Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) program conducted by the Inner City Fund (ICF). In Indonesia, the Central Statistics Agency collaborated with the National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN) and the Ministry of Health to carry out the 2017 IDHS.

The study used stratification and multistage random sampling in the selection of the 2017 IDHS sample. The 2017 IDHS surveyed 34 provinces in Indonesia. The samples used in this study were women aged 15 - 49 years old who had given birth in the last 5 years. The sample size of the 2017 IDHS used in this analysis was 17,769 women.

Variables

The study grouping the regions based on the geographical location of the province. The regions consists of 7 regions, namely Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Java-Bali, Maluku Islands, Nusa Tenggara, and Papua [12]. Deliveries performed in health care facilities include healthcare centers (Puskesmas), clinics or maternity hospitals, practices of health workers, and hospitals [13].

Variables analyzed included residence, age, education level, work status, marital status, parity, wealth status, cover by health insurance, the autonomy of family finances, the autonomy of health, knowledge the danger signs of pregnancy, and antenatal care. The residence type consists of 2 categories, namely urban and rural. The urban-rural criteria refer to Statistics Indonesia. The study determine age based on the last birthday. Education level is the respondent's recognition of the last diploma they have. Education level is divided into 4 categories: no education, primary, secondary, and higher. Work status consists of 2 types: no work and work. Marital status consists of 2 categories: Single and married/living with a partner. Meanwhile, parity was a live-born baby who has been born.

The IDHS determined wealth status based on the quintile of wealth owned by a household. Households were scored based on the number and type of items they have, from televisions to bicycles or cars, and housing characteristics, such as drinking water sources, toilet facilities, and primary building materials for the house's floor. This score calculated using principal component analysis. National wealth quintiles were arranged based on household scores for each person in the household and then divided by the distribution into the same 5 categories, accounting for 20 % of the population. The wealth status consists of 5 categories: the poorest, poorer, middle, richer, and the richest [14].

Covered by health insurance consists of 2 types: No and yes. Know of pregnancy danger signs was defined as knowledge of dangers of prolonged labor, vaginal bleeding, fever, convulsions, breech position, swollen limbs, faint, breathlessness, tiredness, and others. The knowledge of danger signs of pregnancy consists of 2 categories: do not know and know. Respondents were considered "know" when they claimed to know all pregnancy danger signs.

The autonomy of family finance describes respondents' independency to allocate money on family financial resources. The autonomy of family finances has 2 categories: No and yes. The autonomy of

health is the independence to determine the needs of health services. The autonomy of health has 2 categories: no and yes.

The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia recommends that the ANC during pregnancy be performed at least for times, namely, 1 time in the 1^{st} trimester, 1 time in the 2^{nd} trimester, and 2 times in the 3^{rd} trimester [15]. ANC visits consists of 2 categories: < 4 times and ≥ 4 times.

Data analysis

The study carried out statistical analysis using Chi-Square for dichotomous variables and t-test for continuous variables. The research carried out statistical analysis to assess whether there were statistically significant differences in delivery services between regions. The study performed estimates using binary logistic regression because of the nature of the dependent variable. The author carried out all statistical analyses using IBM SPSS 21 software.

Ethical approval

The 2017 IDHS has obtained ethical approval from the National Institute for Health Research and Development of the Indonesian Ministry of Health. The IDHS deleted all the respondents' identities from the dataset. Respondents have provided written approval for their involvement in the study. The use of the 2017 IDHS data for this study has received permission from ICF International through its website: https://dhsprogram.com/data/new-user-registration.cfm.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 displays the distribution of childbirth coverage to healthcare facilities in 34 provinces in Indonesia. In the eastern region, Maluku and Papua, the scope of delivery to health service facilities is lower than in other areas. In comparison, the Java-Bali region has the highest coverage of deliveries to healthcare facilities than other regions in Indonesia.



Figure 1 Distribution of childbirth coverage to healthcare facilities in 34 provinces, Indonesia (IDHS 2017).

The study performed a co-linearity test in the 1st step before carrying out a multinomial logistic regression test. Co-linearity test results are shown in **Table 1** that there is no co-linearity between the dependent and independent variables.

Wastellan	Collinearity Statistics			
Variables –	Tolerance	VIF		
Region	0.896	1.116		
Place of Residence	0.765	1.308		
Age	0.582	1.717		
Education level	0.713	1.403		
Work status	0.934	1.071		
Marital status	0.814	1.229		
Parity	0.530	1.886		
Wealth status	0.587	1.704		
Health insurance	0.961	1.041		
The autonomy of family finances	0.795	1.259		
The autonomy of health	0.718	1.393		
Know the dangers signs of pregnancy	0.894	1.119		
Antenatal care	0.881	1.135		

Table 1 Results for the co-linearity test of facility-based childbirth in Indonesia.

