

ISSN: 2322-4568



# World's Veterinary Journal

Scienceline Publication

### Editors-in-Chief

**Fikret Çelebi**, PhD, Professor of Veterinary Physiology; Head of Department of Veterinary, Atatürk University, **TURKEY**; (Avesis; Scopus; Publons; ORCID ID; Email: fncelebi@atauni.edu.tr)

**Daryoush Babazadeh**, DVM, DVSc, PhD of Poultry Diseases, Shiraz University, Shiraz, **IRAN**; Head of Aria Veterinary Hospital, **IRAN**; (Scopus; ORCID ID; Publons; Full Member of WAME; Member of IAVE; Email: daryoush.babazadeh@shirazu.ac.ir)

### Managing Editor

**Alireza Sadeghi**, DVM, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, **IRAN**; Email: alirezavet86@gmail.com

### Associate Editors

**Ashraf Fathy Said Awad**, PhD, Genetic Engineering, Animal Wealth Development Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, **EGYPT**

**Moharram Fouad El-Bassiony**, Associate Professor of Animal Physiology, Animal and Poultry Physiology Department, Desert Research Center, www.drc.gov.eg; PhD, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo Univ., Cairo, **EGYPT**

**Saeid Chekani Azar**, PhD, Animal Physiology; Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, Erzurum, **TURKEY**

**Thandavan Arthanari Kannan**, PhD, Full professor, Centre for Stem Cell Research and Regenerative Medicine Madras Veterinary College Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Chennai-600007, **INDIA**

**Nefise Kandemir**, MD, PhD, Department of Medical Genetics, Erciyes University, Kayseri, **TURKEY**

### Language Editors

**Atena Attaran**; PhD in TEFL, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, **IRAN**

### Statistical Editor

**Daryoush Babazadeh**, PhD, Shiraz University, Shiraz, **IRAN**

---

## Technical Editor

**Pouria Ahmadi Simab**, DVM, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, **IRAN**

---

## Editorial Team

**Abraham Ayele**, DVM, MSc, Assistant Professor Department of Paraclinical Studies College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences University of Gondar, **ETHIOPIA**

**AKM Mostafa Anower**, PhD, Dept. of Microbiology and Public Health, Faculty of Anim Sci. Vet. Med., Patuakhali Science & Technology University, **BANGLADESH**

**Ali Olfati**, PhD. Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Tabriz, **IRAN**

**Alper Başa**, Department of Surgery, Experimental Analysis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Firat University, Elazig, **TURKEY**

**Alvaro Faccini-Martinez**, Ph.D., Tropical Medicine, University of Texas Medical Branch, Texas, **USA**

**Arman Moshaveri**, DVM, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, **IRAN**

**Ashraf M. Abu-Seida**, PhD. Professor of Surgery, Anesthesiology & Radiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, **EGYPT**

**Carlos Daniel Gornatti Churria**, Med. Vet., Dr. Cs. Vet., Lecturer; Cátedra de Patología de Aves y Pilíferos, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Calle 60y 118 s/n, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Pcia. Bs. As., **ARGENTINA**

**Elham Fadl Abd El Hamed El Sergany**, BSc, PhD, Microbiology (Bacteriology- immunology), Anaerobic Bacteria Department in VSVRI, **EGYPT**

**Elizabeth Breininger**, Cátedra de Química Biológica, Instituto de Investigación y Tecnología en Reproducción Animal, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, UBA, Buenos Aires, **ARGENTINA**

**Erick Platini Ferreira de Souto**, PhD, Professor of Animal Science and Health, Unidade Acadêmica de Medicina Veterinária / CPCE / UFPI, **PORTUGAL**

**Erick Platini**, PhD, Pathology, Ornithology, parasitology, epidemiology, histopathology, infectious diseases, immunohistochemistry and molecular diagnosis, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, **BRAZIL**

**Faezeh Modarresi-Ghazani**, Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, **IRAN**

**Gamil Sayed Gamil Zeedan**, PhD, Professor of Microbiology and Virology, National Research Center Ministry of High Education, Cairo, **EGYPT**

**H.M. Suranji Wijekoon**, Senior Lecturer in Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, University of Peradeniya, **SRI LANKA**; PhD of Veterinary Surgery-Orthopedic and Osteoimmunology, University of Hokkaido, **JAPAN**

