



THE MALAYSIAN JOURNAL OF Medical Sciences

.: Journal Menu

Home

Editorial Board

Editorial Policies

Editorial Process

Guidelines for Author

Journal Policies

Contact Us

Published by
Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia

:: Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:



Prof. Dato' Dr. Jafri Malin Abdullah
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Editorial Board Members:

Prof. Datuk Dr. A Rahman A Jamal
UKM Medical Centre, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Armando Acosta
Instituto Finlay, Cuba



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Asrenee Ab. Razak
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Biswa Mohan Biswal
KPJ Ipoh Specialist Hospital, Malaysia



[View content online](#)

[\[Current Issue\]](#)

[\[Past Issues\]](#)



.: Related Links

Universiti Sains
Malaysia

Penerbit Universiti
Sains Malaysia

ScholarOne™
Manuscripts

School of Medical
Sciences

Assoc. Prof. Dan Yock Young
National University Health System, Singapore



Dr. Edward Wang
University of the Philippines, Philippines



Prof. Dr. Hamidon Basri
Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Julie Yun Chen
The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong



Dr. Maria Elena Sarmiento
Instituto Finlay, Cuba



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Michael M. Lawenko
De La Salle University Medical Center,
Philippines



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nasriah Zakaria
Universiti Malaya, Malaysia



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norhayati Mohd. Noor
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Rahmah Noordin
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Yasmin Abdul Malik
Universiti Malaya, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Zabidi Azhar Mohd Hussin
International Medical University Malaysia,
Malaysia



Advisory Board

Dato' Dr. Hj. Abdul Razak Abdul Muttalif
Kuala Lumpur Hospital, Malaysia



Members:

Prof. Dr. Aw Tar Choon
Singapore



Tan Sri Dr. Jemilah Mahmood
Sunway Centre for Planetary Health, Malaysia



Prof. Dato' Dr. Mafauzy Mohamed
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Emeritus Prof. Dato' Mustaffa Embong
National Diabetes Institute, Malaysia



Tan Sri Dato' Seri Dr. Noor Hisham Abdullah
Ministry of Health, Malaysia



Prof. Pratap Chand
Saint Louis University, USA



Prof. Dr. Rokiah Che Ismail
UCSI University, Malaysia



Prof. Dr. Rusli Nordin
Monash University Malaysia, Malaysia



Prof. Wieland Meyer
Curtin University, Australia



Sub-editors:




Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azian Harun
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
(Laboratory base editor)



Dr. Evelyn Tai Li Min
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
(Editorial IT Policies Processes, Webpage & Peer
Reviewer Quality editor)



Prof. Dr. Irfan Mohamad
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
(Surgical base editor)



Prof. Dr. Lee Yeong Yeh
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
(Medical base editor)



Prof. Dr. Wan Faisham Nu'man Wan Ismail
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
(Video Manuscript editor)



**Statistical
Editors:**



Dr. Anis Kausar Ghazali
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia





Ms. Fairuz Fadzilah Rahim
RCSI & UCD Malaysia Campus, Malaysia



Mr. Hazwan Mat Din
Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kamarul Imran Musa
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Kueh Yee Cheng
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Najib Majdi Yaacob
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan



Prof. Dr. Norsa'adah Bachok
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Nur Syahmina Rasudin
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia





Ms. Nurzulaikha Mahd Ab.lah
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Sarimah Abdullah
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Siti Azrin Ab Hamid
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Suhaily Mohd Hairon
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia



Dr. Wan Arfah Nadiah Wan Abdul Jamil
Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia



Dr. Wan Nor Arifin Wan Mansor
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia





SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)[Journal Rankings](#)[Country Rankings](#)[Viz Tools](#)[Help](#)[About Us](#)

Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences

COUNTRY

[Malaysia](#)

Universities and research institutions in Malaysia



Media Ranking in Malaysia

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

[Medicine](#)[Medicine \(miscellaneous\)](#)

PUBLISHER

[Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Putra Malaysia](#)

H-INDEX

10

PUBLICATION TYPE

[Journals](#)

ISSN

16758544

COVERAGE

2007-2021

INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)[How to publish in this journal](#)mjmhs@upm.edu.my

SCOPE

The Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences (MJMHS) is published by the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. The main aim of the MJMHS is to be a premier journal on all aspects of medicine and health sciences in Malaysia and internationally. The focus of the MJMHS will be on results of original scientific research and development, emerging issues and policy analyses pertaining to medical, biomedical and clinical sciences.

