



rr retno widyowati &lt;rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id&gt;

---

## Journal of Public Health in Africa: Decision on your manuscript

6 messages

---

**ICPHS 2022** <scicommicphs2022@gmail.com>  
To: rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id

Thu, Dec 22, 2022 at 6:12 AM

Ref: Submission ID ICPHS-13

Dear Dr. Retno Widyowati,

Your manuscript, "Effects of Eleutherine bulbosa (Mill.) Urb. Extract on Mice Glucocorticoid-induced Osteoporosis Models", has now been reviewed, and the reviewer comments are appended below. While the reviewers find your work of interest, you will see that they have raised points that need to be addressed.

We therefore invite you to revise your paper, taking into account the points raised. At the same time, we ask you to make sure your manuscript complies with our format by reviewing our guidelines for preparing your manuscript, as attached to this email. After revision, the manuscript should have a similarity index of less than 20%.

Once you have addressed each comment and completed each step listed below, the revised submission and final file can be uploaded via the link below.

<https://forms.gle/XoiHYyMZGkwwvbor5>

### SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED PAPERS:

In order to process your paper, we require:

A point-by-point response to the comments, including a description of any additional experiments that were carried out and a detailed rebuttal of any criticisms or requested revisions that you disagreed with.

This must be uploaded as a 'Point-by-point response to the reviewers' file. All changes to the manuscript must be highlighted or indicated using tracked changes.

At this stage, please also ensure that you have replaced your initial-submission image files with production quality figures. These should be supplied at 300 dpi resolution for .jpeg and .tiff or as .eps files. Figures should not include Figure number labels in the image.

Please ensure you conform to our authorship policies, also outlined here: <https://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-authors-and-contributors.html>

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service.

Please note that your revised manuscript will be subject to another round of quality checking before it is returned to the Editor for assessment.

Please note that we usually expect revisions to be returned within 14 days. Please request an extension by replying to this email if this does not apply to you.

Kind regards,

Tutik Sri Wahyuni  
Andang Miatmoko

Guest Editors

Journal of Public Health in Africa (The thematic Issue of ICPHS 2022)

## Reviewer Comments:

### Reviewer 1

The article is well written. Suggestions to improve the clarity and quality of the manuscript (details attached):

1. Title: please include the part of the plant used
2. Introduction: Any traditional use related to osteoporosis?
3. There is a study on anti osteoporosis from *E. bulbosa* extract (Bahtiar A., Annisa R. Effects of Dayak onion Bulbs (*Eleutherine bulbosa* (Mill.) Urb) on bone development of the hypoestrogen model rat. *Pharmacogn. J.* 2018;10:299–303. doi: 10.5530/pj.2018.2.52.). What is the difference between your study and this?
4. Methods:
  - a. The method used for drying the sample should be included. Please check on the term used.
  - b. Use the common terms
  - c. what is the dose for glucocorticoid used?
  - d. How do you know that the animal has developed osteoporosis?
5. Results:
  - a. Include the p-value for calcium measurement
  - b. What is the value of bone density categorized as osteoporosis in animal models?
6. Discussion: Has any plant from *Eleutherine* been studied for osteoporosis?
7. Conclusion: Please clearly state the dosage in the last sentence
8. Table: Please add information on which one statistically differ
9. Grammar should be checked, and some sentences should be revised for clarity.

### Reviewer 2

The manuscript describes the finding on the efficacy of *E. bulbosa* bulb extract as anti osteoporosis. The research design has been well described. The results have been adequately shown in the tables. There is sufficient discussion regarding the findings.

Minor notes are as follows.

1. Using mg/200 g BW as the dose unit is uncommon. The author may consider converting and replacing it with mg/kg.
2. It should be clearly shown in the table when the results statistically give a significant difference. The significant marks and further footnotes may be useful.
3. The method attribute for the spectrophotometer by Balai Besar Laboratory should be clearly stated in detail e.g., the wavelength.
4. What kind of glucocorticoids have been used? Please provide the manuscript's exact materials information, code, and manufacturer. Add the dose of it in the animal model part and abstract.
5. Additionally, the author needs to examine the grammatical matters in the whole manuscript.
6. "The treatment dose 3 group then demonstrated a rise in bone density percentage." This sentence should be rechecked.
7. The last paragraph of the discussion is puzzling. The comparison data is irrelevant. Further, which one is being the author's argument? Do the extract and drug increase the calcium level or not? There was an increase based on the conclusion, but the author further discussed that there is no correlation between Ca level and osteoporosis. The discussion structure should be improved at this point.
8. The use of "might" in the result part of the abstract should be reconsidered.

---

## 3 attachments

**ICPHS-13-reviewed - ICPHS 2022.docx**



rr retno widyowati &lt;rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id&gt;

---

**[ICPHS 2022] - Article Proof**

3 messages

---

**ICPHS 2022** <scicommicphs2022@gmail.com>  
To: rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id

Fri, Feb 24, 2023 at 10:47 AM

**Article ID: ICPHS-13****Article Title :** Effects of Eleutherine bulbosa (Mill.) Urb. Bulb Extract on Mice Glucocorticoid-induced Osteoporosis Models

Dear Author,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper is nearing publication. You can download a PDF version of your article as attached in this email. As you are reviewing the proofs, please keep in mind the following:

- This is the only set of proofs you will see prior to publication.
- Only errors introduced during production process or that directly compromise the scientific integrity of the paper may be corrected.
- Any changes that contradict journal style will not be made.
- Any changes to scientific content (including figures) will require editorial review and approval.

Please check the author/editor names very carefully to ensure correct spelling, correct sequence of given and family names and that the given and family names have been correctly designated (NB the family name is highlighted in blue).

Please submit your corrections within 2 working days and make sure you fill out your response to any AUTHOR QUERIES raised during typesetting. Without your response to these queries, we will not be able to continue with the processing of your article for Online Publication.

We also would like to inform you that you now need to make a payment of article processing charge (APC) with the details as follows:

Account holder: Kholis Amalia  
Bank Name: Mandiri  
Account Number: 1410011071388  
SWIFT Code: BMRIIDJA  
Amount outstanding: IDR 7,000,000

After the payment, please send us the proof of payment by email.

Should you encounter difficulties with the proofs, please contact us.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

GUEST EDITORS

Tutik Sri Wahyuni  
Andang Miatmoko

---

 **2507.pdf**  
124K

---

**rr retno widyowati** <rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id>  
To: ICPHS 2022 <scicommicphs2022@gmail.com>

Sun, Feb 26, 2023 at 6:44 AM

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the information.

We have read and cross-checked this article, it turns out that there was a mistake in the placement of the author's name.

The correct sequence is Fina Luthfiana,1 Riza Ambar Sari,1 Irawati Sholikhah,2 Katsuyoshi Matsunami,3 Sukardiman4,5 Retno Widyowati,4,5

Thank you and best regards,

Retno Widyowati, PhD., Apt  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**ICPHS 2022** <scicommicphs2022@gmail.com>  
To: rr retno widyowati <rr-retno-w@ff.unair.ac.id>

Tue, Feb 28, 2023 at 9:29 AM

Thank you for your information.  
[Quoted text hidden]