**Hadi Haghbin Nazarpak**, PhD. Poultry Diseases, Department of clinical sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, **IRAN**

**Hamed Adel Hamed**, PhD, Professor of Microbiology (bacteriology- immunology), Anaerobic bacteria department in VSVRI, **EGYPT**

**Kaaboub El Aid**; DVM, Veterinary reproduction, Medea University, **ALGERIA**

**Kálmán IMRE**, DVM, PhD, Dr. Habil Vice-Dean, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Timișoara, Department of Animal Production and Veterinary Public Health, Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" from Timișoara, Calea Aradului no. 119, 300645 Timișoara, **ROMANIA**

**Kholik Lik**, DVM, Veterinary, Zoonotic diseases, Epidemiology, Antimicrobial Resistance, Wildlife Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, **INDONESIA**

**Konstantinos Koutoulis**, DVM, PhD; Avian Pathology; Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Thessaly, Terma Trikalon, Karditsa, **GREECE**

**Kuastros Mekonnen Belaynehe**, Seoul National University, South Korea/ National Animal Health diagnostics and Investigation Center, **ETHIOPIA**

**Luís Manuel Madeira de Carvalho**, Professor Associado com Agregação/Presidente do Conselho Pedagógico, PARASITOLOGIA E DOENÇAS PARASITÁRIAS / DEPARTAMENTO DE SANIDADE ANIMAL, **PORTUGAL**

- Mahdi Alyari Gavaher**, DVM, DVSc, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, **IRAN**
- Maryam Karimi Dehkordi**, PhD, Veterinary Clinical Pathology, Department of clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, **IRAN**
- Misael Chinchilla-Carmona**, PhD, Parasitology, Department basic research, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas (UCIMED), San José, **COSTA RICA**
- Mohamed Shakal**, Professor & Head of Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, EGYPT; Director of the Endemic and Emerging Poultry Diseases Research Center, Cairo University, Shek Zaed Branch, **EGYPT**
- Mohammed Muayad Taha**, Associate Prof., PhD of Animal physiology, University Pendidikan Sultan Idris, **MALAYSIA**
- Muhammad Abdullahi Mahmud**, DVM, MSc, Senior lecturer, Department of Animal Health & Production Technology, Niger State College of Agriculture, **NIGERIA**
- Muhammad Moin Ansari**, BVSc & AH, MVSc, PhD (IVRI), NET (ICAR), Dip.MLT, CertAW, LMIVA, LMISVS, LMISVM, MHM, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, Division of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology, Jammu & Kashmir, **INDIA**
- Muhammad Saeed**, PhD, Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, College of Animal Sciences and Feed technology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, **CHINA**
- Mulyoto Pangestu**, PhD, Lecturer and Laboratory Manager Education Program in Reproduction and Development (EPRD) Dept. Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Monash Clinical School Monash University, Monash Medical, **Australia**
- Nunna Veera Venkata Hari Krishna**, PhD, Assistant Prof., Dept. of Veterinary Surgery & Radiology NTR College of Veterinary Science, Gannavaram, **INDIA**
- Oluwaremilekun G. Ajakaye**, Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, **NIGERIA**
- Ouchetati Imane**, DVM, Veterinary reproduction, Skikda University, **ALGERIA**
- Raafat M Shaapan**, Department of Zoonosis, National Research Center, Post Box 12622, El-Tahrir Street, Dokki, Giza, **EGYPT**
- Rafael Ruiz de Gopegui**, DVM, PhD, Professor of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Department of Animal Medicine and Surgery. Veterinary Faculty, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, **SPAIN**
- Rafiqul Islam**, Animal Scientist, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dhubri, Assam Agricultural University, Bilasipara, PO: Bilasipara, District: Dhubri, State: Assam, **INDIA**
- RAJ PAL Diwakar**, Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Science and A. H., Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj. Ayodhya (UP)-224229, **INDIA**
- Robert Mikula**, PhD, Department of Animal Nutrition, Poznan University of Life Sciences, **POLAND**
- Rodrigo Morchón García**, PhD, Health, Veterinary Science, Parasitology, Group of Dirofilariosis, Faculty of Pharmacy, Institute of Biomedical Research of Salamanca, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, **SPAIN**
- Roula Shaaban Ibrahim Hassan**, Dr., President of Emirates Veterinary Association, **UAE**
- Saghar Karimi**, DVM, Resident of Veterinary Radiology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Tehran University, **IRAN**
- Shahrzad Farahbodfard**, DVM, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, **IRAN**
- Sharun Khan**, BVSc. & AH, MVSc. (ICAR-IVRI), NET (UGC), NET (CSIR), Division of Surgery, radiology, small animal. Infectious Diseases, Veterinary Internal Medicine, Veterinary Anatomy, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, **INDIA**
- Sheikh Adil Hamid**, Assistant Professor Dr. (Poultry Science), Division of Livestock Production & Management, FVSc & AH, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir (J&K), **INDIA**
- Sheila Rezler Wosiacki**, PhD, Animal Science, Rua Ourinhos, 2934, Umuarama, Paraná, **BRAZIL**
- Sherif Mohamed Shawky Mohamed**, PhD, Associate Professor of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sadat City, **EGYPT**
- Shewangzaw Addisu Mekuria**, BSc, MSc, Instructor, department of Animal Production and Extension, University of Gondar, P. O. Box 196, Gondar, **ETHIOPIA**