Join the conversation about this journal

Quartiles

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

options

1 Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences MYS 45% similarity	2 BioMed Research International USA 41% similarity	3 Iranian Journal of Public Health IRN 40% similarity	4 Medicina (Lithuania) CHE 39% similarity	5 Indian Journal of Public Health Research and IND 39% similarity
--	--	---	---	---

SJR




Total Documents



Citations per document





Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health...

Q4 Medicine (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2021 0.14

powered by scimagojr.com


← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```



Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool.**



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of Ozone-induced Diode Laser of Photodynamic Inactivation on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Suryani Dyah Astuti^{1,2}, Wahyu Intan Pratiwi¹, Nur Anisah Tanassatha², Kartika Anggraini Alamsyah¹, Yunus Susilo³, Miratul Khasanah⁴

¹ Master Program of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

² Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

³ Biophysics and Medical Physics Research Group, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

⁴ Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Dr Soetomo, Surabaya 60118, Indonesia

⁵ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia,

ABSTRACT

Introduction: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria can form biofilms in body tissues and cause many infectious diseases. This study aims to determine the effect of exposure to ozone and laser diode 403 nm at various ozone concentrations and energy density on the inactivation effectiveness of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 biofilm. **Methods:** Samples were divided into 4 groups, namely C0 control group without treatment, T1 ozone treatment group, T2 diode laser treatment group and T3 ozone treatment group with diode laser. Treatment used a 403 nm diode laser with a biofilm age of 48 hours. **Results:** The results of statistical tests showed that there was a significant photoinactivation effect on the percentage reduction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacterial biofilm. Optimal treatment in the 0.011 mg / L ozone exposure group with a flow time of 80 s resulted in a 42.77% reduction in biofilm. Optimal laser treatment at an energy density of 4.99 J / cm² for 300s long radiation time with 57.80% reduction percent. The combination of a 0.008 mg / L ozone treatment and a diode laser with an energy density of 4.99 J / cm² resulted in a 78.74% reduction in percentage, which was significantly different from the other treatment groups. So the combination of ozone treatment with a diode laser is effective in reducing the biofilm *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria.

Keywords: Ozone, Diode laser, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Biofilms, Energy density

Corresponding Author:

Suryani Dyah Astuti, M.Si

Email: suryanidyah@fst.unair.ac.id

Tel: +6282143211353

INTRODUCTION

Infectious disease is a disease of concern, especially in developing countries. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria can form biofilms in body tissues and cause many nosocomial infectious diseases in humans (1). The biofilm protects it against the penetration of antibiotics, antibodies, complement and phagocyte cells so that resistance to aeruginous antibiotics such as ampicillin with a sensitivity of 4%, septran by 9.2%, augmentin by 9.6% and lomefloxacin 25.8% (2). A biofilm is a collection of microbial cells attached irreversibly to a surface and encased in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) that it produces itself and

shows phenotypic changes such as changes in growth rates and changes in gene transcription from planktonic cells or free cells (3). So it is necessary to do an alternative antimicrobial method that does not cause resistance, namely photodynamic inactivation (PDI) (4). PDI is part of photodynamic therapy, which is a therapeutic modality that utilizes light and photosensitizing agents to obtain inactivation effects (PDI) (5) and cell modulation (6).