Table 1 shows that the tolerance value of all variables is more significant than 0.10. Meanwhile, the VIF value for all variables is less than 10.00. The study inferred no signs of correlation between 2 independent variables in the regression model based on decision-making in the multicollinearity test.

The national average of the utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery in Indonesia is 72.0 %. Meanwhile, the 3 highest-ranking regions are in the Java-Bali region with 89.5 %, Sumatra region 73.5 %, and Kalimantan region 69.1 %.

Table 2 shows differences between regions in the utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery for all observed statistically significant characteristics. Women who use healthcare facilities for delivery are more dominant than those who deliver at nonhealthcare facilities in all regions. The woman who has given birth in the last 5 years in Indonesia predominantly lives in rural areas, except in the Java-Bali and Kalimantan regions that exist in urban areas.

Meanwhile, **Table 2** informs that the average woman living in the Nusa Tenggara region is slightly older than other areas. Indonesian women with secondary education and had marital status married in all areas occupied women who gave birth in the last 5 years. In the previous 5 years, women who gave birth in Indonesia were prevalent who did not work, except for the Papua region, where women worked more dominantly. Women who live in the Papua region have an average parity higher than in other areas. **Table 2** shows that women who gave birth in the last 5 years in Indonesia were ruled by the poorest women, except in the Java-Bali and Kalimantan regions. Overall, in all areas, women who gave birth in the last 5 years in Indonesia predominantly have health insurance.

Table 2 informs that women with autonomy over their family finances and health occupied women have given birth in the last 5 years in Indonesia. In all regions, women who knew about the danger signs of pregnancy ruled women who gave birth in the previous 5 years in Indonesia, except in the Maluku and Papua regions. Overall, women who gave birth in the last 5 years in Indonesia predominantly had antenatal care more than 4 times before giving birth.

^{*}Dependent variable: Childbirth services.

https://doi.org/10.48048/tis.2021.387

Table 2 Descriptive Statistic of Socio-demographic characteristics of childbirth services in Indonesian regions (n = 17,769).