**Sina Vahdatpour**, DVM-DVMS, Faculty of Veterinary medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, **IRAN**

**Thakur Krishna Shankar Rao**, PhD, Assistant prof, Vanabandhu College of Veterinary Science & Animal Husbandry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari Gujarat, **INDIA**

**Virendra Kumar**, PhD, Animal Genetics and Breeding, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, **INDIA**

**Wafaa Abd El-Ghany Abd El-Ghany**, PhD, Assistant Prof. of Poultry and Rabbit Diseases; Poultry and Rabbit Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, **EGYPT**

**Wesley Lyevertton Correia Ribeiro**, MSc, DVM, Animal Health, Veterinary Parasitology, and Public Health, Animal welfare; College of Veterinary Medicine, State University of Ceará, Av. Paranjana, 1700, Fortaleza, **BRAZIL**

**Yos Adi Prakoso**, DVM, MSc, Biopathology, Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine University of Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, **INDONESIA**

**Zohreh Yousefi**, PhD of Biology, Atatürk University, Erzurum, **IRAN**

---

## Advisory Board

**Amr Amer**, PhD, Professor of Milk Hygiene, Food Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University Edfina, Rosetta line, El-Behera, **EGYPT**

**Kai Huang**, MD, PhD, Postdoctoral Fellow, Baker Institute for Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, **USA**

**Mahendra Pal**, PhD. Ex-Professor of Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University, **ETHIOPIA**

**Alfonso J. Rodriguez-Morales**, Hon.D.Sc., Tropical Medicine, Senior Researcher, Faculty of Medicine, Fundacion Universitaria Autonoma de las Americas, Pereira, Risaralda, **COLOMBIA**

---

## Join WVJ Team

**World's Veterinary Journal** is always striving to add diversity to our editorial board and operations staff. Applicants who have previous experience relevant to the position they are applying for may be considered for more senior positions within WVJ. All other members must begin as section reviewer before progressing on to more senior roles. Editor and editorial board members do not receive any remuneration. These positions are voluntary.

If you are currently an undergraduate, MSc or PhD student at university and interested in working for WVJ, please fill out the application form below. Once your filled application form is submitted, the board will review your credentials and notify you within a week of an opportunity to membership in editorial board. If you are PhD, assistant, associate editors, distinguished professor, scholars or publisher of a reputed university, please rank the mentioned positions in order of your preference. Please send us a copy of your resume (CV) or your **ORCID ID** or briefly discuss any leadership positions and other experiences you have had that are relevant to applied poultry research, Researches or publications. This includes courses you have taken, editing, publishing, web design, layout design, and event planning. If you would like to represent the WVJ at your university, join our volunteer staff today! WVJ representatives assist students at their university to submit their work to the WVJ. You can also, registered as a member of journal for subsequent contacts by email and or invitation for a honorary reviewing articles.