The combination of light and certain photosensitizers in PDI will cause photoinactivation of bacteria, thereby inhibiting cell metabolic activity due to damage to cytoplasmic membranes, due to peroxidation by reactive oxygen. Some bacteria naturally contain porphyrin compounds which act as photosensitizer molecules that are sensitive to light (7). Photoinactivation is the process of absorption of light by photosensitizer (porphyrin) in bacteria, which is then activated,

causing a reaction on the substrate. The success of photosensitization depends on the type and quantity of photosensitizer (8). The problem with photodynamic inactivation in biofilms is the low oxygen levels in the lower layer of the biofilm (9). So it is necessary to do another alternative to inactivate the bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by adding ozone. According to Borelli and Bocci (2010) ozone and unsaturated fatty acids, antioxidants, thiol compounds, glutathione and albumin can be reacted. Thiol and glutathione compounds are one of the compounds contained in biofilms (10).

The addition of ozone is carried out to increase damage to biofilms because ozone has the ability to kill biofilms, kill viruses and fungi, improve tissue circulation, accelerate tissue epithelialization, and stimulate cell regeneration (11) which can diffuse the disytoplasmic biofilms so that biofilms experience erosion. Ozone is also able to provide a continuous reaction to produce reactive oxygen by producing H₂O₂ (12). Effective ozone concentration is at a concentration of 0.010 mg / L with a flow time of 60s and a percentage reduction of biofilm of 37.78. The combination of ozone and photodynamics provides a greater reduction potential for *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm, namely at an ozone concentration of 0.010 mg / L, laser energy dose 28, 45 J / cm² and 71.96% effectiveness compared to other treatment groups with the same energy dose (13).

This study aims to determine the effectiveness of photodynamic inactivation combined with ozone at various laser exposure times for the reduction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biofilm Development Assay

The pure culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 approximated 108 CFU/mL or 1.0 McFarland Standard was used for this study. 100µL bacteria culture was placed in 96-well microplate and was added 20 µL 20% sucrose solutions. The samples were placed to a shaker for 4 hours until appeared suspense. The samples were incubated for 44 hours on 39°C in the incubator.

The samples were treated accordance with each groups. The samples were treated with a crystal violet assay for measuring the survival biofilm. The samples were rinsed by posphat buffer saline (PBS) with pH 7.4 three times. The samples were added 100 µL 1% crystal violet solutions and rinsed by saline water. The samples were added 50µL 33% Glacial Acetic Acid (GAA) solution and measured using micro plate reader 595 nm (14).

Light Source

The light source used is laser diode from Thorlabs with an output wavelength of 401.4 nm, power output 2.49 mW and spot area 0.15 cm². The output power and wavelength of laser were measured with PM100D Powermeter (Thorlab) and JASCO CT10 monochromator.

Sample Treatments

The samples were divided into 4 groups, namely C0 untreated control group, T1 ozone treatment group, T2 diode laser treatment group and T3 ozone treatment group with diode laser. Treatment uses a 403 nm diode laser with a biofilm life of 48 hours. Samples was treated with variation of irradiation time of laser 60 s, 120 s, 180 s, and 240 s. The variation of ozone flow time is 20s, 40s, 60s, 80s.

The results of the data were the percentage of ratio of the dead deaths and the survival biofilm or the biofilm reduction (% CFU.ml⁻¹). The data would be tested statistically using ANOVA one-way test. The significant value p = 0.05 was used as a determinant of statistical conclusion results. The response of biofilm reduction used T-test and ANOVA two-way test.

RESULTS

Laser characterization and ozone flow time

The output light source was important for determining the effectiveness of the treatment. Figure 1 was the output power of light sources. The output power of laser was (2.49724 ± 0.01) mW. The output power was used to determine the dose of light energy. The spectral output test aimed to obtain the diameter of spectral output that is suitable with the bacteria in the microplate. The microplate that was used for bacterial treatment has a diameter of 5 mm. The wavelength of light sources was shown in Figure 2. Based on the fitting result on Figure 2, the wavelength of laser was (401.4 ± 0.09984) nm. The energy density of light was shown on Table I.




