
BMC Public Health - Receipt of Manuscript 'Urban-rural disparities of...'

1 message

BMC Public Health <bmcpublichealth@biomedcentral.com>
To: ratna-d-w@fkm.unair.ac.id

Thu, Apr 8, 2021 at 5:17 AM

Ref: Submission ID c7fd7c26-dc2f-401d-8aa9-3679d811d0e8

Dear Dr Wulandari,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to BMC Public Health.

Your manuscript is now at our initial Quality Check stage, where we look for adherence to the journal's submission guidelines, including any relevant editorial and publishing policies. If there are any points that need to be addressed prior to progressing we will send you a detailed email. Otherwise, your manuscript will proceed into peer review.

You can check on the status of your submission at any time by using the link below and logging in with the [nature.com](https://www.nature.com) account you created for this submission:

https://researcher.nature.com/your-submissions?utm_source=submissions&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=confirmation-email&journal_id=12889

To help with your article processing charge at acceptance, and to see if you're eligible for any waivers or BMC membership discounts, please click here:

<https://payment.springernature.com/quote/identify/submission/c7fd7c26-dc2f-401d-8aa9-3679d811d0e8>

Kind regards,

Peer Review Advisors
BMC Public Health

Springer Nature offers an open access support service to make it easier for our authors to discover and apply for APC funding. For further information please visit <http://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/funding>

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

BMC Public Health: Decision on your manuscript

1 message

BMC Public Health <bmcpublichealth@biomedcentral.com>
To: ratna-d-w@fkm.unair.ac.id

Tue, May 11, 2021 at 3:13 PM

Ref: Submission ID c7fd7c26-dc2f-401d-8aa9-3679d811d0e8

Dear Dr Wulandari,

Re: "Urban-rural disparities of antenatal care in South East Asia: A case study in the Philippines and Indonesia"

We are pleased to let you know that your manuscript has now passed through the review stage and is ready for revision. Many manuscripts require a round of revisions, so this is a normal but important stage of the editorial process.

Editorial Board Member comments
The manuscript needs major revision.

To ensure the Editor and Reviewers will be able to recommend that your revised manuscript is accepted, please pay careful attention to each of the comments that have been pasted underneath this email. This way we can avoid future rounds of clarifications and revisions, moving swiftly to a decision.

Once you have addressed each comment and completed each step listed below, please log in here with the same email you used to submit your manuscript to upload the revised submission and final file:

<https://submission.nature.com/submit-revision/c7fd7c26-dc2f-401d-8aa9-3679d811d0e8>

CHECKLIST FOR SUBMITTING YOUR REVISION

1. Please upload a point-by-point response to the comments, including a description of any additional experiments that were carried out and a detailed rebuttal of any criticisms or requested revisions that you disagreed with. This must be uploaded as a 'Point-by-point response to reviewers' file.

Please note that we operate a transparent peer review process, where we publish reviewers' reports with the article, together with any responses that you make to reviewers or the handling Editor.

2. Please highlight all the amends on your manuscript or indicate them by using tracked changes.

3. Check the format for revised manuscripts in our submission guidelines, making sure you pay particular attention to the figure resolution requirements:

<https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines>

Finally, if you have been asked to improve the language or presentation of your manuscript and would like the assistance of paid editing services, we can recommend our affiliates, Nature Research Editing Service: <https://authorservices.springernature.com/language-editing/> and American Journal Experts: <https://www.aje.com/go/springernature>

Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our resources page: <https://www.springernature.com/gp/researchers/campaigns/english-language-forauthors>

To support the continuity of the peer review process, we recommend returning your manuscript to us within 21 days. If you think you will need additional time, please let us know and we will aim to respond within 48 hours.

Kind regards,

Rubeena Zakar
Editorial Board Member
BMC Public Health

Reviewer Comments:

Reviewer 1

This manuscript reports a useful contribution to understanding of rural-urban disparities in access to antenatal care in two large SE Asian settings. The main finding is of greater access in rural Philippine respondents and the perhaps more expected urban advantage for Indonesians.

The manuscript would benefit from some further elaboration of the following:

- Given the very large sample from Indonesia and the huge size of the country it is possible to show a sub-analysis as to whether the favourable effect of urban residence is consistent across geographic regions of the country.
- The authors hint that the 6.8% favourable odds for the Philippines may or may not be programmatically significant: they could make clearer their interpretation in the Discussion section as to whether this is an important finding based on either the effect size for Philippines or is only important when viewed alongside the contrasting and much larger effect for Indonesia.
- For this clinical reviewer, the above interpretation would be greatly aided if there could be some presentation of women's recall of the ANC they may have received in the last pregnancy beyond the number of visits. I realise this is beyond the scope of the current analysis but at least some detail would be informative, eg the percent reporting having had blood pressure measured, or other metrics of quality of the ANC that might have been received. I see these are readily available in the DHS reports, eg table 9.3 for Philippines.