Download [WVJ Application Form](#)

Contact us at [editor \[at\] wj.science-line.com](mailto:editor@wj.science-line.com)



# The Effect of Commercial Probiotics on the Phytoplankton Diversity Associated with Biofloc

Fitri Anisha Kurniawati<sup>1</sup>, Endang Dewi Masithah<sup>1\*</sup>, and Boedi Setya Rahardja<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya-60115, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Fish Health Management and Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya-60115, Indonesia

\*Corresponding author's Email: [endang-d-m@fpk.unair.ac.id](mailto:endang-d-m@fpk.unair.ac.id); ORCID: 0000-0003-4082-8147

## ABSTRACT

The intensive aquaculture industry faces two main problems, first, the decrease in the water quality caused by high concentrations of metabolites, and second, the use of low natural food in aquaculture activities with high water exchange intensity. For this reason, efforts are needed to develop biofloc to maximize the contribution of natural food which can increase aquaculture production. The present study aimed to analyze the effect of commercial probiotics on the differences in the phytoplankton diversity associated with biofloc. The fish were divided into three treatment groups. Treatment A involved biofloc formation without using probiotics, treatment B contained biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (*Bacillus* spp., lactic acid, *Lactobacillus* spp., *Saccharomyces* spp. 50/50 feed), and treatment C entailed biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (containing a native microbial consortium, 50/50 feed). The treatment groups were repeated six times so that there were 18 experimental units. The research was conducted from March to April 2015. The results indicated that the administration of probiotics with different types led to insignificant differences among the treatment groups. The highest diversity index value occurred in treatment C on day 34 of the experiment that was equal to 0.49. On the other hand, the highest value of the dominance index ( $C = 0.99$ ) occurred in treatments A and B on day 21. It can be concluded that the addition of probiotics with different biofloc could result in insignificant phytoplankton diversity. Furthermore, the Nitrogen/Phosphorus (N/P) ratio as a limiting factor for phytoplankton growth indicated different results in each treatment.

**Keywords:** Biofloc, Phytoplankton, Probiotic differences

## INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, there has been a rapid development in aquaculture activities indicated by an increase in fishery production, and a decline in capture fisheries production. Therefore, aquaculture is suggested as an alternative that can be relied upon and developed (Mukti et al., 2003). Today, many individuals rely on fisheries and aquaculture for food needs and as a source of income.

In such a situation, aquaculture meets several challenges, especially those related to natural resources, such as water and land. The application of intensive cultivation patterns has become a possible choice in increasing aquaculture production. Although several efficient measures have been taken to develop aquaculture, especially intensive systems, there is still a need to examine such constraints. Amongst, these are aquaculture waste discharges, the use of fish meal as raw material for artificial feed, and the spread of diseases. Intensive aquaculture systems are carried out efficiently to produce solid biomass in fish or shrimp. However, the intensive aquaculture industry faces two main problems, the first is the decline in water quality caused by high concentrations of metabolites, and second, the utilization of low natural feed in aquaculture activities with high water exchange intensity (Wyk and Avnimelech, 2007).

Promoting a zero-exchange-water system can be an efficient step to reduce the negative impact, so as to reduce the risk of pollution by aquaculture wastes (Crab et al., 2009). As reported, the process of decomposition of organic matter by aerobic bacteria will cause a decrease in oxygen content in water, and the accumulation of organic matter in the pond will increase the population of bacteria in pond water (Avnimelech et al., 2008). As a result, there is a need to change toxic nitrogen compounds (ammonia) to nitrate by applying biofloc technology (Avnimelech, 1999). Biofloc technology maximizes the contribution of natural food and increases aquaculture production (Ogello et al., 2014). Biofloc production depends on the supply of organic substrates, either external sources (feed supply, algal activity) or with residual excretions by fish. The process of biofloc formation is also influenced by environmental conditions, such as temperature and salinity (Wyk and Avnimelech, 2007). With this in mind, the current study investigated the effect of two commercial probiotics on the diversity of phytoplankton associated with biofloc. The study was conducted by forming

ORIGINAL ARTICLE  
pII: S232245682100092-11  
Received: 28 September 2021  
Accepted: 19 November 2021

biofloc using two different commercial probiotics, namely commercial probiotics B and commercial probiotics C, then floc sampling was taken to observe the diversity of phytoplankton.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Ethical approval

All experimental protocols and procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care of Indonesia. The current experimental method was conducted using an observation under artificial conditions, in which conditions were created and regulated by researchers. To obtain accurate results, the study was conducted at the Educational Laboratory of the Faculty of Fisheries and Maritime Affairs, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya in Indonesia. The examination of the Carbon/Nitrogen (C/N) and Nitrogen/Phosphorus (N/P) ratio of the water was conducted at the Environmental Quality Laboratory of the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Planning, Sepuluh November Institute of Technology Surabaya in Indonesia. The research was conducted from March to April 2015.