Figure 1 : The Output Power of the Diode Laser.

Table 1 : The Energy Density of Diode Laser

Laser Power Output (mW)	Laser beam area (cm ²)	Wavelength (nm)	Irradiation time (s)	Energy density (J/ cm ²)
(2.497±0.01)	(0.15 ±0.01)	(401.4 ± 0.05)	60	0.998
			120	1.998
			180	2.996
			240	3.995
			300	4.994

Characterization of ozone concentration aims to determine the effective concentration of ozone gas to reduce *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. Measurement of ozone concentration was carried out using the iodometric titration technique with Na₂S₂O₃ solution as the titration solution. The results of this ozone concentration measurement include measurements by calculating the volume value of Na₂S₂O₃ calculated during the iodometric titration. After obtaining the volume of Na₂S₂O₃, the concentration value is sought using the formula:

$$O_3 \text{ concentration} = (\text{mass } O_3) / (\text{volume } O_3)$$

With mass O₃ = 24 x V₁ x N_t. The volume of O₃ is obtained from experimental results, a color change occurs when the volume of ozone flowing is 240 mL.

Where, 24 = convention factor ($\frac{24000 \frac{me}{L}}{1000 \frac{mL}{L}}$)

V₁ = volume of Na₂S₂O₃ (mL)

N_t = normality of Na₂S₂O₃ (mg/me) = 0.035

The relationship between ozone concentration and ozone flow time (second) can be seen in Figure 3.




Figure 2 : The Wavelength of The Diode Laser.

PDI Laser and ozon treatment

Figure 4 shows the results of the absorption spectrum test for the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria located at (403 ± 0.05) nm.




Figure 3 : Graph of the relationship between ozone concentration and ozone flow time.




Figure 4 : Graph of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteria absorption spectrum test results.

Figure 5 shows the combined effect of diode laser irradiation and ozone on the viability of bacterial biofilms. The combination of laser with ozone with flow times of 60 s and 80 s showed a greater inactivation effect than diode laser treatment alone.

Figure 6 shows the effect of combined laser therapy with ozone on the percent reduction in bacterial biofilm.




Figure 5 : Combination treatment of Laser with ozone to bacterial biofilm viability.




Figure 6 : Combination treatment of Laser with ozone to percentage of biofilm reduction.

DISCUSSION

Photodynamic inactivation (PDI) is a non-invasive optical treatment modality that is influenced by 3 main factors, namely light as an ionizer for chemical reaction processes, photosensitizer as a light-absorbing molecule, and free radicals that are reactive to biological systems such as cells [15].

The choice of wavelength of the light source used is very important in the process of absorbing light by photosensitizer molecules. The photosensitizer molecule used in this study is a porphyrin photosensitizer which is naturally produced by bacteria. Porphyrins are sensitive to light with a wavelength in the blue visible light area (16). The light emitted by the blue diode laser will be absorbed by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria because it has a wavelength spectrum that is close to the absorption spectra of these bacteria. The interactions that can occur are photochemical. The result of the photochemical process is ROS which is reactive so that it can damage the target (17).

The addition of ozone was carried out to optimize the reduction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. Ozone has toxic or toxic properties when it interacts with microorganisms. ozone produces H_2O_2 and destroys the thin film on the biofilm. Treatment with ozone at an exposure time of 40 seconds caused a greater decrease in biofilm due to the formation of H_2O_2 (10). H_2O_2 molecules are oxidant molecules that strengthen immunity by producing free radicals. The formation of free radicals is obtained from the oxidative process of H_2O_2 electron transfer through the Fenton reaction. Ozone does not penetrate into the tissue but can spread to the cytoplasm of bacterial cells

(17), resulting in damage to the bacterial cell membrane resulting in cell lysis. Bocci said, ozone can react with polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), antioxidants, thiol compounds (-SH), glutathione (GSH) and albumin. The mechanism of inactivation occurs when biofilms bind to thiol protein (-SH) species due to their high nature and are influenced by denaturation, so enzymes, carbohydrates, DNA and RNA can be affected depending on the ozone dose (10).