Reviewer 2

Manuscript title: Urban-rural disparities of antenatal care in South East Asia: A case study in the Philippines and Indonesia.

Dear Author:

The title of this manuscript is interesting. It has written based on scientific writing pattern. However, this manuscript is need to be improved. There are two important things that should be considered: 1) this manuscript is need to be consulted to the native English, 2) In some parts, the content of the manuscript need to be corrected. Please refer to the review results below for the revision of this manuscript.

1. Line 54-56: please write the reference you used.
2. Line 54-60: The author did not mention clearly the main reason to compare the data from Philippines and Indonesia. As the author's stated that Philippines has better MMR than Indonesia, although both countries are still struggle to achieve the SDG's target.
3. Line 85-91: This paragraph has many ideas which make the reader uneasy to understand what the author wants to inform about.
4. Line 97-103: This study aimed to analyze urban-rural disparities in ANC frequency but not analyze the quality of ANC which deliver in Philippines and Indonesia. More explanation about the current mother behavior and skills related to breastfeeding, puerperal care and pregnancy spacing in both countries (Indonesia and Philippines) are needed to give a broader perspective to the reader on the ANC beneficial effect.
5. Line 110-111: The author did not mention clearly on how stratification and multistage random sampling have been done to this study.
6. Line 124: the author categorized the type of residence to urban and rural. However, it is not mention whether Indonesia and Philippines have similar categorization for what author call urban and rural. The author only mentioned the categorization based on Indonesian side.
7. Line 206-208: Table 2 some data are missing, the author need to explain more according to the results of this table where some data have not been analyzed (such as: rural, age 15-19, no husband/partner, no education, primiparous, poorest)
8. Line 217: The discussion is superficial and lack of critical analysis regarding to the findings. Some statements are redundant. The author mentions several references from the previous studies but not analyze why it is similar or why it is different with this study findings.
9. Line 260-261: Please check the reference no. 42-43, those reference are not discussed about the knowledge or education of risk of pregnancy. Maybe the author should consider other references which relate to the findings.
10. Line 283-288: The consideration given by the author is not clear. What kinds of the policy that should be focused or specified? Since there are no explanation about it on the discussion.

Thank you and good luck!

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

BMC Public Health: Decision on your manuscript

1 message

BMC Public Health <bmcpublichealth@biomedcentral.com>
To: ratna-d-w@fkm.unair.ac.id

Mon, Jun 14, 2021 at 12:15 PM

Ref: Submission ID c7fd7c26-dc2f-401d-8aa9-3679d811d0e8

Dear Dr Wulandari,

Re: "Urban-rural disparities of antenatal care in South East Asia: A case study in the Philippines and Indonesia"

We're delighted to let you know that your manuscript has been accepted for publication in BMC Public Health.

Editorial Board Member comments

However, some English language editing is required.

Prior to publication, our production team will check the format of your manuscript to ensure that it conforms to the standards of the journal. They will be in touch shortly to request any necessary changes, or to confirm that none are needed.

Checking the proofs

Once we've prepared your paper for publication, you will receive a proof. At this stage, please check that the author list and affiliations are correct. For the main text, only errors that have been introduced during the production process, or those that directly compromise the scientific integrity of the paper, may be corrected.

Please make sure that only one author communicates with us and that only one set of corrections is returned. As the corresponding (or nominated) author, you are responsible for the accuracy of all content, including spelling of names and current affiliations.

To ensure prompt publication, your proofs should be returned within two working days.

Publication policies

Acceptance of your manuscript is conditional on all authors agreeing to our publication policies at:
<https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies>

Please note that we operate a transparent peer review process, where we publish reviewers' reports with the article, together with any responses that you may have made to reviewers or the handling Editor.

Article Processing Charge

You will shortly receive an email asking you to confirm your institutional affiliation and arrange payment of your article-processing charge (APC), if applicable. To find out more about APCs, visit our support portal: <https://support.springernature.com/en/support/solutions/6000138386>

Once again, thank you for choosing BMC Public Health, and we look forward to publishing your article.

Kind regards,

Rubeena Zakar
Editorial Board Member
BMC Public Health

Reviewer Comments:

Reviewer 1

This revision has addressed the review points and is suitable for publication. Some additional English language proofing/ sub editing is desirable.

Reviewer 2

Dear Authors,

Regarding to the revision on this manuscript and the response given by the author, I am happy to inform you that this manuscript can be accepted.

Thank you.

P.S. If you wish to co-submit a data note to be published in BMC Research Notes (<https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/about/introducing-data-notes>) you can do so by visiting our submission portal <http://www.editorialmanager.com/resn/>. Data notes support open data (<https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/open-data>) and help authors to comply with funder policies on data sharing. Please note that this additional service is entirely optional.

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.