		REGION							
Characteristics	Sumatera (n = 4705)	Java-Bali (n = 5353)	Nusa Tenggara (n = 1534)	Kalimantan (n = 1630)	Sulawesi (n = 2716)	Maluku Islands (n = 1258)	Papua (n = 573)	ALL	P
Place of Delivery									0.000
 Nonhealthcare Facilities 	1247 (25.50 %)	562 (10.50 %)	518 (33.77 %)	504 (30.92 %)	985 (36.27 %)	867 (68.92 %)	287 (50.09 %)	4970 (27.97 %)	
- Healthcare Facilities	3458 (73.50 %)	4791 (89.50 %)	1016 (66.23 %)	1126 (69.08 %)	1731 (63.73 %)	391 (31.08 %)	286 (49.91 %)	12799 (72.03 %)	
Place of Residence									0.000
- Urban	2131 (45.29 %)	3668 (68.52 %)	451 (29.40 %)	865 (53.07 %)	1018 (37.48 %)	457 (36.33 %)	133 (23.21 %)	8723 (49.09 %)	
- Rural	2574 (54.71 %)	1685 (31.48 %)	1083 (70.60 %)	765 (46.93 %)	1698 (62.52 %)	801 (63.67 %)	440 (76.79 %)	9046 (50.91 %)	
Age (mean)	4705 (31.07)	5353 (30.91)	1534 (31.31)	1630 (30.54)	2716 (30.51)	1258 (30.71)	573 (30.10)	17769 (30.85)	0.000
Education level	4703 (31.07)	3333 (30.71)	1334 (31.31)	1030 (30.34)	2/10 (30.31)	1236 (30.71)	373 (30.10)	17707 (30.03)	0.000
- No education	54 (1.15 %)	24 (0.45 %)	73 (4.76 %)	18 (1.10 %)	43 (1.59 %)	10 (0.79 %)	47 (8.20 %)	269 (1.51 %)	0.000
- Primary	1026 (21.08 %)	1281 (23.93 %)	526 (34.29 %)	452 (27.73 %)	748 (27.54 %)	278 (22.10 %)	120 (20.94 %)	4431 (24.94 %)	
- Secondary	2650 (56.32 %)	3258 (60.86 %)	706 (46.02 %)	904 (55.46 %)	1345 (49.52 %)	718 (57.07 %)	298 (52.01 %)	9879 (55.60 %)	
- Higher	975 (20.72 %)	790 (14.76 %)	229 (14.93 %)	256 (15.71 %)	580 (21.35 %)	252 (20.03 %)	108 (18.85 %)	3190 (17.95 %)	
•	973 (20.72 76)	/90 (14./0 /0)	229 (14.93 70)	230 (13.71 70)	380 (21.33 76)	232 (20.03 70)	106 (16.65 76)	3190 (17.93 70)	0.000
Work status	2441 (51 00 0/)	2021 (56 (2.0/)	772 (50 20 0/)	060 (52.76.0/)	1.445 (52.20.0()	(02 (54 21 0/)	252 (42 00 0/)	0404 (52 27 0/)	0.000
- No work	2441 (51.88 %)	3031 (56.62 %)	773 (50.39 %)	860 (52.76 %)	1445 (53.20 %)	682 (54.21 %)	252 (43.98 %)	9484 (53.37 %)	
- Work	2264 (48.12 %)	2322 (43.38 %)	761 (49.61 %)	770 (47.24 %)	1271 (46.80 %)	576 (45.79 %)	321 (56.02 %)	8285 (46.63 %)	0.000
Marriage status	0 (0 00 0()	0 (0 00 0()	20 (1 20 0()	1 (0.06.0()	0 (0 00 0()	5 (0.40.0)	2 (0 52 0 ()	20 (0.16.0()	0.000
- Never married	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.00 %)	20 (1.30 %)	1 (0.06 %)	0 (0.00 %)	5 (0.40 %)	3 (0.52 %)	29 (0.16 %)	
- Married	4572 (97.17 %)	5211 (97.35 %)	1450 (94.52 %)	1581 (97.00 %)	,		` /	17212 (97.87 %)	
- Divorced	133 (2.83 %)	142 (2.65 %)	64 (4.17 %)	48 (2.94 %)	78 (2.87 %)	33 (2.62 %)	30 (5.24 %)	528 (2.97 %)	
Parity (mean)	4705 (2.53)	5353 (2.14)	1534 (2.81)	1630 (2.48)	2716 (2.65)	1258 (2.96)	573 (3.34)	17769 (2.51)	0.000
Wealth status									0.000
- Poorest	1060 (22.53 %)	521 (9.73 %)	956 (62.32 %)	323 (19.82 %)	1019 (37.52 %)	732 (58.19 %)	322 (56.20 %)	4933 (27.76 %)	
- Poorer	1013 (21.53 %)	888 (16.59 %)	278 (18.12 %)	363 (22.27 %)	602 (22.16 %)	229 (18.20 %)	97 (16.93 %)	3470 (19.53 %)	
- Middle	981 (20.85 %)	1135 (21.20 %)	134 (8.74 %)	386 (23.68 %)	409 (15.06 %)	135 (10.73 %)	68 (11.87 %)	3248 (18.28 %)	
- Richer	869 (18.47 %)	1378 (25.74 %)	89 (5.80 %)	290 (17.79 %)	326 (12.00 %)	120 (9.54 %)	50 (8.73 %)	3122 (17.57 %)	
- Richest	782 (16.62 %)	1431 (26.73 %)	77 (5.02 %)	268 (16.44 %)	360 (13.25 %)	42 (3.34 %)	36 (6.28 %)	2996 (16.86 %)	
Covered by health insurance									0.000
- No	1697 (36.07 %)	2152 (40.20 %)	589 (38.40 %)	711 (43.62 %)	839 (30.89 %)	586 (46.58 %)	127 (22.16 %)	6701 (37.71 %)	
- Yes	3008 (63.93 %)	3201 (59.80 %)	945 (61.60 %)	919 (56.38 %)	1877 (69.11 %)	672 (53.42 %)	446 (77.84 %)	11068 (62.29 %)	
The autonomy of family finances									0.000
- No	1374 (29.20 %)	1497 (27.97 %)	354 (23.08 %)	429 (26.32 %)	486 (17.89 %)	257 (20.43 %)	214 (37.35 %)	4611 (25.95 %)	
- Yes	3331 (70.80 %)	3856 (72.03 %)	1180 (76.92 %)	1201 (73.68 %)	2230 (82.11 %)	1001 (79.57 %)	359 (62.65 %)	13158 (74.05 %)	
The autonomy of health									0.000
- No	734 (15.60 %)	716 (13.38 %)	230 (14.99 %)	216 (13.25 %)	288 (10.60 %)	163 (12.96 %)	71 (12.39 %)	2418 (13.61 %)	
- Yes	3971 (84.40 %)	4637 (86.62 %)	1304 (85.01 %)	1414 (86.75 %)	2428 (89.40 %)	1095 (87.04 %)	502 (87.61 %)	15351 (86.39 %)	
Know the danger si	gns of pregnancy								0.000
- No	1757 (37.34 %)	1311 (24.49 %)	643 (41.92 %)	652 (40.00 %)	951 (35.01 %)	681 (54.13 %)	369 (64.40 %)	6364 (35.82 %)	
- Yes	2948 (62.66 %)	4042 (75.51 %)	891 (58.08 %)	978 (60.00 %)	1765 (64.99 %)	577 (45.87 %)	204 (35.60 %)	11405 (64.18 %)	
Antenatal care			. ,	. ,				. ,	0.000
- < 4 times	1289 (27.40 %)	748 (13.97 %)	364 (23.73 %)	361 (22.15 %)	735 (27.06 %)	481 (38.24 %)	261 (45.55 %)	4239 (23.86 %)	
- ≥ 4 times	3416 (72.60 %)	4605 (86.03 %)	1170 (76.27 %)	1269 (77.85 %)	1981 (72.94 %)	777 (61.76 %)	312 (54.45 %)	13530 (76.15 %)	