The combination of laser with ozone flow time of 60s resulted in a reduction effectiveness of the biofilm by 78.74%. This is because the amount of reactive oxygen produced increases if there is H_2O_2 on the target which occurs during type II photochemical reactions, causing the reduction of biofilms to be even greater. The results of ozone treatment with a concentration of 0.011 mg / L and a flow time of 80s resulted in a biofilm reduction presentation of 42.77%. While laser irradiation treatment at a laser energy density of 4.99 J / cm² with 300s irradiation time resulted in a bacterial biofilm presentation of 57.80%.

The mechanism of inactivation in bacterial biofilms involves an ozone effect and a laser photodynamic effect. Cell damage caused by the formation of ROS including singlet oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, and superoxide anion radicals. Ozone produces H_2O_2 in biofilms for diffusion in the cytoplasm resulting in the presence of toxic molecules that reduce biofilms while laser photodynamics will produce free radical products (ROS) which will damage biofilms and cause lysis of bacterial cells (18, 19). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a Gram-negative, rod-shaped, flagella-shaped bacteria with a smooth surface. After treatment, normal cells experience various damages starting from the cell membrane. This causes the cytoplasm and cell organelles to react directly with toxic molecules (20, 21). Grisham uses the fluorescent method to detect H_2O_2 formation in the nucleus, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane (18) Grisham. The combination of laser and ozone will increase the production of ROS thereby increasing the ability for bacterial inactivation and reduction of bacterial biofilms.

CONCLUSION

The results of statistical tests showed that there was a significant photoinactivation effect on the percentage reduction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacterial biofilms. Optimal treatment in the 0.011 mg / L ozone exposure group with a flow time of 80 s resulted in a 42.77% reduction in biofilm. Optimal laser treatment at an energy density of 4.99 J / cm² for 300s long radiation time with 57.80% reduction percent.

The combination of a 0.008 mg / L ozone treatment and a diode laser with an energy density of 4.99 J / cm² resulted in a 78.74% reduction in percentage, which was significantly different from the other treatment groups. So the combination of ozone treatment with a diode laser is effective in reducing the bacterial biofilm *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to say thank you to Universitas Airlangga for the funding support of this study through Mandate Research [Grant No. 1408/UN.3/2019].