The applied instruments in the current study included aquarium measuring 40×20×35 cm<sup>3</sup>, cone glass tube (Imhoff cone), aerator, aeration stone, aeration hose, analytical balance, pipette, pH meter, thermometer, Dissolved oxygen kit, ammonia kit, hemocytometer, cover glass, and microscope. In addition, the utilized materials were two kinds of probiotics (probiotics B and C) produced by containing *Bacillus* spp., *lactic acid*, *Lactobacillus* spp., *Saccharomyces* spp., molasses, fish pellets, bran, chlorine, and dolomite.

The experimental design used by researchers was a completely randomized design. In this design, there was only one source of diversity, namely treatment in addition to random effects, so the results of differences among treatments were only due to the effects of treatment and random effects. For this reason, this experiment consisted of three treatments, namely treatments A, B, and C. Treatment A contained biofloc formation without using probiotics, the treatment B involved biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (*Bacillus* spp., *lactic acid*, *Lactobacillus* spp., *Saccharomyces* spp. 50/50 feed), and treatment C entailed biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (containing a native microbial consortia, 50/50 feed), which were repeated six times leading to 18 experimental units, namely: A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, and C6. Formulation of probiotics/feed was according to Arias-Moscoso et al. (2018).

The pH value describes the acidity and alkalinity intensity of water samples indicated by the presence of hydrogen ions. Most aquatic biotas are sensitive to changes in pH, and prefer a pH value of around 7-8.5. The pH value also greatly influences the biochemical processes of the water samples, such as nitrification. At pH < 4, most aquatic plants die (Effendi, 2003). On the other hand, it is known that water with a pH of 6-9 is highly fertile, and is considered productive since the pH range of water can encourage the process of dismantling organic matter into minerals that can be assimilated by phytoplankton (Odum, 1953). For this reason, pH measurements in this study were carried out at 06.00 in the morning and 17.00 in the afternoon. The weekly dynamics of pH were measured along with ammonia at the beginning of the study.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Diversity index and dominance index of phytoplankton types

The stability of the community of water samples can be described from the value of diversity index (H') and dominance index (C). Data on the diversity index and dominance index of phytoplankton were observed for 34 days of the research (Table 1).

As can be seen, the diversity index values (H') on the seventh day of the observation ranged 0.16-0.33, 0.04-0.08 on day 14, 0.03-0.05 on day 21, 0.04-0.29 on day 28, and 0.13-0.49 on day 34. The index of dominance ranged 0.90-0.93, 0.96-0.98, 0.98-0.99, 0.89-0.98, and 0.75-0.95 on days 7, 14, 21, 28, and 34 of the observation, respectively.

Regarding the dynamics of the diversity index, treatment A had the highest diversity index value on day 7, and it decreased on days 14 and 21. Following that, it increased again on days 28 and 34. Treatment B showed a diversity index value of 0.16 on day 7, an increase on day 14, and a decrease on day 21. However, it continued to increase during days 28-34 of the study. On the other hand, treatment C indicated a diversity index value of 0.33 on day 7, a decrease on day 14, an increase on day 21, and a decrease again on day 28. The highest diversity index value of 0.49 occurred on day 34. The highest diversity index fluctuation occurred in treatment C.

The results of dynamics of dominance in each treatment indicated that treatment A led to a dominance index value of 0.91 on day 7, and it continued to increase during 14-21 days of the study. However, a decrease was observed from day 28 to day 34. Treatment B also showed a dominance index value of 0.93 on day 7, and it continued to increase during 14-21 days of the experiment, but there was a significant decrease from day 28 to 34. In addition, treatment C contributed to the dominance index value of 0.90 on day 7, and an increase on day 14 reaching 0.98. This value was stable until day 28, and it decreased on day 34. The highest dominance index fluctuation occurred in treatments A and B.