Note: The study used the Chi-Square test for dichotomous variables; T-test for continuous variables.

https://doi.org/10.48048/tis.2021.387

Table 3 displays the results of binary logistic regression tests to illustrate disparities between regions in the utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery. As a reference, the chosen category is "nonhealthcare facilities." **Table 3** shows the significant disparities between all regions compared to the Papua region, except the Kalimantan and Sulawesi regions, which have no considerable contrast than the Papua region.

Table 3 Binary logistic regression of the use of healthcare facilities for delivery in Indonesia (n = 17,769).

	Healthcare Facilities					
Predictor	OD	95 % CI				
	OR	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
Region: Sumatera	*** 1.475	1.198	1.815			
Region: Java-Bali	*** 3.010	2.410	3.759			
Region: Nusa Tenggara	*** 1.891	1.508	2.372			
Region: Kalimantan	1.085	0.862	1.365			
Region: Sulawesi	1.096	0.886	1.355			
Region: Maluku Islands	*** 0.304	0.240	0.385			
Region: Papua	-	-	-			
Place of Residence: Urban	*** 2.394	2.189	2.618			
Place of Residence: Rural	-	_	-			
Age	*** 1.033	1.025	1.041			
Education level: No education	-	_	-			
Education level: Primary	** 1.524	1.132	2.052			
Education level: Secondary	*** 2.552	1.893	3.441			
Education level: Higher	*** 3.050	2.214	4.201			
Work status: Work	0.976	0.900	1.058			
Marriage status: Never Married	1.811	0.752	4.360			
Marriage status: Married	0.971	0.758	1.244			
Marriage status: Divorced	-	_	-			
Parity	*** 0.834	0.807	0.863			
Wealth status: Poorest	-	_	-			
Wealth status: Poorer	*** 1.629	1.467	1.809			
Wealth status: Middle	*** 2.116	1.875	2.388			
Wealth status: Richer	*** 2.292	1.996	2.632			
Wealth status: Richest	*** 4.265	3.545	5.130			
Covered by health insurance: No	-	_	-			
Covered by health insurance: Yes	*** 1.443	1.332	1.564			
The autonomy of Family Finances: No	-	_	-			
The autonomy of Family Finances: Yes	1.013	0.917	1.120			
The autonomy of Health: No	-	_	-			
The autonomy of Health: Yes	*** 1.061	0.929	1.212			
Know the danger signs of pregnancy: No	-	-	-			
Know the danger signs of pregnancy: Yes	*** 1.329	1.226	1.441			
Antenatal care: < 4 times	-	-	-			
Antenatal care: ≥ 4 times	*** 1.638	1.498	1.792			

Note: ***p < 0.001.

