
Your submission to BMC Public Health - PUBH-D-20-05670R4 - [EMID:2f9c1f964e28d8d2]

1 message

BMC Public Health Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

Wed, Jul 14, 2021 at 4:22 PM

Reply-To: BMC Public Health Editorial Office <victorino.silvestre@biomedcentral.com>

To: Ratna Dwi Wulandari <ratna-d-w@fkm.unair.ac.id>

PUBH-D-20-05670R4

The Determinant of Health Insurance Ownership among Pregnant Women in Indonesia

Agung Dwi Laksono; Ratna Dwi Wulandari; Ratu Matahari

BMC Public Health

Dear Dr Wulandari,

Your manuscript 'The Determinant of Health Insurance Ownership among Pregnant Women in Indonesia' (PUBH-D-20-05670R4) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Public Health, once you have carried out some essential revisions suggested by our reviewers.

Their reports, together with any other comments, are below. Please also take a moment to check our website at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/pubh/> for any additional comments that were saved as attachments. Once you have made the necessary corrections, please submit a revised manuscript online at:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/pubh/>

If you have forgotten your password, please use the 'Send Login Details' link on the login page at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/pubh/>. For security reasons, your password will be reset.

A point-by-point response letter must accompany your revised manuscript. This letter must provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing exactly what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be viewed (e.g. Methods section, line 12, page 5). If you disagree with any comments raised, please provide a detailed rebuttal to help explain and justify your decision.

At this stage, we ask that you submit a clean version of your manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting.

Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style, which can be found at the Submission Guidelines on the journal homepage.

Please note, if your manuscript is accepted you will not be able to make any changes to the authors, or order of authors, of your manuscript once the editor has accepted your manuscript for publication. If you wish to make any changes to authorship before you resubmit your revisions, please reply to this email and ask for a 'Request for change in authorship' form which should be completed by all authors (including those to be removed) and returned to this email address. Please ensure that any changes in authorship fulfil the criteria for authorship as outlined in BioMed Central's editorial policies (<http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#authorship>).

Once you have completed and returned the form, your request will be considered and you will be advised whether the requested changes will be allowed.

By resubmitting your manuscript you confirm that all author details on the revised version are correct, that all authors have agreed to authorship and order of authorship for this manuscript and that all authors have the appropriate permissions and rights to the reported data.

Please be aware that we may investigate, or ask your institute to investigate, any unauthorised attempts to change authorship or discrepancies in authorship between the submitted and revised versions of your manuscript.

A decision will be made once we have received your revised manuscript, which we expect by 28 Jul 2021.

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Best wishes,

Helen Robertson, on behalf of
Natalie Pafitis
BMC Public Health
<https://bmcpublikealth.biomedcentral.com/>

We operate a transparent peer review process for this journal where reviewer reports are published with the article but the reviewers are not named (unless they opt in to include their name).

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer 3: "PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses one or several testable research questions? (Brief or other article types: is there a clear objective?)

Yes - there is a clear objective

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?

Yes - the approach is appropriate

EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with sufficient technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?

Yes - experiments and analyses were performed appropriately

STATISTICS - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?

Yes - appropriate statistical analyses have been used in the study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?

Yes - the author's interpretation is reasonable

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? If not, can further revisions be made to make the work technically sound?

Yes - current version is technically sound

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: The authors have responded adequately to my earlier comments on the manuscript. They also made changes in the manuscript to reflect corrections. However, there still a few minor comments that will also help the quality of the manuscript. Suppose the manuscript is revised again (using the few points noted now which do not require a further review by me) in addition to routine editorial revision during proofing. In that case, the manuscript is recommended for acceptance for publication.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

ABSTRACT:

1. Background: Should read: "Health insurance ownership is one indicator..." and not "Health insurance is one indicator..."

2. Methods: line 34, should be "explain" and not "explains"

MAIN TEXT

BACKGROUND:

1. line 53-54, should be "health status of an area"

2. line 77-81: the statement, "One indicator of the success of the NHI program implementation can be seen from the distribution of wealth status of the recipient. This condition is one of the targets for the government to release the NHI concept for the poor and the entire community. Insurance ownership is an essential factor in the utilization of ANC services by poor women in urban hospitals [9, 17]." Should be rephrased to read: "One indicator of the success of the NHIS program implementation will be in the distribution of the wealth status of the recipients. The program was targeted at the poor and the entire community, as insurance ownership is known to be an essential factor in the utilization of ANC services by poor women in urban hospitals [9,17]"

METHODS:

1. Page 4, Data source, line 105: 'international survey network part of the Demographic....' Should read: 'international survey network and part of the Demographic....'

2. page 5, variables, line 123: '..and knowledge the danger signs..' should be '..and knowledge of the danger signs..'

3. page 10, results, line 196: Please delete the phrase at the beginning of the line: "to have health insurance".

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (<https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish>) and our Writing resources (<http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources>). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

Editorial Policies

Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements this will cause a delay whilst the issue is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all submissions to BMC Public Health must have a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (<https://bmcpublihealth.biomedcentral.com/>).

Where a mandatory section is not relevant to your study design or article type, for example, if your manuscript does not contain any individual persons data, please write 'Not applicable' in these sections.

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must state that data will not be shared, and provide reasons for this in the manuscript text. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page - <http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials>.

Declarations

- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- Consent to publish
- Availability of data and materials
- Competing interests
- Funding
- Authors' Contributions
- Acknowledgements
- Authors' Information

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/pubh/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.