**Table 1.** Diversity index and phytoplankton dominance index of treatment groups

Treatment	Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28		Day 34	
	H'	C	H'	C	H'	C	H'	C	H'	C
A <sup>1</sup>	0.22	0.91	0.08	0.97	0.04	0.99	0.06	0.98	0.13	0.95
B <sup>2</sup>	0.16	0.93	0.08	0.96	0.03	0.99	0.29	0.89	0.42	0.79
C <sup>3</sup>	0.33	0.90	0.04	0.98	0.05	0.98	0.04	0.98	0.49	0.75

H': diversity index, C: Phytoplankton dominance index, A<sup>1</sup>: Biofloc formation without using probiotics, B<sup>2</sup>: Biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (*Bacillus* spp., lactic acid, *Lactobacillus* spp., *Saccharomyces* spp. 50/50 feed), C<sup>3</sup>: Biofloc formation using commercial probiotics (containing a native microbial consortium, 50/50 feed)

### Types of phytoplankton that grow

Weekly observations of the phytoplankton types associated with biofloc in all treatments obtained 20 species, namely *Pediastrum* spp., *Microcystis* spp., *Anabaena* spp., *Asterionella* spp., *Diatom Vulgare*, *Euglena* spp., *Chaetoceros* spp., *Chroococcus* spp., *Dactylococcopsis* spp., *Gyrosigma* spp., *Cyclotella* spp., *Eudorina* spp., *Bacillariophyta* spp., *Surirella* spp., *Nitzschia* spp., *Melosira* spp., *Gomphonema* spp., *Dictyosphaerium* spp., and *Osillillophia* spp. The type of phytoplankton found during the observation was dominated by *Microcystis* spp.

### Water quality

#### Temperature

Surface water temperature is under the influence of meteorological conditions, such as rainfall, evaporation, air humidity, air temperature, wind speed, and intensity of solar radiation (Nontji, 2007). In the current study, temperature measurements were carried out every day for a month. As observed in these measurements, the temperature was within the range of 27 to 28.5°C.

#### Ammonia

Ammonia measurements were carried out at the beginning and the end of the study at the Laboratory of Environmental Quality, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Planning, Sepuluh November Institute of Technology (Indonesian). The ammonia value at the beginning of the study ranged from 531.51 to 921.15 mg/l. Ammonia value at the end of the study was within the range of 1345.08 to 1926.13 mg / l.

#### Dissolved oxygen

Dissolved oxygen (DO) measurements were carried out once a week together with temperature and ammonia measurements, so there were four DO measurements. The average DO values of treatment A were 5.3, 4.7, 4, and 4 mg/l in the first, second, third, and fourth weeks, respectively. Regarding treatment B, these values were reported as 4.3, 4.7, 4.3, 4.3 mg/l in the first, second, third, and fourth weeks, respectively. Considering treatment C, the estimated values were 4.7, 5, 4.3, 4.3 mg/l for the first, second, third, and fourth weeks, respectively.

#### PH value

The pH value in the first week was quite high and ranged 5.8-6.4. However, in the second week, the pH value began to fall to reach the lowest value of 3.8. In the third week, the pH value tended to increase. In the fourth week, the pH values in treatments A, B, and C tended to be the same ranging from 4 to 6. The results of average water quality measurements during the study can be seen in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Range of water quality values during the study

Parameters	Range
Temperature	27-28.5°C
Ammonia	531.51-1.926.13 mg/l
Dissolved oxygen	4-6 mg/l
pH	3.8-7.6

### Value of nitrogen/phosphorus ratio

The results of the measurement of the Nitrogen/Phosphorus (N/P) ratio at the beginning of the study showed that treatment B had the highest yield of 4.4, followed by treatment A (3) and treatment C (2.1). The highest N content at the start of the study was obtained from treatment B (921 ppm), followed by treatment A (608.1 ppm), and finally by treatment C (531.5 ppm). The highest P content was obtained at treatment C (255.5 ppm), followed by treatment B (210.0 ppm), and finally treatment A (201.3 ppm).

The results of the N/P measurement ratio at the end of the study showed that treatment A gave the highest N/P ratio (5.68), followed by treatment B (4.78), and finally treatment C (4.36). The highest N content was obtained from treatment A (1692.6 ppm), followed by treatment B (1668.6 ppm), and the lowest was treatment C (1631.04 ppm). On



the other hand, the highest P content was seen in treatment B (451.8 ppm), followed by treatment C (372.6 ppm), and the lowest belonged to treatment A (324.6 ppm). The comparison of the beginning and end of the study indicated an increase in the N/P ratio values for all treatments. Furthermore, the N content and P content increased in all treatments.