REFERENCES

1. Donlan RM, C.J., Biofilm: Survival Mechanism of Clinically Relevant Microorganism, *Clin Microbial Rev*, (2002). pp., 167-193
2. Pal RB, Rodrigues M and Datta S, Role of *Pseudomonas* in nosocomial infection and biological characterization of local strains, *J. Bioschi Tech* (2010).1(4): 170-179
3. Setiawatie, E.M., Lestari, V.P., Astuti, S.D. Comparison of anti bacterial efficacy of photodynamic therapy and doxycycline on aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, *African Journal of Infectious Diseases*, (2018). 12(S): 95-103
4. Astuti, SD., Mahmud A.F., Pudjiyanto, Mukhammad Y., Fitriyah N., Antimicrobial photodynamic of blue LED for activation of curcumin extract (*curcuma longa*) on staphylococcus aureus bacteria, an in vitro study, *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1120 (2018) 012073
5. Mardianto I, Setiawatie EM, Lestari WP, Rasheed A. and Astuti SD, Photodynamic Inactivation of *Streptococcus mutans* Bacteri with Photosensitizer *Moringa oleifera* Activated by Light Emitting Diode (LED), PIT-FMB & SEACOMP 2019, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1505 (2020) 012061
6. Astuti, SD., Prasaja, B.I., Prijo, T.A, 2017, An in vivo photodynamic therapy with diode laser to cell activation of kidney dysfunction, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (2017). 853
7. Sunarko, S.A., Ekasari, W., Astuti S.D., Antimicrobial effect of pleomeleangustifolia pheophytin A activation with diode laser to streptococcus mutans, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 85(2017)12038
8. Pezzoni M, Pizarro RA and Costa CS. Protective role of extracellular catalase (KatA) against UVA radiation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*. (2014). 113: 53–64.
9. Astuti SD, Hafidiana, Rulaningtyas R, Abdurachman, Putra AP, Samian and Arifianto D. The efficacy of photodynamic inactivation with laser diode on *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm with various ages of biofilm, *Infectious Disease Reports* (2020). 12(s1):8736 : 68-74
10. Borrelli E., Bocci V. Basic Biological and Therapeutic Effects of Ozone Therapy in Human Medicine. in *Ozone Science and Technology* in *Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS)* by Rein Munter as ed. Oxford, Eolss Publishers, 2010
11. Onyango A.N. Endogenous Generation of Singlet Oxygen and Ozone in Human and Animal Tissue: Mechanism, Biological Significance, and Influence of Dietary Components // *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity* (2016). (22)
12. Puspita PS, Astuti SD, Aulia M.T. Nasution, Anak A.S. Pradhana and Amiliyatul Mawaddah, Photodynamic Therapy with Ozone aids to *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilm Reduction, *Indian Vet. Journal*, (2020). 97 (02) : 24 - 26
13. Astuti S.D., Drantantiyas N.D.G., Putra A.P., Puspita P.S., Syahrom A., Suhariningsih, Photodynamic effectiveness of laser diode combined with ozone to reduce *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm with exogenous chlorophyll of *Dracaena angustifolia* leaves, *Biomedical Photonic*, (2019). 8(2): 4-13
14. Astuti SD, Suhariningsih, Rasheed A., Rahmawati I., Puspita P.S., S. Kholimatussa'diah, A.P. Putra, and Pujiyanto, Combination of Blue Laser Exposure with UV-LED to Improve Antimicrobial Effects on *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilm, *Mal J Med Health Sci*. (2020). 16(SUPP4): 6-11
15. Rolim JPML, de-Melo MAS, Guedes SF, Albuquerque-Filho FB, de Souza JR, Nogueir NAP, Zanin ICJ, Rodrigues LKA, The antimicrobial activity of photodynamic therapy against *Streptococcus mutans* using different photosensitizers, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, (2012). 106: 40–46
16. Astuti SD, Arifianto D, Drantantiyas NDG, Aulia MTN, and Abdurachman, Efficacy of CNC-diode laser combine with chlorophylls to eliminate *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm, Publishing in International Seminar Sensors, Instrumentation, Measurement, and Metrology (ISSIMM), IEEE Explore 5 2017, INSPEC Accession Number: 16563895
17. Onyango A.N. Endogenous Generation of Singlet Oxygen and Ozone in Human and Animal Tissue: Mechanism, Biological Significance, and Influence of Dietary Components, *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2016, 22 p. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2398573>
18. Grisham M.B. Methods of Detect Hydrogen Peroxide in Living Cells: Possibilities and Pitfalls, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, 2013,

- pp.1–10
19. Astuty S.D, Suhariningsih, Baktir A. and Astuti SD. The Efficacy of Photodynamic Inactivation of the Diode Laser in Inactivation of the *Candida albicans* Biofilms With Exogenous Photosensitizer of Papaya Leaf Chlorophyll, *Journal of Lasers in Medical Sciences*, 2019, 10(3):215-224
 20. Tavares A., Carvalho C. M. B., Faustino M. A., Neves M. G. P. M. S., Joro P. C. Томй, Томй А. С., Cavaleiro J. A. S., Cunha B., Gomes N. C. M., Alves E. and Almeida A., Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy: Study of Bacterial Recovery Viability and Potential Development of Resistance after Treatment, *Marine Drug*; 2010; 8(1):91-105.
 21. Wang Y, Wu X, Chen J, et al, Antimicrobial blue light inactivation of gram-negative pathogens in biofilms: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Journal Infect Dis* 2016;213:1380-1387.