Table 3 shows that the Sumatra region has the possibility of 1.475 times more utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region (OR 1.475; 95 % CI 1.198 - 1.815). The Java-Bali region has a 3.010 times possibility of utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region (OR 3.010; 95 % CI 2.410 - 3.759). The Nusa Tenggara Region has 1.891 times more opportunities to use healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region (OR 1.891; 95 % CI 1.508 - 2.372). At the same time, the Maluku region has lower utilization than the Papua region. Maluku Region has the possibility of 0.304 times utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery compared to the Papua region (OR 0.304; 95 % CI 0.240 - 0.358).

The results found disparities between regions in the utilization of healthcare facilities by maternity mothers in Indonesia. Indonesia's extreme topographic condition, Indonesia's geography, which consists of more than 16 thousand islands, and development disparity between urban and rural areas [3,16], can explain the finding [17].

The utilization of health care facilities for childbirth in the West tends to be better than in the East. This condition is in line with the development process in Indonesia, which also tends to show disparities between the West and East regions. In the West, we felt the development process better than in the East [18-20]. This condition includes the development of overall public health [15,21]. Other studies in several countries also found the same results [22-24]. This study proves that spatially, geographical conditions in an area contribute to creating disparities between regions, including in childbirth services in health care facilities.

Not only in Indonesia, disparities between regions also occur in Iran. A study on finding a regional difference in Iran on obstetrics and gynecology services and its association with children and infants mortality rates. In the final section, this study recommends facilitating the accessibility of the required services for women, particularly those of reproductive age [25]. Other studies have found that regional disparity in Iran also occurs in cataract surgery services [26] and Iranian children and adolescents [27]. Regional differences in Iran may occur due to the unequal input of health resources between regions [28-30].

Understanding the etiology of disparities between regions in health services for childbirth is considered essential to improve health services in all communities. The disparities analysis between regions aims to provide clear directions, which every policymaker in the local region can utilize, to improve the quality of childbirth services for women in their area [11,31,32]. In Korea, the local government recognizes the disparity in health services in neonatal health facilities, equipment, and outcomes. The study found the number of high-risk neonates has increased in Korea. Local hospitals are reluctant to open Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) because of low medical expense claims. The Korean government then issued a policy to invest in existing deficiencies, the information obtained from previous studies, and disparities between regions. The impact shows satisfactory results; the gap between areas decreases, as evidenced by the odds ratio for mortality between regions which reduces compared to the previous period [33]. There are other effective ways to increase the scope of health service utilization by being proactive. Health workers make home visits for antenatal care to pregnant women while motivating them to deliver healthcare facilities [34]. This method shows positive results in Ethiopia [35], including involving the husband in communication about childbirth [36,37].

The Indonesian government has issued several policies to reduce disparities between regions in access to health care facilities. The government is developing several health services to reach several areas in eastern Indonesia that have limited access. Some of these innovations are a mobile hospital, flying doctor, sea ambulance, as well as a special budget policy for the Papua region [38-40].

In addition to disparities between regions, the study also found 9 other factors to be meaningful utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery. The 9 determinants are residence, age, education level, parity, wealth status, insurance, the autonomy of health, know the danger signs of pregnancy, and antenatal care. **Table 3** informs that women who live in urban areas are 2.394 times more likely to use healthcare facilities for delivery than those who live in rural areas (OR 2.394; 95 % CI 2.189 - 2.618). Women with higher education are 3,050 times more likely to use healthcare facilities for delivery than those who do not attend school (OR 3.050; 95 % CI 2.214 - 4.201).

The higher the education level of women, the more efforts to give birth to health care facilities. We found the condition directly proportional to wealth status, the autonomy of health, and knowledge of the danger signs of pregnancy. Previous studies found the better education for women, the better the wealth status, the more understanding the dangerous signs of pregnancy, the more independent in deciding about their health, and the more willing they to use health care facilities in childbirth [41-43] Several previous studies informed that education is a positive determinant of health sector performance output [44-47].

Otherwise, several previous studies informed poor education as a barrier to achieving better performance in the health sector [7,48-50].

Table 3 shows that the richest women are 4.265 times more likely to use healthcare facilities for delivery than the poorest women (OR 4.265; 95 % CI 3.545 - 5.130). Women covered by health insurance had 1.443 times more chance of utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery than those not covered by insurance (OR 1.443; 95 % CI 1.332 - 1.564). Finally, **Table 3** informs that women who have health autonomy are 1.061 times more likely to use healthcare facilities for delivery than those who do not have autonomy of health (OR 1.061; 95 % CI 0.929 - 1.212). Women who did antenatal care \geq 4 times had a 1.638 times more chance of utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery than those who did antenatal care \leq 4 times (OR 1.638; 95 % CI 1.498 - 1.792).