Considering the obtained results, it can be seen that phytoplankton are planktonic plants free to float off and drift in the sea, and able to photosynthesize. Phytoplankton has chlorophyll to photosynthesize and produce organic compounds, such as carbohydrates and oxygen. On the other hand, the results of observations indicated that the value of the plankton diversity index ranged from 0.03 to 0.49. Based on this range, the diversity of phytoplankton in general for all observations every week belonged to the classification with low diversity ( $H' < 2.036$ , Shannon-Wiener diversity index standard). This parameter characterized the richness of the species and balance in a community. Recently, there has been a decline which has made the diversity of phytoplankton low. Ecosystems with low diversity show unstable conditions, and are vulnerable to the influence of external pressures, compared to ecosystems that have a high diversity (Nybakken and Eidman, 1992).

Based on the observations, the dominance index value in all treatments ranged from 0.75 to 0.99, meaning that water samples in the treatment had a high dominance value. Phytoplankton type was dominated by the genus *Microcystis* spp., which was found in all observed samples. The reason for this could be the warm tropical climate of Indonesia during the year. This can be a place for the growth of species of Phylum Cyanobacteria, such as *Microcystis* spp. resulting in the dominance of species *Microcystis* spp. (Prihantini et al., 2008).

It is commonly accepted that Cyanobacteria are often abundant in water samples or commonly called the Harmful Algal Blooms phenomenon. The main factor causing HAB in freshwater is eutrophication. Increased levels of nutrients in the waters coupled with the appropriate temperature and light, very slow water flow, and the presence of other supporting factors cause the blooming of Cyanobacteria in the waters (Kumar and Singh, 1979).

Most members of Cyanobacteria can produce toxins (cyanotoxins), consisting of biotoxins or toxins that cause death, acute diseases, chronic, or chronic poisoning in other organisms. Examples of cyanobacteria that have toxins are *Anabaena flos-aquae*, *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*, and *Oscillatoria* spp. produce neurotoxins; *Microcystis*, *Anabaena*, *Nostoc*, and *Oscillatoria* produce hepatotoxins; and *Umezakia natans*, *Aphanizomenon ovalisporum*, and *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* which produce cytotoxins (Prihantini et al., 2008).

Furthermore, it is known that high or low N/P can lead to community growth or the dominance of certain types of phytoplankton (Haarcorryati, 2008). It is further noted that phytoplankton of the Cyanophyceae and Bacillariophyceae species can grow well at a low N/P ratio ( $< 10$ ), while the Chlorophyceae type on the N/P ratio is slightly higher. This is consistent with the results of the study that the N/P ratio during the study in all treatments ranged from 4.4 to 5.6 (Dolman, 2012).

The growth phase of phytoplankton is influenced by the environmental conditions of its culture media, such as light, temperature, pH, free CO<sub>2</sub> content, as well as the availability of macro and micronutrients. The composition and abundance of phytoplankton will change at various levels in response to changes in physical, chemical, and biological environmental conditions (Reynolds, 1984). Supporting phytoplankton growth factors are very complex and interact with water physical-chemical factors, such as light intensity, dissolved oxygen, temperature stratification, and availability of nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients, while the biological aspect is the activity of predation by animals, natural mortality, and decomposition (Goldman and Horne, 1983).

The results of measurements of water temperature during the study are known to range 27-28.50°C (Table 2). It is known that the optimal temperature for phytoplankton growth is generally within the range of 20-24°C. However, almost all types of phytoplankton are tolerant of temperatures ranging 16-36°C. On the other hand, it is also known that the optimum temperature range for phytoplankton growth is 20-30°C (Effendie, 1979). Meanwhile, if the temperature is below 16°C, then it can inhibit the speed of growth, while temperatures over 36°C can cause death in certain types of phytoplankton. Based on these criteria, it can be seen that the water temperature in the present study supported the life of phytoplankton. Also the results of temperature measurements were indicative of differences in temperature at each test and treatment. This can be caused by randomization so that the temperature at each treatment was different.

The results of pH measurements during the study ranged from 3.8 to 7.6 (Table 1). The low pH value (acid) could be due to the influence of several factors, including the decomposition of organic matter which may cause the water samples to become acidic. On the other hand, the pH range that supports phytoplankton growth is 7.5-8.5. The pH variations can affect metabolism and phytoplankton growth through several things, including changing the balance of organic carbon, changing the availability of nutrients, and can affect cell physiology. The suitable pH range of the water for phytoplankton growth is 6.5-8.5. Based on these criteria, the pH conditions during the study felt less supportive of phytoplankton growth. On the other hand, to maintain pH stability, lime or dolomite can be added (Gunarto and Suwoyo, 2011). However, after the addition of dolomite lime, an increase in the pH did not occur and was still acidic.

In the current study, the pH values in the first and second weeks of treatment A showed the highest average of 6.1 and 4.6, respectively. This value was the highest, compared with treatments B and C, since no addition of probiotic bacteria was added to treatment A, so the decomposition process was not too high. Regarding treatments B and C, the pH

was inclined to be low and more acidic, since probiotic bacteria were added, so that in treatments B and C, a decomposition process occurred by the bacteria which then affected the pH value.

Further trace, in the biofloc incubation process, an increase was made by adding two different commercial probiotics, namely commercial probiotics B and commercial probiotics C. The role of microorganisms contained in commercial probiotic B was expected to accelerate the oxidation process of organic elements so that the content of organic elements and their quality can be improved (Irianto and Austin, 2002). Commercial Probiotics B was one type of biofertilizer. In the case of application, it can become active, and remodel organic material (Suwena, 2002). On the other hand, commercial probiotic C is known to contain active ingredients like microorganisms *Lactobacillus* spp., *Nitrosomonas* spp., *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Bacillus* spp.

Furthermore, the N/P ratio during the present study was below 10. According to Aiyushirota (2009), the distribution of plankton, such as Blue-Green Algae and dinoflagellates is determined by the proportion of the value of nitrogen to phosphorus.

In the eutrophic environment, the ratio of total ppm N is divided by total ppm P below 10; 10 N/P ratio <10, and it was dominated by or red tide growth. Accordingly, it did not support the balance of water because of population domination due to Blue-Green Algae or red Tide.

Overall, the N/P ratio produced during the study was < 10: 1, making it suitable for the growth of cyanobacteria. Furthermore, it was revealed that the N/P ratio was highly influential on the dominance of the phytoplankton community, where it could be detrimental. This can be based on the regression value tested on the N/P ratio with the dominance frequency of Dinophyceae and Cyanophyceae ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $R: 0.848$ ). In the current study, using commercial probiotics B and commercial probiotics C with a combination of ZA and dolomite fertilizers produced low N/P and lots of Cyanobacteria.

Further explored, it is known that the dominance of phytoplankton found in this study was *Microcystis* spp. *Microcystis* is algae/phytoplankton that is dominant in freshwater systems whether or not eutrophication occurs. *Microcystis* consumes the nitrogen needed for its growth in the form of nitrates (Jacoby et al., 2000). Nitrate is a form of a stable nitrogenous compound which is one of the important elements for the synthesis of plant proteins. Therefore, *Microcystis* is a bioindicator for water samples with high nitrate levels (Retnaningdyah et al., 2002).

It is also known that the growth of *Microcystis* is influenced by light intensity and irradiation time. Cyanobacteria are more commonly found in areas with high light intensity, especially in the middle of dry seasons. In addition, light plays a significant role in heating water meaning that the longer and greater the intensity of the light, the higher the water temperature. Temperature changes affect the suitability of waters as habitats because each aquatic organism has a range of minimum and maximum temperatures for its life (Closs et al., 2006).

## CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that, first, the addition of different probiotics based on *Bacillus* spp., *lactic acid*, *Lactobacillus* spp., and *Saccharomyces* spp. in floc formation resulted in phytoplankton diversity was not significantly different regarding phytoplankton diversity. Moreover, the Nitrogen/Phosphorus (N/P) ratio which is a limiting factor for phytoplankton growth showed different results in each treatment, including treatments with or without probiotics.

## DECLARATIONS

### Competing interests

Authors declare no competing interests.

### Authors' contribution

The authors have participated in this study equally.

### Ethical consideration

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, consent to publish, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancy) have been checked by the authors.

## REFERENCES

- Arias-Moscoco JL, Espinoza-Barrón LG, Miranda-Baeza A, Rivas-Vega ME, and Nieves-Soto M (2018). Effect of commercial probiotics addition in a biofloc shrimp farm during the nursery phase in zero water exchange. *Aquaculture Reports*, 11: 47-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2018.06.001>
- Avnimelech Y (1999). Carbon/nitrogen ratio as a control element in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*, 176(3): 227-235. DOI: [https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00085-X](https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00085-X)