A study of the effects of health insurance ownership in several countries found an increase in the utilization of higher health services [51,52]. This condition also applies to the health system in Indonesia [3,20]. This condition applies to the use of childbirth services and antenatal care services [6,52].

The government may issue a policy to subsidize labor costs in the previous period (Jampersal) for equitable health service facilities. But when the government gives the policy to provide subsidies for maternity costs, all maternity mothers should have equal access to health care facilities [53]. This study has the limitation of only being able to detect superficial disparities that apply across regions. More indepth studies are needed to explain how this gap can occur.

Conclusions

The study results concluded that significant disparities exist between regions in utilizing healthcare facilities for delivery in Indonesia. The Sumatra, Java-Bali, and Nusa Tenggara regions have better utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery than the Papua region. Kalimantan and Sulawesi regions utilize healthcare facilities for delivery that is not different from the Papua region. At the same time, the Maluku region has lower utilization of healthcare facilities than the Papua region.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank ICF International, who has agreed to allow the author analyzed the 2017 IDHS data in this article.

References

- [1] National Institute of Health Research and Development of The Indonesia Ministry of Health. *The* 2018 Indonesia Basic Health Survey (Riskesdas): National report. Jakarta, Indonesia, 2019.
- [2] H Megatsari, AD Laksono, IA Ridlo, M Yoto and AN Azizah. Community perspective about health services access. *Bull. Health Syst. Res.* 2018; **21**, 247-53.
- [3] AD Laksono, RD Wulandari and O Soedirham. Urban and rural disparities in hospital utilization among Indonesian adults. *Iran. J. Publ. Health* 2019; **48**, 247-55.
- [4] D Kenea and H Jisha. Urban-rural disparity and determinants of delivery care utilization in Oromia region, Ethiopia: Community-based cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Nurs. Pract.* 2017; **23**, e12510.
- [5] J Li, L Shi, H Liang, G Ding and L Xu. Urban-rural disparities in health care utilization among Chinese adults from 1993 to 2011. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2018; **18**, 102.
- [6] AD Laksono, RD Wulandari and R Rukmini. The determinant of healthcare childbirth among young people in Indonesia. *J. Publ. Health Res.* 2021; **10**, 1890.
- [7] AD Laksono and RD Wulandari. The barrier to maternity care in rural Indonesia. *J. Publ. Health* 2020. doi:10.1007/s10389-020-01274-3.
- [8] S Rahmadani, Marhania, MY Abadi, DS Marzuki, Sudirmanb and MA Fajrin. Analysis of independent national health insurance ownership of informal workers: Study of market traders in Gowa district, Indonesia. *Enferm. Clin.* 2020; 30, 295-9.
- [9] RD Wulandari, AD Laksono and R Matahari. The effects of health insurance on maternity care in health services in Indonesia. *Int. J. Innov. Creat. Change* 2020; **14**, 478-97.
- [10] AD Laksono, RD Wulandari and O Soedirham. Regional disparities of health center utilization in rural Indonesia. *Malaysian J. Publ. Health Med.* 2019; **19**, 158-66.
- [11] A Kachikis, AB Moller, T Allen, L Say and D Chou. Equity and intrapartum care by skilled birth attendant globally: Protocol for a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2018; **8**, 019922.
- [12] I Kusrini and AD Laksono. Regional disparities of stunted toddler in Indonesia. *Indian J. Forensic Med. Toxicol.* 2020; **14**, 1685-91.

- [13] Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Republic of Indonesia Minister of Health regulation number 99/2015 concerning health services at National Health Insurance. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, Indonesia, 2015.
- [14] RD Wulandari, S Supriyanto, MB Qomarrudin and AD Laksono. Socioeconomic disparities in hospital utilization among elderly people in Indonesia. *Indian J. Publ. Health Res. Dev.* 2019; 10, 1800-4.
- [15] AD Laksono, R Rukmini and RD Wulandari. Regional disparities in antenatal care utilization in Indonesia. PLoS One 2020: 15, e0224006.
- [16] RD Wulandari and AD Laksono. Urban-rural disparity: The utilization of primary health care center among elderly in East Java, Indonesia. *Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia* 2019; 7, 147-54.
- [17] United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names. *In*: Proceedings of the 11th United Nations Conference on the Standardization of Geographical Names, New York, 2017, p. 1-22.
- [18] MH Yudhistira and Y Sofiyandi. Seaport status, port access, and regional economic development in Indonesia. *Marit. Econ. Logist.* 2018; **20**, 549-68.
- [19] I Indra, S Nazara, D Hartono and S Sumarto. Expenditure inequality and polarization in Indonesia, 2002 2012. *Int. J. Soc. Econ.* 2018; **45**, 1469-86.
- [20] R Mubasyiroh, E Nurhotimah and AD Laksono. Health service accessibility index in Indonesia (indeks aksesibilitas pelayanan kesehatan di Indonesia). In: S Supriyanto, D Chalidyanto and RD Wulandari (Eds.). Accessibility of health services in Indonesia (aksesibilitas pelayanan kesehatan di Indonesia) (in Indonesian). PT Kanisius, Jogjakarta, 2016, p. 21-58.
- [21] T Afifah, MT Nuryetty, Cahyorini, DA Musadad, A Schlotheuber, N Bergen and R Johnston. Subnational regional inequality in access to improved drinking water and sanitation in Indonesia: Results from the 2015 Indonesian National Socioeconomic Survey (SUSENAS). Glob. Health Action 2018; 11, 1496972.
- [22] PD Tyler, DJ Stone, BP Geisler, S McLennan, LA Celi and B Rush. Racial and geographic disparities in interhospital ICU transfers. *Crit. Care Med.* 2018; **46**, e76-e80.
- [23] M Rostami, M Karamouzian, A Khosravi and S Rezaeian. Gender and geographical inequalities in fatal drug overdose in Iran: A province-level study in 2006 and 2011. *Spat. Spatiotemporal Epidemiol.* 2018; **25**, 19-24.
- [24] S Momenyan, A Kavousi, J Poorolajal and N Momenyan. Spatial inequalities and predictors of HIV/AIDS mortality risk in Hamadan, Iran: A retrospective cohort study. *Epidemiol. Health* 2018; **40**, e2018038.
- [25] S Tourani, M Zarezadeh, M Raadabadi and F Pourshariati. Association of regional disparity of obstetrics and gynecologic services with children and infants mortality rates: A cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Reprod. Biomed.* 2017; **15**, 147-54.
- [26] C Alinia, SF Mohammadi, M Jabbarvand and H Hashemi. Geographical inequality in cataract surgery among Iranians between 2006 and 2011. *East. Mediterr. Health J.* 2018; **24**, 664-71.
- [27] M Qorbani, R Kelishadi, S Djalalinia, ME Motlagh, A Kasaeian, G Ardalan, G Shafiee, O Safari, R Heshmat and SB Mahdavi. Regional disparity in hygienic behaviors of Iranian children and adolescents: The CASPIAN-IV study. *Med. J. Islam. Repub. Iran* 2016; 30, 431.
- [28] AA Sari, S Rezaei, EH Rad, N Dehghanian and Y Chavehpour. Regional disparity in physical resources in the health sector in Iran: A comparison of two time periods. *Iran. J. Public Health* 2015; **44**, 848-54.
- [29] ME Asar, R Varehzardi, GR Vasokolaei, M Haghi and M Fazelipor. Regional disparities in the distribution of healthcare workers: Evidence from Iran, Chaharmahal and Bakhtiari province. *Glob. J. Health Sci.* 2015; 7, 374-8.
- [30] H Ravaghi, E Taati, Z Abdi, A Meshkini and S Sarvarizadeh. Factors influencing the geographic distribution of physicians in Iran: A qualitative study. *Rural Rem. Health* 2015; **15**, 2967.
- [31] B Gavurova, V Kovac and J Fedacko. Regional disparities in medical equipment distribution in the Slovak Republic a platform for a health policy regulatory mechanism. *Health Econ. Rev.* 2017; 7, 39.
- [32] TFX O'Donnell, C Powell, SE Deery, JD Darling, K Hughes, KA Giles, GJ Wang and ML Schermerhorn. Regional variation in racial disparities among patients with peripheral artery disease. *J. Vasc. Surg.* 2018; **68**, 519-26.
- [33] IG Song, SH Shin and HS Kim. Improved regional disparities in neonatal care by government-led policies in Korea. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 2018; **33**, e43.

- [34] S Chung, RJ Romanelli, CD Stults and HS Luft. Preventive visit among older adults with Medicare's introduction of Annual Wellness Visit: Closing gaps in underutilization. *Prev. Med.* 2018; **115**, 110-8.
- [35] AM Nigatu, KA Gelaye, DT Degefie and AY Birhanu. Spatial variations of women's home delivery after antenatal care visits at lay Gayint District, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Publ. Health 2019; 19, 677.
- [36] LS Dadi, M Berhane, Y Ahmed, EK Gudina, T Berhanu, KH Kim, M Getnet and M Abera. Maternal and newborn health services utilization in Jimma Zone, Southwest Ethiopia: A community based cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2019; 19, 178.
- [37] Z Baraki, F Wendem, H Gerensea and H Teklay. Husbands involvement in birth preparedness and complication readiness in Axum town, Tigray region, Ethiopia, 2017. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2019; **19**, 180.
- [38] FP Senewe and E Elsi. Descriptive analysis to environment health in less development, borderlands, archipelagoes and remote areas (DTPK-T). *Media Litbangkes* 2014; **24**, 153-60.
- [39] Suharmiati, AD Laksono and WD Astuti. Policy review on health services in primary health center in the border and remote area (Review Kebijakan tentang Pelayanan Kesehatan Puskesmas di Daerah Terpencil Perbatasan). *Bull. Health Syst. Res.* 2013; **16**, 109-16.
- [40] AD Laksono, R Mubasyiroh, T Laksmiarti, E Nurhotimah, Suharmiati and NE Sukoco. Healthcare Accessibility in Indonesia (Aksesibilitas Pelayanan Kesehatan di Indonesia) (*in Indonesian*). PT Kanisius, Jogjakarta, 2016.
- [41] P Boontem, P Suthamma and N Jurakarn. The effectiveness of life skills program on life skills to prevent risky sexual behaviours in primary school students, Thailand. *Walailak J. Sci. Tech.* 2019; **16**, 545-50.
- [42] RD Wulandari and AD Laksono. Are problems during pregnancy a predictor of childbirth in the hospital? Determinants analysis of hospital childbirth in urban poor communities in Indonesia. *Indian J. Forensic Med. Toxicol.* 2020; **14**, 3262-7.
- [43] RD Wulandari and AD Laksono. Determinants of knowledge of pregnancy danger signs in Indonesia. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**, e0232550.
- [44] RD Wulandari and AD Laksono. Education as predictor of the knowledge of pregnancy danger signs in rural Indonesia. *Int. J. Innov. Create. Change* 2020; **13**, 1037-51.
- [45] M Ipa, M Widawati, AD Laksono, I Kusrini and PW Dhewantara. Variation of preventive practices and its association with malaria infection in eastern Indonesia: Findings from community-based survey. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**, e0232909.
- [46] H Megatsari, AD Laksono, M Ibad, YT Herwanto, KP Sarweni, RAP Geno and E Nugraheni. The community psychosocial burden during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Heliyon* 2020; 6, e05136
- [47] AA Seran, MD Antaria, S Haksama, E Setijaningrum, AD Laksono and ADP Sujoso. Disparities of the use of hormonal and non-hormonal contraceptive drugs in urban and rural areas in Indonesia and the world. *Syst. Rev. Pharm.* 2020; **11**, 66-73.
- [48] N Rohmah, A Yusuf, R Hargono, AD Laksono, Masruroh, I Ibrahim and S Walid. Determinants of teenage pregnancy in Indonesia. *Indian J. Forensic Med. Toxicol.* 2020; **14**, 2080-5.
- [49] Q Andayani, T Koesbardiati, AD Sujoso, Masruroh and AD Laksono. the barrier to access health insurance for maternity care: Case study of female workers in Indonesia. *Med. Legal Update* 2021; 21, 926-32.
- [50] Masruroh, A Yusuf, N Rohmah, IB Pakki, ADP Prasojo, Q Andayani and AD Laksono. Neonatal death incidence in healthcare facility in Indonesia: Does antenatal care matter? *Indian J. Forensic Med. Toxicol.* 2021; 15, 1265-71.
- [51] J Mullerschon, C Koschollek, C Santos-Hovener, A Kuehne, Müller-Nordhorn J and V Bremer. Impact of health insurance status among migrants from sub-Saharan Africa on access to health care and HIV testing in Germany: A participatory cross-sectional survey. *BMC Int. Health Hum. Rights* 2019; 19, 10.
- [52] F Efendi, AR Ni'Mah, S Hadisuyatmana, H Kuswanto, L Lindayani and SM Berliana. Determinants of facility-based childbirth in Indonesia. *Sci. World J.* 2019; **2019**, 9694602.
- [53] ZK Nantabah, ZA Auliyati and AD Laksono. Overview of health services access for toddlers in Indonesia. *Bull. Health Syst. Res.* 2019; **22**, 54-61.